

# DNA Dyes

Subjects: Biochemistry & Molecular Biology

Contributor: Anna Ligasová

Cell quantification is widely used both in basic and applied research. A typical example of its use is drug discovery research. Presently, plenty of methods for cell quantification are available. In this review, the basic techniques used for cell quantification, with a special emphasis on techniques based on fluorescent DNA dyes, are described.

Keywords: DNA dyes ; cell quantification ; enzymatic conversion of substrate ; cell metabolism

---

## 1. Introduction

The simplest method of cell quantification is probably the use of a hemocytometer or, in the case of adherent cells, direct calculation of cells using a microscope. Both methods are simple and provide the possibility to quantify live and fixed cells. On the other hand, the method based on a hemocytometer is a very time-consuming process. In this respect, the use of a hemocytometer for the quantification of multi-well plates is practically impossible. Although the development of automatic microscopic stations equipped with a camera and convenient software provides one possibility to evaluate a high number of samples, this solution is relatively expensive. Moreover, as the process of cell quantification using automatic microscopic stations requires the acquisition, processing, and evaluation of a large quantity of data, it is still relatively slow.

On the other hand, automatic microscopy stations are a suitable choice for the evaluation of some aspects of the viability of the cell population. For example, microscopes are typically used to discern dead and live cells with trypan blue staining <sup>[1]</sup>. Microscopy is also suitable for the analysis of cell proliferation by specific proliferation markers such as PCNA (proliferating cell nuclear antigen), Ki-67 antigen, and/or phospho-histone H3.


Much faster methods of cell quantification are based on the enzymatic conversion of various substrates into detectable products as, typically, plate readers are used for the sample evaluation. Already in 1983, Mosmann <sup>[2]</sup> developed a colorimetric assay for cell quantification based on the enzymatic conversion of a yellow substrate to a blue product. Although it is a frequently used approach for cell quantification, it can provide non-linear data <sup>[3]</sup> as higher cell densities provide a lower signal per cell than lower densities. The dependence of the signal on the metabolism of cells is therefore the main drawback of enzymatic methods. Another disadvantage is the difficulty to quantify the fixed cells or the necessity to perform the signal measurement relatively soon after the reaction with the substrate.

The dependence of enzymatic assays on the enzyme activity can be overcome by methods based on DNA quantification as the content of DNA does not depend on cell metabolism. Here, such type of methods is summarized, and a comparison with the frequently used alternative approaches is provided. In addition, the chosen methods of analysis of cell proliferation and cell viability are described.

## 2. Fluorescent DNA Dyes

Fluorescent DNA dyes are substances whose fluorescence is substantially increased when they are bound to DNA <sup>[4]</sup>. Several of these dyes were tested for cell quantification. Initially, Hoechst dyes, DAPI, or propidium iodide were used. More recently, newly developed dyes such as PicoGreen, CyQuant GR, or SYBR Green I have successfully been applied for cell quantification.

Hoechst dye 33258 and its derivatives Hoechst 33342 and Hoechst 34580 belong to the bis-benzimide group of fluorescent dyes developed by the German company Hoechst AG in the early 1970s as substances with a potential clinical outcome (**Figure 1**) <sup>[4][5]</sup>. They are excited by UV light (~360 nm). When bound to DNA, their fluorescence increases by approximately thirty times. Hoechst dyes are non-intercalating stains which preferentially bind to the A:T-rich areas in the minor groove of the DNA <sup>[5][6]</sup>. However, the possible intercalation of Hoechst dyes in G:C-rich areas was also shown <sup>[6]</sup>.



**Figure 1.** Chemical formulae of the commonly used Hoechst dyes.


As the cell membrane is more permeable for Hoechst 33342 than for the other Hoechst dyes, it is preferentially used for the analysis of live cells, typically for the measurement of DNA content [7][8]. However, one must take into consideration in such experiments that the long incubation period of live cells with Hoechst 33342 can lead to pronounced ATM, Chk2, and p53 phosphorylation [9]. Although Hoechst 33342 can be used to stain live cells, procedures for cell quantification typically involve fixation. For example, the approach described by McCaffrey et al. [10] involves fixation by formaldehyde or glutaraldehyde, staining, washing, and signal measurement [10]. The signal measurement can be conducted by a plate reader, although microscopes can be used as well. As the fixed cells are quantified, samples can be stored for a prolonged period.

