

# Seminal Microbiome on Human Fertility

Subjects: Cell Biology

Contributor: Celia Corral-Vazquez, Joan Blanco, Zaida Sarrate, Ester Anton

Certain clusters of bacteria have been associated with fertility and health, while the outgrowth of several species is potentially correlated with infertility indicators. This constitutes a compelling reason for outlining the external elements that may induce changes in the seminal microbiome composition, like lifestyle factors, gut microbiota, pathologies, prebiotics, and probiotics.

Keywords: microbiome ; semen ; sperm ; fertility ; human ; bacteria ; viruses

---

## 1. Introduction

Mammalian bodies contain microbial communities that inhabit commensally in diverse tissues. These niches include bacteria, viruses, and fungi and are known as microbiota. The microbiota and the host establish a mutually beneficial relationship, with the host providing favorable habitat conditions for microorganisms, and the microbiota supports good development of the immune and metabolic system <sup>[1]</sup>. In particular, the human microbiome is restricted to exterior tissues and invaginations like skin, mucosa, gut, or genital tract <sup>[2]</sup> and is mainly composed of *Firmicutes* and *Bacteroidetes* bacterial phyla (92%) <sup>[3]</sup>. Nevertheless, the niches of microbiota found in the human body are not a stable population but a dynamic set of communities that change over the years and are influenced by factors like the environment, diet, lifestyle, and diseases <sup>[4][5]</sup>.

Although the microbiome of the main human niches has been thoroughly profiled during the last few decades, only a small proportion of studies were dedicated to characterizing the seminal microbiota. The evolution of the microbial profiling technologies over the years have allowed us to define the main populations of bacteria and viruses that inhabit the seminal fluid and their variations due to external factors like sexual life, diseases, or infertility. Many authors have focused on this last topic and found the association between the over-representation of certain species and specific effects related with fertility disorders.

The potential role of semen microbiome in fertility has become a field of special interest, given that infertility constitutes a growing problem that affects 8–12% of couples worldwide, with male-specific factors contributing to 40–50% of those cases <sup>[6]</sup>. Knowing the mechanisms that determine the influence of seminal microbiota over fertility opens new doors to developing novel approaches and treatments. In this sense, the potential use of beneficial bacterial species through the intake of prebiotics and probiotics is starting to display an interesting potential.

## 2. Origin of the Seminal Microbiome and General Composition

Originally, it was believed that the microbiota detected in seminal fluid was a footprint of previous or current infections in the urogenital tract and a potential reflection of inadequate cellular immune responses <sup>[7][8]</sup>. With the application of novel technologies, the idea of a commensal community of seminal microorganisms has arisen since bacterial and virus populations have been detected in samples from patients who are healthy, infected, fertile, or infertile <sup>[9][10]</sup>. In fact, the slightly basic pH and molecular composition of semen compose a suitable habitat for microorganisms <sup>[11]</sup>.

Therefore, the composition of seminal microbiota results from the contribution of diverse zones and fluids of the urogenital tract, like urine, the urethra, and the coronal sulcus <sup>[11]</sup>. Also, a contribution of the gut microbiota is possible since there is a strong interaction between the gut microbiome and the regulation of testicular functions, known as the gut–testes axis <sup>[12]</sup>. The testicular and epididymal contribution to the seminal microbiome community has been suggested since an alteration of semen microbiota has been identified after vasectomy <sup>[13]</sup>. Also, there is an overlap between the microorganism profiles of seminal fluid and urine/testicular samples <sup>[13][14][15][16][17]</sup>. Nevertheless, these repertoires are only partially shared, so semen harbor species not displayed in first-catch urine samples <sup>[18]</sup>, suggesting a specific microbiome niche.

From the first characterization studies of the human seminal microbiota [19][20], many authors have researched its microbial imprint. Although the results obtained through the years have been heterogeneous and variable, possibly due to differences in methodology and in the selection of the study cohort (see Section 5), a common pattern starts to be elucidated.


The most comprehensively characterized microorganisms in human semen are bacteria. Some specific genera are especially frequent among profiling studies, like *Staphylococcus*, *Streptococcus*, *Lactobacillus*, *Corynebacterium*, *Prevotella*, *Escherichia*, *Anaerococcus*, *Enterococcus*, *Finnegoldia*, *Peptinophilus*, *Vogesella* [7][8][10][16][21][22][23][24][25][26][27][28][29][30][31][32][33][34][35][36][37][38][39], and also *Gardnerella*, *Campylobacter*, *Ureaplasma*, *Haemophilus*, *Klebsiella*, and *Pseudomonas* [7][10][20][21][22][24][25][27][30][33][34][35][36][38][39]. Other genera like *Acinetobacter*, *Cutibacterium*, *Porphyromonas*, *Chlamydia*, *Bacillus*, *Burkholderia*, *Morganella*, *Pelomonas*, and *Proteus* were identified [10][20][22][29][30][33][34][35][36][38][39].

