

Digitalization and Energy in Attaining Sustainable Development

Subjects: Economics

Contributor: Henryk Dzwigol, Aleksy Kwilinski, Oleksii Lyulyov, Tetyana Pimonenko

Digitalization significantly impacts energy consumption patterns, energy infrastructure, and the overall intensity of energy use. It represents a transformative force that can either increase energy demand through the proliferation of digital devices and data centers or decrease it through efficiency gains and smart energy management. The relentless advancement of digital technologies has the potential to significantly reshape the energy landscape as digital technologies become increasingly integrated into diverse sectors of the economy.

Keywords: consumption ; green energy ; environmental regulations ; green investments

1. Introduction

Achieving sustainable development goals (SDGs) ^{[1][2][3][4][5][6]} requires the development and implementation of new and effective instruments that consider ongoing trends in world development ^{[7][8][9][10][11]}, such as digitalization, integrating sustainable development into all economic activities and levels ^{[12][13][14][15]}. It should be noted that sustainable development is a multifaceted concept that, at its core, seeks to balance environmental stewardship, economic growth, and social equity for current and future generations ^[16]. It provokes the transformation of the world through innovative approaches to solving complex challenges related to climate change, resource depletion, and social disparities. This concept encourages us to rethink economic models and societal structures to ensure a livable planet for future generations. Digitalization, as a multifaceted process, significantly shapes the contemporary landscape by intertwining with various aspects of our environment and society. Studies ^{[17][18][19]} underscore the complexity of this transformation, outlining the diverse array of both the positive and negative effects it evokes. On the positive side, digitalization has the potential to enhance efficiency and streamline processes across various sectors ^{[20][21][22][23]}. The integration of digital technologies often leads to a reduction in energy consumption ^{[24][25][26][27][28][29][30]}, offering opportunities for sustainability and resource optimization ^{[26][27][28][29][30]}. Additionally, it can foster innovation, provide novel solutions to environmental challenges, and contribute to the development of cleaner, more sustainable technologies ^{[31][32][33][34]}. However, it is crucial to acknowledge the potential negative repercussions of digitalization, especially concerning its impact on the environment ^{[35][36][37][38]}. The increased reliance on digital technologies has resulted in a surge in energy consumption ^[36] ^[37], contributing to concerns about energy intensity and the carbon footprint ^{[39][40]}. Additionally, the accelerated pace of technological advancements has provoked the generation of electronic waste (e-waste) ^{[41][42]}, posing challenges for proper disposal and recycling. As societies worldwide navigate the complexities of digitalization, finding a delicate balance becomes imperative. Efforts to harness the positive aspects while mitigating the negative consequences involve implementing policies and practices that promote sustainable development. This includes investing in green technologies ^{[43][44][45][46][47][48]}, developing efficient waste management systems ^{[49][50][51]}, enhancing green logistics ^{[52][53]}, and fostering a culture of responsible digital consumption ^[54]. However, digitalization is conducive to extending new management approaches ^{[55][56][57][58][59][60][61]} and requires developing relevant infrastructure ^{[62][63][64][65][66][67][68]}, knowledge, and competencies ^{[69][70][71][72][73]}.

The diverse perspectives on the impact of digitalization on the energy sector underscore the need for a comprehensive analysis of the relationship between digitalization and energy in EU countries. The EU has developed a comprehensive set of strategies aimed at addressing the escalating energy prices, as detailed in ^[74]. These strategies encompass a dual approach: on the one hand, they focus on the supply side by ensuring an adequate supply of natural gas and accelerating the transition towards renewable energy sources through policy instruments. On the other hand, the Commission's measures target the demand side, aiming to reduce the energy consumption of both households and businesses. However, a critical aspect that appears to have been overlooked in this framework is the role of digitalization. Digitalization significantly impacts energy consumption patterns, energy infrastructure, and the overall intensity of energy use. It represents a transformative force that can either increase energy demand through the proliferation of digital devices and

data centers or decrease it through efficiency gains and smart energy management. Therefore, it should have been a key consideration for the European Union's authorities in formulating their energy strategy. By integrating digitalization into their approach, the European Commission could better address the complexities of energy consumption, structure, and intensity, and thus develop a more holistic and effective response to the ongoing energy crisis. This examination should concentrate on both the direct and indirect effects of digitalization on energy consumption, structure, and intensity. Understanding the character of this influence is crucial for policymakers, industry stakeholders, and researchers alike, as it allows for informed decision making in steering energy systems toward greater resilience and environmental sustainability.