The permeability of cell membranes for Hoechst 33258 is much lower; therefore, the protocols for DNA and cell quantification include cell fixation or cell lysis [11][12][13][14][15]. In the study of Rage et al. [15], the unfixed cells were lysed by incubation in distilled water and frozen. After thawing the samples, a solution of Hoechst 33258 was added, followed by fluorescence measurement. As the samples can be frozen before cell quantification, this protocol provides the possibility to store samples for a long time before cell quantification as well.

Another protocol based on Hoechst 33258 staining includes cell lysis with sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS) [11]. It is a multistep protocol involving the removal of adherent cells to the solution, centrifugation, incubation with 1% SDS, and 100-fold dilution of the samples to decrease the SDS concentration prior to the addition of Hoechst [11]. Although no data about the use of this protocol in multi-well plates are available, this protocol seems to be less suitable for such samples.


Another fast protocol is based on methanol fixation followed by staining with Hoechst 33258. The Hoechst dye is then extracted from DNA by denatured ethanol [12]. This protocol can be used for the evaluation of samples containing between 1000 and 500,000 cells [12]. However, as denatured ethanol was used in that study, it is not completely clear if the same result can be obtained with pure ethanol.

DAPI is another DNA dye frequently used for cell quantification. DAPI belongs to the indol substances (**Figure 2a**), and initially, it was synthesized by Dann et al. as a potentially active trypanocidal diamine [16][17]. It strongly binds to A:T sequences of the minor groove of DNA and intercalates in G:C or in mixed G:C and A:T sequences of DNA [18]. Similar to the case of Hoechst dyes, after binding of DAPI to DNA, its fluorescence increases (circa twenty times) [19]. DAPI was also successfully used for cell quantification [10]. The protocol included five simple steps: washing, fixation with formaldehyde or glutaraldehyde, staining with DAPI, washing, and fluorescence reading. In this respect, the described method is simple and fast.



**Figure 2.** Chemical formulae of DAPI (a), propidium iodide (b), PicoGreen (c), and SYBR Green I (d).

Recently, a highly sensitive approach using DNA dyes (Hoechst 33258 or Hoechst 33342, or DAPI) for cell quantification was described [3]. The method overview is shown in **Figure 3**. The protocol is based on the incubation of fixed cells with a DNA dye, followed by incubation with SDS solution [3]. The presence of SDS results in the quick de-staining of DNA and, simultaneously, in an up to a 1000-fold increase in the fluorescence intensity of the used dyes. This increase can be attributed to the micelle formation of SDS. The sensitivity of this method is around 50–70 cells.



**Figure 3.** The scheme of cell quantification according to [3]. The cells are fixed with ethanol followed by drying. Then, cells are stained with Hoechst dye. Afterwards, the cells are washed for  $3 \times 5$  min to remove the non-specifically bound dye and to stabilize the cells. After a short rinsing of cells with a buffer, adjusting the pH to 7, samples are incubated in the elution and signal enhancement solution with SDS. Finally, small aliquots are transferred to black well plates, and the signal is measured and evaluated. Step 2 (cell drying) is optional, but it is highly recommended if 96-well plates are used. If DAPI is used instead of Hoechst dyes, the procedure and incubation times are the same as in the case of Hoechst dyes except for the washing in Step 4, which should be shortened to only  $3 \times 2$  min. Adapted from [3].

The described approach was also successfully tested for the analysis of the cytotoxic effect of various substances [3]. It, similar to other methods based on DNA staining, does not depend on the metabolic state of the cells. Therefore, it is also suitable for the quantification of cells exhibiting low metabolic activity, including senescent cells.

