Hydrotropism

Subjects: Mathematical & Computational Biology
Contributor: Malik Urfa Gul, Anand Paul, Manimurugan S, Abdellah Chehri

Hydrotropism is the movement or growth of a plant towards water. It is a type of tropism, or directional growth response, that is triggered by water. Plants are able to detect water through various stimuli, including changes in moisture levels and changes in water potential.

Keywords: plant–water relations ; hydrotropism ; water sense in plants ; water stress in plants

1. Introduction

It is essential that plants are subjected to a never-ending barrage of sensory inputs from their surroundings through which they receive biotic and abiotic signals continuously in the form of environmental signals. It is important to understand that abiotic signals can come from a variety of sources, such as gravity, light, water, temperature, oxygen, carbon dioxide, and other gases, to name a few. The process by which the plant utilizes these inputs is called tropistic growth (or tropism), which refers to a type of growth that is guided by the plant in response to a stimulus. In general, if the growth of a plant is directed toward a signal it is considered to be positive, while when the growth of a plant is directed away from the signal it is considered negative. For instance, stems are typically characterized by a positive phototropism, in which the stem grows in the direction of the light source [1][2]. Hydrotropism is the mechanism by which plants grow toward the presence of water in response to stimuli related to water. In this case, it is a form of positive tropism, which is the response of a plant to a stimulus that leads to growth or movement. The importance of hydrotropism for plants can be attributed to the fact that it allows them to maximize the availability and quality of water, which is essential for their growth and survival. In the presence of a moisture gradient, plants use hydrotropism to bend their roots in order to reach moistened areas of the soil. Due to the fact that roots play a crucial role in the uptake of water by plants, hydrotropism may provide plants with an efficient way to obtain water during droughts. Among the tropisms that are less well known than the others, hydrotropism describes how the development of organisms is influenced by gradients of water or moisture. This tropism might just be the smallest of all. Even though hydrotropism had been studied in plant roots by German botanists in the 19th century, its reality was questioned until more recently [3]. Studies have shown that plants with mutations in the HK1 gene exhibit reduced hydrotropism in their roots, suggesting that this gene is essential for the process. Other genes that have been implicated in hydrotropism include the CBL1 and CBL9 genes, which are also involved in the perception of and response to water stimuli in plants [4]. Understanding the genes and signaling pathways involved in hydrotropism can help researchers to better understand how plants respond to water stimuli and how they optimize their access to water. This knowledge may be useful for developing strategies to improve crop yields and for studying the impacts of drought and other environmental stresses on plant growth and development [5].

In the 1800s, Charles Darwin and Francis Darwin discovered that plants responded to the presence of water. Sir Francis Darwin observed that stomatal closure was triggered by dry weather or water stress [5][6][7][8]. There has been a great deal of research conducted since then that has revealed many processes. There are two types of reactions of plants to water: short-term and long-term. By removing shoot leaves, it is possible to diminish root hydraulic conductivity, since aquaporins are membrane-channeling proteins and are thus essential. It does not take more than twenty minutes for stomata to close as a result of a lack of vapor pressure [9][10][11]. A long-term reaction to environmental water resources is usually associated with developmental adaptation. In *Arabidopsis thaliana*, root architecture can be constructed within days or weeks following gradients of water potential, as shown by root bending induced by gradients of water potential. Rhizosphere and environmental moisture levels can affect plant growth. There are several stages of water movement in the ecosystem, beginning with the soil, followed by the plant, and ending with the environment [9][10][12][13][14].

Depending on the amount of water present in the rhizosphere, roots adopt a variety of architectural styles [15][16][17]. Hydropatterning is a recently identified response. Hydropatterned plants respond to changes in the distribution of availability of moisture near their roots by preferentially initiating lateral roots on the root angle which is in contact with a more moist environment [18][19]. When roots penetrate an air gap or a particularly dry portion of the land, a process known as xerobranching occurs, which is similar to hydropatterning in that it suppresses the start of lateral roots. It is possible that xerobranching is a more severe form of hydropatterning. For saving minerals, the root crowns of grasses, including maize plants, produce very few shoot-born roots when the soil dries [20][21][22].

