Steel for Nuclear Pressure Vessels

Subjects: Materials Science, Characterization & Testing

Contributor: Linjun Zhou , Jie Dai , Yang Li , Xin Dai , Changsheng Xie , Linze Li , Liansheng Chen

The nuclear reactor pressure vessel is an important component of a nuclear power plant. It has been used in harsh environments such as high temperature, high pressure, neutron irradiation, thermal aging, corrosion and fatigue for a long time, which puts forward higher standards for the performance requirements for nuclear pressure vessel steel.

nuclear reactor pressure vessels		microstructure evolution	mechanical properties	irradiation
corrosion	thermal aging	fatigue properties		

1. Background

In recent years, the global energy crisis has swept the world. Fossil energy, such as coal, oil and natural gas, is being consumed at a visible rate, resulting in energy shortages. Currently, the world is dominated by coal power generation. However, the coal power generation not only causes environmental pollution but also leads to energy exhaustion, which will cause the global power shortage and price rise ^{[1][2]}. Nuclear energy is a kind of clean, efficient, economical and safe renewable energy ^[3]. Nuclear energy is one of the effective ways to solve the energy crisis. However, there has been a global anxiety about the use of nuclear power since the Fukushima accident. Therefore, research on the safety of nuclear power plants and their components is the key to the global nuclear power industry.

2. Development of Steel for Nuclear Pressure Vessels

Nuclear reactor pressure vessel (RPV) is an important component of nuclear power plant and cannot be replaced during the entire life cycle. Therefore, the steel used for RPV was generally improved on the basis of the previous generation of RPV materials. The initial material of RPV was C–Mn steel used for the boiler. The plate of SA212B, and the forgings of SA105 and SA182, were selected as the steels for first-generation RPV due to their good welding performance and high strength. However, the impact toughness and high temperature performance of C–Mn steel are poor, and the hardenability was insufficient, so the first generation of nuclear pressure vessel steel has been replaced.

The first generation RPV material was replaced by Mn–Mo-series low-alloy high-strength steel SA302B plate in order to improve the strength and toughness, which was called the second generation of RPV steel. Subsequently, the modified SA533B was made by adding 0.4–1% Ni element on the basis of SA302B, which had good strength,

hardness and toughness, so it was widely used in nuclear reactor pressure vessels [4][5]. RPV materials would be damaged by strong neutron radiation during the service. However, there were more longitudinal and circumferential welds in the plates of the first and second generation RPV steels, and the position of the welds was the weak link of radiation resistance. Therefore, in order to increase the safety and reliability of nuclear pressure vessels during the service, forging materials were gradually used to decrease the welding areas. Then, the SA508Gr.2 steel was improved on the basis of SA105 and SA182 forgings by adding Ni and Ni–Mo elements. However, SA508Gr.2 steel was gradually eliminated due to insufficient hardenability, poor toughness, and reheat cracks under the surfacing layer ^[6].

The possibility of reheat cracks in SA508Gr.2 steel was reduced by decreasing the contents of C, Cr and Mo. Meanwhile, the Mn was added to improve the strength of RPV materials. Therefore, SA508Gr steel was improved as the third-generation nuclear reactor pressure vessel material under this background ^[7]. At present, SA508Gr.3 steel is the preferred material for RPVs, which decreases the area of weld joints and greatly improves the radiation resistance as well as the overall safety of nuclear power plants. Meanwhile, the widely used third-generation RPV materials also include 20MnMoNi55 steel in Germany ^{[8][9][10]}, 16MnD5 steel in France ^{[11][12]}, 15X2HM steel in Russia ^{[13][14]} and SA508Gr.3 steel in China ^[15], and so on.