Propidium iodide is another DNA dye which can be used for cell quantification. This red DNA stain requires cell membrane permeabilization before it can enter the cell, as the intact cell membrane is impermeable for this dye. Propidium iodide

belongs to the phenanthridinium group of compounds (**Figure 2b**) and interacts with double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) and/or RNA, having no preference in nucleosides [20][21]. The fluorescence of propidium iodide increases up to 30-fold if bound to DNA [22]. The sensitivity of the protocol based on the measurement of the propidium iodide signal could be around 150–500 cells. The protocol based on propidium iodide was successfully tested for cytotoxicity assessment, for example, in [23]. However, as cell permeabilization is required, it prolongs the whole procedure. In this study, the cell membrane was permeabilized using freezing at –20 °C for 24 h. Despite the relatively high sensitivity of the protocol, this dye is rather used for the assessment of cell viability, including bacterial cells. In such cases, a combination of propidium iodide with another dye such as SYBR Green I, SYTO 9, or fluorescein diacetate (cell membrane is permeable for these dyes) is commonly used [24][25][26]. On the other hand, it was shown that the protocols based on the use of propidium iodide can lead to an underestimation of viable adherent bacterial cells [25].

PicoGreen belongs to the newer fluorescent DNA dyes with a high specificity for dsDNA (**Figure 2c**) [21]. The fluorescence of PicoGreen after its binding to DNA is increased circa 1000 times and is proportional to the DNA amount [27]. According to Blaheta et al. [21], circa 100 cells or 0.5 ng DNA can be detected by PicoGreen. As the cell membrane is impermeable for PicoGreen, the cells have to be fixed or digested before incubation with this dye [21]. In this respect, PicoGreen requires around 20 h of digestion of cells with papain to obtain such high sensitivity [21]. Although it was shown that the PicoGreen assay is suitable to measure cell proliferation in 3D cell cultures [28] or to analyze the DNA content in solutions [27][29], Pabbruwe et al. showed that the PicoGreen assay used for DNA quantification in 3D culture is less sensitive than the MTT assay [30].

CyQuant GR is a dye available as a part of the commercial kit CyQuant™ Cell Proliferation Assay (ThermoFisher Scientific) that provides a strong green fluorescence when bound to DNA and six times lower fluorescence when bound to RNA [20]. According to [26], the sensitivity limit of cell quantification by this dye is extremely high as it can reveal 10–50 cells. Linearity of the signal was obtained for up to 25,000–50,000 cells. If the concentration of the dye was increased, the linearity was increased up to 100,000–250,000 cells [31]. The disadvantage of the assay based on CyQuant GR is the necessity to freeze and thaw cells at –70 °C followed by cell lysis [3][31]. A variant of the CyQuant kit (CyQuant™ Direct Cell Proliferation Assay, ThermoFisher Scientific) without the need to freeze is available as well, but the sensitivity and the linearity range are lower (100–20,000 cells) [3]. Besides this, it is supposed that the CyQuant assay may interfere with some chemicals present in the culture medium, such as phenol red.


### **3. Alternative Approaches of Cell Quantification**

Alternative methods are mainly based on the measurement of cell metabolic activity. Although methods based on the metabolic activity of cells are simple, fast, and sensitive, the dependence of the signal on the cell number is commonly less linear than in the case of DNA dyes [3] as the metabolic activity can vary greatly with the cell density. Furthermore, they should not be used if substances affecting cellular metabolism are tested [31].


#### **3.1. Assays Based on the Measurement of Mitochondrial Activity**

##### **3.1.1. Tetrazolium Based Assay**

Usually, monotetrazolium salts are used for the measurement of mitochondrial activity. They are reduced by NAD(P)H-dependent oxidoreductases and dehydrogenases by metabolically active cells to formazans [32]. The most commonly used salt is 3-(4,5-dimethyl-2-thiazolyl)-2,5-diphenyl-2H-tetrazolium bromide (MTT, **Figure 4**). As the reduction of the yellow MTT leads to the formation of insoluble purple formazan crystals (**Figure 5**), formazan crystals have to be solubilized before the signal measurement. Typically, the signal is measured at 540–720 nm using plate readers [33].



**Figure 4.** Chemical formulae of tetrazolium salts.



**Figure 5.** Scheme of the reduction of MTT to formazan. Scheme of the reduction of MTT to formazan including the color change is shown. Similarly, such conversion and color change are the principle in the XTT, MTS, and WS-1 assays.


