

# Next-Generation Sequencing's Application in ctDNA Detection and Quantification

Subjects: [Oncology](#) | [Obstetrics & Gynaecology](#)  
Contributor: Ricardo Roque , Ilda Patrícia Ribeiro , Margarida Figueiredo-Dias , Charlie Gourley , Isabel Marques Carreira

Circulating tumour DNA (ctDNA) facilitates longitudinal study of the tumour genome, which, unlike tumour tissue biopsies, globally reflects intratumor and intermetastasis heterogeneity. Despite its costs, next-generation sequencing (NGS) has revolutionised the study of ctDNA, ensuring a more comprehensive and multimodal approach, increasing data collection, and introducing new variables that can be correlated with clinical outcomes. Current NGS strategies can comprise a tumour-informed set of genes or the entire genome and detect a tumour fraction as low as  $10^{-5}$ .

ovarian cancer

circulating tumour DNA

next-generation sequencing

cell-free DNA (cfDNA)

detection

quantification

## 1. Introduction

Ovarian cancer (OC) is the 7th most frequent gynaecological malignancy worldwide and the main cause of gynaecological cancer death [\[1\]\[2\]](#). OC is of epithelial origin in 90% of cases, and these can be classified into five different histological subgroups based on the World Health Organization's (WHO) current classification: high-grade serous ovarian carcinoma (HGSOC), endometrioid carcinoma, clear-cell carcinoma, low-grade serous carcinoma, and mucinous carcinoma [\[3\]](#). Most cases are diagnosed at advanced stages with peritoneal involvement, indicating poor overall survival (OS), despite the best therapeutic efforts [\[1\]\[4\]](#). However, different subtypes have diverse molecular and phenotypical behaviours, as well as distinct prognosis and treatment options [\[1\]](#).

HEA-4 and CA-125 are the two clinically useful serum protein biomarkers for OC. Only CA-125 is approved for evaluating treatment response and disease recurrence [\[5\]\[6\]](#). The absence of higher-sensitivity biomarkers capable of early detection and prognostication remains an area of need in the management of EOC [\[1\]\[4\]\[5\]\[7\]\[8\]](#). In numerous cancers, cell-free DNA (cfDNA) has shown promise in predicting prognosis, assessing treatment response, and recurrence detection [\[4\]\[7\]\[9\]](#). **Table 1** compares CA-125 and ctDNA as biomarkers of OC.

**Table 1.** Comparison between Ca-125 and ctDNA as biomarkers of OC.

CA-125	ctDNA
Non-invasive	

CA-125	ctDNA
Can be altered by other coexisting physiological and pathological conditions	
Inexpensive and highly available	Expensive and restricted to specialist centres
Simple methodology	Complex methodology
Results in minutes-hours	Results in days and weeks
Quantitative marker	Quantitative and qualitative markers
One continuous variable	Can generate multiple continuous and discrete variables
Only informative regarding the presence/absence of treatment response and recurrence	Yields more information regarding treatment response and tumour recurrence, like resistance mechanisms and targetable genetic alterations
Directly interpreted by the clinician	Requires specialised interpretation
Easily detected in blood and urine	Low concentrations in biological fluids
The utility is limited to producing tumours (mainly restricted to HGSOc)	Theoretically applicable to all histological subtypes
Established and recognised clinical utility in trials	Clinical utility is debatable and requires confirmation in prospective trials

## References

1. Abigél, B.; Jong, B.; Orsolya, B. The Application of Circulating Tumor Cell and Cell-Free DNA Liquid Biopsies in Ovarian Cancer. *Mol. Cell Probes* **2022**, *66*, 101871. Cell-free DNA (cfDNA) is released by both malignant and healthy cells through apoptosis and other cell death mechanisms. At the same time, additional biological processes, like active secretion and phagocytosis, may also be involved [4][10][11][12]. It can be found in many biological fluids, and its levels are altered by many pathological and physiological conditions. [4] De Ceccarlis, C.; Santini, D.; Delcarril, C.; Santoro, G.; Palicelli, A.; Acquaviva, G.; Chiarini, F.; Piro, R.; Ravegnani, G.; Pessio, A.; et al. What Is Detecting Ovarian Cancer cfDNA Integrated Healthy Cells Morphology and Molecular Analysis Following the New 2020 World Health Organization Classification of Female Genital Tumors. *Diagn* **2021**, *11*, 697. cfDNA [4][10][13]

