Pancreatic Trauma in Children and Its Early Diagnosis

Subjects: Pediatrics

Contributor: Igor Sukhotnik , Neta Cohen

Blunt pancreatic injury (BPI) is relatively uncommon in children, and is associated with relatively high morbidity and mortality, especially if diagnosis is delayed. Blunt trauma represents the primary cause of pancreatic injury in the pediatric population. Pancreatic trauma in children remains a major challenge for emergency physicians as well as general and pediatric surgeons. Its rate of occurrence is 0.2–2%, and it contributes to 0.3% of all childhood injuries.

pancreatic trauma

children diagnosis

treatment

imaging ultrasonography (US)

1. Blunt Pancreatic Trauma in Children—General

The mortality rate associated with BPI remains low, ranging between 4.7-5.3%, with most fatalities linked to concurrent injuries. While there are established protocols for diagnosing and surgically managing pancreatic injuries in adults, the approaches to handling high-grade BPI involving the major pancreatic duct in children remain a subject of debate. In 2022, The Western Trauma Association (WTA) published clinical practice guidelines on pancreatic trauma in the adult population [1]. The WTA evaluation and management algorithm applies to the diagnosis and management of adult patients with BPI. Since delayed diagnoses can result in increased morbidity and mortality of up to 62% of patients ^[1], the WTA Committee recommends early performance of CT as part of the initial trauma workup. Imaging findings of transection of the pancreas, disruption of the MPD, or of a large amount of peripancreatic fluid mandate operative exploration. When imaging findings are not sufficiently clear-cut, other investigations may be useful, and they include serial abdominal examinations, serum amylase and lipase enzyme levels, MRCP, endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP), and transduodenal pancreatography. The major determinant in management decisions in adults with BPI is the presence or absence of injury to the main pancreatic duct (MPD). Since low-grade pancreatic trauma (Grades I and II) are contusions and lacerations that spare the pancreatic duct, they are mostly managed conservatively. In adult patients with low-grade injuries who have indications for laparotomy, drain placement to control the leakage is recommended only if there is pancreatic capsule disruption. In accord with the WTA algorithm, most adults with "high-grade" pancreatic injuries (Grades III = MPD injury to the left of the superior mesenteric vein [SMV], Grade IV = MPD injuries to the right of the SMV, and Grade V = involving disruption of the head of the pancreas) require definitive surgical treatment to avoid ductrelated complications that carry a morbidity of up to 60% ^[1].

There are no clear-cut guidelines for the initial management of BPI in children among whom the diagnosis, classification, and treatment remains a challenge. Non-operative management of ISO injuries in stable children is also pertinent to the management of BPI. The BPI we report in a six-year-old girl, which manifested with unclear

clinical presentations of an MPD injury, resulted in delayed diagnosis and surgical intervention. During her operation, spleen-sparing surgery was not feasible, and she underwent a distal pancreatectomy and splenectomy. Postoperatively, she developed a pancreatic fistula that was treated by external catheter drainage and required total parenteral nutrition (TPN) for two weeks, and repeat administration of Sandostatin. The percutaneous pancreatic fistula drain was removed one month later, and the fistula closed spontaneously. The child's outcome was ultimately favorable, with no recurrence of symptoms during the 12-month follow-up period.

2. Early Diagnosis—Pitfalls

Early diagnosis of pancreatic trauma is key to optimal management, but it remains a challenge even with more advanced imaging modalities. Traumatic BPIs are associated with high morbidity and mortality rates in both adults and children, making it crucial to minimize time for diagnosis and appropriate intervention. Due to its protected retroperitoneal location, injuries of the pancreas are uncommon in children and are often misinterpreted. The symptoms and physical signs of BPI in children may be nonspecific or even absent, and are frequently overlooked for not being readily apparent on initial examination. Additionally, abdominal symptoms such as abdominal pain, nausea, and vomiting do not always correlate with trauma severity.

 Table 1 summarizes a current (the past 5 years) literature review of publications on the early diagnostic tools during initial management in children with BPI.

