

Marketing of Healthcare Systems

Subjects: **Health Care Sciences & Services | Management**

Contributor: Cristinel Petrișor Constantin

By using market orientation, the managers of healthcare systems could stimulate the innovation, the efficiency of funds allocation and the quality of medical services. The results will lead to a better quality of population life and to an increasing of life expectancy.

healthcare system

health services

healthcare market

healthcare facility

marketing in healthcare

marketing in medicine

perspective directions in healthcare

1. Introduction

In recent times, Russia and Romania have fewer things in common than in the past. Before 1989, the healthcare systems in Russia and Romania were inspired by soviet-type Semashko, funded by the government. After the fall of communism in Romania, a gradual transition to the current system took place and the reform of the healthcare system has been a major project for the government and the Ministry of Health. The change in the direction of politics and economy in Romania in 1989 and in Russia in 1991 led them to developing market oriented economies with their own interests [1] (pp. 13–23).

In this context, the novelty of this paper is in the attempt to combine scientific sources about marketing of healthcare systems from both Romania and Russia. In this respect it is necessary to develop and apply modern methodological tools for evaluating the effectiveness of healthcare activities based on the analysis of their key components. The results of such analyses could offer scientific support for the managerial decisions on the marketing development in this field [2] (pp. 421–431). Thus, the effectiveness of healthcare systems management in a particular territory is reflected in indicators such as the availability of medical care, the quality of medical services provided, and the achievement of key indicators of industry development [3] (pp. 87–92).

Taking into account the above mentioned topics, the goal of this research is to identify how the issues about marketing of healthcare systems are highlighted in the mainstream literature, with a focus on Romanian and Russian cases. What are the current challenges of healthcare systems in ensuring socio-economic growth? How can marketing contribute to the development of healthcare systems? The answers to these questions emphasize that using marketing strategies based on innovative products and processes could be a good solution for a sustainable development of the healthcare systems.

The article is in four sections. The first section contains an introduction to the field, followed by the research methodology. The research results are presented and discussed in Section 3. Section 4 contains conclusions,

proposals and further research directions.

2. Research Method

We conducted a systematic literature review to identify the main trends in the marketing of healthcare systems with a focus on Romania and Russia. In practice there are several methods to collate and synthesize evidence for literature reviews, such as PRISMA [4] or Cochrane [5]. Based on the PRISMA methodology, which allows minimizing bad reporting and increasing the transparency in how this systematic review is conducted, and the current paper considers 109 scientific sources that accurately discuss different topics related to healthcare systems. The papers selected for review were published between 2006 and 2020 in around 10 academic journals.

The selected papers were found in several popular international databases such as PubMed, Scopus, Science Direct and Russian scientific database E-Library. For some articles, which are not open access, the full version was found on social platforms: Moreover, we collected only papers published from 2006 to 2020 in English or Russian languages. While Romanian authors publish their articles also in English, Russians mainly publish in their native language, but English variants were also found.

Using the above search criteria in considered databases a huge number of records were found. It counts 5801 articles that correspond to the selected criteria. Using PRISMA methodology, a set of inclusion and exclusion criteria was used (Table 1), where afterwards 834 articles were selected to be potentially suitable for the study.

After we searched through the full text of these articles, another 728 papers were excluded for reasons such as lack of information on the marketing of healthcare systems, or insufficiency of information regarding the European countries for introducing parallel analysis. Articles with lack of information about applied countries or regions in the article were also excluded.

Finally, 106 papers were included in this systematic literature review. In PRISMA Flow Diagram (Figure A1), which was made for a better view of taken steps, the number of included and excluded articles can be found for every step. A year-wise distribution of all selected studies is presented in Figure 1.

The selected studies met the inclusion criteria. The research on the marketing of healthcare systems increased from 2011 to 2013. After a decrease in 2014 the number of articles for every year has again grew up to a peak in 2017, where 14 sources were found on the topic. This evolution highlights the increasing interest of researchers in studying the marketing of healthcare systems.