The nucleic acid footprint of viruses have also been detected in the human seminal fluid, probably persisting after infections that have been transmitted to the genital tract, especially in cases of viremia [40]. They can be found as free virus particles, attached to molecules on the outside of spermatozoa or inside them. In fact, apart from mature spermatozoa, they can also infect their precursor cells and seminal immune cells [41]. Signs of several viruses from the *Flaviviridae* family have been persistently detected in semen samples, especially Zika virus (ZKV) [39][40][42]. Different species from the *Herpesviridae* family, like herpesvirus and cytomegalovirus (HCMV) [39][40][43][44][45][46], and from the *Papillomaviridae* family [43][44][45][47][48] are also frequent, as well as *Parvoviridae* like adeno-associated viruses (AAV) [40][44]. The human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) is one of the most cited virus regarding seminal microbiota [49]. Several studies have detected SARS-CoV-2 in semen after COVID-19 infection [50][51].

### 3. The Semen Microbiome in Fertility Disorders

As mentioned before, the seminal microbiota is involved in the maintenance and regulation of homeostasis and health. Specifically, its potential role in fertility is becoming clear. It is known that infections and inflammatory reactions in the male genital tract are the cause of around 6–10% of infertility cases [49]. In fact, many studies have discovered that fertile and infertile populations displayed different bacterial cohorts in the seminal fluid. Data obtained so far point out that infertility implies a higher richness and diversity of microbial elements, with an increase in alpha diversity (relative to the number of different taxa) [9][13]. The dysbiosis and abundant detection of microorganisms have been related to different indicators of poor fertility status, like seminal ROS, sperm DNA fragmentation, and the disruption of Protamine 1/Protamine 2 (P1/P2) ratio [16][37][52][53]. It is known that abnormal sperm P1/P2 ratios are related with higher DNA fragmentation and also with sperm parameters and fertilization capacity [52]. Moreover, the high presence of specific bacterial genera has been related to fertility disorders. Some examples are *Cutibacterium*, *Rhodopseudomonas* [21], *Aerococcus* [13], *Varibaculum*, *Escherichia* [9], *Mycoplasma*, *Ureaplasma* [54], or *Chlamydia*. In particular, *U. urealyticum*, *U. parvum*, and *M. hominis*, which inhabit the male urethra and contaminate semen during ejaculation, are known to play an etiologic role in genital infections and infertility, although their mechanisms have not been discovered yet [54][55]. Furthermore, infection by *C. trachomatis* has been associated with the induction of sperm apoptosis and impacting fertilizing ability [14][56].

Regarding other species suspected to affect specific sperm parameters, infections with the bacteria *Streptococcus*, *Klebsiella*, *Ureaplasma parvum*, and *Chlamydia trachomatis*, as well as ZIKV and AAV, have been associated with low rates of progressive and non-progressive motility of sperm cells [32][56][57][58][59][60]. In general, the lipopolysaccharide contained in the cell walls of gram-negative bacteria (like *Bacteroidia*, *Sphingobacteria*, *Proteobacteria*, or *Alphaproteobacteria*) are suspected to disrupt sperm motility [28]. Low rates of normal morphology in sperm have been observed in samples with the abundance of *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Ureaplasma urealyticum*, HCMV, and human polyomavirus 2 (JCPyV) [34][61][62][63][64], and low sperm counts have been associated with the presence of *Staphylococcus*, *Haemophilus*, *Klebsiella*, *Chlamydia trachomatis*, and ZIKV [25][32][56][60][61][65]. Patients with azoospermia have shown more abundance of *Mycoplasma* and *Ureaplasma* [27], as well as an increase in *Bacteroidetes*, *Firmicutes* [66], *Proteobacteria*, and *Actinobacteria* [67].




**Figure 1.** The main genera that have been described as potentially associated with high quality (up) and low quality (down) seminal parameters [13][21][22][29][32][54][55][56][57][58][61][62][65][68][69].

Contrarily, *Lactobacillus* tends to predominate in the semen microbiota of healthy and fertile men with good quality semen parameters [22][31][32][70][71][72]. *Brevundimonas*, *Staphylococcus*, *Flavovacterium*, and *Pelomonas* have also been detected in patients with good seminal indicators, like high rates of sperm motility and low DNA fragmentation [21][22][27][29] (Figure 1).

Lastly, when studying the microbiome composition of semen in relation with pregnancy rate, men involved in spontaneous pregnancy loss displayed the presence of *Porphyromonas* and *Campylobacter* [73], *S. aureus* and *E. coli* [74], as well as a high viral diversity [43]. Contrarily, high rates of success in assisted reproduction techniques (ART) has been associated with *Acinobacter*, *Lactobacillus* *Jesenia*, and *Faecalibacterium* [27][73].