4. Du-Bois, A.; Leslie, C.; Leslie, Z.; Tarek, M.M.; Elin, S.G. Liquid Biopsy in Ovarian Cancer Using Circulating Tumor DNA and Cells: Ready for Prime Time? *Cancer Lett.* **2020**, *468*, 59–71. The quantity of plasma ctDNA differs according to the type and location of the tumour, the stage of the disease, and the treatment. [10][14]

5. Chiorboli, M.; Sessa, C.; Du Bois, A.; Ledermann, J.E.; McHugh, W.G.; Meneses, I.; Morice, P.; Pignata, S.; Ray, E.; Sgarbi, V.; et al. ESMO-ESGO Consensus on ctDNA Detection in Recurrent Ovarian Cancer. *Pathology and Molecular Biology Early and Advanced Stages, Beyond the Tumor and Recurrence Disease*. *Ann. Oncol.* **2019**, *30*, 1672–705. ctDNA rates were detected, possibly due to higher tumour aggressiveness or cellular turnover [11][18]. TP53 mutations are the defining feature of the most common type of ovarian cancer—HGSOC—and they may help explain, together with the Ovarian Cancer: A Comprehensive Review. *Cancers* **2020**, *12*, 3730.

Wedschwendter, M.; Zik, M.; Wähl, B.; Lampinen, H.; Papadopoulos, T.; Evans, H.; Soos, A.; Chazotte, S.; Reisel, D.; Eichinger, J.; et al. The Potential of Circulating Tumor DNA Methylation Analysis for the Early Detection and Management of Ovarian Cancer. *Genome Med.* 2017, 9, 116.

cfDNA has a variable half-life depending on the amount shed and clearance capability of metabolizing organs, like the liver and spleen, and plasma circulating enzymes, ranging from 16 min to 2.5 h [10][11][12]. Whilst this characteristic challenges cfDNA sample collection and analysis, it allows for real-time assessment of tumour genetic characteristics. Also, in cancer patients, impaired cfDNA clearance results in higher ctDNA concentrations [9].

10. Carlotto, M.S.; Innocenza, P.; Serena, M.B.; Giuseppe, C.; Giorgia, P.; Federica, T.; Violante, D.D.; Angela, M.; Ludovico, M. Role of Circulating Biomarkers in Platinum-Resistant Ovarian Cancer. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2021, 22, 13650.

cfDNA includes coding and non-coding nuclear and mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) and generally ranges from 40 to 200 bp in size [10][11]. These shorter fragments originate through caspase-dependent cleavage during apoptosis, with a peak at 160 bp corresponding to nucleosomes [10][11]. Shorter fragments (<100 bp) seem to be enriched in ctDNA, carrying tumour-driven genetic alterations. Conversely, longer cfDNA fragments (>200 bpm) suggest more

11. Sánchez-Herrero, F.; Sierra-Blasco, B.; Roberto de la Peleca, González-Buñay, M.; Romero, A.; Presencia, M. Circulating Tumor DNA as a Cancer Biomarker: An Overview of Biological Features and Factors That May Impact on CtDNA Analysis. *Front. Oncol.* 2022, 12, 943253.

12. Fang, Y.; Jun, T.; Zihao, Z.; Chunling, Z.; Yuancai, X. Circulating Tumor DNA: A Noninvasive Biomarker for Tracking Ovarian Cancer. *Reprod. Biol. Endocrinol.* 2021, 19, 178. Theoretically, ctDNA represents a picture of the genetic patrimony of the tumour, corresponding to all metastatic cells that can be analysed. Advances in Application of Circulating Tumor DNA in Ovarian Cancer. *Front. Integr. Genom.* 2023, 23, 250.

13. Tengg, X.; Chen, Y.; Yang, Q. Advances in Application of Circulating Tumor DNA in Ovarian Cancer. *Front. Integr. Genom.* 2023, 23, 250.

14. Parkinson, C.A.; Gale, D.; Piskorz, A.M.; Biggs, H.; Hodgkin, C.; Addley, H.; Freeman, S.; Moyle, P.; Sala, E.; Sayal, K.; et al. Exploratory Analysis of TP53 Mutations in Circulating Tumour DNA as Biomarkers of Treatment Response for Patients with Relapsed High-Grade Serous Ovarian Carcinoma: A Retrospective Study. *PLoS Med.* 2016, 13, e1002198.