2. Relationship between Digitalization and Energy Consumption

Scientists hold diverse perspectives on the interplay between digitalization and energy consumption. Researchers [75][76][77][78][79][80][81] envision enhanced efficiency through smart technologies [75][76][77], smart grids [78], and AI-driven optimizations in industrial processes [79][80][81]. However, scholars [82][83][84][85][86][87] have focused on the energy intensity of digital technologies, with high-performance computing and data centers being potential culprits. Studies [88][89][90][91][92] have shown that digitalization facilitates the better integration of renewable energy into grids, promoting sustainability. Nevertheless, scholars [93][94][95][96] have highlighted that the environmental impact of e-waste and the extraction of rare minerals from electronics cannot be ignored. One study [97][98][99] outlined that behavioral changes induced by digitalization, such as increased device usage and the adoption of smart technologies, alter energy consumption patterns. Moreover, the proliferation of data centers and cloud computing has raised concerns about centralized energy consumption [100][101][102]. However, Aithal [103] and Mishra and Singh [104] showed that ongoing technological innovations and solutions, including energy-efficient hardware and quantum computing, offer potential avenues for mitigating these challenges [105]. Analyzing the situation in EU countries reveals a discernible gap in investigations into the relationship between digitalization and energy consumption for EU countries considering energy consumption, the energy structure, and energy intensity.

3. Relationship between Digitalization and the Structure of Energy Usage

Xu et al. [106] noted that digitalization allows for the optimization of energy structures in China. In addition, Xu et al. [106] highlighted that the impact of digitalization on energy consumption is most significantly mediated by technological innovation, while the influence of digitalization on energy intensity is primarily mediated by human capital. In contrast, the distortion of the industrial structure plays the most substantial mediating role in shaping the impact of digitalization on energy structure. Ren et al. [98] concluded that the correlation between internet development and the energy consumption structure is notably negative. Internet development influences the energy consumption structure by way of economic growth, research and development (R&D) investment, human capital, financial development, and the industrial structure. Scholars [107] have confirmed that extending digital technology has provoked a decrease in the impact of the energy structure on carbon dioxide emissions. Zhang et al. [108] noted that digitalization provoked changes in the structure of energy usage in China. Moreover, the energy consumption structure impacts the attainment of sustainable development goals, particularly for carbon dioxide emissions. Noussan and Tagliapietra [109] argue that digital technologies lead to opposite effects on energy consumption and emissions in EU countries. The authors emphasize that an effective strategy is "responsible" digitization, which involves the development of sustainable mobility. In contrast, "selfish" digitization results in maximizing the benefits for the end consumer. Scholars [110] empirically justify that digitalization plays a moderating role, alleviating the impact of a 3.654% increase in energy consumption resulting from income inequality. This moderating influence is particularly noticeable in middle- and high-income countries spanning Europe, the Americas, and the Asia-Pacific region, and it remains effective in both free and nonfree economies. Through the use of dynamic SYS-GMM threshold panel models, this research uncovers a nonlinear connection between income inequality and energy consumption influenced by digitalization, offering international evidence of the interconnected dynamics involving digitalization, income inequality, and energy consumption. Ren et al. [98] examined the influence of internet development on energy consumption in China, with a focus on the mechanisms of transmission. The findings reveal a noteworthy positive association between internet development and overall energy consumption, as the internet contributes to increased energy usage through economic growth. On the other hand, there is a negative relationship between internet development and the structure of energy consumption, indicating that the internet shapes the energy consumption structure through factors such as economic growth, R&D investment, human capital, financial development, and the industrial structure. Additionally, empirical evidence demonstrates a substantial negative correlation between internet development and energy consumption intensity, suggesting that the internet facilitates a decrease in energy consumption intensity through similar influencing factors. Lange et al. [111] suggested that the growth of digitalization is conducive to increasing energy consumption.

4. Relationship between Digitalization and Energy Intensity

Scholars ^{[112][113][114][115][116][117][118][119][120][121]} argue that digitalization, by fostering technological advancements and efficiency improvements, lead to a reduction in energy intensity. This viewpoint suggests that smart technologies ^{[122][123][124][125]}, data analytics ^{[126][127][128][129][130][131][132]}, and automation can optimize energy consumption in various sectors, ultimately contributing to more sustainable practices. In contrast, skeptics ^{[133][134][135]} emphasize the potential for increased energy consumption associated with the growing demand for digital technologies. The proliferation of devices and data centers and the overall expansion of digital infrastructure may offset the gains achieved through efficiency measures. This perspective highlights the need for a holistic assessment that considers both the positive and negative aspects of digitalization on energy intensity. Another viewpoint ^{[136][137][138][139]} acknowledges the complex and nuanced relationship between digitalization and energy intensity, emphasizing that the impact is context-dependent. Factors such as the type of digital technology implemented, the overall energy mix in a region, and specific industry practices play crucial roles. This perspective suggests that a one-size-fits-all approach may not be suitable, and tailored strategies are necessary to optimize the balance between digitalization and energy intensity based on the unique circumstances of each situation.

One study ^[140] showed that digitization contributes to the economic growth of South Asia. Moreover, there is a negative correlation between energy intensity and economic growth ^[140]. The empirical results ^[141] indicate a predominantly positive asymmetric relationship between digital innovation, energy intensity, demographic change, and economic growth in Vietnam. Although minor distinctions are observed across different quantiles of the chosen indicators, the overall impact is favorable. Furthermore, the Granger causality analysis of quantiles reveals a bidirectional connection between digitalization, demographic dividends, and economic growth over the sample period. Moreover, unidirectional causality is identified from energy intensity to economic growth ^[141]. Scholars ^[141] have discovered a noteworthy adverse correlation between digitalization and energy intensity. Additionally, they identify a significant positive correlation between digital capital intensity and energy intensity. Lan and Wen ^[142] showed that the industrial digitalization of the manufacturing sector leads to a notable increase in energy intensity. Throughout the process of digital transformation, there is an initial increase followed by a subsequent decrease, forming an inverted U-shaped relationship. As of 2019, more than 80% of industries exhibited a level of digitalization below the inflection point ^[142].