## 4. Influence of External Factors over Sperm Microbiome Composition

Several studies have made direct associations between external factors and the transformation of seminal microbial composition (Figure 2). Commensal microbiota can suffer alterations due to the influence of external factors. One of the most studied cases in the human body is the gut microbiota: many studies have revealed an influence of factors like lifestyle, age, ethnicity, geographical location, body mass index, food, diseases, and treatments over the composition of the microbiota residing in the guts [11]. Taking into account the microbial connection through the gut–testes axis, these factors affecting the gut microbiome may also produce alterations in the seminal microbiota.



**Figure 2.** Summary of the main external factors with an influence over the seminal microbiome composition [11][12][13][23][31][43][70][71][72][75][76][77][78][79][80][81].

In this sense, alterations in the urogenital system can also produce modifications in its own semen microbiota. For example, an over-representation of anaerobes has been observed in seminal samples of patients with varicocele [13], and Streptococcus-enriched microbial communities are associated with leukocytospermia [72]. In cases of prostatitis, a wide species richness and a higher proportion of *Proteobacteria* was observed [31], while the growth of *Enterobacteriaceae*, *Enterococci*, and *Staphylococcus* was observed in the semen of chronic prostatitis syndrome patients compared with healthy men [23]. Regarding testicular germ cell tumors and germ cell neoplasia, high levels of *Acanthamoeba* sp. K90mix, *Burkholderia* sp. YI23, and *Desulfurivibrio alkaliphilus* have been detected in the seminal plasma of the affected patients [72].

## 5. Technical Considerations in the Study of the Seminal Microbiome

Given the fact that seminal microbiota—and microbiota in general—has a heterogeneous and changing nature, designing a microbial characterization study is a challenging goal since technical variation could contribute to bias in this profiling. These potential sources of variation may include specimen inclusion and exclusion criteria, processing of the samples, accidental contamination, the selected biological fraction, and the chosen technology or platform.

Most of the studies published so far have used whole ejaculate samples for the analysis, regardless of the profiling strategy. Nevertheless, several authors include a centrifugation or wash step prior to the molecular analysis with the cellular fraction. This is frequent for the study of viruses in sperm cells [47][60][82] but can also be conducted in bacteria profiling methods [31][32][33][56][70][72]. Štšepetova et al. studied the bacterial populations in raw ejaculates and processed/washed sperm samples, finding remarkable differences between them [28], indicating potential differences in the microbiota of seminal fluid and associated with cell fraction.

In fact, the use of PCR and qPCR started to gain popularity in the 2000s' decade, which were frequently used for the detection of *Mycoplasma*, *Ureaplasma* and *Gardnerella* [14][55][56][58], although other studies also included *Chlamydia* and *Neisseria* [56][58] and other species of bacteria and viruses to the panel like HPV, *Enterococcus*, *Streptococcus*, *Staphylococcus*, *Escherichia*, *Pseudomonas*, *Klebsiella*, and *Lactobacillus* [34]. This technique offered a more sensitive detection of different bacterial entities at a molecular level in comparison with culture, as well as a quantification of the sample in the case of using qPCR. Nevertheless, the need for a previous selection of the species of interest to be included in the analysis supposes a serious handicap.

This limitation was overcome with the incorporation of next-generation sequencing (NGS) to the microbiome research field. This technology is usually based on the sequencing of certain hypervariable regions of the 16S rRNA gene of bacteria, which allows the distinction of operational taxonomic units (OTUs) at species level. As metagenomics technology, this approach provides a massive molecular characterization and quantification without a previous selection of species and offers wide possibilities of subsequent bioinformatics analyses, like taxonomic diversity studies (alpha and beta diversity) or clustering. The characterization of semen microbiota through 16S rRNA sequencing has broadened the range of bacterial elements discovered and shed light on aspects like their potential association with health and fertility [9][25][26][34][57][67][73] or their possible inter-relations [22][70]. In addition, other NGS strategies have been applied in the study of seminal microbiota. Some authors have performed bulk RNA-seq over sperm samples and used the reads that were not aligned to the human genome to perform a transcriptome characterization of bacteria and viruses altogether [33][39][72]. Lundy et al. also combined the 16S rRNA sequencing strategy with shotgun metagenomics [13], and Garcia-Segura et al. performed a bacterial profiling full-length 16S rRNA sequencing [21][24].

---

## References

1. Shi, N.; Li, N.; Duan, X.; Niu, H. Interaction between the Gut Microbiome and Mucosal Immune System. *Mil. Med. Res.* 2017, 4, 14.
2. Dominguez-Bello, M.G.; Godoy-Vitorino, F.; Knight, R.; Blaser, M.J. Role of the Microbiome in Human Development. *Gut* 2019, 68, 1108–1114.
3. Eckburg, P.B.; Bik, E.M.; Bernstein, C.N.; Purdom, E.; Dethlefsen, L.; Sargent, M.; Gill, S.R.; Nelson, K.E.; Rman, D.A. Diversity of the Human Intestinal Microbial Flora. *Science* 2005, 10, 1635–1638.
4. El-Sayed, A.; Aleya, L.; Kamel, M. Microbiota's Role in Health and Diseases. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 2021, 28, 36967–36983.
5. Martino, C.; Dillmore, A.H.; Burcham, Z.M.; Metcalf, J.L.; Jeste, D.; Knight, R. Microbiota Succession throughout Life from the Cradle to the Grave. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 2022, 20, 707–720.

