Cereal–Legume Intercropping

Subjects: Agriculture, Dairy & Animal Science Contributor: Fateh Mamine, Mhand Fares

With the current objective of moving away from monoculture and the development of the "ecological intensification" of agrosystems, the cereal-legume intercropping takes advantage of the symbiotic relationships that the legume develops with soil micro-organisms (rhizobiums). Legumes are capable of fixing atmospheric nitrogen thanks to the nodules of its roots, and thus provide to this crop a part of its nitrogen needs. The choice of species and the proportion of grains to be sown are determined by the objectives of intercropping. For human food, simple mixtures are favoured (e.g. wheat/pea, barley/bean, triticale/pea). For fodder production, the number of species can be higher.

Keywords: Intercropping, cereal, legume

1. Principles and Benefits

Contrary to monoculture based essentially on chemical inputs, genetic selection, shortening of rotations which causes significant damage to the ecosystem (pollution, loss of biodiversity) ^[1], the cereal–legume association is of great interest in terms of increasing biodiversity in the field ^[2]. This association represents an agroecological practice based on the biodiversity of a multispecies system that reintroduces synergistic effects between plants and other regulatory mechanisms promoting resilience of the agroecosystems and ecological sustainability ^{[3][4]}.

Cereal–legume intercropping using crops promotes ecological intensification while it: (i) ensures quantitative dry matter yield equivalent to that produced by the same two pure crops; and (ii) increases protein yield and reduces nitrogen fertilization ^[5]. The symbiotic relationship between the legume and the bacteria housed in the nodes of its root system enables the plant to fix atmospheric nitrogen, thereby allowing it to meet its nitrogen nutrient requirements. Due to the high competitiveness of cereals in terms of nitrogen uptake and the sharing of soil with legumes, cereal can benefit from the natural nitrogen supply released by legume roots ^{[2][6][7]}. For farmers, interest in this system is twofold: (i) reducing nitrogen inputs and production costs while (ii) ensuring production with less pollution, since the mineral nitrogen typically used in conventional agriculture is very leachable. The competitiveness of cereal crops in terms of nitrogen absorption allows them to capture residual mineral nitrogen from previous crops, whereas the symbiotic fixation of atmospheric nitrogen by legumes provides a cleaner resource for its needs while helping to meet the nutrient requirements of its partner [8–11]. Some studies ^{[8][9]} have shown that the natural N input associated with mineral N supply can be an important technical lever to control the yield of the cereal–legume intercropping. Finally, the residual organic matter of this system, which is proportionally richer in nitrogen compared to monocropping, can help to replenish the soil's mineral reserves and, thus, preserve its natural fertility ^{[10][11][12][13]}.

The best complementarity observed between cereal and legume is that of nitrogen, which is achieved through the optimal use of soil mineral nitrogen (by cereal) and fixed nitrogen (by legume) in their different growth cycles, thereby leaving fewer resources for weed development. The cereal, which is already more competitive in its use of mineral nitrogen, impulses the legume to fix more atmospheric nitrogen by symbiosis to meet its needs, which hinders the development of weeds due to the lack of nitrogen resources $\frac{144}{15}$. Another factor that can explain the reduction of weeds and infectious diseases in intercropping is allelopathy, which is the direct or indirect biochemical interaction between associated plants to inhibit weeds or pathogens $\frac{16}{127}$.

Thus, the intercropping meets not only the need to reduce chemical inputs (fertilizers and phytosanitary products) and their associated production costs but also the need to secure yields to address interannual variability ^{[20][21][22]} The better quality of cereal (e.g. wheat) produced in intercropping with legume can also have economic benefits for farmers by increasing the protein rate. In organic farming, the production of common cereal (e.g. wheat, barley) is more valuable on the market due to its high protein content. However, nitrogen input is the main factor limiting this highly sought-after qualitative performance ^[23]. To remedy the lack of mineral fertilization in organic farming, the intercropping of common cereals with legumes is an interesting technical and economic alternative ^[24].