Authors/ Year	Number Patients (Mean Age/y)	Serum Amylase (No Pts/PV%)	Serum Lipase (No Pts/PV%)	US (No Pts/PV%)	CT (No Pts/PV%)	MRI (No Pts/PV%)	ERCP (No Pts/PV%)
Zhang et al. (2023) ^[2]	51 (7.3)	LGI—81% HGI—100%	LGI—53% HGI—100%	50 68%	45 77%	11 100%	0
Catellani et al. (2023) ^[3]	10 ad (28.2) 20 chld (10.5)	10 49%	N/A	N/A	10 90%	N/A 100%	N/A 100%
Gong et al. (2023) ^[<u>4</u>]	31 (11.7)	N/A	N/A	16 N/A	29 61%	N/A	15 86%
Everson et al. (2023) ^[5]	19 (13)	N/A	19 74%	1 N/A	19 79%	3 N/A	0
Goldberg- Murow et al. (2021) ^[6]	11 (9)	11 60%	N/A	FAST 11/ NA	11 90%	1 100%	0

Table 1. The summary of previous literature regarding early diagnostics of pancreatic injury in children.

Authors/ Year	Number Patients (Mean Age/y)	Serum Amylase (No Pts/PV%)	Serum Lipase (No Pts/PV%)	US (No Pts/PV%)	CT (No Pts/PV%)	MRI (No Pts/PV%)	ERCP (No Pts/PV%)
Ibrahim et al. (2021) ^[7]	28 (7.14)	N/A	N/A	N/A	27/ 93%	10 100%	0
Rosenfeld et al. (2018) ^[<u>8</u>]	21 (7.8)	N/A	N/A	N/A	21 38%	NA 62%	0
Wiik-Larsen et al. (2020) 9	10 (8.3)	9 67%	N/A	N/A	9 67%	3 100%	0

2. Zhang, T.; Luo, W.; Wang, W.; Long, Q.; Ma, M. Blunt pancreatic injury in children: Lessons from Abbreviations: Pts—patients, LGI—low grade injury, HGI—high grade injury, Pts—patients, PV—predictive values, 11-year experience in a pediatric center. Asian J. Surg. 2023, 47, 269–273. N/A—not applicable; FAST—focused assessment with sonography in trauma; CT—Computed tomography, US atr Catellan h. Maranginet in agist imagist imagist imagist in a gift of the context o

G.P.; Ballarin, R.; Di Sandro, S.; et al. Laparoscopic management of blunt pancreatic trauma in

Ultradontisgenutypedistris pedientetyAusestematereview. Biodvie da Restaintin 2023 t 2023 ng 2065 70 vailable in

emergency comma and the imaging study is pourinely part of the initial assessment of children with blunt abdominal 4. Gong, S. T., An, S., Jung, P. S. Study, S. Pourinely, Dart of the initial assessment of children with blunt abdominal addominal CT. Scan. However, US is jumited by its low sensitivity and specificity when determining acute pancreatic injuries. The reported sensitivities for the detection of pancreatic injuries by US ranged from 27% to 96% ^[10]. An Every 1. Experimental exp

1900 Otlesd and Drg Methicks MAROS Beltisis M. Medinisgingles folude periores the transmission of the perior strategy static age with the perior of the period of the peri