The perspectives on the link between digitalization and energy intensity vary, encompassing optimistic views on efficiency gains, concerns about increased energy demand, and recognition of the context-specific nature of this relationship.

References

1. Pudryk, D.; Kwilinski, A.; Lyulyov, O.; Pimonenko, T. Toward Achieving Sustainable Development: Interactions between Migration and Education. *Forum Sci. Oeconomia* 2023, 11, 113–132.
2. Ziabina, Y.; Dzwigol-Barosz, M. A Country's Green Brand and the Social Responsibility of Business. *Virtual Econ.* 2022, 5, 31–49.
3. Dzwigol, H. The Uncertainty Factor in the Market Economic System: The Microeconomic Aspect of Sustainable Development. *Virtual Econ.* 2021, 4, 98–117.
4. Veckalne, R.; Kapustins, M.; Tambovceva, T. Smart Cities, Green Diets: How the Lucy Veg App Supports Valencia's Vegan Community and Contributes to SDGs. *Virtual Econ.* 2023, 6, 7–22.
5. Faganel, A.; Streicher, A. Social Marketing as a Tool for a Sustainable Municipal Waste Management. *Econ. Cult.* 2022, 19, 87–97.
6. Us, Y.; Gerulaitiene, N. Bibliometric Analysis of the Global Research Landscape on Healthcare Resilience During Critical Events. *Forum Sci. Oeconomia* 2023, 11, 159–188.
7. Hussain, H.I.; Haseeb, M.; Kamarudin, F.; Dacko-Pikiewicz, Z.; Szczepańska-Woszczyna, K. The role of globalization, economic growth and natural resources on the ecological footprint in Thailand: Evidence from nonlinear causal estimations. *Processes* 2021, 9, 1103.
8. Dzwigol, H. Research Methods and Techniques in New Management Trends: Research Results. *Virtual Econ.* 2019, 2, 31–48.
9. Williams, Z. The Materiality Challenge of ESG Ratings. *Econ. Cult.* 2022, 19, 97–108.
10. Vanickova, R. Innovation corporate energy management: Efficiency of green investment. *Mark. Manag. Innov.* 2020, 2, 56–67.

11. Kwilinski, A.; Lyulyov, O.; Pimonenko, T. Environmental Sustainability within Attaining Sustainable Development Goals: The Role of Digitalization and the Transport Sector. *Sustainability* 2023, 15, 11282.
12. Sotnyk, I.; Zavrzhnyi, K.; Kasianenko, V.; Roubík, H.; Sidorov, O. Investment Management of Business Digital Innovations. *Mark. Manag. Innov.* 2020, 1, 95–109.
13. Abdullaevich, N. The Importance of Investment Attractiveness and The Role of Foreign Direct Investors in The Growth of The Country's Economy. *Tex. J. Multidiscip. Stud.* 2023, 25, 22–26.
14. Letunovska, N.; Abazov, R.; Chen, Y. Framing a Regional Spatial Development Perspective: The Relation between Health and Regional Performance. *Virtual Econ.* 2022, 5, 87–99.
15. Dzwigol, H. The Concept of the System Approach of the Enterprise Restructuring Process. *Virtual Econ.* 2019, 2, 46–70.
16. Manioudis, M.; Meramveliotakis, G. Broad strokes towards a grand theory in the analysis of sustainable development: A return to the classical political economy. *New Political Econ.* 2022, 27, 866–878.
17. Małkowska, A.; Urbaniec, M.; Kosała, M. The impact of digital transformation on European countries: Insights from a comparative analysis. *Equilibrium. Q. J. Econ. Econ. Policy* 2021, 16, 325–355.
18. Esses, D.; Csete, M.S.; Németh, B. Sustainability and Digital Transformation in the Visegrad Group of Central European Countries. *Sustainability* 2021, 13, 5833.
19. Almaazmi, J.; Alshurideh, M.; Al Kurdi, B.; Salloum, S.A. The effect of digital transformation on product innovation: A critical review. In *International Conference on Advanced Intelligent Systems and Informatics*; Springer International Publishing: Cham, Switzerland, 2020; pp. 731–741.
20. Panait, N.G.; Rădoi, M.A. Accelerating the Digitization Process in the Public Sector. *Glob. Econ. Obs.* 2022, 10, 112–118.
21. Trzaska, R.; Sulich, A.; Organa, M.; Niemczyk, J.; Jasiński, B. Digitalization Business Strategies in Energy Sector: Solving Problems with Uncertainty under Industry 4.0 Conditions. *Energies* 2021, 14, 7997.
22. Lang, V.; Lang, V. Digitalization and digital transformation. In *Digital Fluency: Understanding the Basics of Artificial Intelligence, Blockchain Technology, Quantum Computing, and Their Applications for Digital Transformation*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2021; pp. 1–50.
23. Althabatah, A.; Yaqot, M.; Menezes, B.; Kerbache, L. Transformative Procurement Trends: Integrating Industry 4.0 Technologies for Enhanced Procurement Processes. *Logistics* 2023, 7, 63.
24. Borowski, P.F. Digitization, Digital Twins, Blockchain, and Industry 4.0 as Elements of Management Process in Enterprises in the Energy Sector. *Energies* 2021, 14, 1885.
25. Li, Y.; Dai, J.; Cui, L. The impact of digital technologies on economic and environmental performance in the context of industry 4.0: A moderated mediation model. *Int. J. Prod. Econ.* 2020, 229, 107777.
26. Wu, H.; Xue, Y.; Hao, Y.; Ren, S. How does internet development affect energy-saving and emission reduction? Evidence from China. *Energy Econ.* 2021, 103, 105577.
27. Nyenno, I.; Truba, V.; Tokarchuk, L. Managerial Future of the Artificial Intelligence. *Virtual Econ.* 2023, 6, 72–88.
28. Kharazishvili, Y.; Kwilinski, A. Methodology for Determining the Limit Values of National Security Indicators Using Artificial Intelligence Methods. *Virtual Econ.* 2022, 5, 7–26.
29. Szczepańska-Woszczyna, K.; Gatnar, S. Key Competences of Research and Development Project Managers in High Technology Sector. *Forum Sci. Oeconomia* 2022, 10, 107–130.
30. Sedlmeir, J.; Buhl, H.U.; Fridgen, G.; Keller, R. The energy consumption of blockchain technology: Beyond myth. *Bus. Inf. Syst. Eng.* 2020, 62, 599–608.
31. Pirola, F.; Boucher, X.; Wiesner, S.; Pezzotta, G. Digital technologies in product-service systems: A literature review and a research agenda. *Comput. Ind.* 2020, 123, 103301.
32. Khan, S.A.R.; Ponce, P.; Thomas, G.; Yu, Z.; Al-Ahmadi, M.S.; Tanveer, M. Digital Technologies, Circular Economy Practices and Environmental Policies in the Era of COVID-19. *Sustainability* 2021, 13, 12790.
33. Lyu, W.; Liu, J. Artificial Intelligence and emerging digital technologies in the energy sector. *Appl. Energy* 2021, 303, 117615.
34. Kwilinski, A.; Lyulyov, O.; Pimonenko, T. The Coupling and Coordination Degree of Digital Business and Digital Governance in the Context of Sustainable Development. *Information* 2023, 14, 651.
35. Chen, X.; Despeisse, M.; Johansson, B. Environmental Sustainability of Digitalization in Manufacturing: A Review. *Sustainability* 2020, 12, 10298.