Various solutions are used to solubilize formazan crystals. The original colorimetric MTT assay was developed by Mosmann in 1983 [2][32]. He used acid isopropyl alcohol for formazan solubilization [34]. Later, Carmichael et al. showed that the use of acid isopropyl alcohol could lead to low optical absorption values, and therefore they suggested DMSO or mineral oils as an alternative [34][35]. In addition, SDS/HCl solution [36] or ethanol with acetic acid [37] was used as a formazan crystal solvent. Although it is not exactly clear which of the aforementioned solvents is the best one for formazan solubilization, DMSO and isopropanol are the most commonly used solvents for the solubilization of formazan crystals [34][37].

The necessity to solubilize formazan led to the development of alternative monotetrazolium salts. Examples are XTT (sodium 2,3-bis(2-methoxy-4-nitro-5-sulfophenyl)-5-[(phenylamino)carbonyl]-2H-tetrazolium inner salt; **Figure 4**), MTS (5-[3-(carboxymethoxy)phenyl]-3-(4,5-dimethyl-2-thiazolyl)-2-(4-sulfophenyl)-2H-tetrazolium inner salt; **Figure 4**), and WST-1 (sodium 5-(2,4-disulfophenyl)-2-(4-iodophenyl)-3-(4-nitrophenyl)-2H-tetrazolium inner salt; **Figure 4**). The reduction of these modified tetrazolium salts resulted in the formation of water-soluble formazans [33][38][39]. In the case of XTT, the formazan crystals can be directly solubilized in the culture medium [39]. Comparing to MTT, it is supposed that the XTT assay is more sensitive as well [33][38]. MTS needs the presence of an electron-coupling agent such as phenazine methosulphate (PMS) and is similar to XTT reduced to water-soluble formazan in living cells [38]. WST-1 belongs to the new generation of water-soluble tetrazolium salts. It contains iodine in the molecule and is more stable than XTT or MTS [40].

All the tetrazolium-based assays were successfully used for the evaluation of the impact of the tested compounds, drugs, growth factors, or other studied substances on cell viability, comparing to control samples. They were also employed in studies focused on drug resistance [33].

### 3.1.2. Alamar Blue Assay

Besides methods based on the reduction of tetrazolium salts by mitochondrial enzymes, the reduction of resazurin (Alamar Blue) is also frequently used [41]. Resazurin is an oxidized form of 7-hydroxy-3H-phenoxazin-3-10-oxide which is converted to the reduced form—resorufin (**Figure 6**) [42]. The reduction is conducted by mitochondrial enzymes with diaphorase activity [42][43]. The reaction is accompanied by a change of the poorly fluorescent blue resazurin to the highly fluorescent red resorufin [42]. It is supposed that dead or damaged cells produce a lower fluorescence change than proliferating cells [33][44].



**Figure 6.** Scheme of the reduction of resazurin to resorufin including the color change.

The Alamar Blue assay was used for an analysis of the impact of ionizing radiation on cell viability and cell re-growth depending on the time and radiation dose [42], cytotoxicity [43][44][45][46], apoptosis and cell death [47][48], neuronal viability [49], and others. It is also suitable for long-term experiments without killing the cells [33][50].

### 3.2. Assays Utilizing Other Cellular Metabolic Activities

There are plenty of other assays employing metabolic activities to quantify cells. Several commonly used methods are described below.

The cellular esterase assay utilizes the production of a fluorescent substance from the non-fluorescent fluorescein esters (fluorescein diacetate or 4-methylumbelliferyl heptanoate) by cellular esterases [51]. The cell membrane is permeable for these esters and impermeable for their fluorescent products. Therefore, incubation with the esters results in the staining of live cells with an intact cell membrane. The sensitivity of the method is circa 100 cells if 4-methylumbelliferyl heptanoate is used [51].

Instead of fluorescein esters, calcein-AM (calcein-acetoxymethylester) can be used. It also passes across the cell membrane of live and dead cells [52][53]. In the cytoplasm, the non-fluorescent calcein-AM is cleaved by the cellular esterases into fluorescent calcein, which is lipid-insoluble, and therefore it remains inside the cells with an intact cell membrane and is released from the dead cells [33][52][53]. The conversion of non-fluorescent calcein-AM is accompanied by the green fluorescent signal of calcein [33][52]. The calcein-AM assay was used, for example, to analyze the cell-mediated cytotoxicity of T lymphocytes or NK cells [52][53]. Microscopes, flow cytometers, or plate readers can be used for the signal detection of both esterase products.

