# **Chrysoeriol7 against Brown Planthopper in Rice**

Subjects: Biochemical Research Methods Contributor: Kyung-Min Kim

Brown planthopper (BPH, Nilaparvata lugens Stal.) is the most damaging rice pest affecting stable rice yields worldwide. Currently, methods for controlling BPH include breeding a BPH-resistant cultivar and using synthetic pesticides. Nevertheless, the continuous cultivation of resistant cultivars allows for the emergence of various resistant races, and the use of synthetic pesticides can induce environmental pollution as well as the emergence of unpredictable new pest species. As plants cannot migrate to other locations on their own to combat various stresses, the production of secondary metabolites allows plants to protect themselves from stress and tolerate their reproduction. Pesticides using natural products are currently being developed to prevent environmental pollution and ecosystem disturbance caused by synthetic pesticides. In this study, after BPH infection in rice, chrysoeriol7 (C7), a secondary metabolite that induces resistance against BPH, was assessed. After C7 treatment and BPH infection, relative expression levels of the flavonoid-related genes were elevated, suggesting that in plants subjected to BPH, compounds related to flavonoids, among the secondary metabolites, play an important role in inducing resistance. The plant-derived natural compound chrysoeriol7 can potentially thus be used to develop environmentally friendly pesticides. The suggested control of BPH can be effectively used to alleviate concerns regarding environmental pollution and to construct a relatively safe rice breeding environment.

Keywords: brown planthopper (Nilaparvata lugens Stal.) ; rice ; secondary metabolite ; chrysoeriol7 ; environmentally friendly pesticides

# 1. Introduction

The brown planthopper (*Nilaparvata lugens* Stal., BPH) (Homoptera: Delphacidae) is a rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) pest. Homoptera causes several forms of damage, such as leaf blights and viral infections while sucking rice stems, and has provided a direct cause for the reduction in rice yield in Asia for decades <sup>[1]</sup>. In particular, among *Homoptera*, BPH has the greatest contribution the decrease in yield and grain quality <sup>[2][3]</sup>. BPH sucks leaf sheath, reduces nutrients in plants, destroys tissues through its spawn, impedes nutrient migration, and causes plant colonization. Depending on the growing stage of rice, the resistance against BPH is at different levels, reducing the tiller number and 1000-grain weight, and having a negative effect on yield and grain quality <sup>[2][5]</sup>. The control of BPH is predominantly achieved by means of synthetic pesticides <sup>[6]</sup>. BPH inhabits rice stems and has a high replication rate <sup>[2]</sup>; its control using chemical pesticides is thus relatively ineffective <sup>[8]</sup>. The continuous use and abuse of synthetic pesticides has led to devastating consequences, such as the pollution of agriculture and of the environment <sup>[9]</sup>, toxicity to humans and livestock <sup>[10]</sup>, and a reduction in their effectiveness due to the outbreak of synthetic pesticide-resistant pathogens <sup>[11]</sup>. In fact, research has been reported in which speciation was shown to cause resistance to synthetic pesticides, due to the control of BPH with synthetic pesticides. The main components of these pesticides include organophosphates, carbamates, pyrethroids, neonicotinoids, insect growth regulators, and henylpyrazole <sup>[8]</sup>. However, pesticides using a natural substance can solve such problems <sup>[12]</sup>.

To this end, an alternative strategy that can minimize the damage caused by BPH but provides a solution regarding the chemical pesticides issues is vital <sup>[13]</sup>. In addition, there is an urgent need for the development and dissemination of bio-pesticides that are able to control plant pathogens using plant-derived natural products without having a negative effect on the ecosystem, such as residual toxicity and environmental pollution <sup>[14]</sup>.