6. Kumar, N.; Singh, A.K. Trends of Male Factor Infertility, an Important Cause of Infertility: A Review of Literature. *J. Hum. Reprod. Sci.* 2015, 8, 191–196.
7. Kiessling, A.A.; Desmarais, B.M.; Yin, H.Z.; Loverde, J.; Eyre, R.C. Detection and Identification of Bacterial DNA in Semen. *Fertil. Steril.* 2008, 90, 1744–1756.
8. Bussen, S.; Zimmermann, M.; Schleyer, M.; Steck, T. Relationship of Bacteriological Characteristics to Semen Indices and Its Influence on Fertilization and Pregnancy Rates after IVF. *Acta Obstet. Gynecol. Scand.* 1997, 76, 964–968.
9. Veneruso, I.; Cariati, F.; Alviggi, C.; Pastore, L.; Tomaiuolo, R.; Argenio, V.D. Metagenomics Reveals Specific Microbial Features in Males with Semen Alterations. *Genes* 2023, 14, 1228.
10. Hou, D.; Zhou, X.; Zhong, X.; Settles, M.L.; Herring, J.; Wang, L.; Abdo, Z.; Forney, L.J.; Xu, C. Microbiota of the Seminal Fluid from Healthy and Infertile Men. *Fertil. Steril.* 2013, 100, 1261–1269.
11. Altmäe, S.; Franasiak, J.M.; Mändar, R. The Seminal Microbiome in Health and Disease. *Nat. Rev. Urol.* 2019, 16, 703–721.
12. Magill, R.G.; MacDonald, S.M. Male Infertility and the Human Microbiome. *Front. Reprod. Health* 2023, 5, 1166201.
13. Lundy, S.D.; Sangwan, N.; Parekh, N.V.; Selvam, M.K.P.; Gupta, S.; McCaffrey, P.; Besso, K.; Vala, A.; Agarwal, A.; Sabanegh, E.S.; et al. Functional and Taxonomic Dysbiosis of the Gut, Urine, and Semen Microbiomes in Male Infertility. *Eur. Urol.* 2021, 79, 826–836.
14. Gdoura, R.; Kchaou, W.; Ammar-Keskes, L.; Chakroun, N.; Sellemi, A.; Znazen, A.; Rebai, T.; Hammami, A. Assessment of Chlamydia Trachomatis, Ureaplasma Urealyticum, Ureaplasma Parvum, Mycoplasma Hominis, and Mycoplasma Genitalium in Semen and First Void Urine Specimens of Asymptomatic Male Partners of Infertile Couples. *J. Androl.* 2008, 29, 198–206.
15. Cottell, E.; Harrison, R.F.; McCaffrey, M.; Walsh, T.; Mallon, E.; Barry-Kinsella, C. Are Seminal Fluid Microorganisms of Significance or Merely Contaminants? *Fertil. Steril.* 2000, 74, 465–470.
16. Ho, C.; Vaughan-Constable, D.; Ramsay, J.; Jayasena, C.; Tharakan, T.; Yap, T.; Whiteman, I.; Graham, N.; Minhas, S.; Homa, S. The Relationship between Genitourinary Microorganisms and Oxidative Stress, Sperm DNA Fragmentation and Semen Parameters in Infertile Men. *Andrologia* 2022, 54, e14322.
17. Alfano, M.; Ferrarese, R.; Locatelli, I.; Ventimiglia, E.; Ippolito, S.; Gallina, P.; Cesana, D.; Canducci, F.; Pagliardini, L.; Viganò, P.; et al. Testicular Microbiome in Azoospermic Men: first Evidence of the Impact of an Altered Microenvironment. *Hum. Reprod.* 2018, 33, 1212–1217.
18. Kermes, K.; Punab, M.; Lõivukene, K.; Mändar, R. Anaerobic Seminal Fluid Micro-Flora in Chronic Prostatitis/Chronic Pelvic Pain Syndrome Patients. *Anaerobe* 2003, 9, 117–123.
19. Eggert-Kruse, W.; Rohr, G.; Ströck, W.; Pohl, S.; Schwalbach, B.; Runnebaum, B. Anaerobes in Ejaculates of Subfertile Men. *Hum. Reprod. Update* 1995, 1, 462–478.
20. Kjærgaard, N.; Kristensen, B.; Hansen, E.S.; Farholt, S.; Schønheyder, H.C.; Uldbjerg, N.; Madsen, H. Microbiology of Semen Specimens from Males Attending a Fertility Clinic. *Apmis* 1997, 105, 566–570.
21. Garcia-Segura, S.; del Rey, J.; Closa, L.; Garcia-Martínez, I.; Hobeich, C.; Castel, A.B.; Vidal, F.; Benet, J.; Ribas-Maynou, J.; Oliver-Bonet, M. Seminal Microbiota of Idiopathic Infertile Patients and Its Relationship With Sperm DNA Integrity. *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* 2022, 10, 937157.
22. Baud, D.; Pattaroni, C.; Vulliamoz, N.; Castella, V.; Marsland, B.J.; Stojanov, M. Sperm Microbiota and Its Impact on Semen Parameters. *Front. Microbiol.* 2019, 10, 234.
23. Ivanov, I.B.; Kuzmin, M.D.; Gritsenko, V.A. Microflora of the Seminal Fluid of Healthy Men and Men Suffering from Chronic Prostatitis Syndrome. *Int. J. Androl.* 2009, 32, 462–467.
24. Garcia-Segura, S.; del Rey, J.; Closa, L.; Garcia-Martínez, I.; Hobeich, C.; Castel, A.B.; Vidal, F.; Benet, J.; Oliver-Bonet, M. Characterization of Seminal Microbiome of Infertile Idiopathic Patients Using Third-Generation Sequencing Platform. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2023, 24, 7867.
25. Gachet, C.; Prat, M.; Buruoa, C.; Grivard, P.; Pichon, M. Spermatic Microbiome Characteristics in Infertile Patients: Impact on Sperm Count, Mobility, and Morphology. *J. Clin. Med.* 2022, 11, 1505.
26. Chen, P.; Li, Y.; Zhu, X.; Ma, M.; Chen, H.; He, J.; Liang, X.; Liu, G.; Yang, X. Interaction between Host and Microbes in the Semen of Patients with Idiopathic Nonobstructive Azoospermia. *Microbiol. Spectr.* 2023, 11, e04365-22.
27. Okwelogu, S.I.; Ikechebel, J.I.; Agbakoba, N.R.; Anukam, K.C. Microbiome Compositions From Infertile Couples Seeking In Vitro Fertilization, Using 16S rRNA Gene Sequencing Methods: Any Correlation to Clinical Outcomes? *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 2021, 11, 709372.

