

# Psychological Safety Leads to Enhanced Patient Safety

Subjects: **Medicine**, **Legal**

Contributor: Perbinder Grewal

In healthcare, the link between psychological safety and patient safety has got more attention from researchers and practitioners. Psychological safety, a term from organisational behaviour, means an environment where people can share their thoughts, worries, and mistakes without fearing negative outcomes. This encourages open communication and teamwork, which is vital in high-pressure areas like hospitals and clinics. The importance of this study comes from the urgent need to improve patient care results, especially with the growing complexity of healthcare systems and the risks involved in medical work. How do psychological safety and patient safety intersect in healthcare?

Psychological safety

patient safety

staff engagement

## 1. Introduction

There is much research that shows a clear relationship between psychological safety and better patient safety results. For example, studies reveal that healthcare teams with high psychological safety often have honest conversations about mistakes and adverse events, promoting a proactive approach to managing risks. These environments not only boost reporting of near misses and safety issues but also foster shared learning, essential for the ongoing quality improvement of health services. Additionally, new evidence indicates that when healthcare workers feel psychologically safe, their job satisfaction and engagement improve, creating a positive cycle that ultimately aids patients.

This connection between psychological safety and patient safety highlights the ethical duty to create supportive healthcare settings that care for both staff and patients. Current literature points to key themes such as the influence of leadership on psychological safety, the effects of team dynamics on patient results, and the subtleties of communication methods in clinical settings. Research shows that transformational leadership styles, which encourage inclusivity and empower team members, are crucial for developing psychological safety. Furthermore, the dynamics within multidisciplinary teams can significantly affect how safe individual practitioners feel, leading to different patient safety outcomes.

Effective communication methods have also been recognised as essential, with studies indicating that clear and open communication can greatly reduce errors and improve collaboration. Nonetheless, despite the growing awareness of the connection between psychological safety and patient safety, there are significant gaps in the

research. For instance, much of the current literature mainly provides descriptive accounts of psychological safety without examining specific impacts on patient safety metrics.

There is an urgent need for longitudinal studies to capture the long-term effects of psychological safety initiatives on patient outcomes. Moreover, the views of patients about psychological safety—how they perceive it and its effect on their own safety—are still not well-explored.

This literature review aims to combine current findings on the relationship between psychological safety and patient safety, pointing out key themes while addressing existing gaps. The following sections will look into the theoretical bases of psychological safety, examine empirical evidence from different healthcare environments, and suggest future research directions that could shed light on this vital connection. By understanding and improving the link between these two aspects, we can contribute to the ongoing conversation about quality enhancement in healthcare and ultimately work towards safer, more effective patient care.

## **2. Review of literature**

The idea of psychological safety in healthcare has changed a lot since it was first introduced in the late 1990s. Amy Edmondson first described it as the belief that people won't be punished or embarrassed for sharing ideas, asking questions, or raising concerns within a team. Early research showed this kind of environment is important for good communication and teamwork, both of which are vital for safe patient care <sup>[1]</sup>.

Over time, more studies have found clear links between psychological safety and better patient safety results. In the early 2000s, some studies began to show that teams with a high level of psychological safety made fewer mistakes, as staff felt encouraged to report errors without worrying about negative consequences <sup>[2]</sup>.

Further research confirmed strong connections between psychological safety and higher participation in teamwork, which is critical in high-pressure situations like healthcare <sup>[3]</sup>. One key study found that when healthcare workers feel secure in their environment, they are more proactive in identifying patient safety issues, contributing to improved care quality overall <sup>[4]</sup>. As there was growing concern over patient safety due to reports of medical errors, researchers started to promote workplace cultures that support psychological safety as a key part of patient safety strategies <sup>[5]</sup>.

Recent research backs up these claims, showing that promoting psychological safety within healthcare teams improves not only the reporting of negative events but also staff retention and their well-being, which helps maintain continuous care <sup>[5]</sup>. Therefore, healthcare organisations are starting to see the necessity of creating environments where psychological safety can flourish, leading to better patient safety results <sup>[5]</sup>.

In recent times, the link between psychological safety and patient safety has attracted more attention in healthcare settings, emphasising that creating a psychologically safe space can greatly improve care quality. Psychological safety is defined by a team atmosphere where individuals feel safe to raise concerns, share ideas, and admit

mistakes without fear of punishment. This form of communication is crucial in high-pressure settings like hospitals, where complex care situations can cause negative outcomes if issues are left unaddressed.