With the improvement of safety performance and increase of service life of nuclear power plants, RPV materials are developing towards the direction of "large-scale integrated design" and "high safety and longevity operation", which requires the steel used in RPV to have better hardenability and higher strength and toughness ^{[16][17][18]}. When using SA508Gr.3 steel, it was difficult to ensure the uniformity of microstructure and the stability of properties on the extra-thick section due to the insufficient hardenability ^[19]. Therefore, SA508Gr.4N steel was used as the new generation nuclear pressure vessel material by increasing Ni and Cr elements and decreasing Mn element based on SA508Gr.3 steel ^[20]. The reduction of Mn content in SA508Gr.4N steel would decrease segregation and make the interior of the material pure, and the increase of Ni element could improve the hardenability ^[21]. SA508Gr.4N steel was considered as candidate structural material for the new generation RPV because these had higher hardenability, better mechanical and irradiation properties compared with SA508Gr.3 steel ^{[19][23][24][25]}. The main chemical composition content of different nuclear pressure vessel materials and A508 series steel are shown in **Table 1** and **Table 2**, respectively.

Materials	С	Si	Mn	Cr	Ni	Мо
A212B	≤0.30	0.15–0.30	0.85–1.20	-	-	-
A302B	≤0.26	0.13–0.32	1.10–1.55	-	-	0.41-0.64

Fable 1. The content of main alloying elements	f reactor pressure vessel steel for PWR (wt.%) [24][26].
--	--

Materials	С	Si	Mn	Cr	Ni	Мо
A533B	≤0.25	0.15–0.30	1.51–1.50	-	0.40-0.70	0.45–0.60
A508-2	≤0.27	0.15–0.35	0.50–0.90	0.25–0.45	0.50–0.90	0.55–0.70
US A508-3	≤0.26	0.15–0.40	1.20-1.50	≤0.25	0.40-1.00	0.45–0.55
20MnMoNi55	0.17-0.23	0.15–0.30	1.20-1.50	≤0.20	0.50-1.00	0.40–0.55
22NiMoCr37	≤0.20	0.15–0.30	1.20-1.40	≤0.40	0.40-1.00	0.40–0.55
16MND5	≤0.20	0.10-0.30	1.15–1.55	≤0.25	0.50–0.80	0.45–0.55
SFVV3	0.15–0.22	0.15–0.35	1.40-1.50	0.06-0.20	0.70–1.00	0.46-0.64
Chinese A508-3	0.19	0.19–0.27	1.20–1.43	0.06-0.12	0.73–0.79	0.48–0.51
15Х2НМФА	0.13–0.18	0.17–0.37	0.30–0.60	1.80–2.30	1.00-1.50	0.50–0.70
A508-4	≤0.23	≤0.40	0.20-0.40	1.50–2.0	2.80–3.90 [<u>24</u>]	0.40-0.60

Elements	Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4N	Grade 5	Grade 6
C (max)	0.35	0.27	0.25	0.23	0.23	0.28–0.33
Si (max)	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	0.35
Mn	0.40-1.05	0.50–1.00	1.20–1.50	0.20-0.40	0.20-0.40	0.75–1.15
Cr	≤0.25	0.25–0.45	≤0.25	1.50-2.00	1.50-2.00	0.70-1.00

Elements	Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4N	Grade 5	Grade 6
Ni	≤0.40	0.50-1.00	0.40-1.00	2.80-3.90	2.80-3.90	0.75-0.95
Мо	≤0.10	0.55–0.70	0.45–0.60	0.40-0.60	0.40-0.60	0.30–0.45

The safety of nuclear power plant depends on the reliability of nuclear island equipment, especially the nuclear vessel equipment that directly or indirectly contact with radioactive media, such as nuclear reactor pressure vessels, steam generators, pressurizers, etc., and the RPV also plays a role in maintaining the operating pressure balance in the reactor. RPV materials were constantly exposed to high temperature and high pressure during the service. They determined the safety and service life of nuclear power plant to a great extent ^{[27][28][29][30]}. The nuclear reactor pressure vessel contained the reactor core to prevent the leakage of radioactive substances. Therefore, the radiation damage would accompany the whole service life of RPV. **Table 3** showed the neutron fluence rate and the neutron fluence in the whole service cycle of common reactor types. It could be seen from the **Table 3** that the nuclear reactor was seriously affected by neutron irradiation during the service cycle, which would cause deterioration of the performance of nuclear pressure vessel materials.