15. Sullivan, B.G.; Lo, A.; Yu, J.; Gonda, A.; Dehkordi-Yakil, F.; Dayyani, F.; Senthil, M. Circulating Tumor DNA Is Unreliable to Detect Somatic Gene Alterations in Gastrointestinal Peritoneal Carcinomatosis. *Ann. Surg. Oncol.* 2023, 30, 278–284.

16. Hill, C.A.; Park, K.; MacArthur, D.; et al. The Landscape of Actionable Genomic Alterations in Cell-Free Circulating Tumor DNA from 21,807 Advanced Cancer Patients. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2018, 24, 3528–3538.

17. Ana, B.; Ana, P.; Pedro, P.; Manuela, P.; Manuel, R.T. Potential Clinical Applications of Circulating Cell-Free DNA in Ovarian Cancer Patients. *Expert. Rev. Mol. Med.* 2018, 20, e6.

18. Fan, Y.K.; Zhang, J.; Ma, C.C.; Tian, H.T.; Li, Q.; Ding, J.; Wang, J. Application of Next-Generation Sequencing in the Detection of Circulating Tumor DNA. *Ann. Surg. Oncol.* 2023, 30, 278–284.

19. Raymond, M.; Vignani, R.; Burt, A. Genotype-Specific Differences in Circulating Tumor DNA Levels in Advanced NSCLC. *J. Thorac. Oncol.* 2021, 16, 604–609.

20. Fan, Y.K.; Zhang, J.; Ma, C.C.; Tian, H.T.; Li, Q.; Ding, J.; Wang, J. Application of Next-Generation Sequencing in the Detection of Circulating Tumor DNA. *Ann. Surg. Oncol.* 2023, 30, 278–284.

19. Mouliere, F.; Chandrasekhar, D.; Piskorz, A.; Moore, E.; Morris, J.; Aylward, T.; Bay, M.; et al. The Massively Parallel Sequencing Enhanced Detection of Circulating Tumor DNA by Fragment Size Analysis. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 2018, 10, eaat4921.
20. Havell, M.; Dineika, C.; Elizabeth, M.; Florent, M.; James, M.; James, D.B.; Christopher, G.S.; Nitzan, R. Refined Characterization of Circulating Tumor DNA through Biological Feature Integration. *Sci. Rep.* 2022, 12, 1928.
21. Hase, D.; Imai, S.; Oishi, M.; Aoki, K.; et al. A Comprehensive Handbook for Using ctDNA throughout the Patient Journey. *Mol. Cancer* 2022, 21, 81.
22. Barbosa, A.; Pinto, P.; Peixoto, A.; Guerra, J.; Pinto, C.; Santos, C.; Pinheiro, M.; Escudeiro, C.; Bartosch, C.; Silva, J.; et al. Gene Panel Tumor Testing in Ovarian Cancer Patients Significantly Increases the Yield of Clinically Actionable Germline Variants beyond BRCA1/BRCA2. *Cancers* 2020, 12, 2834.
23. Singh, R.R. Target Enrichment Approaches for Next-Generation Sequencing Applications in Oncology Diagnostics. *Diagn. Oncol.* 2022, 12, 158.
24. Silvia, R.V.; Floris, H.G.; Ronald, V.M.; Corine, M.B.; Jean, C.H.; Hendrikus, J.D.; Winand, N.M.D.; Patricia, C.E.G.; Ramon, S.; Helena, C.V.D.; et al. TP53 Mutations in Serum Circulating Cell-Free Tumor DNA As Longitudinal Biomarker for High-Grade Serous Ovarian Cancer. *Biomolecules* 2020, 10, 415.
25. Newman, A.M.; Bratman, S.V.; Du, J.; Wynne, J.; Falvo, A.W.; Madden, A.; Li, C.; et al. Detection of ctDNA with Broad Patient Coverage by NGS-guided dPCR yielded a high diagnostic sensitivity (99%) and specificity (81%) for OC, accompanied by a ctDNA detection rate superior to 93%. *Diagn. Oncol.* 2022, 12, 158.
26. Pereira, E.; Camacho-Vanegas, O.; Anand, S.; Sebra, R.; Camacho, S.C.; Garnar-Wortzel, L.; Nair, N.; Moshier, E.; Wooten, M.; Uzilov, A.; et al. Personalized Circulating Tumor DNA Biomarkers Dynamically Predict Treatment Response and Survival in Gynecologic Cancers. *PLoS ONE* 2015, 10, e0145754.
27. Zivari, A.; Schumacher, B.; Shale, B.; et al. The Genomic Widespread Deletion of ctDNA in Circulating Tumor DNA with Broad Patient Coverage by NGS-guided dPCR yielded a high diagnostic sensitivity (99%) and specificity (81%) for OC, accompanied by a ctDNA detection rate superior to 93%. *Diagn. Oncol.* 2022, 12, 158.
28. Phallen, J.; Sausen, M.; Adieff, V.; Leal, A.; Hruban, C.; White, J.; Anagnostou, V.; Fiksel, J.; Cristiano, S.; Papp, E.; et al. Direct Detection of Early-Stage Cancers Using Circulating Tumor DNA. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 2017, 9, ean2415.
29. Cohen, J.D.; Wang, T.; et al. The NGS output; 27. Sari, B.; Danilova, B.; Douville, C.; et al. Detection and Localization of Surgically Resectable Cancers with a Multi-Analyte Blood Test. *Science* 2018, 359, 926–930.