As PRISMA methodology assumes an assessment of the risk of bias in included studies, the Cochrane risk-of-bias tool [6] was used to prevent the issues concerning the findings validity. It includes such key criteria as: random sequence generation; allocation concealment; blinding of participants and personnel; incomplete outcome data; selective outcome reporting; and other sources of bias. Judgments were made by the authors using the following grades: unclear; low risk; and high risk. The overall level of risk was considered moderate as the purpose of this

literature review is to highlight a variety of ideas regarding the healthcare marketing and not to collect results of experimental studies that should converge on a common solution.

3. Results and Discussions

The research results will be presented and discussed for every research question mentioned above in the introductory section.

Healthcare systems have benefited from special attention in social relationships. In the 19th century there were no contractual obligations between doctors and patients, but in the 20th century the liability of the healthcare system for medical practice was introduced and ethical issues have become very important [2] (pp. This evolution could be considered a progress toward the approaching of healthcare system from a marketing perspective that “puts the patient at the ”. In this respect, the medical actions are meant to identify and satisfy the patient's needs through a high level of service quality [7] (pp. 440–443).

In any case, in today's advanced financial conditions, developments in healthcare frameworks are essential and in request. This new technological order requires a thorough analysis of today's problems to identify the dominant economic sector and turn it into a of economic development and in the meantime to bring the economy out of the crisis “like a locomotive” The medical services in which should be invested with limited funds have to be identified in order to optimize the healthcare system and reduce the problems that it faces with [8] (pp. 61–67). In this respect, the current development of healthcare system in most countries of the world is characterized by a shift in priorities from saving costs for medical care to developing and implementing the most effective ways to allocate resources [9] (p. 5).

Here the accumulated positive experience, widely recognized and used abroad, is still “rejected without sufficient grounds” and the lack of a comprehensive concept of progressive development prevails [10] (pp. 3–7). Moreover, very modest dynamics of life expectancy at birth is projected, with a very moderate, almost linear growth. A similar picture is shown by the dynamic of the birth rate and mortality among the Russian population [11] Contrary to this, “windows of growth” were found, such as “updating the material and technical base, continuous professional development of personnel, increasing the efficiency of using financial resources, intensifying the use of innovative technologies” [3] (pp. 87–92).

The studies mentioned in the literature were identified many outsider Russian regions with a high differentiation of the subjects in terms of economic efficiency [12] This poor economic development of such regions is highlighted by the regional health index, which is one of the most important components of the “integral indicator of the standard of living” for the population and labor potential [13] (pp. 183–198). The regional health index includes the study of regional socio-economic financial, credit relations and the processes of formation and functioning. Finally, regional targeted programs will be effective only when they are subject to common views, values, attitudes, and ideas prescribed in the socio-economic policy of health development [14]

Nevertheless, additional research is needed to find the reasons for the low efficiency of the national healthcare system and ways to modernize it. Such research could start with a SWOT analyze of the healthcare system in Russia, which is useful for identifying the relationship between the opportunities and threats provided by the environment and the strategic potential of the industry given by its strengths and weaknesses [15] (pp. 24–28). A short SWOT analysis based on the findings in the literature is presented in Table 2.

To sum up, the experts highlight the need to increase “the volume of financing for the industry” in order to assure reasonable quality standards of medical care, especially in rural areas [16] (pp. 28–42). Additionally, there are three main issues to be solved for the current Russian situation: “Reducing alcohol and tobacco consumption, increasing healthcare funding, and efficient spending of industry funds” [17] It should also be noted that healthcare should take into account not only purely economic, but also medical, as well as social aspects of efficiency [18]

In Romania, the current healthcare insurance system was introduced in 1997. It established a hybrid system controlled both by the Health Insurance Fund and Government, which led to some distortions in resource allocation and even to certain leak of funds out of the medical system. Since its accession, Romania has made efforts to meet the European Union (EU) goals, among which the social protection occupies an important place [19] (pp. The Romanian legislation sets health insurance as the main mode of financing the healthcare system, which ensures the access to a set of basic medical services.

In the literature it is highlighted the small number of residents that pay for health insurance in comparison with the number of people that benefit from health services. This discrepancy leads to a poor financing of this system and a need for new viable solutions to increase the budget. In these circumstances, the identification of additional financial resources and the efficient use of existing limited resources should be major concerns for any decision-maker in the Romanian health system [20] (pp. Moreover, for innovation, healthcare quality or staff professionalism could be key anchors that potentiate the development the development of healthcare system [7]

A SWOT analysis of the Romanian healthcare system is presented in Table 3, with the aim to identify solutions to avoid the threats and capitalize the opportunities starting from the presumption that “each threat could become an opportunity” [21] (pp. 32–41).