Plants can survive herbivore attacks through a variety of biochemical changes [15]. As plants cannot migrate to other places, various defense systems have been developed in order for them to reproduce and protect themselves from threats [16]. Plants have important defense systems such as antixenosis, antibiosis, and tolerance to improve their tolerance of abiotic/biotic stress [17]. Plant defense systems have been reported to be effective against abiotic stress such as drought, heat, cold, and salinity, as well as insect and virus suppression [18]. The following is an example of a plant showing resistance to abiotic/biotic stress. The synthesis level of salicylic acid (SA) was increased due to infection with

potato virus Y (PVY). The SA suppresses virus division, impedes the virus from moving into cells, and has resistance <sup>[19]</sup>. Antixenosis prevents insects from accessing the host plant, sucking, spawning, and colonizing the plant <sup>[20]</sup>. Jasmonic acid (JA) is synthesized by infection with Bemisia tabaci (Gennadius) and induces necrosis of the infected cells and has resistance [21]. Plants possess a sophisticated and interrelated network of defense strategies to avoid or tolerate abiotic/biotic stress. Physical barriers are the first defense in plants against external stress. Some morphological structures such as thick cuticles and the development of wax layers <sup>[22]</sup>, biologically active tissues such as trichomes <sup>[23]</sup>, and the synthesis of secondary metabolites can act as barriers when plants are faced with external stress <sup>[24]</sup>. For example, Arabidopsis thaliana increases the leaf trichome density when infected by insects, inducing JA synthesis, indicating resistance [25]. By increasing flavonoid accumulation in the plants, the rate of larval infections such as Anticarsia gemmatalis (Hübner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) is reduced [26]. In addition to the synthesis of phytoalexin [27], plants can also synthesize various substances such as secondary metabolites to protect themselves [28]. Chalcone synthase, for instance, is an important enzyme involved in flavonoid biosynthesis and promotes salicylic acid formation in order to resist stress such as bacterial and fungal infections in rice [29]. A large amount of kaempferol-3,7-dirhamnoside, which is a flavonoid family, is also synthesized in Arabidopsis due to the overexpression of MYB75 transcription factor, inducing resistance to Pieris brassicae (Lepidoptera: Pieridae) [30]. Similarly, some flavonoids respond to insects [31][32], such as the vitexin protein, which inhibits the growth of Spodoptera litura (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) larvae [33], the schaftosides protein, which suppresses the growth of nematodes (Meloidogyne incognita) [34], and chrysoeriol, which suppresses the growth of BPH [35]

Chrysoeriol is a flavonoid commonly found in crops such as rice, barley (*Hordeum vulgare*), wheat (*Triticum aestivum*), millet (*Sorghum bicolor*), corn (*Zea mays*), and multiple land plants. Crysoeriol and its derivative, known as O-methylluteolin, have roles as allelochemicals <sup>[36]</sup> and insect repellents <sup>[37]</sup>. These secondary metabolites may also present effectiveness within the same species of pests <sup>[38]</sup>. BPH, for instance, as well as another species, the whitebacked planthopper (WBPH), are resistant to S-linalool <sup>[3][39]</sup>. The aim of this study was thus to identify whether crysoeriol7 (C7) <sup>[40]</sup>, for which WBPH has been shown to develop resistance, can effectively repel BPH.

## 2. Assessment of C7 Efficacy against BPH

### 2.1. Analysis of Phenotypes in Rice after Infection with BPH

To identify BPH resistance, plant heights were measured on days 1, 2, and 3 after BPH infection to the Samgang, Nagdong, TN1, SNDH29, SNDH30, and SNDH11 (Figure 1 and 2). Figure 1a shows representative plants of each group in the repeated experiment. Samgang, SNDH29, and SNDH30 were resistant populations to BPH. Nagdong, TN1, and SNDH11 were susceptible populations to BPH. BPH-resistant populations demonstrated no significant differences between the control and BPH infection group. However, the BPH-susceptible population experienced a negative effect on growth compared to the control. The plant heights of the BPH-susceptible population were short from 2 days after BPH infection. In the BPH-susceptible population, the degree of growth disorder due to BPH infection increased over time.



**Figure 1**. Comparison of phenotypes that are affected after 1, 2, and 3 days of BPH infected in Samgang, Nagdong, TN1, SNDH29, SNDH30 and SNDH11. (a) The plant heights were investigated for each group, namely the control and the group infected with BPH, and representative plants of each group in the repeated experiment are shown. (b) The plant height over time after being infected with BPH. Control: not infected with BPH; Infection: infected with BPH. 1 d: One day

after BPH infection; 2 d: two days after BPH infection; 3 d: three days after BPH infection. Data are shown as the mean  $\pm$  SD (*n* = 5). \*\* indicates a significant difference at *p* < 0.01. ns indicates not significant.