2. Studies on Root Hydrotropism

Plants' water flow and their response to water changes have been researched extensively. The bodily characteristics that plants detect water, the organs or tissues that detect water, and the molecular machinery that detects water are all still unknown. A variety of artificial experiments have been conducted on seedling roots to study root hydrotropism. One study aimed to examine hydrotropism in the primary lateral and pivotal roots of desert plants. Water must be transported across xylem parenchyma cell membranes during embolism recovery, so any biological model addressing embolism recovery processes in woody plants must understand the expression patterns, localization, and activity of stem-specific aquaporins. It describes the biology of xylem parenchyma cells, with a particular focus on aquaporins. These distributions and activities are analyzed during drought stress, embolism formation, and subsequent recovery from drought stress [23]. Recent advancements in crop water stress monitoring, irrigation scheduling, constraints encountered, and future research needs are discussed [24].

In another study, it was demonstrated that pre-visual water stress detection is possible by using indices such as leaf temperature, leaf water content, and spectral emissivity, which provide a snapshot of leaf water content [25]. The suggested theory combines cohesion and multiphase flow via porous media. Both saturated and unsaturated tree water flow models are presented. Models based on electric circuit analogies are mathematically comparable to saturated porous flow. In this model, pressure, saturation, and interfacial area are explicitly modeled. This unsaturated model illustrates differences between saturated and unsaturated flow characteristics and the necessity of assessing their characteristics at a higher resolution. Using hydrostatic suctions (less than 0.02 MPa), whole-root conductivity (K r) was measured in two angiosperm pioneer trees (*Eucalyptus regnans* and *Toona australis*) and two rainforest conifers (*Dacrycarpus dacrydioides* and *Nageia fleurii*). Combining K r with stem and leaf hydraulic conductivities calculated whole-plant conductivity and predicted leaf water potential (ΨI) during transpiration [26]. In accordance with the root density and extraction rate described in the literature and in the article, Gardner and Cowan predicted that substantial potential gradients could be observed only in soils with low root density and high extraction rates [27]. A strong relationship was found between the amount of water-temperate tree species redistributed through their root systems towards dry soil for one night and external driving forces such as the PD difference, as well as internal drivers such as the root conduit diameter. HR water, 0.08 ± 0.01 mL/g root dry bulk, seems low. Plants with a mature root mass of 100 kg may require between 4 and 20 L of water each day. According to another study, central European woodlands can transpire up to 30 L per tree per day. Researchers investigated the rate at which roots, stems, leaves, and styles (silks) of maize elongated as soil water was depleted. It was calculated for a region of expansion of cells in each organ [28].

Since the pH of the soil solution is a measure of the activity of hydrogen ions in the soil solution, it is important to know its pH value. Toxicity has a significant adverse effect on roots' growth, which in turn limits the uptake of nutrients and water. A plant's response to the soil is affected by two significant chemical properties, the nutrient content of the soil and the pH value of the soil. A study was conducted combining three pH levels (4, 6, and 8) with four levels of nutrient concentration (NC0, NC1, NC5, and NC10). Various nutrient concentration levels resulted in different responses to pH levels. Magnesium uptake increased with increasing pH and nutrient concentration, whereas calcium uptake decreased. The results indicated that tomato seedlings reduce shoots more than roots under osmotic stress, regardless of nutrient concentration or root zone pH [29]. Soil with a pH of 5.5 or soil with a pH of 8 poses challenges for the plant, including low availability of nutrients and ion toxicities. Alkaline and acidic soils are described. There are two types of alkaline soils: calcareous (pH > 7.5) and sodic (ESP > 15). A pH less than ideal affects the availability of nutrients, particularly calcium, potassium, and phosphorus. The effects of these nutrient elements on plant growth, morphology, and physiological processes were discussed in detail. The recent discovery of complex interactions between salinity, boron toxicity, and pH in plants was discussed [30].

Pioneering groups created a petri dish technique to induce hydrotropic root response. This technique uses split agar plates to establish a water potential gradient. *Arabidopsis* seedlings are placed on the MS agar plate so that their root tips are near the osmolyte-supplemented zone. This causes Arabidopsis root curvature, a hydrotropic reaction [31]. To measure the root xylem water potential of a transpiring soybean plant, an improved Fiscus root psychrometer was developed. Root xylem water potentials were measured using ground psychrometers. This validated root psychrometer data and allowed the partitioning of root xylem, root cortex (radial resistance), soil rhizosphere, and soil pararhizal resistances. Water intake patterns were influenced by xylem resistance [32].