Studies have consistently shown that healthcare professionals who perceive a culture of psychological safety engage more in open discussions about patient safety, which leads to better error reporting and identification of risks <sup>[1][2][3]</sup>. Additionally, leadership plays a vital role in nurturing psychological safety.

Leaders who demonstrate vulnerability and actively promote open conversations create an environment where team members feel motivated to raise concerns, thus enhancing collective patient safety efforts. For example, research shows effective teamwork, supported by psychological safety, is strongly linked with positive patient results <sup>[4][5]</sup>. On the other hand, environments filled with fear and blame often lead to silence around safety issues, resulting in complacency and a higher risk of harm <sup>[6][7]</sup>.

Lastly, beyond immediate clinical settings, there is evidence that psychological safety is beneficial for staff mental well-being, reinforcing the connection between employee satisfaction and patient outcomes. Healthcare workers who feel appreciated and supported are more likely to provide high-quality care.

Thus, a commitment to fostering psychological safety not only protects patients but also boosts the overall health of healthcare teams, creating a better environment for achieving optimal patient safety results. The notion of psychological safety has become an important factor in patient safety within healthcare settings. Various research methods have been used to explore this connection, highlighting different aspects and outcomes of psychological safety in clinical environments. For instance, quantitative studies have shown a strong relationship between high psychological safety among healthcare staff and improved patient safety outcomes.

A significant analysis using the Safety Attitudes Questionnaire showed that teams scoring higher in psychological safety reported fewer patient incidents and errors <sup>[1]</sup>. This aligns with findings from mixed-methods studies, which clarify how psychological safety supports professionals in speaking up about safety issues without fear of backlash <sup>[2]</sup>.

Qualitative research gives more insight into the experiences of healthcare workers, showing how a culture of psychological safety promotes open communication and constructive feedback, essential for catching potential safety issues before they escalate <sup>[4]</sup>. By using focus groups and interviews, researchers have found that spaces where staff feel appreciated and safe to express concerns often show lower levels of medical mistakes, underscoring the need for a supportive workplace culture <sup>[4]</sup>.

On the flip side, some research approaches point to obstacles in achieving psychological safety, such as hierarchical systems that hinder open communication, especially in high-pressure environments <sup>[5]</sup>. This complexity highlights the requirement for comprehensive training programmes aimed at promoting psychological safety in various clinical units <sup>[5]</sup>.

Together, these research perspectives stress that fostering psychological safety is not just helpful but crucial for improving patient safety results in healthcare systems. The link between psychological safety and patient safety has been a focus of various theoretical frameworks within organisational behaviour and healthcare settings.

One view points out that psychological safety, which is a shared belief in the safety of taking interpersonal risks within a team, greatly impacts team effectiveness, innovation, and overall performance in healthcare <sup>[1]</sup>. In this way, research indicates that when healthcare professionals feel psychologically secure, they are more inclined to express concerns, report errors, and share important insights, ultimately leading to enhanced patient safety results <sup>[2]</sup>.

Moreover, social learning theory suggests that team members learn from both successful and unsuccessful interactions, encouraging a culture where lessons from past adverse events are shared openly <sup>[3]</sup>. This aligns with findings showing a direct correlation between the level of psychological safety in medical teams and the probability of preventing patient safety incidents <sup>[4]</sup>.

Conversely, some theories argue that a blame culture within healthcare can undermine both psychological safety and the quality of care provided <sup>[5]</sup>. When healthcare workers fear consequences for reporting errors, they may withhold essential information, leading to repeated mistakes and compromised patient safety <sup>[5]</sup>. Furthermore, research has indicated that strong leadership is vital for fostering a psychologically safe environment; leaders who demonstrate openness and vulnerability encourage team members to actively engage in discussions about safety concerns <sup>[5]</sup>.

Thus, various theoretical perspectives come together to highlight that psychological safety is not merely advantageous but crucial for ensuring optimal patient safety within healthcare settings. Adopting these theories reinforces the importance of developing supportive environments where healthcare professionals feel enabled to advocate for patient care without fear of judgment or retaliation.

## **3. Conclusion**

The study of psychological safety in healthcare shows a complicated but important link with outcomes for patient safety. The existing literature often highlights that workplaces where healthcare workers feel psychologically secure feature better communication, more error reporting, and a proactive stance towards safety issues. This aspect is especially important in high-pressure clinical situations, where risks of harm are greater, and the costs of unreported mistakes can seriously affect patient health and the overall reputation of institutions.