36. Ha, L.T. Socioeconomic and resource efficiency impacts of digital public services. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 2022, 29, 83839–83859.
37. Wen, H.; Lee, C.C.; Song, Z. Digitalization and environment: How does ICT affect enterprise environmental performance? *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 2021, 28, 54826–54841.
38. Ozturk, I.; Ullah, S. Does digital financial inclusion matter for economic growth and environmental sustainability in OBRI economies? An empirical analysis. *Resour. Conserv. Recycl.* 2022, 185, 106489.
39. Hosan, S.; Karmaker, S.C.; Rahman, M.M.; Chapman, A.J.; Saha, B.B. Dynamic links among the demographic dividend, digitalization, energy intensity and sustainable economic growth: Empirical evidence from emerging economies. *J. Clean. Prod.* 2022, 330, 129858.
40. Hao, Y.; Li, Y.; Guo, Y.; Chai, J.; Yang, C.; Wu, H. Digitalization and electricity consumption: Does internet development contribute to the reduction in electricity intensity in China? *Energy Policy* 2022, 164, 112912.
41. Kazancoglu, Y.; Ozbiltekin, M.; Ozkan Ozen, Y.D.; Sagnak, M. A proposed sustainable and digital collection and classification center model to manage e-waste in emerging economies. *J. Enterp. Inf. Manag.* 2021, 34, 267–291.
42. Kurniawan, T.A.; Othman, M.H.D.; Hwang, G.H.; Gikas, P. Unlocking digital technologies for waste recycling in Industry 4.0 era: A transformation toward a digitalization-based circular economy in Indonesia. *J. Clean. Prod.* 2022, 357, 131911.
43. Chen, Y.; Lyulyov, O.; Pimonenko, T.; Kwilinski, A. Green development of the country: Role of macroeconomic stability. *Energy Environ.* 2023, 0958305X231151679.
44. Selvakumar, R.D.; Wu, J.; Afgan, I.; Ding, Y.; Alkaabi, A.K. Melting performance enhancement in a thermal energy storage unit using active vortex generation by electric field. *J. Energy Storage* 2023, 67, 107593.
45. Chavan, S.; Rudrapati, R.; Manickam, S. A comprehensive review on current advances of thermal energy storage and its applications. *Alex. Eng. J.* 2022, 61, 5455–5463.
46. Skocdopole, P.; Skypalova, R.; Fitala, P. Sustainable Strategic Management: Foreign Capital Participation in the Post-COVID-19 Era. *Forum Sci. Oeconomia* 2023, 11, 189–207.
47. Kwilinski, A.; Lyulyov, O.; Pimonenko, T. The Effects of Urbanization on Green Growth within Sustainable Development Goals. *Land* 2023, 12, 511.
48. Baltgailis, J.; Simakhova, A. The Technological Innovations of Fintech Companies to Ensure the Stability of the Financial System in Pandemic Times. *Mark. Manag. Innov.* 2022, 2, 55–65.
49. Kurniawan, T.A.; Liang, X.; O'Callaghan, E.; Goh, H.; Othman, M.H.D.; Avtar, R.; Kusworo, T.D. Transformation of Solid Waste Management in China: Moving toward Sustainability through Digitalization-Based Circular Economy. *Sustainability* 2022, 14, 2374.
50. Nañez Alonso, S.L.; Reier Forradellas, R.F.; Pi Morell, O.; Jorge-Vazquez, J. Digitalization, Circular Economy and Environmental Sustainability: The Application of Artificial Intelligence in the Efficient Self-Management of Waste. *Sustainability* 2021, 13, 2092.
51. Fatimah, Y.A.; Govindan, K.; Murniningsih, R.; Setiawan, A. Industry 4.0 based sustainable circular economy approach for smart waste management system to achieve sustainable development goals: A case study of Indonesia. *J. Clean. Prod.* 2020, 269, 122263.
52. Letunovska, N.; Offei, F.A.; Junior, P.A.; Lyulyov, O.; Pimonenko, T.; Kwilinski, A. Green Supply Chain Management: The Effect of Procurement Sustainability on Reverse Logistics. *Logistics* 2023, 7, 47.
53. Khan, Z.; Hossain, M.R.; Badeeb, R.A.; Zhang, C. Aggregate and disaggregate impact of natural resources on economic performance: Role of green growth and human capital. *Resour. Policy* 2023, 80, 103103.
54. Sadiq, W.; Abdullah, I.; Aslam, K.; Zulfiqar, S. Engagement Marketing: The Innovative Perspective to Enhance the Viewer's Loyalty in Social Media and Blogging E-Commerce Websites. *Mark. Manag. Innov.* 2020, 1, 149–166.
55. Khare, V.K.; Raghuwanshi, S.; Vashisht, A.; Verma, P.; Chauhan, R. The importance of green management and its implication in creating sustainability performance on the small-scale industries in India. *J. Law Sustain. Dev.* 2023, 11, e699.
56. Ortina, G.; Zayats, D.; Akimova, L.; Akimov, O.; Karpa, M. Economic Efficiency of Public Administration in the Field of Digital Development. *Econ. Aff.* 2023, 68, 1543–1553.
57. Vochozka, M.; Horak, J.; Krulicky, T. Innovations in management forecast: Time development of stock prices with neural networks. *Mark. Manag. Innov.* 2020, 2, 324–339.
58. Sadigov, R. Impact of Digitalization on Entrepreneurship Development in the Context of Business Innovation Management. *Mark. Manag. Innov.* 2022, 1, 167–175.