Some plant species are characterized by differences in xylem elements, leaf tissue, and guttation fluid with respect to water potential between shaded and unshaded leaves. It is important to note that such drops affect plant water transport equations and pressure cell potential measurements [33]. A wide range of research is being conducted in a variety of fields (**Table 1**).

Table 1. An overview of recent research on the effects of hydrotropism stimulation on plants.

Paper Reference Year	Objective	Experiment Outcome	Advantage of Research
[34] 2017	Under low water potential gradient conditions, the ahr1 mutant is tested for root hydrotropism and growth responses.	As a result of the mutant root cells' ability to proliferate and grow in the presence of progressively negative water potential gradients, the ahr1 phenotype is unique.	Hydrotropism can be understood with the help of this outstanding resource.
[35] 1988	Xylem embolisms caused by water stress are believed to be caused by the influx of air into functional vessels from embolized adjacent vessels (for example, due to physical damage)	Research suggests that rainforest species are more vulnerable to embolism due to differences in inter vessel pit membrane permeability. A species' habitat influences its pit membrane pore size, making it adaptive.	Xylem embolism can be caused by an air-filled tracheid or vessel. Embolism can be caused by water stress, winter freezing, and dieback. Hydraulic conductivity of the xylem was reduced by 80% by the end of the winter in northern Vermont, even during a wet growing season
[36] 2013	Study examines how plants communicate water availability information to remote organs. Research on long-distance signaling using hydraulic cues and potential sensors	Hydraulic signals are generated by changes in osmotic potential, water tension, or turgor. Water's cohesion and tension properties spread local changes quickly throughout the plant. In plants, hydraulic signals spread more slowly than in rigid pipes because of cellular resistances.	It is still unclear how sensors relay signals after perception. The solution to this conundrum lies in screening for plant mutants affected in hydraulic signaling.
[37] 2019	In the soil, roots are branched and follow tortuous paths. Root segments can be considered cylinders to which water flows down a gradient of pressure in soil water despite their complex geometry.	The water status of plants is determined by hydrostatic and osmotic pressures. Plants and soil are driven by hydrostatic pressure gradients. Over microscopic distances they are driven by gradients in water potential.	Water potential is also found in surface water, cells, and xylem vessels. At atmospheric pressure, pure water's water potential is zero, so it is always negative in plants. Adding solutes or imposing suction lowers the water potential in plants.
[38] 2001	Rice cannot respond to higher transpiration demands when growing in a hydrostatic or osmotic environment. It is concluded that this may account for rice's water shortage in the shoot even in flooded fields.	Two varieties of rice (cv. Azucena and cv. IR64) were grown for 31–40 days at 27 °C daytime and 22 °C nighttime. Transient and steady-state water flow conditions were used to measure root Lpr.	The exodermis and sclerenchyma, as well as the endodermis, have apoplastic barriers. Screening for genotypes with weaker apoplastic barriers or different chemical composition may be worthwhile.
[39] 2016	Researchers compared vulnerability to loss of hydraulic function, leaf and xylem water potentials, and hydraulic safety margins (compared to water potentials causing a 50% loss of hydraulic conductivity) among four angiosperms and four coniferous tree species.	Measuring one type does not accurately reflect an overall hydraulic strategy.	There is strong support for the HVSH, especially in distal organs. Leaves and roots were more vulnerable to hydraulic dysfunction than branches or trunks.

Water is vital to all physiological processes in plants. Nonwoody tissues, such as leaves, and roots contain 70–95% water. Water transports metabolites from the cell to the outside. Because of its highly polar structure, water readily dissolves ions, sugars, amino acids, and proteins that are essential for metabolism. A plant's phytohormones, carbohydrates, and nutrients are transported through water, the medium that carries them. For their overall structure and support, plants rely largely on water, unlike animals with developed skeletal systems. There are a few papers mentioned in **Table 1** which show how relative ideas work together.