Poactor Typo	Flux, $m^{-2} \cdot s^{-1}$	Lifetime * Fluence, m^{-2}
κεαιο τγρε	(E > 1 MeV)	(E > 1 MeV)
WWER-440 core weld	1.2×10^{15}	1.1×10^{24}
WWER-440 maximum	1.5×10^{15}	1.6×10^{24}
WWER-1000	$3-4 \times 10^{14}$	3.7×10^{23}
PWR (W)	4×10^{14}	4 × 10 ²³
PWR (B&W)	1.2×10^{14}	1.2×10^{23}
BWR	4×10^{13}	4 × 10 ²²

Table 3. Neutron fluence rate and neutron fluence in common reactor (E > 1 MeV) [31].

* Lifetime fluences for WWERs are calculated for 40 calendar years, PWRs are calculated for 32 Effective Full Power Years. However, note that this does not include the effect of service or operational life extension.

In addition, the RPV nozzle was also connected with the primary circuit main pipeline cooling system. The RPV materials were not resistant to corrosion, so a layer of austenitic stainless steel or nickel-based alloy corrosion-resistant lining would be overlaid on its inner wall to prevent corrosion. The primary cooling system was isolated from the outside world and oxygen concentration was very low, which would not cause corrosion damage to the nuclear pressure vessel system during the normal service conditions. However, the damage to the corrosion-resistant surfacing layer, stress corrosion cracking of alloy pipe penetrations at the bottom and upper closure of nuclear pressure vessels and potential leakage sources (flanges, bolts, sealing rings, valves) would lead to corrosion behavior and decrease the service life of materials during the long-term service of RPV. Therefore, corrosion was also one of the service environments of the RPV.

The service life of nuclear power plants had been increased from the original design of 40 years to 60 years with the rapid development of nuclear power technology, and it would be extended to 80 years in the future ^{[32][33][34]}. The long-term service of nuclear pressure vessel at high temperature would lead to the thermal aging behavior of the RPV materials, which would affect its microstructures and properties ^{[35][36][37][38]}. So, the service environment of RPV also included thermal aging. In addition, the RPV would be affected by fatigue damage during the service. The frequent temperature fluctuations, the start-up and shut-down process, the process of emergency shutdown and unloading would cause the RPV subjected to the influence of cyclic thermal stress, which would cause continuous fatigue damage behavior of the structural components during their lifespan ^{[9][39][40][41]}. Therefore, the fatigue damage of RPV materials was an important failure mode during the service. In summary, the service environment of RPV included high temperature, high pressure, neutron irradiation, corrosion, thermal aging and fatigue damage, as shown in **Figure 1**. During the long-term operation of nuclear pressure vessels, it not only the single service environment damaged the matrix of materials, but also the synergistic damaged of various damage mechanisms, which might cause the material to fail to meet the design standards and be scrapped in advance in the later stage of service. Herein, the effect of service environments on RPV materials are discussed one-by-one.



Figure 1. The service environment of nuclear pressure vessel [27][29][31][32][38][42].

4. Hot Deformation Behavior of Nuclear Pressure Vessel

RPV materials were subjected to different stages before application, such as smelting, ingot casting, forging, preheat-treatment, rough machining, quenching and tempering heat treatment, post-weld heat treatment, and delivery. Once the forging parameters were not well controlled during the forging, it was easy to cause mixed-crystal microstructures and other defects in the RPV materials, which would seriously influence the safe service performance ^{[16][17][43]}. Therefore, it was essential to study the hot deformation behavior for RPV materials.

5. Mechanical Properties of Steels for Nuclear Pressure Vessel

The ASTM standard ^[24] specifies that nuclear pressure vessels must meet certain mechanical properties requirements after forging, as shown in **Table 4**. Therefore, it is very important to understand the factors influencing the mechanical properties of nuclear pressure vessel materials to improve their mechanical properties. The mechanical properties of RPV materials are affected by many factors, such as alloying elements, heat treatment parameters, carbides, grain boundaries, segregation as well as hydrogen charging environment, etc.