59. Dzwigol, H. Methodological and Empirical Platform of Triangulation in Strategic Management. *Acad. Strateg. Manag. J.* 2020, 19, 1–8.
60. Dzwigol, H. Comparing Idiographic and Nomothetic Approaches in Management Sciences Research. *Virtual Econ.* 2022, 5, 27–49.
61. Dzwigol, H. The Quality Determinants of the Research Process in Management Sciences. *Virtual Econ.* 2023, 6, 35–55.
62. Hetemi, E.; Ordieres-Meré, J.; Nuur, C. An Institutional Approach to Digitalization in Sustainability-Oriented Infrastructure Projects: The Limits of the Building Information Model. *Sustainability* 2020, 12, 3893.
63. Matthes, M.; Kunkel, S. Structural change and digitalization in developing countries: Conceptually linking the two transformations. *Technol. Soc.* 2020, 63, 101428.
64. Ma, R.; Lin, B. Digital infrastructure construction drives green economic transformation: Evidence from Chinese cities. *Humanit. Soc. Sci. Commun.* 2023, 10, 460.
65. Sepashvili, E. Supporting digitalization: Key goal for national competitiveness in digital global economy. *Econ. Aziend. Online* 2020, 11, 191–198.
66. Hustad, E.; Olsen, D.H. Creating a sustainable digital infrastructure: The role of service-oriented architecture. *Procedia Comput. Sci.* 2021, 181, 597–604.
67. Onyango, G.; Ondiek, J.O. Digitalization and integration of sustainable development goals (SGDs) in public organizations in Kenya. *Public Organ. Rev.* 2021, 21, 511–526.
68. Myovella, G.; Karacuka, M.; Haucap, J. Digitalization and economic growth: A comparative analysis of Sub-Saharan Africa and OECD economies. *Telecommun. Policy* 2020, 44, 101856.
69. Dacko-Pikiewicz, Z. Building a family business brand in the context of the concept of stakeholder-oriented value. *Forum Sci. Oeconomia* 2019, 7, 37–51.
70. Sahoo, S.; Kumar, A.; Upadhyay, A. How do green knowledge management and green technology innovation impact corporate environmental performance? Understanding the role of green knowledge acquisition. *Bus. Strategy Environ.* 2023, 32, 551–569.
71. Dźwigol, H.; Trzeciak, M. Pragmatic Methodology in Management Science. *Forum Sci. Oeconomia* 2023, 11, 67–90.
72. Al-Faouri, A.H. Green knowledge management and technology for organizational sustainability: The mediating role of knowledge-based leadership. *Cogent Bus. Manag.* 2023, 10, 2262694.
73. Abbas, J.; Khan, S.M. Green knowledge management and organizational green culture: An interaction for organizational green innovation and green performance. *J. Knowl. Manag.* 2023, 27, 1852–1870.
74. Meramveliotakis, G.; Manioudis, M. Default Nudge and Street Lightning Conservation: Towards a Policy Proposal for the Current Energy Crisis. *J. Knowl. Econ.* 2023, 1–10.
75. Bouquet, P.; Jackson, I.; Nick, M.; Kaboli, A. AI-based forecasting for optimized solar energy management and smart grid efficiency. *Int. J. Prod. Res.* 2023, 1–22.
76. Stecula, K.; Wolniak, R.; Grebski, W.W. AI-Driven Urban Energy Solutions—From Individuals to Society: A Review. *Energies* 2023, 16, 7988.
77. Mishra, P.; Singh, G. Energy Management Systems in Sustainable Smart Cities Based on the Internet of Energy: A Technical Review. *Energies* 2023, 16, 6903.
78. Fakhar, A.; Haidar, A.M.; Abdullah, M.O.; Das, N. Smart grid mechanism for green energy management: A comprehensive review. *Int. J. Green Energy* 2023, 20, 284–308.
79. Kwilinski, A. Implementation of Blockchain Technology in Accounting Sphere. *Acad. Account. Financ. Stud. J.* 2019, 23, 1–6.
80. Dzwigol, H.; Kwilinski, A.; Lyulyov, O.; Pimonenko, T. Renewable Energy, Knowledge Spillover and Innovation: Capacity of Environmental Regulation. *Energies* 2023, 16, 1117.
81. Melnychenko, O. Application of artificial intelligence in control systems of economic activity. *Virtual Econ.* 2019, 2, 30–40.
82. Mitra, C.K. Digital technologies and clean energy. In *Sustainable and Circular Management of Resources and Waste Toward a Green Deal*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2023; pp. 401–414.
83. Aghimien, E.I.; Aghimien, L.M.; Petinrin, O.O.; Aghimien, D.O. High-performance computing for computational modeling in built environment-related studies—a scientometric review. *J. Eng. Des. Technol.* 2021, 19, 1138–1157.

