

Mesenchymal Stem Cell Secretome

Subjects: Cell Biology

Contributor: Parinaz Ahangar

The use of mesenchymal stem cells (MSC) for the treatment of cutaneous wounds is currently of enormous interest. However, the broad translation of cell therapies into clinical use is hampered by their efficacy, safety, manufacturing and cost. MSCs release a broad repertoire of trophic factors and immunomodulatory cytokines, referred to as the MSC secretome, that has considerable potential for the treatment of cutaneous wounds as a cell-free therapy. In this review, we outline the current status of MSCs as a treatment for cutaneous wounds and introduce the potential of the MSC secretome as a cell-free alternative for wound repair. We discuss the challenges and provide insights and perspectives for the future development of the MSC secretome as well as identify its potential clinical translation into a therapeutic treatment.

Keywords: Mesenchymal stem cells ; Secretome ; Wound healing ; Skin

1. Introduction

Despite advances in our understanding of the mechanisms involved in acute and chronic wound repair, non-healing wounds remain a cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide and are a huge economic burden to our society ^[1]. Generally, cutaneous wounds heal through an intricate cascade of phases in which the interactions of different cell types alongside local and systemic factors replace injured tissues and re-establish supportive structures ^[2]. However, when these processes fail to progress normally and in conjunction with an underlying disease state, chronic non-healing wounds may eventuate ^[3]. The best practice in wound management is aimed at promoting healing and preventing complications, such as scarring. However, despite the plethora of wound products available on the market, there remains a significant number of wounds that either fail to heal or heal with scarring. There is, therefore, a clear need for the development of alternative wound therapies that promote healing and reduce scar formation.

It has been suggested that cell-based therapies have great potential for the treatment of wounds. Stem cells have been shown to accelerate the healing process, and it has been proposed that these cells can induce regenerative healing rather than the repair mechanisms that result in scar formation ^[4]. Direct incorporation into regenerating tissues and differentiation to parenchymal cells has been hypothesised to be the main mechanism by which mesenchymal stem cells (MSC) exert their beneficial effects ^{[5][6]}. However, it has been shown more recently that the rate of MSC survival, engraftment and the number of newly generated cells, by cell fusion or differentiation, seems to be too low to explain the significant effects achieved by MSCs ^{[7][8]}. Proteomic analysis of MSC conditioned media (MSC-CM), containing MSC secretome (MSC-S), shows that stem cells secrete a broad range of biologically active molecules, including cytokines, mRNAs, growth factors and active lipids with vital roles in skin tissue regeneration ^[9]. Hence, paracrine signalling of MSCs has been suggested as the main mechanism of action ^[10]. This breakthrough in the field of MSCs has motivated researchers to investigate the application of the MSC-S on wound healing to overcome the challenges of using live cells. This review describes the potential effects of MSC-S on cutaneous wound healing and additionally discusses the challenges in translating its use into a therapeutic treatment.

2. MSCs as A Cell Therapy for Cutaneous Wound Healing

MSCs are non-hematopoietic and plastic-adherent cells that exhibit a fibroblast-like phenotype ^[11]. They are a heterogeneous population that was first discovered in the bone marrow (BM-MSCs) ^[12], but later, they were obtained from various adult tissues, such as adipose tissues (ADSCs) ^[13], placenta (p-SCs) ^[14], dental pulp (DPSCs) ^[15] and umbilical cord (UC-MSCs) ^[16]. MSCs are able to renew themselves and differentiate into various tissue-forming cell lineages, such as chondrocytes, adipocytes, osteocytes, liver epithelium, endothelial cells, smooth muscle cells and keratinocytes ^{[17][18]} ^[19]. MSCs stain positive for cluster of differentiation 44 (CD44), CD90, CD105 and CD73, and negative for CD11b or CD14, CD19 or CD79α, CD34, CD45 and HLA-DR ^{[20][21]}. MSCs are considered as immune-privileged cells since they do not express the major histocompatibility complex (MHC) II and costimulatory molecules, such as CD86, CD40 or CD80

and express a low level of MHC I [22]. MSCs also possess immunomodulatory properties that can alter the function of T cells, B cells, natural killer (NK) cells and monocytes/macrophages [23]. Overall, these properties suggest that MSCs could potentially revolutionise cell therapies for the regeneration of damaged tissue in many different systems, such as cardiac, bone, kidney and lung [24][25].