A special attention has to be paid to the strengths and opportunities as well as to the problems that have a negative impact on the national health security.

Marketing is becoming increasingly popular in medicine, the essence of which is to use commercial sales and marketing methods to solve public health problems [22] (pp. The managers in this sphere must focus on the creation of specialized project selection support systems that provide “comprehensive expertise” and obtain reliable project evaluations. It gives a significant positive social effect at low financial costs for implementation [23] (pp. Anyway, in the case of negative demand, the task of marketing is to analyze the reasons for the consumer’s dislike and change their attitude to it, which is called “conversion marketing” [24] (pp. 164–173).

“Medical marketing interactively based on innovation” is the best method for identifying emerging opportunities at a certain time, for stimulating consumption of health services and adopting solutions that can change in time the model of business in medical organizations [25]. Reducing the rate of return in old industries encourages entrepreneurs to invest in new products and technologies. During long-term economic crises there is a transition from a strategy of maximizing profits to a strategy of minimizing relative risk. However, sometimes, consumers need not so much a new product that can be considered and registered as an invention, but rather to obtain new benefits from an existing product [26].

High use of medical technologies with rational use of resources and their accessibility to the general population can significantly increase the opportunities and efficiency of healthcare, positively influence medical and demographic indicators, and improve the image of national healthcare [27] (pp. 22–26). High-tech medical services are using new complex and unique treatment methods, as well as resource-intensive treatment methods with scientifically proven effectiveness, including “cellular technologies, robotic technology, information technologies and genetic engineering” [28] (pp.).

It needs intensive research and development activities aimed at discovering new technologies [29][30]. The innovation is also important for healthcare systems taking into account the nation’s objective to develop an integrated information infrastructures [31] (pp.). The integration of micro-electromechanical systems with microelectronics and wireless interfaces can collect bio-data and help to create artificial intelligence system much faster [32] (pp.). Here elements of artificial intelligence will find application in medical diagnostics, drugs preparation, radiation therapy, etc.

An innovation process in healthcare is a chain of events that transforms an innovation from an idea into a specific product, technology, or service and is used in practice to achieve General goals [28] (pp.). If innovation is not in demand for use in the long term, then it is necessary to re-examine whether this was a true innovation at the time of its implementation [33]. In this respect, the investments in innovations in the health sector are the riskiest, with a low number of testing alternatives, which increase the overall uncertainty [34] (pp.). For example, in Russia, the rapid development of innovations in medical sciences, such as “molecular genetics, embryology, and microbiology” often outstrips the public’s readiness to recognize and accept these innovations [35].

Analysis of theoretical developments in recent years shows that insufficient attention is paid to the solution of fundamental issues [36]. With a quarter of the world’s natural resources comparable to the leading countries in terms of innovation and high intellectual potential, Russia occupies a modest place in terms of economic development, and significantly lags behind in the competitiveness of its economy [37]. There is also an issue of optimizing innovative treatment methods. In each individual institution, similar diseases receive a different amount of medical care [38] (pp.).

1–7). The main task of the medical cluster is to organize interaction and communication between all its actors in the interests of overall healthcare development in the region [39] (pp. 15–17). 170–175). Finally, an essential element that ensures the effectiveness of the medical cluster is the participation of various businesses [40] (pp.).

There are also side effects to innovative development where the constant increase in healthcare costs associated with the emergence of new medical technologies and medicines is a common trend for all developed countries. However, none of the countries in the world can endlessly increase their spending for these purposes [41] (pp. Often, the creators of innovations cannot foresee all the negative consequences. The increasing complexity of knowledge and the transition to interdisciplinary research, which sometimes gives unexpected results, leads to an increase in uncertainty in assessing the possible consequences of using innovations [35] (p. 14).

Thus, improving medical care for the population is possible only if the healthcare system is developed in an innovative way based on the achievements of fundamental and applied science, and new effective medical technologies and drugs are created and introduced into medical practice [3] (pp. 87–92). For this purpose, it is necessary to concentrate financial resources, human resources and medical science resources aimed at solving priority tasks. [42] (pp. 754–762).