28. Štšepetova, J.; Baranova, J.; Simm, J.; Parm, Ü.; Rööp, T.; Sokmann, S.; Korrovits, P.; Jaagura, M.; Rosenstein, K.; Salumets, A.; et al. The Complex Microbiome from Native Semen to Embryo Culture Environment in Human in Vitro Fertilization Procedure. *Reprod. Biol. Endocrinol.* 2020, 18, 3.
29. Yang, H.; Zhang, J.; Xue, Z.; Zhao, C.; Lei, L.; Wen, Y.; Dong, Y.; Yang, J.; Zhang, L. Potential Pathogenic Bacteria in Seminal Microbiota of Patients with Different Types of Dysspermatism. *Sci. Rep.* 2020, 10, 6876.
30. Puerta Suárez, J.; Cardona Maya, W.D. Microbiota, Prostatitis, and Fertility: Bacterial Diversity as a Possible Health Ally. *Adv. Urol.* 2021, 2021, 1007366.
31. Mändar, R.; Punab, M.; Korrovits, P.; Türk, S.; Ausmees, K.; Lapp, E.; Preem, J.K.; Oopkaup, K.; Salumets, A.; Truu, J. Seminal Microbiome in Men with and without Prostatitis. *Int. J. Urol.* 2017, 24, 211–216.
32. Monteiro, C.; Marques, P.I.; Cavadas, B.; Damião, I.; Almeida, V.; Barros, N.; Barros, A.; Carvalho, F.; Gomes, S.; Seixas, S. Characterization of Microbiota in Male Infertility Cases Uncovers Differences in Seminal Hyperviscosity and Oligoasthenoatozoospermia Possibly Correlated with Increased Prevalence of Infectious Bacteria. *Am. J. Reprod. Immunol.* 2018, 79, e12838.
33. Swanson, G.M.; Moskovtsev, S.; Librach, C.; Pilsner, J.R.; Goodrich, R.; Krawetz, S.A. What Human Sperm RNA-Seq Tells Us about the Microbiome. *J. Assist. Reprod. Genet.* 2020, 37, 359–368.
34. Velásquez Rivera, V.; Cardona Maya, W.D.; Puerta-Suárez, J. The Relationship between Sexually Transmitted Microorganisms and Seminal Quality in Asymptomatic Men. *Asian J. Urol.* 2022, 9, 473–479.
35. Vilvanathan, S.; Kandasamy, B.; Jayachandran, A.L.; Sathiyarayanan, S.; Singaravelu, V.T.; Krishnamurthy, V.; Elangovan, V. Bacteriospermia and its impact on basic semen parameters among infertile men. *Interdiscip. Perspect. Infect. Dis.* 2016, 2016, 2614692.
36. Domes, T.; Lo, K.C.; Grober, E.D.; Mullen, J.B.M.; Mazzulli, T.; Jarvi, K. The Incidence and Effect of Bacteriospermia and Elevated Seminal Leukocytes on Semen Parameters. *Fertil. Steril.* 2012, 97, 1050–1055.
37. Zeyad, A.; Hamad, M.; Amor, H.; Hammadeh, M.E. Relationships between Bacteriospermia, DNA Integrity, Nuclear Protamine Alteration, Sperm Quality and ICSI Outcome. *Reprod. Biol.* 2018, 18, 115–121.
38. Moretti, E.; Capitani, S.; Figura, N.; Pammolli, A.; Federico, M.G.; Giannerini, V.; Collodel, G. The Presence of Bacteria Species in Semen and Sperm Quality. *J. Assist. Reprod. Genet.* 2009, 26, 47–56.