Key findings point out that transformational leadership is crucial in creating a culture of psychological safety, allowing staff to openly share and discuss issues in their work environments without fear of punishment. This review indicates that promoting safety and clear communication in clinical settings not only boosts job satisfaction among healthcare professionals but also has a strong positive link with better patient outcomes, highlighting the ethical duty of healthcare institutions to foster these kinds of environments<sup>[8]</sup>.

The main idea of this review is centred on the important link between psychological safety and patient safety, showing that the former is not just an additional benefit, but an essential foundation for ensuring the safety of patients. By examining how psychological safety works—be it through improved team interactions, effective leadership approaches, or supportive communication—this literature review supports the need for organisations to make psychological safety a key part of their patient safety plans.

The effects stretch beyond immediate clinical settings; creating these psychologically safe environments can help build more robust healthcare systems that can learn from and adapt to ongoing challenges. Nevertheless, while the connections made in recent studies are strong, some limitations must be noted. Much of the existing literature leans on anecdotal evidence or correlational studies, which can weaken the claims about how psychological safety affects patient safety.

Many studies mainly look at specific clinical environments or professional groups, which can limit the applicability of their conclusions to different healthcare settings. As research continues, it will be crucial to take a more detailed approach, using long-term studies to look closely at both the immediate effects of psychological safety initiatives and their lasting impact on patient care and safety outcomes.

Future research should focus on understanding the views of patients regarding psychological safety, examining how they perceive the safety culture during their healthcare experiences and how this influences their treatment. Moreover, studies should investigate how different healthcare settings—such as rural compared to urban or private against public—impact the relationships between psychological safety and patient safety outcomes.

Broadening the focus to include various healthcare disciplines could also provide a deeper grasp of the dynamics involved and the varied applications of psychological safety principles. In summary, the modern understanding of psychological safety as a key factor driving patient safety highlights the urgent need for healthcare providers to create environments where every voice is recognised and safety concerns can be voiced openly. By addressing the noted limitations and pursuing focused future research, the healthcare sector can progress towards more effective strategies that improve both team functionality and patient care, ultimately aiming for the highest quality of care.

---

## References

1. A. Alhawsawi, David Greenfield (2023) Implementing co-production to enhance patient safety: the introduction of the Patient Safety Consent tool, an example of a simple local solution to a common challenge.. International journal for quality in health care : journal of the International Society for Quality in Health Care. doi:  
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/5453d9cf938a770b796d7b9963c505a82e9bfb02>

2. Karina D. Torralba, David Puder (2017) Psychological Safety Among Learners: When Connection Is More Than Just Communication.. Volume(9 4), 538-539 . Journal of graduate medical education. doi:  
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/efc4edc60ea6f2fb093fab9be1220676cf678cfa>
3. K. Eddy, Z. Jordan, M. Stephenson (2014) Health professionals' experiences of teamwork education in acute hospital settings: a systematic review protocol. Volume(12), 90–105. JBI Database of Systematic Reviews and Implementation Reports. doi:  
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/76683450dbc366e648115f5bfd390899c4d58662>
4. J. Harrison (2013) The ailing anaesthetist. Volume(69), 9 - 13. Anaesthesia. doi:  
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/950e251caaf8b81304d185479b461a621de0dfba>
5. K. Vogt, John Baker, Matthew Morys-Edge, Sarah Kendal, Emily Mizen, Judith Johnson (2024) 'I Think the First Priority is Physically Safe First, Before You Can Actually Get Psychologically Safe': Staff Perspectives on Psychological Safety in Inpatient Mental Health Settings.. Journal of psychiatric and mental health nursing. doi:  
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/011e6fbb152789f242322a3500db7d3655a8299d>
6. K. Vogt, John Baker, Rebecca Coleman, Sarah Kendal, Bethany Griffin, Anjum Taha, Kirsty Louise Ashley, et al. (2024) How can we measure psychological safety in mental healthcare staff? Developing questionnaire items using a nominal groups technique. Volume(36). International Journal for Quality in Health Care. doi:  
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/3ff177c672a3ae4fc8b58ac2c305b1f2dc32f109>
7. K. Vogt, John Baker, Sarah Kendal, B. Griffin, Emily Mizen, Hannah Sharp, Judith Johnson (2024) 'Safer, Not Safe': Service Users' Experiences of Psychological Safety in Inpatient Mental Health Wards in the United Kingdom.. International journal of mental health nursing. doi:  
<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/ef6ec2cfe872050e4359eb006822e26ab212d2cd>
8. Grewal P. Evidence-Based Intersections & Interplay in Patient Safety, Staff Engagement and Psychological Safety in Healthcare; Whitehall Medical Limited: UK, 2025; pp. 40.

---

Retrieved from <https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/129284>