Figure 2. Analysis of the reduction rate of plant growth for

3 days after BPH infection. 1 d: One day after BPH infection; 2 d: two days after BPH infection; 3 d: three days after BPH infection. Bars are shown as the value of the rate of reduction in plant height (n = 5).

#### 2.2. Analysis of Concentration of C7 after BPH Infection

To identify that C7 is involved in BPH resistance, the concentration of C7 after BPH infection was investigated in Samgang, a cultivar resistant to BPH, and Nagdong, a cultivar susceptible to BPH (Figure 3). In Samgang, the C7 concentration of the BPH-infected population was  $15.30 \pm 1.01$ , the uninfected population was  $12.32 \pm 0.85$ , and the C7 concentration rate of increase (%) was  $24.24 \pm 1.79$  (Table 1). In Nagdong, the C7 concentration of the BPH-infected population was  $11.54 \pm 1.21$ , and the C7 concentration rate of increase (%) was  $8.4 \pm 0.96$ , the uninfected population was  $11.54 \pm 1.21$ , and the C7 concentration rate of increase (%) was  $8.4 \pm 0.41$ . The BPH-infected population had a greater C7 concentration than the uninfected population in Samgang, and Samgang synthesized more C7 than Nagdong (p < 0.05).





and Nagdong. Control: BPH non-infection. BPH: BPH infection. Samgang: BPH-resistant cultivar. Nagdong: BPH-susceptible cultivar. Data are shown as the mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 5). \* indicates a significant difference at p < 0.05. ns indicates not significant.

#### 2.3. Assessment of C7 Efficacy Against BPH

To identify the effectiveness of isolated C7 against BPH, Samgang, Nagdong, and TN1 were treated with C7, then infected with BPH, and the bio-scoring value and chlorophyll contents were measured 1, 2, and 3 weeks after infection (**Figure 4**). The bio-scoring value of the C7 + BPH infection group was significantly lower than that of the BPH infection group. The reduction rate of the bio-scoring value was larger in Nagdong and TN1 than in Samgang. There was no difference in Samgang's chlorophyll content with or without C7 treatment. Nagdong and TN1 had increased chlorophyll contents when treated with C7. In Samgang, Nagdong, TN1, SNDH29, SNDH30, and SNDH11, the C7 + BPH infection group had fewer growth disorders than the BPH infection group (**Figure 5**). The BPH-resistant population showed no differences in plant height with or without C7 treatment. The BPH-susceptible population had an increased growth rate when treated with C7 compared to controls (**Figure 6**). Nagdong, TN1, and SNDH11 were less affected by growth disorders in the BPH-infected population after C7 treatment than in the BPH-infected population without C7 treatment.



**Figure 4.** Analysis of C7 efficacy for BPH resistance by cultivars after BPH infection. (a) Bio-scoring value was assigned based on the damage level that appears after BPH infection. (b) Chlorophyll contents were investigated 3 weeks after BPH infection. S: Samgang; N: Nagdong; T: TN1. Data are shown as the mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 5). \*\* indicates a significant difference at p < 0.01. ns indicates not significant.



**Figure 5.** Phenotype comparison for C7 bio-efficacy analysis for BPH in rice. (**a**) The plant height at 3 days after C7 treatment and BPH infection. (**b**) The plant height was investigated over 3 days after C7 treatment and BPH infection. BPH: BPH infection in Samgang, Nagdong, TN1, SNDH29, SNDH30 and SNDH11, C7 + BPH: C7 treatment and BPH infection in Samgang, Nagdong, TN1, SNDH29, SNDH30 and SNDH11. 1 d: One day after BPH infection; 2 d: two days after BPH infection; 3 d: three days after BPH infection. Data are shown as the mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 5). \*\* indicates a significant difference at p < 0.01. ns indicates not significant.



**Figure 6.** Analysis of the rate of plant growth increase after C7 treatment in plants infected with BPH. 1 d: One day after BPH infection; 2 d: two days after BPH infection; 3 d: three days after BPH infection. Bars are shown as the value of the rate of increase in plant height (n = 5).