101 R Styliasnas, useful Peauly rative Abalanositial tradation, its angle of the surgery, the surgery, the surgery to the surg participaevieur, Rhittodelphitae Passilia, 2001/81/01/apter 2001/10/anmediapan 289-8009. to properly evaluate even small pancreatic ductal disruptions. MRCP is also highly sensitive in distinguishing between different types of BPI. 12. Williams, R.F.; Grewal, H.; Jamshidi, R.; Naïk-Mathuria, B.; Price, M.; Russell, R.T.; Vogel, A.; Rosenfeld et al. recently compared the accuracy of CT and MRCP for identification of MPD disruption in BPI in Notrica, D.M.; Stylianos, S.; Petty, J. Updated APSA guidelines for the management of blunt liver children (**Table 1**) ^[8]. Data were obtained from eleven pediatric trauma centers. The results of this study showed and spleen injuries. J. Pediatr. Surg. 2023, 58, 1411–1418. that MPD visualization and duct disruption were visualized more often on MRCP than on CT, but the overall MRCP 13coKe (tutet Visikaity, Pallot DisrGaslingsandreatie Hardincay Rai Rallyy and secondary and Mysices seligian Meatic flui@Dateativesvarausenmaperativesmandpromentrafinhunturanesgaticutaumabinechildren:aAsystematics. 62% Yis We cligel Gras NS) In 4 far 10 3 trial by Zhang et al. (51 children with BPI), 46 patients (90.2%) underwent 142 de meinal D.E., 38 anatien ten (SO, 8K1) HIBO CONS., AND ASGRO, J.K., and 18 anatienten (13 and 19 resparchers and other and an arrive a star for care and a defendence sate for a second and an age [2]. Urabimation recently presented their experience ovith, 65, 29 MP235 pediatric pancreatic trauma and correlated the imaging grade of pancreatic injury with management and outcome. Those researchers reported a 93% 15. Englum, B.R. Gulack, B.C. Rice, H.E. Scarborough, J.E. Adibe, O.O. Management of blunt accuracy for CT (27 patients) and a 100% accuracy for MRCP (10 patients). pancreatic trauma in children: Review of the national trauma data bank. J. Pediatr. Surg. 2016, During the last act on trast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS) has been considered an appealing alternative to 100. The strend an end of the second strend strend strend strend strend strend strend strend potential sequection in the Mse. of ionizing, radiation and constrain and in the second fractures appearhography in the teching particle atic happen here in the technin is the the particular of the the particular of the techning of techning of the techning of enhorecemento are frequently seen to involve the pancreatic capsule [5]. However, CEUS has some limitations, particularly in the assessment of small pancreatic lesions, in the evaluation of mild ductal disruptions, and in the 17. Ugalde, I.T.: Chaudhari, P.P.: Badawy, M.: Ishimine, P.: McCarten-Gibbs, K.A.: Yen, K. detection of vascular complications. In a recent comparative study, Miele et al. compared the usefulness and the Atigapramoj, N.S. Sage, A. Nielsen, D. Adelson, P.D. et al. Validation of prediction rules for feasibility of MRI and CEUS in the follow-up of patients with low-grade blunt abdominal trauma. Those researchers computed tomography use in children with blunt abdominal or blunt head trauma. Protocol for a showed that MRI enabled a better assessment of injuries than CEUS while also allowing the determination of the temporal stage of the lesions 18. Paltiel, H.J.; Barth, R.A.; Bruno, C.; Chen, A.E.; Deganello, A.; Harkanyi, Z.; Henry, M.K.; The Kipeceivsiek, of DER Back, the jidentification of MARCe distinguish of one which is a basis in the share and at the share of the sh benefit of touching the approved intervention of environment of the lack of widespread availability for the pediatric population at many institutions limit its utility. Additionally, ERCP does not allow for 19. Miele, V.: Piccolo, C.L.: Sessa, B.: Trinci, M.: Galluzzo, M. Comparison between MRI and CEUS evaluation of the pancreatic parenchyma and surrounding tissue damage, nor can it detect pancreatic duct in the follow-up of patients with blunt abdominal trauma managed conservatively. Radiol. Med disruption distal to an obstruction. In a recent trial, Gong et al. discussed the usefulness and safety of ERCP in 2016, 121 27–37 traumatic pancreatic injury in children, 48% of whom had BPI and underwent ERCP. Those researchers concluded Retrieved from https://encvclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/124727 that EKCP was indicated for both diagnostic puiposes since imaging findings on CT and US are not as clear-cut as therapeutic purposes after pancreatic duct injury had been identified on radiologic imaging. ERCP was performed for therapeutic purposes in four of their patients. Those researchers showed that the diagnostic accuracy of radiologic injury grade (correlating to the final injury grade) was about 61%, while ERCP had a diagnostic accuracy rate of 86%. They concluded that ERCP can be usefully and safely performed in children with BPI for both diagnostic and therapeutic purposes.