Another approach used for cell quantification is based on the analysis of cytosolic acid phosphatase activity [54][55]. This assay is based on the hydrolysis of *p*-nitrophenol phosphate to *p*-nitrophenol by the intracellular acid phosphatases in viable cells and the measurement of the absorbance of *p*-nitrophenol at 405 nm [55]. The signal is directly proportional to the cell number in the range of 1000–100,000 cells per well of the 96-well plates [55][56][57]. This assay was used to determine the cell number in various cell lines [55][57].

The ATP assay can be used for cell quantification as well. ATP is the major energy source produced mainly in mitochondria [33] and is accepted as a marker of viable cells [57]. In this respect, the total ATP levels can be employed to evaluate the cell viability or cytotoxic effect of various substances. The ATP assay utilizes the determination of the ATP level by the conversion of the added luciferin to oxyluciferin by the enzyme luciferase in the presence of  $Mg^{2+}$  ions and

ATP, producing the luminescent signal in cell lysates <sup>[58][59]</sup>. This assay is fast, and its sensitivity limit is approximately 1500 cells <sup>[58]</sup>. The disadvantage of the ATP assay is that it cannot be used in studies with substances affecting cellular metabolism without time-consuming controls <sup>[31]</sup>.

---

## References

1. Strober, W. Trypan Blue Exclusion Test of Cell Viability. *Curr. Protoc. Immunol.* 2015, 111, A3.B1.–A3.B3.
2. Mosmann, T. Rapid colorimetric assay for cellular growth and survival: Application to proliferation and cytotoxicity assays. *J. Immunol. Methods* 1983, 65, 55–63.
3. Ligasova, A.; Koberna, K. Quantification of fixed adherent cells using a strong enhancer of the fluorescence of DNA dyes. *Sci. Rep.* 2019, 9, 8701.
4. Bazhulina, N.P.; Nikitin, A.M.; Rodin, S.A.; Surovaya, A.N.; Kravatsky, Y.V.; Pismensky, V.F.; Archipova, V.S.; Martin, R.; Gursky, G.V. Binding of Hoechst 33258 and its derivatives to DNA. *J. Biomol. Struct. Dyn.* 2009, 26, 701–718.
5. Blaheta, R.A.; Franz, M.; Auth, M.K.H.; Wenisch, H.J.C.; Markus, B.H. A Rapid Nonradioactive Fluorescence Assay for the Measurement of Both Cell Number and Proliferation. *J. Immunol. Methods* 1991, 142, 199–206.
6. Silva, E.F.; Ramos, E.B.; Rocha, M.S. DNA interaction with Hoechst 33258: Stretching experiments decouple the different binding modes. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2013, 117, 7292–7296.
7. Bucevicius, J.; Lukinavicius, G.; Gerasimaite, R. The Use of Hoechst Dyes for DNA Staining and Beyond. *Chemosensors* 2018, 6, 18.
8. Gomes, C.J.; Harman, M.W.; Centuori, S.M.; Wolgemuth, C.W.; Martinez, J.D. Measuring DNA content in live cells by fluorescence microscopy. *Cell Div.* 2018, 13, 6.