Today, health organizations in the marketing environment should identify the multidimensional needs of patients and quickly search, find and direct their resources toward the creation of services to reach these demands. As well as “the steady monitoring of the competition’s situating methodology; the affirmation of the competition’s history, convention and administrative identities; the distinguishing proof of the competition’s showcasing activities in terms of visibility” [7] (pp. 440–443).

To achieve the goals and objectives set for further modernization of healthcare, it is necessary to improve the quality and the effectiveness of the medical services provided to the population [43] (pp. Healthcare reforms should be analyzed in the context of broader public relations and the experience of other countries must be considered [44] (pp. According to some authors some steps are required: “analysis and revision of the system of cost recovery, the quality control of medical assistance, a change of mentality and cultural level of the population” [45] (pp.

A cross-sectoral approach of the healthcare systems management should be implemented because it has to combine constructive efforts of various branches of government and various departments [46] Such policies should achieve a high level of medical service effectiveness [47] Thus, the “resources of the health system”, the “process of providing medical services” and the “result of providing medical services” are considered to be basic synthetic categories of efficiency [48] There is also a need to improve the regulatory framework by attracting public-private partnerships to the industry, developing registers of high-tech medical care or hospital benchmarking [49] (pp.

The development should also consider informal institutions. Taking into account deviations from the self-preservation behavior of residents, non-compliance with labor protection and environmental standards on the part of employers will allow creating such models of economic behavior of actors where saving, rather than consuming, regional health capital is done [50] (pp. 365–378).

Quality is also a proposition of scholars. The economic potential is higher for the organization that uses its capabilities for quality more efficiently. The main areas of work to ensure the quality of medical services are

“improvement of the structure, process and result”, which is called the “Donabedian triad” [51] (p. 27). Clearly a medical care framework that has inadequate assets and processes cannot offer quality to the patients [52].

What are the current challenges of healthcare systems in ensuring socio-economic growth? ; Q2. How can marketing contribute to the development of healthcare systems? Five main topics were identified: Common managerial practices; Evidence of healthcare systems in the analyzed countries; Social relationships; Innovative directions; Implementation of marketing and management strategies.

All articles debate issues regarding the development of healthcare systems but they are mainly focused on specific topics such the ones included in Table 4. The first topic is related to managerial practices, mainly at the macroeconomic level. The second series of articles include studies in the analyzed countries (Russia and Romania) and the third one debate the importance of social relationships in the sustainable development of the healthcare system. The last two topics are mainly focused on the marketing and management strategies and a large part of the articles consider the innovation as the main driver of development.

4. Conclusions

The current challenges on healthcare systems in Russia and Romania were considered with pluses and minuses of both systems, which face quite similar problems. The use of innovative products and processes in healthcare systems can lead to large increments in openings and productivity. In the scholars’ opinions, Russia has taken significant steps in adoption of innovations in medicine, mainly in the fields of “molecular genetics, embryology, and microbiology”. Such best practices could be also adopted by Romania in order to solve various problems that the healthcare system faces with.

As regards the authors’ propositions for improving the healthcare systems, the experience of other countries was offered: cross-sectoral approach of the health policy management; improvement of the regulatory framework; developing registers of high-tech medical care; hospital benchmarking; quality management, etc.

The result of this literature review article may give experiences for directing future investigations regarding the marketing of healthcare systems in Russia and Romania. Thus, the findings of this article could help government, business and academia to concentrate their efforts and policies on the development of the current healthcare system, especially using marketing tools.

Directions for improving the healthcare systems should mainly focus on reducing diseases, disabilities and mortality among the population; improving the quality and accessibility of healthcare; developing a competitive market of innovative medical services. The creation of an effective healthcare system could lead to improving the quality of the population life and increasing life expectancy.

There are three minor limitations in the article that must be regarded in the future research. First, the number ideas identified in the analyzed studies is quite low and they are not enough for combining Russian and Romanian

experience in marketing of healthcare systems. Secondly, the low number of up-to-date studies within the field of marketing of healthcare systems limited the analysis and thirdly, there is a lack of experience in the healthcare systems marketing in the analyzed countries.