2. Challenges and development paths to Cereal–Legume Intercropping

Beyond highlighting the agroecological and economic benefits linked to crop diversification through cereal–legume intercropping, this entry calls attention to the literature suggesting that the structuring of the value chain around cereal–legume mixture products faces a set of technical (i.e., varietal selection, phytosanitary problem control, driving of the agroecosystems, collection and storage management), economic (i.e., cost, prices, market opportunities, and contract relationships), and public policy (i.e., incentives provided by public subisidies) obstacles that contribute to its slow adoption and dissemination in the European context. The dynamics of the production as well as the temporal, spatial, and logistical organization of pure crop value chains (cereal and legume) do not ensure the spontaneous integration of new intercropping products on the market. This can be explained by the fact that all economic stakeholders (i.e., producers, collectors, and processors), as well as technical and scientific support stakeholders, define their strategies according to the requirements of the conventional crop market.

The implementation of some critical levers at different levels of the value chain may unlock the system. The scientific and technical research to improve the effeiciency of the interprocropping system as well as the development of niche markets for plant proteins and labeled products of high environmental value provide an impetus for the development of the cereal legume intercropping. The new value chains must valorize its competitive advantages—particularly its ecosystem benefits and higher product quality—to assert its place in the market and build a sustainable value chain. This entry defines priorities that must be addressed by all stakeholders in the cereal–legume value chain to focus on significant issues and solutions to accelerate the adoption and dissemination of the intercropping system.

References

- 1. Corre-Hellou, G.; Bedoussac, L.; Bousseau, D.; Chaigne, G.; Chataigner, C.; Celette, F.; Cohan, J.-P.; Coutard, J.-P.; Emile, J.C.; Floriot, M.; et al. Associations Céréale-Légumineuse Multi-Services. *Innov. Agron.* **2013**, *30*, 41-57, .
- Altieri, M.A; Ethnoscience and Biodiversity: Key Elements in the Design of Sustainable Pest Management Systems for Small Farmers in Developing Countries. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 1993, 46, 257-272, .
- Malézieux, E.; Crozat, Y.; Dupraz, C.; Laurans, M.; Makowski, D.; Ozier-Lafontaine, H.; Rapidel, B.; De Tourdonnet, S.; Valantin-Morison, M. . Mixing Plant Species in Cropping Systems: Concepts, Tools and Models: A Review. In Sustainable Agriculture ; Springer:: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2009; pp. 329–353.
- 4. Pierreux, J.; Delaplace, P.; Roisin, C.; Bodson, B.; L'intérêt de La Culture En Association de Froment et de Pois Protéagineux d'hiver Dans Un Objectif d'autonomie Protéique . *Livre Blanc Céréales* **2016**, *10*, 225–306, .
- 5. Corre-Hellou, G. Acquisition de l'azote Dans Des Associations Pois-Orge (Pisum Sativum L.-Hordeum Vulgare L.) En Relation Avec Le Fonctionnement Du Peuplement. Ph.D. Thesis, Université d'Angers, Angers, France, 2005.
- Corre-Hellou, G.; Brisson, N.; Launay, M.; Fustec, J.; Crozat, Y.; Effect of Root Depth Penetration on Soil Nitrogen Competitive Interactions and Dry Matter Production in Pea–Barley Intercrops given Different Soil Nitrogen Supplies. *Field Crops Res.* 2007, 103, 76–85, .
- 7. Hauggaard-Nielsen, H.; Ambus, P.; Jensen, E.S.; The Comparison of Nitrogen Use and Leaching in Sole Cropped versus Intercropped Pea and Barley. . *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst.* **2003**, 65, 289–300, .
- 8. Naudin, C.; van der Werf, H.M.; Jeuffroy, M.-H.; Corre-Hellou, G.; Life Cycle Assessment Appied to Pea-Wheat Intercrops: A New Method for Handling the Impacts of Co-Products. *J. Clean. Prod.* **2014**, *73*, 80–87, .
- Piutti, S.; Schneller, C.; Guimont, H.-P.; Amiaud, B.; Une Appoche Expérimentale Sur l'allongement Des Rotations et l'implantation de Bandes Enherbées En Grandes Cultures Pour Maximiser Les Services Rendus Par La Biodiversité Végétale et Microbienne. *Innov. Agron.* 2010, *8*, 149–158, .
- Rodriguez, C.; Carlsson, G.; Englund, J.-E.; Flöhr, A.; Pelzer, E.; Jeuffroy, M.-H.; Makowski, D.; Jensen, E.S.; Grain Legume-Cereal Intercropping Enhances the Use of Soil-Derived and Biologically Fixed Nitrogen in Temperate Agroecosystems. A Meta-Analysis.. *Eur. J. Agron.* **2020**, *118*, 126077., .
- 11. Naudin, C. Nutrition Azotée Des Associations Pois-Blé d'hiver (Pisum sativum L.–Triticum aestivum L.): Analyse, Modélisation et Propositions de Stratégies de Gestion. Ph.D. Thesis, Université d'Angers, Angers, France, 2009.
- 12. Cong, W.-F.; Hoffland, E.; Li, L.; Six, J.; Sun, J.-H.; Bao, X.-G.; Zhang, F.-S.; Van Der Werf, W.; Intercropping Enhances Soil Carbon and Nitrogen. *Glob. Chang. Boil.* **2015**, *21*, 1715–1726, .
- 13. Hauggaard-Nielsen, H.; Jensen, E.S.; Evaluating Pea and Barley Cultivars for Complementarity in Intercropping at Different Levels of Soil N Availability. *Field Crops Res.* **2001**, *72*, 185–196, .