#### 2.4. Flavonoid and Plant Resistant Gene Expression Levels Analysis in BPH-infected Rice

The expression levels of flavonoid and plant resistant genes were compared in Samgang, Nagdong, TN1, BPH-resistant SNDH29, SNDH30, and BPH-susceptible SNDH11 over time after C7 treatment and BPH infection (C7 + BPH) (Figure 7). Relative expression levels were measured for flavanone 3-hydroxylase (F3H) and choristmate mutase (CM), which are known as flavonoid biosynthesis-related genes, as well as for non-expressor of pathogenesis-related genes1 (NPR1) and WRKY45, which are known as plant resistant genes. Expression levels of OsF3H, OsCM, and OsWRKY45 were significantly lower in plants treated with C7 and infected with BPH compared to control plants infected with BPH (p < 0.01) for 1, 2, and 3 days. The expression levels of OsNPR1 did not show any significant difference between the plants treated with C7 and infected with BPH (control).



**Figure 7.** Expression levels of the genes involved in plant defense in the leaves of rice. 1 d: One day after BPH infection; 2 d: two days after BPH infection; 3 d: three days after BPH infection. S: Samgang; S29: SNDH29; S30: SNDH30; N: Nagdong; T: TN1; S11: SNDH11. Bars represent means  $\pm$  SD (n = 5). Mean denoted by the same letter are not significantly different (p < 0.05) as evaluated by Duncan's multiple range test (DMRT).

## 3. Researches and Findings

When the rice was infected with BPH in this search, the level of C7 synthesis in the BPH-resistant population was higher than that in the BPH-susceptible population. After the infection of Samgang, Nagdong, TN1, SNDH29, SNDH30, and SNDH11 with BPH, plant heights were measured to identify the level of effectiveness against BPH. Bio-scoring is a method of classifying the phenotype of each rice cultivars after BPH infection into resistant or susceptible according to the data presented by The International Rice Research Institute (IRRI). Additionally, plant height and chlorophyll contents are the most useful data to analyze resistance to biotic stress, such as WBPH and BPH. In particular, plant height is the best agricultural trait for classifying resistant and susceptible populations after BPH infection. Plant height and chlorophyll contents are agricultural traits that can most easily classify the response to biotic stress as a phenotype and a resistant or susceptible population. Plant height and chlorophyll contents are the characteristics that show the greatest change after BPH infection. Therefore, in many studies, the resistant population and the susceptible population were classified using the plant height and chlorophyll contents [41][42][43]. The plant heights were investigated on days 1–3, and the growth rate was found to be reduced compared to control plants that were not infected with BPH. When a plant is attacked by a herbivorous insect, its growth rate was reduced [44]. This was responsible for BPH-infected Samgang, Nagdong, TN1, SNDH29, SNDH30, and SNDH11 populations having shorter plant heights compared to control plants. The bio-scoring value of the C7 + BPH infection group was lower than that of the BPH-infected group and the chlorophyll content was higher than that of the BPH-infected group. The C7 + BPH infection group had a greater plant height, a lower bio-scoring

value, and higher chlorophyll content, and had fewer growth disorders than the BPH infection group, suggesting that C7 is resistant against BPH.

C7 is a compound containing a phenol group, as a compound of the flavonoid family  $^{[45][46]}$ . Phenol is volatile, colorless and as an aromatic compound, it has a peculiar scent  $^{[47]}$ . Phenol is used to produce preservatives, disinfectants, synthetic resins, dyes, explosives, etc. This type of rice's volatile compounds attract BPH's natural enemies and induce indirect resistance  $^{[48]}$ . Volatiles induced after BPH sucking attract, for instance, the parasite insect *Anagrus nilaparvatae* and the predator *Cyrtorbinus lividipennis* to defend them from BPH  $^{[49]}$ . Nevertheless, the degree of attraction depends on the genotype of the rice  $^{[50]}$ . Recent studies have reported that S-linalool, a rice volatile compound induced upon BPH sucking, attracts predators and parasites and repels BPH  $^{[39]}$ . The green leaf volatiles (GLV) released by the BPH attack also act as repellents on BPH  $^{[51]}$ , and it is thus hypothesized that C7, which contains an aromatic compound emitting a volatile and unique aroma, could also infer resistance to BPH in rice by spreading its aroma, which repels BPH.