At this very moment we presented a set of characteristics and steps for designing the marketing of healthcare systems of Russia and Romania found in the literature. Future research will be concentrated on finding the opinions of experts and mass-population regarding the best solutions that marketing could offer for improving the quality of healthcare systems in Russia and Romania.

References

1. Kirillov, V.B.; Putintsev, I.S. The Relations between Russia and Romania Since 1989 in the Context of Their Foreign Policy Priorities—*Otnosheniya Rossii i Rumynii posle 1989 goda v kontekste vneshnepoliticheskikh prioritetov dvuh stran.* Bull. MGIMO Univ. Vestn. MGIMO Univ. 2012, 2, 13–23. Available online: (accessed on 8 December 2020).
2. Cicea, C.; Dobrin, C.; Popa, I.; Busu, C. Healthcare economics as method of rendering the activity. *Management* 2010, 2, 421–431. Available online: (accessed on 8 December 2020).
3. Kolmykova, T.S.; Lobachev, V.V. Methodological aspects of assessing the effectiveness of the healthcare system—*Metodicheskie aspekty ocenki effektivnosti sistemy zdravoohraneniya regionala.* Reg. Syst. Econ. Manag. Reg. 2018, 1, 87–92. Available online: (accessed on 8 December 2020).
4. Moher, D.; Liberati, A.; Tetzlaff, J.; Altman, D.G. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. *PLoS Med.* 2009, 6, e1000097.
5. Anderson, L.; Thompson, D.; Oldridge, N.; Zwisler, A.D.; Rees, K.; Martin, N.; Taylor, R. Exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation for coronary heart disease. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* 2016, 1.
6. Higgins, J.; Altman, D. Chapter 8: Assessing risk of bias in included studies. In *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 5.0.0*; Higgins, J., Green, S., Eds.; The Cochrane Collaboration: London, UK, 2008.
7. Coculescu, B.I.; Coculescu, E.C.; Radu, A.; Petrescu, L.; Purcărea, V.L. Market policy as an innovative element of marketing in the Romanian healthcare services: An approach focused on the patient. *J. Med. Life* 2015, 8, 440–443. Available online: (accessed on 8 December 2020).
8. Panasyuk, M.V.; Dasaeva, R.D. Problems of improving the healthcare economy of the Russian regions—*Problemy sovershenstvovaniya ekonomiki zdravoohraneniya regionov Rossii.* Actual Probl. Econ. Law—*Aktual'nye Probl. Ekon. I Prava* 2014, 2, 61–67. Available online: (accessed on 8 December 2020).