- Carlsson, G.; Bedoussac, L.; Cupina, B.; Djordjevic, V.; Gaudio, N.; Jensen, E.-S.; Jeuffroy, M.-H.; Journet, E.-P.; Justes, E.; Mikic, A. Does a Mixture of Pea Varieties with Different Leaf Morphology Improve Crop Performance? In Proceedings of the International Conference on Advances in Grain Legume Cultivation and Use, Novi Sad, Serbia, 27– 28 September 2017.
- 15. Bourlet, C.; Vandewalle, A.; Jobic, G. Pulses Intercropped with Cereals to Secure the Pulse Production in Organic and Conventional Farming in Western France; INRAE: Budapest, Hungary, 2019.
- 16. Fernández-Aparicio, M.; Amri, M.; Kharrat, M.; Rubiales, D.; Intercropping Reduces Mycosphaerella Pinodes Severity and Delays Upward Progress on the Pea Plant . *Crop Prot.* **2010**, *29*, 744–750, .
- 17. Verret, V.; Gardarin, A.; Pelzer, E.; Médiène, S.; Makowski, D.; Valantin-Morison, M.; Can Legume Companion Plants Control Weeds without Decreasing Crop Yield? A Meta-Analysis. *Field Crops Res.* **2017**, *204*, 158–168, .
- Stomph, T.; Dordas, C.; Baranger, A.; de Rijk, J.; Dong, B.; Evers, J.; Gu, C.; Li, L.; Simon, J.; Jensen, E.S. Designing Intercrops for High Yield, Yield Stability and Efficient Use of Resources: Are There Principles? In Advances in Agronomy; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2020; Volume 160, pp. 1–50.
- 19. Fayaud, B.; Coste, F.; Corre-Hellou, G.; Gardarin, A.; Dürr, C.; Modelling Early Growth under Different Sowing Conditions: A Tool to Predict Variations in Intercrop Early Stages. *Eur. J. Agron.* **2014**, *52*, 180–190, .
- 20. Borg, J.; Enjalbert, J.; Gauffreteau, A. . Concevoir Des Associations Variétales de Blé Par l'idéotypage Participatif; INRA: Poitiers, France, 2015; pp. 223.
- 21. Ang, F.; Mortimer, S.M.; Areal, F.J.; Tiffin, R.; On the Opportunity Cost of Crop Diversification . *J. Agric. Econ.* **2018**, 69, 794–814, .
- 22. David, C.; Jeuffroy, M.-H.; Henning, J.; Meynard, J.-M.; Yield Variation in Organic Winter Wheat: A Diagnostic Study in the Southeast of France . *Agron. Sustain. Dev.* **2005**, *25*, 213–223. , .
- 23. imaeus, J.; Weedon, O.; Frinchk, M.R. Experimental Screening of Pea and Wheat Genotypes for Mixture-Performance in a Baking-Wheat Cropping System; INRAE: Budapest, Hungary, 2019.
- 24. Heller, M.A.; Eisenberg, R.S.; Can Patents Deter Innovation? The Anticommons in Biomedical Research. *Science* **1998**, *280*, 698–701, .

Retrieved from https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/7372