9. Zhao, H.; Traganos, F.; Dobrucki, J.; Wlodkowic, D.; Darzynkiewicz, Z. Induction of DNA damage response by the supravital probes of nucleic acids. *Cytom. A* 2009, 75, 510–519.
10. McCaffrey, T.A.; Agarwal, L.A.; Weksler, B.B. A rapid fluorometric DNA assay for the measurement of cell density and proliferation in vitro. *Vitr. Cell Dev. Biol.* 1988, 24, 247–252.
11. Cesarone, C.F.; Bolognesi, C.; Santi, L. Improved Micro-Fluorometric DNA Determination in Biological-Material Using 33258-Hoechst. *Anall. Biochem.* 1979, 100, 188–197.
12. Papadimitriou, E.; Lelkes, P.I. Measurement of cell numbers in microtiter culture plates using the fluorescent dye Hoechst 33258. *J. Immunol. Methods* 1993, 162, 41–45.
13. Labarca, C.; Paigen, K. A simple, rapid, and sensitive DNA assay procedure. *Anal. Biochem.* 1980, 102, 344–352.
14. West, D.C.; Sattar, A.; Kumar, S. A simplified in situ solubilization procedure for the determination of DNA and cell number in tissue cultured mammalian cells. *Anal. Biochem.* 1985, 147, 289–295.
15. Rago, R.; Mitchen, J.; Wilding, G. DNA Fluorometric Assay in 96-Well Tissue-Culture Plates Using Hoechst-33258 after Cell-Lysis by Freezing in Distilled Water. *Anal. Biochem.* 1990, 191, 31–34.
16. Dann, O.; Bergen, G.; Demant, E.; Volz, G. Trypanocide Diamidines of 2-Phenylbenzofuran, 2-Phenylindene and 2-Phenylindole. *Liebigs Ann. Chem.* 1971, 749, 68–89.
17. Williamson, J.; McLaren, D.J. Effects of DAPI a new diamidine trypanocide, on the ultrastructure of *Trypanosoma rhodesiense*. *Trans. R Soc. Trop Med. Hyg* 1978, 72, 660–661.
18. Tanious, F.A.; Veal, J.M.; Buczak, H.; Ratmeyer, L.S.; Wilson, W.D. DAPI (4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole) binds differently to DNA and RNA: Minor-groove binding at AT sites and intercalation at AU sites. *Biochemistry* 1992, 31, 3103–3112.
19. Barcellona, M.L.; Cardiel, G.; Gratton, E. Time-resolved fluorescence of DAPI in solution and bound to polydeoxynucleotides. *Biochem. Biophys Res. Commun.* 1990, 170, 270–280.
20. Quent, V.M.C.; Loessner, D.; Friis, T.; Reichert, J.C.; Hutmacher, D.W. Discrepancies between metabolic activity and DNA content as tool to assess cell proliferation in cancer research. *J. Cell Mol. Med.* 2010, 14, 1003–1013.
21. Blaheta, R.A.; Kronenberger, B.; Woitaschek, D.; Weber, S.; Scholz, M.; Schuldes, H.; Encke, A.; Markus, B.H. Development of an ultrasensitive in vitro assay to monitor growth of primary cell cultures with reduced mitotic activity. *J. Immunol. Methods* 1998, 211, 159–169.
22. Godinho, C.P.; Prata, C.S.; Pinto, S.N.; Cardoso, C.; Bandarra, N.M.; Fernandes, F.; Sa-Correia, I. Pdr18 is involved in yeast response to acetic acid stress counteracting the decrease of plasma membrane ergosterol content and order.