References

1. Izzo, L.G.; Aronne, G. Root Tropisms: New Insights Leading the Growth Direction of the Hidden Half. Plants 2021, 10, 220.
2. Muthert, L.W.F.; Izzo, L.G.; van Zanten, M.; Aronne, G. Root Tropisms: Investigations on Earth and in Space to Unravel Plant Growth Direction. Front. Plant Sci. 2020, 10, 1807.
3. Kiss, J.Z. Where's the water? Hydrotropism in plants. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2007, 104, 4247.
4. Falik, O.; Reides, P.; Gersani, M.; Novoplansky, A. Root navigation by self inhibition. Plant. Cell Environ. 2005, 28, 562–569.
5. Baluška, F.; Mancuso, S.; Volkmann, D.; Barlow, P.W. The 'root-brain' hypothesis of Charles and Francis Darwin: Revival after more than 125 years. Plant Signal. Behav. 2009, 4, 1121.
6. Marder, M. Plant intentionality and the phenomenological framework of plant intelligence. Plant Signal. Behav. 2012, 7, 1365–1372.
7. Kutschera, U.; Briggs, W.R. From Charles Darwin's botanical country-house studies to modern plant biology. Plant Biol. 2009, 11, 785–795.
8. Gambetta, G.A.; Knipfer, T.; Fricke, W.; McElrone, A.J. Aquaporins and Root Water Uptake. In Plant Aquaporins; Springer Science and Business Media LLC: Berlin, Germany, 2017; pp. 133–153.
9. Kaldenhoff, R.; Ribas-Carbo, M.; Sans, J.F.; Lovisolo, C.; Heckwolf, M.; Uehlein, N. Aquaporins and plant water balance. Plant Cell Environ. 2008, 31, 658–666.
10. Vandeleur, R.K.; Sullivan, W.; Athman, A.; Jordans, C.; Gilliham, M.; Kaiser, B.; Tyerman, S.D. Rapid shoot-to-root signalling regulates root hydraulic conductance via aquaporins. Plant Cell Environ. 2014, 37, 520–538.
11. Johansson, I.; Karlsson, M.; Johanson, U.; Larsson, C.; Kjellbom, P. The role of aquaporins in cellular and whole plant water balance. Biochim. Biophys. Acta Biomembr. 2000, 1465, 324–342.
12. Schulze, E.-D.; Beck, E.; Buchmann, N.; Clemens, S.; Müller-Hohenstein, K.; Scherer-Lorenzen, M. Water Deficiency (Drought). Plant Ecol. 2019, 165–202.
13. Miyamoto, N.; Ookawa, T.; Takahashi, H.; Hirasawa, T. Water Uptake and Hydraulic Properties of Elongating Cells in Hydrotropically Bending Roots of Pisum sativum L. Plant Cell Physiol. 2002, 43, 393–401.
14. Carminati, A.; Zarebanadkouki, M.; Kroener, E.; Ahmed, M.A.; Holz, M. Biophysical rhizosphere processes affecting root water uptake. Ann. Bot. 2016, 118, 561–571.
15. Carminati, A.; Schneider, C.L.; Moradi, A.B.; Zarebanadkouki, M.; Vetterlein, D.; Vogel, H.-J.; Hildebrandt, A.; Weller, U.; Schüler, L.; Oswald, S.E. How the Rhizosphere May Favor Water Availability to Roots. Vadose Zone J. 2011, 10, 988–998.
16. Carminati, A.; Moradi, A.B.; Vetterlein, D.; Vontobel, P.; Lehmann, E.; Weller, U.; Vogel, H.-J.; Oswald, S.E. Dynamics of soil water content in the rhizosphere. Plant Soil 2010, 332, 163–176.
17. Giehl, R.F.H.; von Wirén, N. Hydropatterning—How roots test the waters. Science 2018, 362, 1358–1359.
18. Robbins, N.E.; Dinneny, J.R. The divining root: Moisture-driven responses of roots at the micro- and macro-scale. J. Exp. Bot. 2015, 66, 2145–2154.
19. Orman-Ligeza, B.; Morris, E.C.; Parizot, B.; Lavigne, T.; Babé, A.; Ligeza, A.; Klein, S.; Sturrock, C.; Xuan, W.; Novák, O.; et al. The Xerobranching Response Represses Lateral Root Formation When Roots Are Not in Contact with Water. Curr. Biol. 2018, 28, 3165–3173.e5.
20. Scharwies, J.D.; Dinneny, J.R. Water transport, perception, and response in plants. J. Plant Res. 2019, 132, 311–324.
21. Maurel, C.; Nacry, P. Root architecture and hydraulics converge for acclimation to changing water availability. Nat. Plants 2020, 6, 744–749.
22. Takano, M.; Takahashil, H.; Hirasawa, T.; Suge, H. Rapid communication Hydrotropisrn in roots: Sensing of a gradient in water potential by the root cap. Planta 1995, 197, 410–413.
23. Secchi, F.; Pagliarani, C.; Zwieniecki, M.A. The functional role of xylem parenchyma cells and aquaporins during recovery from severe water stress. Plant Cell Environ. 2017, 40, 858–871.
24. Ihuoma, S.O.; Madramootoo, C.A. Recent advances in crop water stress detection. Comput. Electron. Agric. 2017, 141, 267–275.
25. Gerhards, M.; Rock, G.; Schlerf, M.; Udelhoven, T. Water stress detection in potato plants using leaf temperature, emissivity, and reflectance. Int. J. Appl. Earth Obs. Geoinf. 2016, 53, 27–39.