Mechanical Properties	Grades 1 and 1a	Grades 2 Class 1 and 3 Class 1	Grades 2 Class 2 and 3 Class 2	Grades 4N Class 1 and 5 Class 1	Grades 4N Class 2 and 5 Class 2	Grades 6 Class 1	Grades 6 Class 2	
Tensile strength, ksi [MPa]	70–95 [485– 655]	80–105 [550–725]	90–115 [620–795]	105–130 [725–895]	115–140 [795–965]	85–110 [585– 760]	95–120 [655– 825]	
Yield strength, min [0.2% offset], ksi [MPa]	36 [250]	50 [345]	65 [450]	85 [585]	100 [690]	60 [415]	75 [515]	
Elongation in 2 in. or 50 mm, min, %	20	18	16	18	16	20	18	
Reduction of area, min, %	38	38	35	45	45	35	35	
Minimum average value of set of three specimens, ft·lbf [J]	15 [<u>20]</u> (4.4 °C)	30 ^{[<u>41</u>] (4.4 °C)}	35 ^[44] (21 °C)	35 ^[44] (-29 °	'C)	20 ^{[<u>27]</u> (-5}	9 °C)	wer

Table 4. The mechanical properties requirements ^[24].

FIGHIS-SIEGHISIUE OXIUALIUH. J. WALEL. EHY. FEHUIHI. 2000, 13, 233-214.

Cui, R.Y.; Hultman, N.; Cui, D.; McJeon, H.; Yu, S.; Edwards, M.R.; Sen, A.; Song, K.; Bowman,
 C.; Clarke, L.; et al. A plant-by-plant strategy for high-ambition coal power phaseout in China. Nat.

Minimum value of	10 ^[<u>14</u>]	25 ^{[<u>34</u>] (4.4}	30 ^{[<u>41</u>] (21}		(00)	
one specimen, ft	(4.4 °C)	°C)	°C)	30 ^[<u>41</u>] (−29 °C)	15 ^[<u>20</u>] (-59 °C)	-758.
נין ומו						steel in
simulated read	เบา ดบบเลา		enis. maier.	CHEIII. PHYS. 2007, 104	4, IZƏ—I3Z.	
5. Huang, J.: Hwa	ang, J.; Ye	eh, J.; Chen	, C.; Kuo, R	. Dynamic strain aging	and grain size redu	ction
Vessel	augue res	stance of a	SA533B3 St	eels. J. Nucl. Water. 200	04, 324, 140-151.	

6. Xiong, Q.; Li, H.; Lu, Z.; Chen, J.; Xiao, Q.; Ma, J.; Ru, X. Characterization of microstructure of The A500014/309

enewhyter the start and the redistribution of target atoms in the target. DPA (displacements per atom) is

the number of times the atoms in a material leave the equilibrium position, and it is the basic unit of irradiation 7. KIM, S.; Lee, S.; IM, Y.-R.; Lee, H.-C.; Oh, Y.J.; Hong, J.H. Effects of alloying elements on damage of a material [45][46][47]. The movement of point defects and defect clusters will occur in the process of mechanical and fracture properties of base metals and simulated heat-affected zones of SA 508 irradiation damage [48]. The irradiation effect is the change of physical and mechanical properties caused by the steels. Met. Mater. Trans. A 2001, 32, 903–911. movement of these defects [49]. The reactor core is wrapped inside the RPV, and its material is exposed to neutron irradiation damage [48]. The reactor core is wrapped inside the RPV, and its material is exposed to neutron irradiation for these defects [49]. The reactor core is wrapped inside the RPV, and its material is exposed to neutron irradiation for the defects [49]. The reactor core is wrapped inside the RPV, and its material is exposed to neutron irradiation for the defects [49]. The reactor core is wrapped inside the RPV, and its material is exposed to neutron irradiation for the defects [49]. The reactor core is wrapped inside the RPV, and its material is exposed to neutron irradiation for the defects [49]. The reactor core is wrapped inside the RPV, and its material is exposed to neutron irradiation for the defects [49]. The reactor core is wrapped inside the RPV, and its material is exposed to neutron irradiation for the defect of the defect of the defect of the second secon

igadiaticar, ard, and an arritic reactor

pressure vessel steel. J. Nucl. Mater. 2015, 462, 273–279.