9. Avksentieva, M.V. International experience of technology assessment in healthcare—Mezhdunarodnyj opty ocenki tekhnologij v zdravooohranenii. *Pediatric Pharmacol. J. Pediatriceskaya Farmokologiya* 2011, 8, 5. Available online: (accessed on 8 December 2020).
10. Shchepin, O.V. On the development of healthcare in the Russian Federation—O razvitiu zdravooohraneniya Rossijskoj Federacii. *Probl. Soc. Hyg. Healthc. Hist. Med. Probl. Soc. Gig. Zdravooehr. Istor. Med.* 2013, 5, 3–7. Available online: (accessed on 8 December 2020).
11. Banin, S.A. Healthcare in Russia: Issues of financing ways to solve them—Zdravooohranenie Rossii: Voprosy finansirovaniya i puti ih resheniya. *Bull. Tomsk State Univ. Vestn. Tomsk. Gos. Univ.* 2012, 3, 112–117. Available online: (accessed on 8 December 2020).
12. Russkikh, T.N. Monitoring the effectiveness of medical organizations activities: Methodology empirical results—Monitoring mediko-social'noj effektivnosti deyatel'nosti regional'nyh podsistem zdravooohraneniya. *Account. Stat. Sovrem. Ekon. Probl. I Resheniya* 2017, 1, 66–74.
13. Levin, I.A. Regional health index of the country's population as a factor of planning the system of medical care—Regional'nyj indeks zdorov'ya naseleniya strany kak faktor planirovaniya sistemy medicinskogo obsluzhivaniya. *Serv. Russ. Abroad Serv. V Ross. I Za Rub.* 2014, 4, 183–198.
14. Vlasova, O.V. Fundamentals of formation of socio-economic policy of healthcare development—Osnovy formirovaniya social'no-ekonomiceskoy politiki razvitiya zdravooohraneniya regiona. *Izv. South West State Univ. Econ. Sociol. Manag. Izv. Yugo-Zapadn. Gos. Univ. Ekon. Sociol. Menedzhment* 2013, 2, 157–163. Available online: (accessed on 8 December 2020).
15. Vertakova, Y.V. Methodical approach to assessment of strategic sweaty building health systems—Metodicheskij podhod k ocenke strategicheskogo potenciala sistemy zdravooohraneniya regiona. *Bull. St. Petersburg Econ. Financ. Univ. Izv. St. Peterbg. Univ. Ekon. I Finans.* 2013, 6, 24–28. Available online: (accessed on 8 December 2020).
16. Gamidov, G.S. Innovation—The science of management of processes of transformation of scientific achievements in innovation—Innovatika-nauka upravleniya v innovacii. *Innov. Innovaciya* 2010, 2, 28–42. Available online: (accessed on 8 December 2020).
17. Ulumbekova, G.E. Health Russia: 2018–2024 years. What should I do?—Zdravooohranenie Rossii: 2018–2024 gg. Chto nado delat'? Argstev. News. Opin. Train. Bull. WSOS—ORGZDRAV Novosti Mneniya Obuchenie Vestn VSHOUZ 2018, 592. Available online: (accessed on 8 December 2020).
18. Duganov, M.D. Methodological approaches to evaluating the effectiveness of the region healthcare—Metodologicheskie podhody k ocenke effektivnosti regional'nogo zdravooohraneniya. *Econ. Soc. Chang. Factstrendsforecast—Ekon. I Soc. Peremeny* 2011, 6, 93–105. Available online: (accessed on 8 December 2020).

19. Drew, C.; Sriskandarajah, D. EU enlargement in 2007: No warm welcome for labor migrants. *MPI J.* 2007, 1, 1–7. Available online: (accessed on 8 December 2020).
20. Anton, G.S.; Onofrei, M. HealthCare Performance Health Financing Systems in Countries from Central Eastern Europe. *Transylv. Rev. Adm. Sci.* 2012, 35, 22–32. Available online: (accessed on 8 December 2020).
21. Cicea, C.; Busu, C.; Armeanu, E. The Swot Analysis of the Romanian Healthcare System the Key Elements for Resources Allocation. *Manag. Res.* 2011, 3, 32–41. Available online: (accessed on 8 December 2020).
22. Mamedova, G.B.; Shaimatov, Z.h.B. Effectiveness of marketing application in medical institutions. *Austrian J. Tech. Nat. Sci.* 2014, 9, 80–84. Available online: (accessed on 8 December 2020).
23. Nesterova, E.V. Theoretical applied aspects of system development support the selection of innovation investment projects in the sphere of the sensiblyescort—Teoretiko-prikladnye aspekty razrabotki sistemy podderzhki vybora innovacion proektov v sfere zdravooхранeniya regiona. *Mod. Econ. Probl. Decis. Sovrem. Ekon. Probl. I Resheniya* 2016, 12, 108–121. Available online: (accessed on 8 December 2020).
24. Makhmudova, M.A. Features of marketing in healthcare—Osobennosti marketinga v zdravooхранenii. *Biol. Integr. Med.* 2017, 7, 164–173. Available online: (accessed on 8 December 2020).
25. Coculescu, B.I.; Purcărea, V.L. Strategy to identify opportunities for innovation in Romanian healthcare. *J. Med. Life* 2015, 8, 333–335. Available online: (accessed on 8 December 2020).
26. Berestova, T.F. Innovation innovative activity: The limits of concepts—Innovaciya i innovacionnaya deyatel'nost': Predely ponyatij. *Bull. Chelyabinsk State Acad. Cult. Art—Vestn. Chelyabinskoj Gos. Akad. Kul'tury I Iskusstv.* 2008, 3, 70–76. Available online: (accessed on 8 December 2020).
27. Li, M.N. High-Tech medical care cost optimization for its implementation. *Probl. Account. Financ.* 2012, 3, 22–26. Available online: (accessed on 8 December 2020).
28. Fisun, A.Y.; Kuvshinov, K.E. High-Tech medical care: Current state prospects of development in medical organizations of the Ministry of defense—Vysokotekhnologichnaya medicinskaya pomoshch': Sovremennoe sostoyanie i perspektivy razvitiya v lechebnyh organizaciyah Ministerstva oborony. *Mil. Med J. Voen. Med. Zhurnal* 2014, 2, 4–10. Available online: (accessed on 8 December 2020).
29. Barancheev, V.P.; Maslennikov, N.P.; Minin, V.M. *Upravlenie Innovaciyami—Innovation Management*; Barancheev, V.P., Ed.; Yurayt: Moscow, Russia, 2017; pp. 1–27. Available online: (accessed on 8 December 2020).