Flavonoids are a type of plant and fungal secondary metabolite, with polyphenol compounds known as plant defense compounds, and are contained in the majority of plants <sup>[52]</sup>. In the current work, the relative expression levels of OsF3H <sup>[53]</sup>, OsCM <sup>[54]</sup>, OsWRKY45 <sup>[55]</sup>, and OsNPR1 <sup>[56]</sup>, which are known as plant resistance genes, were compared, and it was identified whether they are also effective against BPH. After infection with BPH, the relative expression levels of OsF3H, OsCM, and OsWRKY45 in the Samgang and SNDH29 were relatively higher than in the Nagdong and SNDH11. OsF3H and OsCM presented higher relative expression levels compared to OsWRKY45 and OsNPR1. Furthermore, OsF3H and OsCM are genes involved in flavonoid biosynthesis, and their relative expression levels were found to be increased after BPH infection, suggesting that flavonoids are involved in the secondary metabolites synthesized after BPH attacks. The relative expression levels of OsF3H, OsCM, OsWRKY45, and OsNPR1 were lower in the group infected with BPH after treatment with C7 compared to the group infected with BPH and no C7 treatment. It was thus suggested that C7, acting as a repellent for BPH, infers resistance to BPH in rice <sup>[57]</sup>.

The results of this study demonstrate that C7, a plant defense metabolite that infers resistance to BPH, is an eco-friendly and safe compound that can be used effectively as an alternative to synthetic pesticides, thus contributing to the alleviation of environmental pollution concerns.

### References

- 1. Taub, D.A.; Wei, J.T. The economics of benign prostatic hyperplasia and lower urinary tract symptoms in the United Sta tes. Curr. Urol. Rep. 2006, 7, 272–281.
- 2. Xue, J.; Zhou, X.; Zhang, C.X.; Yu, L.L.; Fan, H.W.; Wang, Z.; Xu, H.J.; Xi, Y.; Zhu, Z.R.; Zhou, W.W.; et al. Genomes of the rice pest brown planthopper and its endosymbionts reveal complex complementary contributions for host adaptatio n. Genome Biol. 2014, 15, 521.
- 3. Ling, Y.; Weilin, Z. Genetic and biochemical mechanisms of rice resistance to planthopper. Plant Cell Rep. 2016, 35, 15 59–1572.
- 4. Sun, Z.; Shi, J.H.; Liu, H.; Yin, L.T.; Abdelnabby, H.; Wang, M.Q. Phytopathogenic infection alters rice–pest–parasitoid t ri-trophic interactions. Pest Manag. Sci. 2021, 77, 4530–4538.
- 5. Jing, S.; Zhao, Y.; Du, B.; Chen, R.; Zhu, L.; He, G. Genomics of interaction between the brown planthopper and rice. C urr. Opin. Insect Sci. 2017, 19, 82–87.
- 6. Roy, D.; Chakraborty, G. Bio-efficacy of novel chemicals and tribal pesticide-based integrated modules against brown pl anthopper in rice. Int. J. Trop. Insect Sci. 2021, 1–11.
- 7. Zhang, F.; Zhu, L.; He, G. Differential gene expression in response to brown planthopper feeding in rice. J. Plant Physio I. 2004, 161, 53–62.
- Wu, S.F.; Zeng, B.; Zheng, C.; Mu, X.C.; Zhang, Y.; Hu, J.; Zhang, S.; Gao, C.F.; Shen, J.L. The evolution of insecticide resistance in the brown planthopper (Nilaparvata lugens Stål) of China in the period 2012–2016. Sci. Rep. 2018, 8, 458
  6.
- Tschoeke, P.H.; Oliveira, E.E.; Dalcin, M.S.; Silveira-Tschoeke, M.C.A.C.; Sarmento, R.A.; Santos, G.R. Botanical and s ynthetic pesticides alter the flower visitation rates of pollinator bees in Neotropical melon fields. Environ. Pollut. 2019, 2 51, 591–599.
- 10. Mesnage, R.; Séralini, G.-E. Editorial: Toxicity of Pesticides on Health and Environment. Front. Public Health 2018, 6, 1 –2.