26. Brodribb, T.J.; Hill, R.S. Increases in Water Potential Gradient Reduce Xylem Conductivity in Whole Plants. Evidence from a Low-Pressure Conductivity Method. Plant Physiol. 2000, 123, 1021–1028.

27. Williams, J. Root Density and Water Potential Gradients near the Plant Root. J. Exp. Bot. 1974, 25, 669–674.

28. Hafner, B.D.; Hesse, B.D.; Bauerle, T.L.; Grams, T.E.E. Water potential gradient, root conduit size and root xylem hydraulic conductivity determine the extent of hydraulic redistribution in temperate trees. Funct. Ecol. 2020, 34, 561–574.

29. Kang, Y.-I.; Park, J.-M.; Kim, S.-H.; Kang, N.-J.; Park, K.-S.; Lee, S.-Y.; Jeong, B.R. Effects of Root Zone pH and Nutrient Concentration on the Growth and Nutrient Uptake of Tomato Seedlings. J. Plant Nutr. 2011, 34, 640–652.

30. Läuchli, A.; Grattan, S.R. Soil pH extremes. In Plant Stress Physiology; CABI Publishing: Wallingford, UK, 2012; pp. 194–209.

31. Antoni, R.; Dietrich, D.; Bennett, M.J.; Rodriguez, P.L. Hydrotropism: Analysis of the Root Response to a Moisture Gradient. In Methods in Molecular Biology; Humana Press Inc.: Totowa, NJ, USA, 2016; Volume 1398, pp. 3–9.

32. So, H.B. Water potential gradients and resistances of a soil-root system measured with the root and soil psychrometer. In The Soil—Root Interface; Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 1979; pp. 99–113.

33. Cary, J.W.; Fisher, H.D. Plant Water Potential Gradients Measured in the Field by Freezing Point. Physiol. Plant. 1971, 24, 397–402.

34. Salazar-Blas, A.; Noriega-Calixto, L.; Campos, M.E.; Eapen, D.; Cruz-Vázquez, T.; Castillo-Olamendi, L.; Sepulveda-Jiménez, G.; Porta, H.; Dubrovsky, J.G.; Cassab, G.I. Robust root growth in altered hydrotropic response1 (ahr1) mutant of Arabidopsis is maintained by high rate of cell production at low water potential gradient. J. Plant Physiol. 2017, 208, 102–114.

35. Sperry, J.S.; Tyree, M.T. Mechanism of Water Stress-Induced Xylem Embolism. Plant Physiol. 1988, 88, 581–587.

36. Christmann, A.; Grill, E.; Huang, J. Hydraulic signals in long-distance signaling. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 2013, 16, 293–300.

37. Lambers, H.; Oliveira, R.S. Plant Water Relations. Plant Physiol. Ecol. 2019, 187–263.

38. Miyamoto, N.; Steudle, E.; Hirasawa, T.; Lafitte, R. Hydraulic conductivity of rice roots. J. Exp. Bot. 2001, 52, 1835–1846.

39. Johnson, D.M.; Wortemann, R.; McCulloh, K.A.; Jordan-Meille, L.; Ward, E.; Warren, J.M.; Palmroth, S.; Domec, J.-C. A test of the hydraulic vulnerability segmentation hypothesis in angiosperm and conifer tree species. Tree Physiol. 2016, 36, 983–993.