39. Corral-Vazquez, C.; Blanco, J.; Aiese Cigliano, R.; Zaida, S.; Vidal, F.; Anton, E. A Transcriptomic Insight into the Human Sperm Microbiome through Next-Generation Sequencing. *Syst. Biol. Reprod. Med.* 2023, 69, 188–195.
40. Salam, A.P.; Horby, P.W. The Breadth of Viruses in Human Semen. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 2017, 23, 1922–1924.
41. Dejucq, N.; Jégou, B. Viruses in the Mammalian Male Genital Tract and Their Effects on the Reproductive System. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 2001, 65, 208–231.
42. Atkinson, B.; Thorburn, F.; Petridou, C.; Bailey, D.; Hewson, R.; Simpson, A.J.H.; Brooks, T.J.G.; Aarons, E.J. Presence and Persistence of Zika Virus RNA in Semen, United Kingdom, 2016. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 2017, 23, 611–615.
43. Gunderson, S.; Eskew, A.M.; Stoutenburg, D.; Riley, J.K.; Stout, M.J.; Schrimpf, J.; Jungheim, E.S.; Wylie, K.M. Association of the Human Semen DNA Virome with Successful in Vitro Fertilization. *F S Sci.* 2022, 3, 2–9.
44. Garolla, A.; Pizzol, D.; Bertoldo, A.; Menegazzo, M.; Barzon, L.; Foresta, C. Sperm Viral Infection and Male Infertility: Focus on HBV, HCV, HIV, HPV, HSV, HCMV, and AAV. *J. Reprod. Immunol.* 2013, 100, 20–29.
45. Aynaud, O.; Poveda, J.D.; Huynh, B.; Guillemotonia, A.; Barrasso, R. Frequency of Herpes Simplex Virus, Cytomegalovirus and Human Papillomavirus DNA, in Semen. *Int. J. STD AIDS* 2002, 13, 547–550.
46. Kaspersen, M.D.; Larsen, P.B.; Kofod-Olsen, E.; Fedder, J.; Bonde, J.; Höllsberg, P. Human Herpesvirus-6A/B Binds to Spermatozoa Acrosome and Is the Most Prevalent Herpesvirus in Semen from Sperm Donors. *PLoS ONE* 2012, 7, e48810.
47. Schillaci, R.; Capra, G.; Bellavia, C.; Ruvo, G.; Scazzone, C.; Venezia, R.; Perino, A. Detection of Oncogenic Human Papillomavirus Genotypes on Spermatozoa from Male Partners of Infertile Couples. *Fertil. Steril.* 2013, 100, 1236–1240.
48. Rintala, M.; Grénman, S.; Pöllänen, P.; Suominen, J.; Syrjänen, S. Detection of High-Risk HPV DNA in Semen and Its Association with the Quality of Semen. *Int. J. STD AIDS* 2004, 15, 740–743.
49. de Albuquerque, B.H.D.R.; de Oliveira, M.T.F.C.; Aderaldo, J.F.; de Medeiros Garcia Torres, M.; Lanza, D.C.F. Human Seminal Virome: A Panel Based on Recent Literature. *Basic Clin. Androl.* 2022, 32, 16.
50. Gacci, M.; Coppi, M.; Baldi, E.; Sebastianelli, A.; Zaccaro, C.; Morselli, S.; Pecoraro, A.; Manera, A.; Nicoletti, R.; Liaci, A.; et al. Semen Impairment and Occurrence of SARS-CoV-2 Virus in Semen after Recovery from COVID-19. *Hum. Reprod.* 2021, 36, 1520–1529.