10. Zhowuhury, S., Sivaprasal, S., Bar, H.R., Steels, for Nuclear, Pressure Vessel

behaviour of 20MnMoNi55 steel at room and elevated temperatures. Fatigue Fract. Eng. Mater. Theoretically, nuclear pressure vessel materials are rarely in direct contact with corrosive solutions due to the Struct. 2015, 38, 813–827. austenitic stainless surfacing on the inner wall of nuclear pressure vessels. However, the actual operation Idxpersorie Rf, groadal Kuid Massowe Rolantees is water an order to be store being of the behavior of a box MD5 actor being being of the store behavior of a box MD5 actor being being of the store of nuclear pressure vessels needs to be given more attention in order to ensure the safe service. 12. He, X.-K., Xie, C.-S., Xiao, L.-J., Luo, Y., Lu, D.; Liu, Z.-D., Wang, X.-T. Microstructure and impact

toughness of 16MND5 reactor pressure vessel steel manufactured by electrical additive **& Study on Thermal Aging, of, Steel for Nuclear Pressure Vessel** 13. Kudrya, A.V.; Nikulin, S.A.; Nikolaev, Y.A.; Arsenkin, A.M.; Sokolovskaya, E.A.; Skorodumov, S.V.;

Thermal aging refers to a phenomenon that the microstructure of material will change under high temperature of material will change under high temperature environment for a long time, and then lead to changes in the properties. Long term service of RPV materials in high 14 merkettee BijvBeneczki, and then lead to changes in the properties. Long term service of RPV materials in high and then lead to changes in the properties. Long term service of RPV materials in high 14 merkettee BijvBeneczki, and then the service of a biological content of the distribution of the distributic of the distribution of the distribution of

15. Xie, C.; Liu, Z.; He, X.; Wang, X.; Qiao, S. Effect of martensite–austenite constituents on impact **9** UF at 90 Fre-temperaties of **10** Streets for the street set of the

- 1fatlylændah AgeLadvamiparlie Barreowhale, Aetaines, cycleliofrastry cauralt Evaintion colds A 508 i Gitaelecio g Steetbrs: Material factors Detormation metal ateror Engs Planforma 2020; n29a1025te1083 actors [51][52][53][54][55][56][57]. The
- essential characteristic of materials was that internal factors affected the fatigue properties, which had a decisive 17. Dai, X.; Yang, B. Study on hot deformation behavior and processing maps of SA508-IV steel for effect on fatigue crack initiation, cyclic hardening/softening and fatigue life. The results of some literature showed novel nuclear reactor pressure vessels. Vacuum 2018, 155, 637–644. that the chemical composition, microstructures and inclusions have great influence on the fatigue properties of 1Aatea als Carles Carles and in Environmental Galetors Effect and Macrase area and the Anther Astructor of the Anther Anther Anther Astructor and s. The
- influencing nicel Por protections net no Riger Lessel Steel Met Materials and Religed & Protection of the Protection of
- 19adian gnzir, coment and nature is on the nature is not sented which here which here the new participation of the new pa company influencing factors of SA508Gr.4N. stepice eavivancent included service temperature, ges sure, water environment, 2019 solved hydrogen/oxygen and pH value, and so on. Loading environment included loading frequency, loading wave, stress ratio, stress amplitude, strain amplitude as well as the strain rate, and so on. 20. Lee, B.; Kim, M.; Yoon, J.; Hong, J. Characterization of high strength and high toughness Ni–Mo–
- Cr low alloy steels for nuclear application. Int. J. Press. Vessel. Pip. 2010, 87, 74–80. **10. Conclusions and Outlook**