84. Uddin, M.; Talha, M.; Rahman, A.A.; Shah, A.; Ahmed, J.; Memon, J. Green Information Technology (IT) framework for energy efficient data centers using virtualization. *Int. J. Phys. Sci.* 2012, 7, 2052–2065.
85. Šulyová, D.; Kubina, M. Quality of life in the concept of strategic management for Smart Cities. *Forum Sci. Oeconomia* 2022, 10, 9–24.
86. Kwilinski, A.; Lyulyov, O.; Pimonenko, T. Unlocking Sustainable Value through Digital Transformation: An Examination of ESG Performance. *Information* 2023, 14, 444.
87. Kwilinski, A.; Lyulyov, O.; Pimonenko, T. The Impact of Digital Business on Energy Efficiency in EU Countries. *Information* 2023, 14, 480.
88. Karlilar, S.; Balcilar, M.; Emir, F. Environmental sustainability in the OECD: The power of digitalization, green innovation, renewable energy and financial development. *Telecommun. Policy* 2023, 47, 102568.
89. Di Silvestre, M.L.; Favuzza, S.; Sanseverino, E.R.; Zizzo, G. How Decarbonization, Digitalization and Decentralization are changing key power infrastructures. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 2018, 93, 483–498.
90. Singh, R.; Akram, S.V.; Gehlot, A.; Buddhi, D.; Priyadarshi, N.; Twala, B. Energy System 4.0: Digitalization of the energy sector with inclination toward sustainability. *Sensors* 2022, 22, 6619.
91. Zhang, H.; Gao, S.; Zhou, P. Role of digitalization in energy storage technological innovation: Evidence from China. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 2023, 171, 113014.
92. Charfeddine, L.; Umlai, M. ICT sector, digitization and environmental sustainability: A systematic review of the literature from 2000 to 2022. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 2023, 184, 113482.
93. Saha, L.; Kumar, V.; Tiwari, J.; Rawat, S.; Singh, J.; Bauddh, K. Electronic waste and their leachates impact on human health and environment: Global ecological threat and management. *Environ. Technol. Innov.* 2021, 24, 102049.
94. Fernandes, M. E-waste: A justice issue we'd rather ignore. *Vis. A J. Church Theol.* 2015, 16, 60–66.
95. Ilankoon, I.M.S.K.; Ghorbani, Y.; Chong, M.N.; Herath, G.; Moyo, T.; Petersen, J. E-waste in the international context—A review of trade flows, regulations, hazards, waste management strategies and technologies for value recovery. *Waste Manag.* 2018, 82, 258–275.
96. Kumar, A.; Holuszko, M.; Espinosa, D.C.R. E-waste: An overview on generation, collection, legislation and recycling practices. *Resour. Conserv. Recycl.* 2017, 122, 32–42.
97. Perri, C.; Giglio, C.; Corvello, V. Smart users for smart technologies: Investigating the intention to adopt smart energy consumption behaviors. *Technol. Forecast. Soc. Chang.* 2020, 155, 119991.
98. Ren, S.; Hao, Y.; Xu, L.; Wu, H.; Ba, N. Digitalization and energy: How does internet development affect China's energy consumption? *Energy Econ.* 2021, 98, 105220.
99. Sovacool, B.K.; Del Rio, D.D.F. Smart home technologies in Europe: A critical review of concepts, benefits, risks and policies. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 2020, 120, 109663.
100. Kaur, T.; Chana, I. Energy efficiency techniques in cloud computing: A survey and taxonomy. *ACM Comput. Surv. (CSUR)* 2015, 48, 1–46.
101. Friis, S.; Larsen, L.M.P.; Ruepp, S. Strategies for minimization of energy consumption in data Centers. In *Proceedings of the 22nd Annual Conference of the International Competition Network (ICN)*, Barcelona, Spain, 18–20 October 2023; pp. 2–9.
102. Al-Jumaili, A.H.A.; Muniyandi, R.C.; Hasan, M.K.; Paw, J.K.S.; Singh, M.J. Big Data Analytics Using Cloud Computing Based Frameworks for Power Management Systems: Status, Constraints, and Future Recommendations. *Sensors* 2023, 23, 2952.
103. Aithal, P.S. Advances and new research opportunities in quantum computing technology by integrating it with other ICCT underlying technologies. *Int. J. Case Stud. Bus. IT Educ. (IJCSBE)* 2023, 7, 314–358.
104. Mishra, P.; Singh, G. Energy Management of Sustainable Smart Cities Using Internet-of-Energy. In *Sustainable Smart Cities: Enabling Technologies, Energy Trends and Potential Applications*; Springer International Publishing: Cham, Switzerland, 2023; pp. 143–173.
105. Dzwigol, H. Innovation in marketing research: Quantitative and qualitative analysis. *Mark. Manag. Innov.* 2020, 1, 128–135.
106. Xu, Q.; Zhong, M.; Li, X. How does digitalization affect energy? International evidence. *Energy Econ.* 2022, 107, 105879.
107. Li, Y.; Yang, X.; Ran, Q.; Wu, H.; Irfan, M.; Ahmad, M. Energy structure, digital economy, and carbon emissions: Evidence from China. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 2021, 28, 64606–64629.