51. Li, D.; Jin, M.; Bao, P.; Zhao, W.; Zhang, S. Clinical Characteristics and Results of Semen Tests Among Men With Coronavirus Disease 2019. *JAMA Netw. Open* 2020, 3, e208292.
52. Zeyad, A.; Hamad, M.F.; Hammadeh, M.E. The Effects of Bacterial Infection on Human Sperm Nuclear Protamine P1/P2 Ratio and DNA Integrity. *Andrologia* 2018, 50, e12841.
53. Pagliuca, C.; Cariatì, F.; Bagnulo, F.; Scaglione, E.; Carotenuto, C.; Farina, F.; D'argenio, V.; Carraturo, F.; D'aprile, P.; Vitiello, M.; et al. Microbiological Evaluation and Sperm Dna Fragmentation in Semen Samples of Patients Undergoing Fertility Investigation. *Genes* 2021, 12, 654.
54. Huang, C.; Long, X.; Jing, S.; Fan, L.; Xu, K.; Wang, S.; Zhu, W. *Ureaplasma Urealyticum* and *Mycoplasma Hominis* Infections and Semen Quality in 19,098 Infertile Men in China. *World J. Urol.* 2016, 34, 1039–1044.
55. Gdoura, R.; Kchaou, W.; Chaari, C.; Znazen, A.; Keskes, L.; Rebai, T.; Hammami, A. *Ureaplasma Urealyticum*, *Ureaplasma Parvum*, *Mycoplasma Hominis* and *Mycoplasma Genitalium* Infections and Semen Quality of Infertile Men. *BMC Infect. Dis.* 2007, 7, 129.
56. Sellami, H.; Znazen, A.; Sellami, A.; Mnif, H.; Louati, N.; Zarrouk, S.B.; Keskes, L.; Rebai, T.; Gdoura, R.; Hammami, A. Molecular Detection of *Chlamydia Trachomatis* and Other Sexually Transmitted Bacteria in Semen of Male Partners of Infertile Couples in Tunisia: The Effect on Semen Parameters and Spermatozoa Apoptosis Markers. *PLoS ONE* 2014, 9, e98903.
57. Cao, T.; Wang, S.; Pan, Y.; Guo, F.; Wu, B.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, Y.; Tian, J.; Xing, Q.; Liu, X. Characterization of the Semen, Gut, and Urine Microbiota in Patients with Different Semen Abnormalities. *Front. Microbiol.* 2023, 14, 1182320.
58. Ahmadi, K.; Moosavian, M.; Mardaneh, J.; Pouresmaeil, O.; Afzali, M. Prevalence of *Chlamydia Trachomatis*, *Ureaplasma Parvum* and *Mycoplasma Genitalium* in Infertile Couples and the Effect on Semen Parameters. *Ethiop. J. Health Sci.* 2023, 33, 133–142.
59. Rohde, V.; Erles, K.; Sattler, H.P.; Derouet, H.; Wullich, B.; Schlehofer, J.R. Detection of Adeno-Associated Virus in Human Semen: Does Viral Infection Play a Role in the Pathogenesis of Male Infertility? *Fertil. Steril.* 1999, 72, 814–816.
60. Vanegas, H.; Gonz, F.; Reyes, Y.; Centeno, E.; Palacios, J.; Zepeda, O.; Hagbom, M.; Collins, M.H.; Coward, R.M.; Becker-dreps, S.; et al. Zika RNA and Flavivirus-Like Antigens in the Sperm Cells of Symptomatic and Asymptomatic Subjects. *Viruses* 2021, 13, 152.
61. Berjis, K.; Ghiasi, M.; Sangy, S. Study of Seminal Infection among an Infertile Male Population in Qom, Iran, and Its Effect on Sperm Quality. *Iran. J. Microbiol.* 2018, 10, 111–116.
62. Debata, N.; Venkatesh, V.; Misra, R.; Chander, Y.; Ohri, V.; Sharma, R. *Ureaplasmas Urealyticum* and Human Infertility: Effect on Spermatozoa Morphology. *Med. J. Armed Forces India* 1999, 55, 193–196.
63. Jahromi, B.N.; Yaghobi, R.; Matlub, N.; Fazlzadeh, A.; Ramzi, A.; Anvar, Z.; Zare, N.; Salarian, L.; Fallahi, J. Prevalence of Cytomegalovirus in Semen of Male Partners of Infertile Couples and the Virus Impact on Sperm Parameters. *J. Reprod. Infertil.* 2020, 21, 124–129.
64. Comar, M.; Zannota, N.; Croci, E.; Murru, I.; Marci, R.; Pancaldi, C.; Dolcet, O.; Luppi, S.; Martinelli, M.; Giolo, E.; et al. Association between the JC Polyomavirus Infection and Male Infertility. *PLoS ONE* 2012, 7, 4–9.
65. Rybar, R.; Prinosilova, P.; Kopecka, V.; Hlavicova, J.; Veznik, Z.; Zajicova, A.; Rubes, J. The Effect of Bacterial Contamination of Semen on Sperm Chromatin Integrity and Standard Semen Parameters in Men from Infertile Couples. *Andrologia* 2012, 44, 410–418.
66. Chen, H.; Luo, T.; Chen, T.; Wang, G. Seminal Bacterial Composition in Patients with Obstructive and Non-Obstructive Azoospermia. *Exp. Ther. Med.* 2018, 15, 2884–2890.
67. Campbell, K.; Suarez Arbelaez, M.; Ghomeshi, A.; Ibrahim, E.; Roy, S.; Singh, P.; Khodamoradi, K.; Miller, A.; Lundy, S.; Ramasamy, R. Next-Generation Sequencing Analysis of Semen Microbiome Taxonomy in Men with Nonobstructive Azoospermia vs. Fertile Controls: A Pilot Study. *F S Sci.* 2023, 4, 257–264.
68. Karthikeyan, M.; Kubera, N.S.; Singh, R. Association of Semen Bacteriological Profile with Infertility: A Cross-Sectional Study in a Tertiary Care Center. *J. Hum. Reprod. Sci.* 2021, 14, 260–266.
69. Diemer, T.; Huwe, P.; Michelmann, H.W.; Mayer, F.; Schiefer, H.G.; Weidner, W. *Escherichia Coli*-Induced Alterations of Human Spermatozoa. An Electron Microscopy Analysis. *Int. J. Androl.* 2000, 23, 178–186.
70. Weng, S.L.; Chiu, C.M.; Lin, F.M.; Huang, W.C.; Liang, C.; Yang, T.; Yang, T.L.; Liu, C.Y.; Wu, W.Y.; Chang, Y.A.; et al. Bacterial Communities in Semen from Men of Infertile Couples: Metagenomic Sequencing Reveals Relationships of Seminal Microbiota to Semen Quality. *PLoS ONE* 2014, 9, e110152.