30. Preobrazhensky, B.G. On the prospects of forming the integrational field of innovation generation —O perspektivah formirovaniya regional'nogo integracionnogo polya generacii innovacii. Reg. Econ. Manag. Reg. Sist. Ekon. Upr. 2017, 3, 10–17. Available online: (accessed on 8 December 2020).

31. Aanestad, M.; Jensen, T.J. Building nation-wide information infrastructures in healthcare through modular implementation strategies. J. Strateg. Inf. Syst. 2011, 20, 161–176.

32. Colesca, S.E.; Dobrică, L. Information management in healthcare organizations. Econ. Manag. 2009, 12, 133–138. Available online: (accessed on 8 December 2020).

33. Zabolotko, A.A. Essence content of the concept “Innovation”, XXI—Sushchnost' i soderzhanie ponyatiya «innovaciya»: Iniciativy XXI veka. Initiat. XXI Century 2013, 3, 8–11. Available online: (accessed on 8 December 2020).

34. Sypabekov, S.Z.H. Peculiarities of innovative activity in medicine—Osobennosti innovacionnoj deyatel'nosti v medicine. Neurosurg. Neurol. Kazakhstan—Nejrohir. I Nevrol. Kaz. 2015, 3, 3–10. Available online: (accessed on 8 December 2020).

35. Borshcheva, L.I. Problems of development of innovative activity in public health—Problemy razvitiya innovacionnoj deyatel'nosti v zdravooхранenii. Econ. Manag. Innov. Technol. Ekon. I Menedzhment Innov. Tekhnologij 2014, 1, 14. Available online: (accessed on 8 December 2020).

36. Rybalchenko, I.E. Priority problems in the development of the system of high-tech medical care—Prioritetnye problemy v razvitii sistemy vysokotekhnologichnoj medicinskoj pomoshchi. Casp. J. Manag. High Technol. Priklaspijskij Zhurnal 2012, 1, 146–152. Available online: (accessed on 8 December 2020).

37. Antropova, T.G. Economic development of territories—Ekonomicheskoe razvitiie territorij. Bus. Printin Delovaya Poligraphyia Kursk 2016, 502. Available online: (accessed on 8 December 2020).

38. Veselov, A.V.; Trifonova, N.Y. High-Tech medical care in the conditions of modernization of healthcare in Russia—Vysokotekhnologichnaya medicinskaya pomoshch' v usloviyah modernizacii zdravooхранeniya Rossii: Sovremennoe sostoyanie i principy okazaniya. Curr. State Princ. Render. Issues Organ. Med Soc. Expertise Compr. Rehabil. Vopr. Organ. Med. Soc. Ekspertizy I Kompleks. Reabil. 2011, 3, 5–7. Available online: (accessed on 8 December 2020).

39. Molchanova, M.Y. Public-Private Partnership in the System Of Regional Healthcare Financing—Gosudarstvenno-chastnoe partnerstvo v sisteme finansirovaniya zdravooхранeniya regionov. Econ. Sociol. Public Opin. Health HealthCare 2016, 2, 15–17.

40. Zakharova, E.N.; Kovaleva, I.P. Formation of a medical cluster as a direction of integration interaction of subjects of the medical sphere—Formirovanie medicinskogo klastera kak

napravlenie integracionnogo vzaimodejstviya sub"ektov regional'noj medicinskoy sfery. Bull. ASU —Vestn. AGU 2013, 4, 219–225. Available online: (accessed on 8 December 2020).