- 11. Dubey, N.K.; Shukla, R.; Kumar, A.; Singh, P.; Prakash, B. Prospects of botanical pesticides in sustainable agriculture. Curr. Sci. 2010, 98, 479–480.
- 12. Amoabeng, B.W.; Johnson, A.C.; Gurr, G.M. Natural enemy enhancement and botanical insecticide source: A review of dual use companion plants. Appl. Entomol. Zool. 2019, 54, 1–19.
- Kedia, A.; Prakash, B.; Mishra, P.K.; Singh, P.; Dubey, N.K. Botanicals as eco friendly biorational alternatives of synthetic pesticides against Callosobruchus spp. (Coleoptera: Bruchidae)—A review. J. Food Sci. Technol. 2015, 52, 1239–125 7.
- 14. Mfarrej, M.F.B.; Rara, F.M. Competitive, Sustainable Natural Pesticides. Acta Ecol. Sin. 2019, 39, 145–151.
- Tomas, F.; Abbott, J.M.; Steinberg, C.; Balk, M.; Williams, S.L.; Stachowicz, J.J. Plant genotype and nitrogen loading inf luence seagrass productivity, biochemistry, and plant-herbivore interactions. Ecology 2011, 92, 1807–1817.
- 16. Bezemer, T.M.; Wagenaar, R.; Van Dam, N.M.; Wäckers, F.L. Interactions between above- and belowground insect her bivores as mediated by the plant defense system. Oikos 2003, 101, 555–562.
- 17. Rampe, H.L.; Tulung, M.; Pelealu, J.; Runtunuwu, S.D. The Antibiotic and Antixenotic Resistance of Some Peanut (Ara chis hypogea L.) Varieties after the Organic Fertilizer Application. Int. J. Res. Eng. Sci. 2015, 3, 40–44.
- 18. Aznar-Fernández, T.; Rubiales, D. Identification and characterisation of antixenosis and antibiosis to pea aphid (Acyrth osiphon pisum) in Pisum spp. germplasm. Ann. Appl. Biol. 2018, 172, 268–281.
- 19. Carr, J.P.; Murphy, A.M.; Tungadi, T.; Yoon, J.Y. Plant defense signals: Players and pawns in plant-virus-vector interacti ons. Plant Sci. 2019, 279, 87–95.
- Bueno, A.F.; Panizzi, A.R.; Hunt, T.E.; Dourado, P.M.; Pitta, R.M.; Gonçalves, J. Challenges for Adoption of Integrated P est Management (IPM): The Soybean Example. Neotrop. Entomol. 2021, 50, 5–20.
- 21. Lin, D.; Xu, Y.; Wu, H.; Liu, X.; Zhang, L.; Wang, J.; Rao, Q. Plant defense responses induced by two herbivores and co nsequences for whitefly Bemisia tabaci. Front. Physiol. 2019, 10, 1–9.
- 22. Emamverdian, A.; Ding, Y.; Mokhberdoran, F.; Xie, Y. Heavy metal stress and some mechanisms of plant defense resp onse. Sci. World J. 2015, 25, 27–54.
- Dalin, P.; Ågren, J.; Björkman, C.; Huttunen, P.; Kärkkäinen, K. Leaf trichome formation and plant resistance to herbivor y. In Induced Plant Resistance to Herbivory; Springer: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2008; pp. 89–105.
- Wang, Y.; Cao, L.; Zhang, Y.; Cao, C.; Liu, F.; Huang, F.; Qiu, Y.; Li, R.; Lou, X. Map-based cloning and characterization of BPH29, a B3 domain-containing recessive gene conferring brown planthopper resistance in rice. J. Exp. Bot. 2015, 6 6, 6035–6045.
- 25. Saxena, S.C.; Salvi, P.; Kamble, N.U.; Joshi, P.K.; Majee, M.; Arora, S. Ectopic overexpression of cytosolic ascorbate p eroxidase gene (Apx1) improves salinity stress tolerance in Brassica juncea by strengthening antioxidative defense me chanism. Acta Physiol. Plant. 2020, 42, 45.
- 26. Xing, Z.; Liu, Y.; Cai, W.; Huang, X.; Wu, S.; Lei, Z. Efficiency of trichome-based plant defense in phaseolus vulgaris de pends on insect behavior, plant ontogeny, and structure. Front. Plant Sci. 2017, 8, 1–8.
- 27. Darvill, A.G.; Albersheim, P. Phytoalexins and their Elicitors-A Defense against Microbial Infection in Plants. Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. 1984, 35, 243–275.
- 28. Saddique, M.; Kamran, M.; Shahbaz, M. Differential Responses of Plants to Biotic Stress and the Role of Metabolites; Elsevier Inc.: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2018; ISBN 9780128126905.
- Zhang, X.; Abrahan, C.; Colquhoun, T.A.; Liu, C.J. A proteolytic regulator controlling chalcone synthase stability and flav onoid biosynthesis in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 2017, 29, 1157–1174.
- 30. Onkokesung, N.; Reichelt, M.; Van Doorn, A.; Schuurink, R.C.; Van Loon, J.J.A.; Dicke, M. Modulation of flavonoid met abolites in Arabidopsis thaliana through overexpression of the MYB75 transcription factor: Role of kaempferol-3,7- dirh amnoside in resistance to the specialist insect herbivore Pieris brassicae. J. Exp. Bot. 2014, 65, 2203–2217.
- 31. Simmonds, M.S.J. Flavonoid-insect interactions: Recent advances in our knowledge. Phytochemistry 2003, 64, 21–30.
- Simmonds, M.S.J. Importance of flavonoids in insect-plant interactions: Feeding and oviposition. Phytochemistry 2001, 56, 245–252.
- 33. Aboshi, T.; Ishiguri, S.; Shiono, Y.; Murayama, T. Flavonoid glycosides in Malabar spinach Basella alba inhibit the growt h of Spodoptera litura larvae. Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem. 2018, 82, 9–14.
- Du, S.S.; Zhang, H.M.; Bai, C.Q.; Wang, C.F.; Liu, Q.Z.; Liu, Z.L.; Wang, Y.Y.; Deng, Z.W. Nematocidal flavone-C-glyco sides against the root-knot nematode (Meloidogyne incognita) from Arisaema erubescens tubers. Molecules 2011, 16, 5079–5086.