30. Salic, J.; Schmitt, M.W.; Hoch, A. Enhancing the Accuracy of Next-Generation Sequencing for Detecting Rare and Subclonal Mutations. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 2018, 19, 269–285. [\[4\]\[19\]\[28\]\[29\]](#)
  31. Leslie, C.; Tindaro, G.; Aaron, B.B.; Anna, L.R.; Colin, S.; Benhur, A.; Tarek, M.M.; Elin, S.G. Identification of TP53 Mutations in Circulating Tumour DNA in High Grade Serous Ovarian Carcinoma Using next Generation Sequencing Technologies. *Sci. Rep.* 2023, 13, 278. UMI-tagged NGS is particularly helpful in samples with low TF, yielding a more sensitive detection of genetic alterations. [\[20\]\[31\]](#)
  32. Widman, A.J.; Shah, M.; Ogan, C.; Khamis, C.C.; Freydenberg, A.; Deshpande, A.; Arora, A.; Zhang, M.; Halmon, D.T.; Bess, J.; et al. Machine Learning Guided Signal-Enhanced Sequencing for Ultra-Sensitive Plasma Tumor Burden Monitoring of the Solid Tumor (genome-wide mutational integration) identified thousands of somatic alterations, allowing for a higher number of informative genetic markers to be present in the targeted-NGS platform used for ctDNA detection. [\[27\]](#) While comprehensive gene approaches, like WES, may be applicable to studying ctDNA directly, it requires lots of resources, making personalised and tumour-informed targeted NGS techniques, like CAPP-seq, a more cost-effective methodology for detecting and identifying ctDNA. [\[26\]\[27\]](#)
  33. Poplin, R.; Chang, P.-C.; Alexander, D.; Schwartz, S.; Colthurst, T.; Ku, A.; Newburger, D.; Dijamco, J.; Nguyen, N.; Afshar, P.T.; et al. A Universal SNP and Small-Indel Variant Caller Using Deep Neural Networks. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 2018, 36, 983–987. [\[21\]](#)
  34. Luo, R.; Sedlazeck, F.J.; Lam, T.-W.; Schatz, M.G. A Multi-Task Convolutional Deep Neural Network for Variant Calling in Single Molecule Sequencing. *Nat. Commun.* 2019, 10, 998. [\[20\]\[22\]](#)
  35. Abdelwahab, O.; Belzile, F.; Torkamaneh, D. Performance Analysis of Conventional and AI-Based Variant Callers Using Short and Long Reads. *BMC Bioinform.* 2023, 24, 472. [\[32\]\[33\]\[34\]](#)
- Together with the evolution of sequencing techniques, new bioinformatic tools based on artificial intelligence (AI) may help to overcome the main issues of ctDNA analysis. Several tools have been created to allow variant calling at low TF, such as DeepVariant, Clairvoyante, and MRD-EDGE [\[32\]\[33\]\[34\]](#). The performance of the tools varies depending on fragment length and the type of variant to be analysed, but they seem to outperform the conventional platforms [\[35\]](#). AI-based variant calling helps to lower the costs and complexity of NGS protocols and yields robust results even with low sample quantities, which is of particular interest during neoadjuvant treatment and in detecting minimal residual disease. However, the clinical applicability of these tools needs to be determined within clinical trials [\[32\]](#).