23. Dengler, W.A.; Schulte, J.; Berger, D.P.; Mertelsmann, R.; Fiebig, H.H. Development of a propidium iodide fluorescence assay for proliferation and cytotoxicity assays. *Anticancer Drugs* 1995, 6, 522–532.
24. Feng, J.; Wang, T.; Zhang, S.; Shi, W.; Zhang, Y. An optimized SYBR Green I/PI assay for rapid viability assessment and antibiotic susceptibility testing for *Borrelia burgdorferi*. *PLoS ONE* 2014, 9, e111809.
25. Rosenberg, M.; Azevedo, N.F.; Ivask, A. Propidium iodide staining underestimates viability of adherent bacterial cells. *Sci. Rep.* 2019, 9, 6483.
26. Wang, J.; Wei, Y.; Zhao, S.S.; Zhou, Y.; He, W.; Zhang, Y.; Deng, W.S. The analysis of viability for mammalian cells treated at different temperatures and its application in cell shipment. *PLoS ONE* 2017, 12, e0176120.
27. Dragan, A.I.; Casas-Finet, J.R.; Bishop, E.S.; Strouse, R.J.; Schenerman, M.A.; Geddes, C.D. Characterization of PicoGreen interaction with dsDNA and the origin of its fluorescence enhancement upon binding. *Biophys. J.* 2010, 99, 3010–3019.
28. Ng, K.W.; Leong, D.T.; Hutmacher, D.W. The challenge to measure cell proliferation in two and three dimensions. *Tissue Eng.* 2005, 11, 182–191.
29. Singer, V.L.; Jones, L.J.; Yue, S.T.; Haugland, R.P. Characterization of PicoGreen reagent and development of a fluorescence-based solution assay for double-stranded DNA quantitation. *Anal. Biochem.* 1997, 249, 228–238.
30. Pabbruwe, M.B.; Stewart, K.; Chaudhuri, J.B. A comparison of colorimetric and DNA quantification assays for the assessment of meniscal fibrochondrocyte proliferation in microcarrier culture. *Biotechnol. Lett.* 2005, 27, 1451–1455.
31. Jones, L.J.; Gray, M.; Yue, S.T.; Haugland, R.P.; Singer, V.L. Sensitive determination of cell number using the CyQUANT cell proliferation assay. *J. Immunol. Methods* 2001, 254, 85–98.
32. Berridge, M.V.; Herst, P.M.; Tan, A.S. Tetrazolium dyes as tools in cell biology: New insights into their cellular reduction. *Biotechnol. Annu. Rev.* 2005, 11, 127–152.
33. Adan, A.; Kiraz, Y.; Baran, Y. Cell Proliferation and Cytotoxicity Assays. *Curr. Pharm. Biotechnol.* 2016, 17, 1213–1221.
34. Stockert, J.C.; Horobin, R.W.; Colombo, L.L.; Blazquez-Castro, A. Tetrazolium salts and formazan products in Cell Biology: Viability assessment, fluorescence imaging, and labeling perspectives. *Acta Histochem.* 2018, 120, 159–167.
35. Carmichael, J.; DeGraff, W.G.; Gazdar, A.F.; Minna, J.D.; Mitchell, J.B. Evaluation of a tetrazolium-based semiautomated colorimetric assay: Assessment of chemosensitivity testing. *Cancer Res.* 1987, 47, 936–942.
36. Benov, L. Effect of growth media on the MTT colorimetric assay in bacteria. *PLoS ONE* 2019, 14, e0219713.
37. Gasque, K.C.D.; Al-Ahij, L.P.; Oliveira, R.C.; Magalhaes, A.C. Cell Density and Solvent Are Critical Parameters Affecting Formazan Evaluation in MTT Assay. *Braz. Arch. Biol. Technol.* 2014, 57, 381–385.
38. Cory, A.H.; Owen, T.C.; Barltrop, J.A.; Cory, J.G. Use of an Aqueous Soluble Tetrazolium Formazan Assay for Cell-Growth Assays in Culture. *Cancer Commun.* 1991, 3, 207–212.
39. Kuhn, D.M.; Balkis, M.; Chandra, J.; Mukherjee, P.K.; Ghannoum, M.A. Uses and limitations of the XTT assay in studies of *Candida* growth and metabolism. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 2003, 41, 506–508.
40. Berridge, M.V.; Tan, A.S. Characterization of the Cellular Reduction of 3-(4,5-Dimethylthiazol-2-Yl)-2,5-Diphenyltetrazolium Bromide (Mtt)-Subcellular-Localization, Substrate Dependence, and Involvement of Mitochondrial Electron-Transport in Mtt Reduction. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 1993, 303, 474–482.
41. Nakayama, G.R.; Caton, M.C.; Nova, M.P.; Parandoosh, Z. Assessment of the Alamar Blue assay for cellular growth and viability in vitro. *J. Immunol. Methods* 1997, 204, 205–208.
42. Zachari, M.A.; Chondrou, P.S.; Pouliliou, S.E.; Mitrakas, A.G.; Abatzoglou, I.; Zois, C.E.; Koukourakis, M.I. Evaluation of the alamarblue assay for adherent cell irradiation experiments. *Dose Response* 2014, 12, 246–258.
43. O'Brien, J.; Wilson, I.; Orton, T.; Pognan, F. Investigation of the Alamar Blue (resazurin) fluorescent dye for the assessment of mammalian cell cytotoxicity. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 2000, 267, 5421–5426.
44. Ahmed, S.A.; Gogal, R.M.; Walsh, J.E. A New Rapid and Simple Nonradioactive Assay to Monitor and Determine the Proliferation of Lymphocytes-an Alternative to [<sup>3</sup>H] Thymidine Incorporation Assay. *J. Immunol. Methods* 1994, 170, 211–224.
45. Takahashi, T.; Maruyama, W.; Deng, Y.; Dostert, P.; Nakahara, D.; Niwa, T.; Ohta, S.; Naoi, M. Cytotoxicity of endogenous isoquinolines to human dopaminergic neuroblastoma SH-SY5Y cells. *J. Neural. Transm.* 1997, 104, 59–66.
46. Rampersad, S.N. Multiple Applications of Alamar Blue as an Indicator of Metabolic Function and Cellular Health in Cell Viability Bioassays. *Sensors* 2012, 12, 12347–12360.