108. Zhang, L.; Mu, R.; Zhan, Y.; Yu, J.; Liu, L.; Yu, Y.; Zhang, J. Digital economy, energy efficiency, and carbon emissions: Evidence from provincial panel data in China. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2022, 852, 158403.
109. Noussan, M.; Tagliapietra, S. The effect of digitalization in the energy consumption of passenger transport: An analysis of future scenarios for Europe. *J. Clean. Prod.* 2020, 258, 120926.
110. Xu, Q.; Zhong, M. The impact of income inequity on energy consumption: The moderating role of digitalization. *J. Environ. Manag.* 2023, 325, 116464.
111. Lange, S.; Pohl, J.; Santarius, T. Digitalization and energy consumption. Does ICT reduce energy demand? *Ecol. Econ.* 2020, 176, 106760.
112. Zhanibek, A.; Abazov, R.; Khazbulatov, A. Digital Transformation of a Country's Image: The Case of the Astana International Finance Centre in Kazakhstan. *Virtual Econ.* 2022, 5, 71–94.
113. Trushkina, N.; Abazov, R.; Rynkevych, N.; Bakhautdinova, G. Digital Transformation of Organizational Culture under Conditions of the Information Economy. *Virtual Econ.* 2020, 3, 7–38.
114. Kwilinski, A.; Lyulyov, O.; Pimonenko, T. Reducing Transport Sector CO2 Emissions Patterns: Environmental Technologies and Renewable Energy. *J. Open Innov. Technol. Mark. Complex.* 2024, 10, 100217.
115. Miśkiewicz, R. Challenges facing management practice in the light of Industry 4.0: The example of Poland. *Virtual Econ.* 2019, 2, 37–47.
116. Dźwigol, H.; Dźwigol-Barosz, M.; Zhyvko, Z.; Miśkiewicz, R.; Pushak, H. Evaluation of the Energy Security as a Component of National Security of the Country. *J. Secur. Sustain. Issues* 2019, 8, 307–317.
117. Nižetić, S.; Arıcı, M.; Hoang, A.T. Smart and sustainable technologies in energy transition. *J. Clean. Prod.* 2023, 389, 135944.
118. Fraga-Lamas, P.; Lopes, S.I.; Fernández-Caramés, T.M. Green IoT and Edge AI as Key Technological Enablers for a Sustainable Digital Transition toward a Smart Circular Economy: An Industry 5.0 Use Case. *Sensors* 2021, 21, 5745.
119. Niu, Y.; Lin, X.; Luo, H.; Zhang, J.; Lian, Y. Effects of digitalization on energy efficiency: Evidence from Zhejiang Province in China. *Front. Energy Res.* 2022, 10, 847339.
120. Matthes, M.; Kunkel, S.; Dachrodt, M.F.; Beier, G. The impact of digitalization on energy intensity in manufacturing sectors—A panel data analysis for Europe. *J. Clean. Prod.* 2023, 397, 136598.
121. Huang, J.; Wang, Y.; Luan, B.; Zou, H.; Wang, J. The energy intensity reduction effect of developing digital economy: Theory and empirical evidence from China. *Energy Econ.* 2023, 128, 107193.
122. Thanh, T.T.; Ha, L.T.; Dung, H.P.; Huong, T.T.L. Impacts of digitalization on energy security: Evidence from European countries. *Environ. Dev. Sustain.* 2023, 25, 11599–11644.
123. Benedetti, I.; Guarini, G.; Laureti, T. Digitalization in Europe: A potential driver of energy efficiency for the twin transition policy strategy. *Socio-Econ. Plan. Sci.* 2023, 89, 101701.
124. Światowiec-Szczepańska, J.; Stępień, B. Drivers of Digitalization in the Energy Sector—The Managerial Perspective from the Catching Up Economy. *Energies* 2022, 15, 1437.
125. Kwilinski, A. The Relationship between Sustainable Development and Digital Transformation: Bibliometric Analysis. *Virtual Econ.* 2023, 6, 56–69.
126. Sulich, A.; Zema, T. The Green Energy Transition in Germany: A Bibliometric Study. *Forum Sci. Oeconomia* 2023, 11, 175–195.
127. Hidayat, T.; Mahardiko, R.; Rosyad, A.M. The Analysis of Data Preparation to Validate Model Values of Information Technology. *Virtual Econ.* 2023, 6, 23–34.
128. Zadorozhnyi, Z.-M.; Muravskiy, V.; Pochynok, N.; Ivasechko, U. Application of the Internet of Things and 6G Cellular Communication to Optimize Accounting and International Marketing. *Virtual Econ.* 2023, 6, 38–56.
129. Wu, H.; Zhong, R.; Wang, Z.; Qu, Y.; Yang, X.; Hao, Y. How does industrial intellectualization affect energy intensity? Evidence from China. *Energy J.* 2024, 45, 27–48.
130. Chen, Y.; Kwilinski, A.; Chygryn, O.; Lyulyov, O.; Pimonenko, T. The Green Competitiveness of Enterprises: Justifying the Quality Criteria of Digital Marketing Communication Channels. *Sustainability* 2021, 13, 13679.
131. Trushkina, N. Development of the information economy under the conditions of global economic transformations: Features, factors and prospects. *Virtual Econ.* 2019, 2, 7–25.
132. Kwilinski, A. E-Commerce and Sustainable Development in the European Union: A Comprehensive Analysis of SDG2, SDG12, and SDG13. *Forum Sci. Oeconomia* 2023, 11, 87–107.