71. Barbonetti, A.; Vassallo, M.R.C.; Cinque, B.; Filipponi, S.; Mastromarino, P.; Cifone, M.G.; Francavilla, S.; Francavilla, F. Soluble Products of *Escherichia Coli* Induce Mitochondrial Dysfunction-Related Sperm Membrane Lipid Peroxidation Which Is Prevented by *Lactobacilli*. *PLoS ONE* 2013, 8, e83136.
72. Mørup, N.; Main, A.M.; Jørgensen, N.; Daugaard, G.; Juul, A.; Almstrup, K. The Seminal Plasma Microbiome of Men with Testicular Germ Cell Tumours Described by Small RNA Sequencing. *Andrology* 2022, 11, 756–769.
73. Koort, K.; Sõsa, K.; Türk, S.; Lapp, E.; Talving, E.; Karits, P.; Rosenstein, K.; Jaagura, M.; Sekavin, A.; Sõritsa, D.; et al. *Lactobacillus Crispatus*-Dominated Vaginal Microbiome and *Acinetobacter*-Dominated Seminal Microbiome Support Beneficial ART Outcome. *Acta Obstet. Gynecol. Scand.* 2023, 102, 921–934.
74. Nabi, A.; Khalili, M.A.; Halvaei, I.; Ghasemzadeh, J.; Zare, E. Seminal Bacterial Contaminations: Probable Factor in Unexplained Recurrent Pregnancy Loss. *Int. J. Reprod. Biomed.* 2013, 11, 925–932.
75. Mändar, R.; Türk, S.; Korrovits, P.; Ausmees, K.; Punab, M. Impact of Sexual Debut on Culturable Human Seminal Microbiota. *Andrology* 2018, 6, 510–512.
76. Mändar, R.; Punab, M.; Borovkova, N.; Lapp, E.; Kiiker, R.; Korrovits, P.; Metspalu, A.; Krjutškov, K.; Nlvak, H.; Preem, J.K.; et al. Complementary Semiovaginal Microbiome in Couples. *Res. Microbiol.* 2015, 166, 440–447.
77. Borovkova, N.; Korrovits, P.; Ausmees, K.; Türk, S.; Jöers, K.; Punab, M.; Mändar, R. Influence of Sexual Intercourse on Genital Tract Microbiota in Infertile Couples. *Anaerobe* 2011, 17, 414–418.
78. Schlehofer, J.R.; Boeke, C.; Reuland, M.; Eggert-Kruse, W. Presence of DNA of Adeno-Associated Virus in Subfertile Couples, but No Association with Fertility Factors. *Hum. Reprod.* 2012, 27, 770–778.
79. Valcarce, D.G.; Genovés, S.; Riesco, M.F.; Martorell, P.; Herráez, M.P.; Ramón, D.; Robles, V. Probiotic Administration Improves Sperm Quality in Asthenozoospermic Human Donors. *Benef. Microbes* 2017, 8, 193–206.
80. Helli, B.; Kavianpour, M.; Ghaedi, E.; Dadfar, M.; Haghighian, H.K. Probiotic Effects on Sperm Parameters, Oxidative Stress Index, Inflammatory Factors and Sex Hormones in Infertile Men. *Hum. Fertil.* 2022, 25, 499–507.
81. Maretti, C.; Cavallini, G. The Association of a Probiotic with a Prebiotic (Flortec, Bracco) to Improve the Quality/Quantity of Spermatozoa in Infertile Patients with Idiopathic Oligoasthenoteratospermia: A Pilot Study. *Andrology* 2017, 5, 439–444.
82. Foresta, C.; Pizzol, D.; Moretti, A.; Barzon, L.; Pal, G.; Garolla, A. Clinical and Prognostic Significance of Human Papillomavirus DNA in the Sperm or Exfoliated Cells of Infertile Patients and Subjects with Risk Factors. *Fertil. Steril.* 2010, 94, 1723–1727.

---

Retrieved from <https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/126704>