41. Alieva, V.F. Analysis of methods of assessment of efficiency of functioning healthcare facilities—Analiz metodik ocenki effektivnosti funkcionirovaniya Ob'ektov zdravoohraneniya. Glob. Sci. Potential—Glob. Nauchnyj Potencial 2013, 7, 80–85. Available online: (accessed on 8 December 2020).

42. Kostina, O.V. Improving the system of financing healthcare institutions by using the model of financial support for innovative development of healthcare institutions—Sovershenstvovanie sistemy finansirovaniya uchrezhdenij zdravoohraneniya putem ispol'zovaniya modeli finansovoj podderzhki innovacionnogo razvitiya zdravoohraneniya. Econ. Soc. Ekon. I Socium 2016, 11, 754–762. Available online: (accessed on 8 December 2020).

43. Ivchenkova, M.S. Modernization of healthcare in Russia the region: A sociological cross-section—Modernizaciya zdravoohraneniya v Rossii i regione: Sociologicheskij srez. Izv. Saratov Univ. Izv. Sarat. Univ. 2013, 3, 41–43. Available online: (accessed on 8 December 2020).

44. Ignatieva, T.A. Current state trends in the development of healthcare Economics in the Russian Federation—Tekushchee sostoyanie i tendencii razvitiya ekonomiki zdravoohraneniya v Rossijskoj Federacii. Mod. Econ. Success 2017, 3, 77–80. Available online: (accessed on 8 December 2020).

45. Khafizyanova, R.K.h. Comparative evaluation of the effectiveness of systems of different countries—Sravnitel'naya ocenka effektivnosti sistem zdravoohraneniya razlichnyh stran. Bull. St. Petersburg Univ. Med. Vestn. St. Peterburg 2013, 2, 214–221. Available online: (accessed on 8 December 2020).

46. Kalashnikov, K.N. Health economy—Zdravoohranenie i ekonomika regiona. Probl. Dev. Territ. Probl. Razvit. Territ. 2011, 1, 61–66. Available online: (accessed on 8 December 2020).

47. Orlov, E.M. Category of efficiency in the healthcare system—Kategoriya effektivnosti v sisteme zdravoohraneniya. Fundam. Res. 2010, 4, 70–75. Available online: (accessed on 8 December 2020).

48. Russkikh, T.N. Formation of rating assessments of the effectiveness of regional health systems obyazatel'nogo medicinskogo strahovaniya—Formirovaniye rejtingovyh ocenok effektivnosti deyatel'nosti regional'nyh sistem zdravoohraneniya i obyazatel'nogo medicinskogo strahovaniya. Ekon. Reg. Ekon. Reg. 2015, 4, 197–213.

49. Krasnov, A.V.; Petrova, I.A.; Nechaev, V.S. Normative legal regulation of high-tech medical care: Reality prospects—Normativno-pravovoe regulirovaniye vysokotekhnologichnoj medicinskoy pomoshchi: Real'nost'. Bull. Natl. Res. Inst. Public Health Named Semashko N. A. Poslanie Nac. Nauchno—Issledovatel'skogo Inst. 2015, 2, 146–149. Available online: (accessed on 8 December 2020).

50. Pepelyaeva, A.A. Management of the healthcare system as one of the tools for ensuring innovative development of the socio-economic system—Upravlenie sistemoj zdravoohraneniya regionala kak odin iz instrumentov obespecheniya innovacionnogo razvitiya regional'noj sistemy. Innov. Dev. Econ. Trends Prospect. Innov. Razvit. Tendencii I Perspekt. 2016, 1, 365–378. Available online: (accessed on 8 December 2020).

51. Borshchuk, E.L. Comparative analysis of methods for evaluating the effectiveness of the healthcare system—Sravnitel'nyj analiz metodik ocenki effektivnosti sistemy zdravoohraneniya. Stud. Sci. XXI XXI Century—Stud. Nauka XXI Veka 2016, 1, 27. Available online: (accessed on 8 December 2020).

52. Galland, B.; Fontaine, D. Methodological assessment of the viability of health centers—Metodologique Evaluer la viabilite des centres de santé. Guide 2005. Available online: (accessed on 8 December 2020).

Retrieved from <https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/25767>