21. Park, S.-G.; Lee, K.-H.; Min, K.-D.; Kim, M.-C.; Lee, B.-S. Influence of the thermodynamic

- Nuclean poletes convelse te mater ben buittement as 15A508 Great Nie Crat/odowoallog atten hwith wariation the
- tempte Nature tane chall a going term to falig Necda Mager for Oal 2014 2014 2014 Which would deteriorate the properties of RPV
- materials. Nuclear pressure vessel materials exposed to neutron irradiation for a long time would cause matrix 22. Park, S.G., Kim, M.C.; Lee, B.S., Wee, D.M. Correlation of the thermodynamic calculation and the damage, dislocation loops and impurity element segregation, resulted in irradiation hardening and irradiation experimental observation of Ni-Mo-Cr low alloy steel changing Ni, Mo, and Cr contents. J. Nucl. embrittlement. Although stainless steel was overlaid on the inner wall of the nuclear pressure vessel to prevent Mater. 2010, 407, 126–135.
 corrosion of its materials, long-term service might lead to damage of stainless steel and leakage of potential
- 23aKabe Murces Ratikn SvoGid lead to Kurle ouly contact Segwan are sport fragetal scanter priviles in SASPA Studion, and the Grade high ostignate law allow at the factor of th
- aging Berlav Ropol 2R45, materials, which would lead to microstructure decomposition or carbides coarsening. The
- 24. englaging behavioemainly stundator increase at the relation of the transition to the transition of impestrosopertiosantopeteiel= Fighilys fotiperessonage velse as careforial the attole is avvise corestop or the standard t prepsure sessels of he influence factors of fatigue included microstructure evolution, second phase, service environment, corrosion environment and strain rate, etc. The fine second phase could hinder the propagation of
- 25. Bai, X.; Wu, S.; Liaw, P.K.; Shao, L.; Gigax, J. Effect of Heavy Ion Irradiation Dosage on the fatigue cracks, while the coarsening second phase would become the source of crack initiation. In addition. Hardness of SA508-IV Reactor Pressure Vessel Steel. Metals 2017, 7, 25. corrosion fatigue would significantly decrease the fatigue life of materials compared with fatigue in air.
- 26. Yu, M.; Chao, Y.J.; Luo, Z. An Assessment of Mechanical Properties of A508-3 Steel Used in
- At presents the research on the nuclear pressure serves sel in pressive the influence of a single fastor, ouch de radiation, corrosion and fatigue. However, the service environment of nuclear pressure vessels is very complex, and the 27, Xiao, Q.: Lu, Z.: Chen, J.: Yao, M.: Chen, Z.: Ejaz, A. The effects of temperature and aeration on influence of single factor on the performance is far from the real service conditions, so the research results are the corrosion of A508111 low alloy steel in boric acid solutions at 25–95 °C. J. Nucl. Mater. 2016, insufficient and unscientific for the safety application of RPV materials. Therefore, in order to ensure their safe
- 480, 88-99 service in the later period of service, the collaborative mechanism of multiple service environments on nuclear
- 28 esure yestel materials zhower focusied wester Zin Mitcrosworktural evolution and mechanical

characterization for the A508-3 steel before and after phase transition. J. Nucl. Mater. 2017, 495, 103-110.