47. Farinelli, S.E.; Greene, L.A. Cell cycle blockers mimosine, cyclopirox, and deferoxamine prevent the death of PC12 cells and postmitotic sympathetic neurons after removal of trophic support. *J. Neurosci.* 1996, 16, 1150–1162.
48. Durrant, D.; Richards, J.E.; Walker, W.T.; Baker, K.A.; Simoni, D.; Lee, R.M. Mechanism of cell death induced by cis-3,4',5-trimethoxy-3'-aminostilbene in ovarian cancer. *Gynecol. Oncol.* 2008, 110, 110–117.
49. White, M.J.; DiCaprio, M.J.; Greenberg, D.A. Assessment of neuronal viability with Alamar blue in cortical and granule cell cultures. *J. Neurosci. Methods* 1996, 70, 195–200.
50. Al-Nasiry, S.; Geusens, N.; Hanssens, M.; Luyten, C.; Pijnenborg, R. The use of Alamar Blue assay for quantitative analysis of viability, migration and invasion of choriocarcinoma cells. *Hum. Reprod.* 2007, 22, 1304–1309.
51. Dotsika, E.N.; Sanderson, C.J. A fluorometric assay for determining cell growth in lymphocyte proliferation and lymphokine assays. *J. Immunol. Methods* 1987, 105, 55–62.
52. Neri, S.; Mariani, E.; Meneghetti, A.; Cattini, L.; Facchini, A. Calcein-acetyoxymethyl cytotoxicity assay: Standardization of a method allowing additional analyses on recovered effector cells and supernatants. *Clin. Diagn. Lab. Immunol.* 2001, 8, 1131–1135.
53. Lichtenfels, R.; Biddison, W.E.; Schulz, H.; Vogt, A.B.; Martin, R. Care-Lass (Calcein-Release-Assay), an Improved Fluorescence-Based Test System to Measure Cytotoxic T-Lymphocyte Activity. *J. Immunol. Methods* 1994, 172, 227–239.
54. Yang, T.T.; Sinai, P.; Kain, S.R. An acid phosphatase assay for quantifying the growth of adherent and nonadherent cells. *Anal. Biochem.* 1996, 241, 103–108.
55. Huschtscha, L.I.; Lucibello, F.C.; Bodmer, W.F. A rapid micro method for counting cells “in situ” using a fluorogenic alkaline phosphatase enzyme assay. *Vitr. Cell Dev. Biol.* 1989, 25, 105–108.
56. Connolly, D.T.; Knight, M.B.; Harakas, N.K.; Wittwer, A.J.; Feder, J. Determination of the Number of Endothelial-Cells in Culture Using an Acid-Phosphatase Assay. *Anal. Biochem.* 1986, 152, 136–140.
57. Riss, T.L.; Moravec, R.A.; Niles, A.L.; Duellman, S.; Benink, H.A.; Worzella, T.J.; Minor, L. Cell Viability Assays. In *Assay Guidance Manual*; Markossian, S., Grossman, A., Brimacombe, K., Arkin, M., Auld, D., Austin, C.P., Baell, J., Chung, T.D.Y., Coussens, N.P., Dahlin, J.L., et al., Eds.; Eli Lilly & Company and the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences: Bethesda, MD, USA, 2004.
58. Petty, R.D.; Sutherland, L.A.; Hunter, E.M.; Cree, I.A. Comparison of MTT and ATP-based assays for the measurement of viable cell number. *J. Biolumin. Chemilumin.* 1995, 10, 29–34.
59. Mueller, H.; Kassack, M.U.; Wiese, M. Comparison of the usefulness of the MTT, ATP, and calcein assays to predict the potency of cytotoxic agents in various human cancer cell lines. *J. Biomol. Screen* 2004, 9, 506–515.

---

Retrieved from <https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/33963>