- 35. Zhang, Z.; Cui, B.; Yan, S.; Li, Y.; Xiao, H.; Li, Y.; Zhang, Y. Evaluation of tricin, a stylet probing stimulant of brown plant hopper, in infested and non-infested rice plants. J. Appl. Entomol. 2017, 141, 393–401.
- 36. Kong, C.H.; Xu, X.H.; Zhang, M.; Zhang, S.Z. Allelochemical tricin in rice hull and its aurone isomer against rice seedlin g rot disease. Pest Manag. Sci. 2010, 66, 1018–1024.
- 37. Bing, L.; Hongxia, D.; Maoxin, Z.; Di, X.; Jingshu, W. Potential resistance of tricin in rice against brown planthopper Nila parvata lugens (Stål). Acta Ecol. Sin. 2007, 27, 1300–1306.
- Piasecka, A.; Jedrzejczak-Rey, N.; Bednarek, P. Secondary metabolites in plant innate immunity: Conserved function of divergent chemicals. New Phytol. 2015, 206, 948–964.
- 39. Xiao, Y.; Wang, Q.; Erb, M.; Turlings, T.C.J.; Ge, L.; Hu, L.; Li, J.; Han, X.; Zhang, T.; Lu, J.; et al. Specific herbivore-ind uced volatiles defend plants and determine insect community composition in the field. Ecol. Lett. 2012, 15, 1130–1139.
- 40. Jang, Y.; Park, J.; Kim, K. Antimicrobial Activity of Chrysoeriol 7 and Chochlioquinone 9, White-Backed Planthopper-Re sistant Compounds, Against Rice Pathogenic Strains. Biology 2020, 9, 382.
- 41. Wang, H.; Ye, S.; Mou, T. Molecular Breeding of Rice Restorer Lines and Hybrids for Brown Planthopper (BPH) Resista nce Using the Bph14 and Bph15 Genes. Rice 2016, 9, 53.
- 42. Fan, F.; Li, N.; Chen, Y.; Liu, X.; Sun, H.; Wang, J.; He, G.; Zhu, Y.; Li, S. Development of elite BPH-resistant wide-spec trum restorer lines for three and two line hybrid rice. Front. Plant Sci. 2017, 8, 1–9.
- Zhou, S.; Chen, M.; Zhang, Y.; Gao, Q.; Noman, A.; Wang, Q.; Li, H.; Chen, L.; Zhou, P.; Lu, J.; et al. Osmkk3, a stressresponsive protein kinase, positively regulates rice resistance to nilaparvata lugens via phytohormone dynamics. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2019, 20, 3023.
- 44. Verma, N.; Shukla, S. Impact of various factors responsible for fluctuation in plant secondary metabolites. J. Appl. Res. Med. Aromat. Plants 2015, 2, 105–113.
- 45. Mishra, B.; Priyadarsini, K.I.; Kumar, M.S.; Unnikrishnan, M.K.; Mohan, H. Effect of O-glycosilation on the antioxidant a ctivity and free radical reactions of a plant flavonoid, chrysoeriol. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2003, 11, 2677–2685.
- 46. Plazonić, A.; Bucar, F.; Maleŝ, Żeljan; Mornar, A.; Nigović, B.; Kujundźić, N. Identification and quantification of flavonoid s and phenolic acids in burr parsley (Caucalis platycarpos L.), using high-performance liquid chromatography with diod e array detection and electrospray ionization mass spectrometry. Molecules 2009, 14, 2466–2490.