- 29. Lindgren, K.; Boåsen, M.; Stiller, K.; Efsing, P.; Thuvander, M. Evolution of precipitation in reactor pressure vessel steel welds under neutron irradiation. J. Nucl. Mater. 2017, 488, 222–230.
- 30. Zhong, W.; Tong, Z.; Ning, G.; Zhang, C.; Lin, H.; Yang, W. The fatigue behavior of irradiated Reactor Pressure Vessel steel. Eng. Fail. Anal. 2017, 82, 840–847.
- 31. IAE Agency. Effects of Nickel on Irradiation Embrittlement of Light Water Reactor Pressure Vessel Steels; IAEA-TECDOC-1441; International Atomic Energy Agency: Vienna, Austria, 2005.
- Gasparrini, C.; Xu, A.; Short, K.; Wei, T.; Davis, J.; Palmer, T.; Bhattacharyya, D.; Edwards, L.; Wenman, M. Micromechanical testing of unirradiated and helium ion irradiated SA508 reactor pressure vessel steels: Nanoindentation vs. in-situ microtensile testing. Mater. Sci. Eng. A 2020, 796, 139942.
- Nanstad, R.K.; Odette, G.R.; Almirall, N.; Robertson, J.P.; Server, W.L.; Yamamoto, T.; Wells, P. Effects of ATR-2 Irradiation to High Fluence on Nine RPV Surveillance Materials; U.S. Department of Energy Office of Scientific and Technical Information: Washington, DC, USA, 2017.
- 34. Ballesteros, A.; Ahlstrand, R.; Bruynooghe, C.; Chernobaeva, A.; Kevorkyan, Y.; Erak, D.; Zurko,D. Irradiation temperature, flux and spectrum effects. Prog. Nucl. Energy 2011, 53, 756–759.
- 35. Shtrombakh, Y.I.; Gurovich, B.A.; Kuleshova, E.A.; Maltsev, D.A.; Fedotova, S.V.; Chernobaeva, A.A. Thermal ageing mechanisms of VVER-1000 reactor pressure vessel steels. J. Nucl. Mater. 2014, 452, 348–358.
- Pareige, P.; Russell, K.; Stoller, R.; Miller, M. Influence of long-term thermal aging on the microstructural evolution of nuclear reactor pressure vessel materials: An atom probe study. J. Nucl. Mater. 1997, 250, 176–183.
- 37. Fukakura, J.; Asano, M.; Kikuchi, M.; Ishikawa, M. Effect of thermal aging on fracture toughness of RPV steel. Nucl. Eng. Des. 1993, 144, 423–429.
- 38. Xing, R.S.; Chen, X.; Yu, D.J. Evolution of Impact Properties of 16MND5 Forgings for Nuclear Reactor Pressure Vessel during Thermal Aging at 500 °C. Key Eng. Mater. 2019, 795, 54–59.
- 39. Timofeev, B. Assessment of the first generation RPV state after designed lifetime. Int. J. Press. Vessel. Pip. 2004, 81, 703–712.
- 40. Dai, X.; Chen, Y.-F.; Wang, P.; Zhang, L.; Yang, B.; Chen, L.-S. Mechanical and fatigue properties of SA508-IV steel used for nuclear reactor pressure vessels. J. Iron Steel Res. Int. 2022, 29, 1312–1321.
- Chen, X.; Ren, B.; Yu, D.; Xu, B.; Zhang, Z.; Chen, G. Uniaxial low cycle fatigue behavior for precorroded 16MND5 bainitic steel in simulated pressurized water reactor environment. J. Nucl. Mater. 2018, 504, 267–276.

- 42. Mu, S.; Li, Y.; Song, D.; Xu, B.; Chen, X. Low Cycle Fatigue Behavior and Failure Mechanism of Wire Arc Additive Manufacturing 16MND5 Bainitic Steel. J. Mater. Eng. Perform. 2021, 30, 4911–4924.
- 43. Dai, X.; Yang, B. Hot Deformation Behavior and Microstructural Evolution of SA508-IV Steel. Steel Res. Int. 2018, 89, 105776.
- 44. Dong, D.-Q.; Chen, F.; Cui, Z.-S. Static recrystallization behavior of SA508-III steel during hot deformation. J. Iron Steel Res. Int. 2016, 23, 466–474.
- 45. Stanisz, P.; Oettingen, M.; Cetnar, J. Development of a Trajectory Period Folding Method for Burnup Calculations. Energies 2022, 15, 2245.
- 46. Cetnar, J.; Stanisz, P.; Oettingen, M. Linear Chain Method for Numerical Modelling of Burnup Systems. Energies 2021, 14, 1520.
- 47. Ma, X.; Zhang, Q.; Song, L.; Zhang, W.; She, M.; Zhu, F. Microstructure Evolution of Reactor Pressure Vessel A508-3 Steel under High-Dose Heavy Ion Irradiation. Crystals 2022, 12, 1091.
- Slugen, V.; Brodziansky, T.; Veternikova, J.S.; Sojak, S.; Petriska, M.; Hinca, R.; Farkas, G. Positron Annihilation Study of RPV Steels Radiation Loaded by Hydrogen Ion Implantation. Materials 2022, 15, 7091.
- 49. Was, G.S. Fundamentals of Radiation Materials Science: Metals and Alloys; Springer: Berlin /Heidelberg, Germany, 2007.
- 50. Calvar, M.L.; Curières, I.D. Corrosion issues in pressurized water reactor (PWR) systems. Nucl. Corros. Sci. Eng. 2012, 15, 473–547.
- 51. Jang, H.; Kim, J.H.; Jang, C.; Lee, J.G.; Kim, T.S. Low-cycle fatigue behaviors of two heats of SA508 Gr.1a low alloy steel in 310 °C air and deoxygenated water–Effects of dynamic strain aging and microstructures. Mater. Sci. Eng. 2013, 580, 41–50.
- 52. Singh, R.; Singh, A.; Singh, P.K.; Mahajan, D.K. Role of prior austenite grain boundaries in short fatigue crack growth in hydrogen charged RPV steel. Int. J. Press. Vessel. Pip. 2019, 171, 242–252.
- 53. Cho, H.C.; Jang, H.; Kim, B.K.; Kim, I.S.; Jang, C.H. Effect of Cyclic Strain Rate on Environmental Fatigue Behaviors of SA508 Gr.1a Low Alloy Steel in 310 °C Deoxygenated Water. Adv. Mater. Res. 2007, 26, 1121–1124.
- 54. Achilles, R.; Bulloch, J. The influence of waveform on the fatigue crack growth behaviour of SA508 cl III RPV steel in various environments. Int. J. Press. Vessel. Pip. 1987, 30, 375–389.
- 55. Seifert, H.; Ritter, S. Corrosion fatigue crack growth behaviour of low-alloy reactor pressure vessel steels under boiling water reactor conditions. Corros. Sci. 2008, 50, 1884–1899.