133. Berkhout, F.; Hertin, J. Dematerializing and rematerializing: Digital technologies and the environment. *Futures* 2004, 36, 903–920.
134. Rapke, I.; Christensen, T.I. Digital technologies and daily life. *Sustain. Pract. Soc. Theory Clim. Chang.* 2013, 95, 49.
135. Dienlin, T.; Johannes, N. The impact of digital technology use on adolescent well-being. *Dialogs Clin. Neurosci.* 2020, 22, 135–142.
136. Sorrell, S. Digitalization of goods: A systematic review of the determinants and magnitude of the impacts on energy consumption. *Environ. Res. Lett.* 2020, 15, 043001.
137. Saqib, N.; Abbas, S.; Ozturk, I.; Murshed, M.; Tarczyńska-Łuniewska, M.; Alam, M.M.; Tarczyński, W. Leveraging environmental ICT for carbon neutrality: Analyzing the impact of financial development, renewable energy and human capital in top polluting economies. *Gondwana Res.* 2024, 126, 305–320.
138. Latif, R. ConTrust: A novel context-dependent trust management model in social Internet of Things. *IEEE Access* 2022, 10, 46526–46537.
139. Wilson, C.; Kerr, L.; Sprei, F.; Vrain, E.; Wilson, M. Potential climate benefits of digital consumer innovations. *Annu. Rev. Environ. Resour.* 2020, 45, 113–144.
140. Hosan, S.; Karmaker, S.C.; Rahman, M.M.; Uddin, M.A. Digitalization, Energy Intensity and Economic Growth: A Panel Study on South Asian Economies. *Sciences (IEICES)* 2021, 7, 19–25.
141. Hung, N.T. The Effects of Digitalization, Energy Intensity, and the Demographic Dividend on Viet Nam's Economic Sustainability Goals. *Asian Dev. Rev.* 2023, 40, 399–425.
142. Lan, J.; Wen, H. Industrial digitalization and energy intensity: Evidence from China's manufacturing sector. *Energy Res. Lett.* 2021, 2, 1–6.

Retrieved from <https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/126617>