- 47. Kelebek, H.; Canbas, A.; Jourdes, M.; Teissedre, P.L. Characterization of colored and colorless phenolic compounds in Öküzgözü wines from Denizli and Elazig regions using HPLC-DAD-MS. Ind. Crops Prod. 2010, 31, 499–508.
- 48. Gross, B.L.; Skare, K.J.; Olsen, K.M. Novel Phr1 mutations and the evolution of phenol reaction variation in US weedy r ice (Oryza sativa). New Phytol. 2009, 184, 842–850.
- 49. Lou, Y.G.; Ma, B.; Cheng, J.A. Attraction of the parasitoid Anagrus nilaparvatae to rice volatiles induced by the rice bro wn planthopper Nilaparvata lugens. J. Chem. Ecol. 2005, 31, 2357–2372.
- 50. Ashraf, U.; Kanu, A.S.; Mo, Z.; Hussain, S.; Anjum, S.A.; Khan, I.; Abbas, R.N.; Tang, X. Lead toxicity in rice: Effects, m echanisms, and mitigation strategies—A mini review. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 2015, 22, 18318–18332.
- 51. Xu, T.; Zhou, Q.; Xia, Q.; Zhang, W.; Zhang, G.; Gu, D. Effects of herbivore-induced rice volatiles on the host selection behavior of brown planthopper, Nilaparvata lugens. Chin. Sci. Bull. 2002, 47, 1355–1360.
- 52. Shah, A.; Smith, D.L. Flavonoids in agriculture: Chemistry and roles in, biotic and abiotic stress responses, and microbi al associations. Agronomy 2020, 10, 1209.
- 53. Jan, R.; Khan, M.A.; Asaf, S.; Lee, I.J.; Kim, K.M. Overexpression of OsF3H modulates WBPH stress by alteration of p henylpropanoid pathway at a transcriptomic and metabolomic level in Oryza sativa. Sci. Rep. 2020, 10.
- 54. Park, J.R.; Yun, S.; Jan, R.; Kim, K.M. Screening and identification of brown planthopper resistance genes OsCM9 in ri ce. Agronomy 2020, 10, 1865.
- Shimono, M.; Koga, H.; Akagi, A.; Hayashi, N.; Goto, S.; Sawada, M.; Kurihara, T.; Matsushita, A.; Sugano, S.; Jiang, C.J.; et al. Rice WRKY45 plays important roles in fungal and bacterial disease resistance. Mol. Plant Pathol. 2012, 13, 83–94.
- 56. Yuan, Y.; Zhong, S.; Li, Q.; Zhu, Z.; Lou, Y.; Wang, L.; Wang, J.; Wang, M.; Li, Q.; Yang, D.; et al. Functional analysis of rice NPR1-like genes reveals that OsNPR1/NH1 is the rice orthologue conferring disease resistance with enhanced her bivore susceptibility. Plant Biotechnol. J. 2007, 5, 313–324.
- 57. Hassan, S.; Mathesius, U. The role of flavonoids in root-rhizosphere signalling: Opportunities and challenges for improv ing plant-microbe interactions. J. Exp. Bot. 2012, 63, 3429–3444.

Retrieved from https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/49842