- 56. Wu, X.; Han, E.; Ke, W.; Katada, Y. Effects of loading factors on environmental fatigue behavior of low-alloy pressure vessel steels in simulated BWR water. Nucl. Eng. Des. 2007, 237, 1452–1459.
- 57. Fekete, B.; Trampus, P. Isothermal and thermal–mechanical fatigue of VVER-440 reactor pressure vessel steels. J. Nucl. Mater. 2015, 464, 394–404.
- 58. Dai, X.; Peng, T.; Chen, Y.; Chen, X.; Yang, B. The correlation between martensite-austenite islands evolution and fatigue behavior of SA508-IV steel. Int. J. Fatigue 2020, 139, 105776.
- 59. Abdullah, M.; Hongneng, C.; Liang, F. Strategies Regarding High-Temperature Applications w.r.t Strength, Toughness, and Fatigue Life for SA508 Alloy. Materials 2021, 14, 1953.
- Singh, R.; Singh, A.; Singh, P.K.; Mahajan, D.K. Effect of microstructural features on short fatigue crack growth behaviour in SA508 Grade 3 Class I low alloy steel. Int. J. Press. Vessel. Pip. 2020, 185, 104136.
- Gao, J.; Liu, C.; Tan, J.; Zhang, Z.; Wu, X.; Han, E.-H.; Shen, R.; Wang, B.; Ke, W. Environmental fatigue correction factor model for domestic nuclear-grade low-alloy steel. Nucl. Eng. Technol. 2021, 53, 2600–2609.
- Huang, J.; Yeh, J.; Kuo, R.; Jeng, S.; Young, M. Fatigue crack growth behavior of reactor pressure vessel steels in air and high-temperature water environments. Int. J. Press. Vessel. Pip. 2008, 85, 772–781.
- 63. Huang, J.Y.; Yeh, J.J.; Kuo, R.C.; Hwang, J.R. Effect of dynamic strain aging on fatigue crack growth behaviour of reactor pressure vessel steels. Mater. Sci. Technol. 2006, 22, 944–954.
- 64. Tice, D. Assessment of environmentally assisted cracking in PWR pressure vessel steels. Int. J. Press. Vessel. Pip. 1991, 47, 113–126.
- 65. Herter, K.-H.; Schuler, X.; Weissenberg, T. Fatigue Behavior of Nuclear Materials Under Air and Environmental Conditions. In Proceedings of the ASME 2013 Pressure Vessels and Piping Conference, Paris, France, 14–18 July 2013.

Retrieved from https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/87832