Treatment of wounds with MSCs has been shown to have beneficial effects, including the acceleration of wound closure [26]. MSCs differentiate into various tissue-specific cell types, which can promote angiogenesis, suppress the immune system, and secrete and remodel the extracellular matrix (ECM) [8][25]. MSCs exhibit reparative, regenerative and immunomodulatory effects through paracrine signalling, pointing towards the promising therapeutic potential of these cells [27]. Indeed, numerous studies have shown that the administration of MSCs to cutaneous wounds enhances the healing of skin injuries, including acute and diabetic wounds and burns in mice, rats and pigs. MSCs that are derived from different tissues possess differences, which are mainly reflected in the expression of marker genes, proliferation rate, differentiation capacity, secreted cytokine profile and immunomodulatory capacity [28][29]. Treatment with MSCs supports wound healing by accelerating re-epithelialisation, improving granulation tissue formation, stimulating angiogenesis and diminishing inflammation [30]. These consistent and promising results have led to the use of MSCs in clinical trials as human wound therapies. In these trials, autologous BM-MSCs have been administered to chronic cutaneous ulcerations [31], diabetic foot ulcers [32], pressure ulcers [33], radiation burns [34], resulting in accelerated wound closure and improved healing properties. All these findings from preclinical and clinical studies demonstrate that MSCs could be a promising resource for regenerative therapy [35].

3. Potential Mechanism of Action of MSC-S

The mechanism of action of the MSC-S must be elucidated before it can be widely introduced as a potential new therapy in the clinic. Recent advances in cell and molecular biology have offered insights into multiple mechanisms, and it has been proposed that MSC-S can contribute to wound healing (Figure 1). Dissection of the MSC-S shows a large repertoire of proteins known to be involved in skin inflammation, haemostasis and wound repair (Table 1). The biochemical pathways and mechanism of action of these proteins have been shown previously [36][37][38][39].




Figure 1. Mechanisms of mesenchymal stem cells secretome on the wound healing process.

Table 1. Soluble factors in MSC-S related to wound healing [36][37][38][39].

Growth Factors	Inflammatory Proteins	ECM Proteins	Angiogenic Factors
PDGF	IL-1	MMP-1	VEGF
IGF-1	IL-8	MMP-2	ANG-1
EGF	IL-10	MMP-3	ANG-2
FGF	IL-6	MMP-7	PDGF
Granulocyte-colony stimulating factor (G-CSF)	Tumour necrosis factor alpha (TNF)	TIMP-1	MCP-1
GM-CSF	Leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF)	TIMP-2	TGF-β1

Growth Factors	Inflammatory Proteins	ECM Proteins	Angiogenic Factors
HGF	IL-11	ICAM	FGF
PGE2	MCP-1	Elastin	EGF
TGF- β s	PGE2	Collagens	CXCL5
VEGF	IL-9	Decorin	MMPs
KGF	IL-13	Laminin	TGF- α

The MSC-S is, therefore, a complex mixture of bioactive factors and has been shown to have significant positive effects in the treatment of inflammatory disorders of nervous, cardiovascular, respiratory and skeletal systems [40][41][42]. Although it is believed that the anti-inflammatory effects of cells rely on direct cell–cell interactions, several studies have demonstrated that the interaction between MSCs and immune cells can be attributed to MSC-secreted cytokines [43][44][45][46][47][48][49]. For example, MSC-secreted interleukin-1 receptor antagonist (IL1-RA) inhibits B cell differentiation [44]. Human MSC-derived galectin-1 also has inhibitory impacts on the proliferation of alloreactive CD4+ and CD8+ T cells [45]. MSCs also secrete programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1), which suppresses T cell activation and increases T cell apoptosis [46][47]. Furthermore, MSC-secreted Prostaglandin E2 (PGE2), TGF- β 1, IL-6 and nitric oxide, all provide inhibitory effects on T cells, macrophages, neutrophils and NK cells [48][49]. MSC-S as a whole has been shown to exert immunosuppressive effects through modulating proliferation and activation of immune cells in vitro [50]. Treatment of peripheral blood mononuclear cells with MSC-S led to a reduction in pro-inflammatory cytokine production and an increase in anti-inflammatory cytokines [54]. BM-MSC-S injected into the margins of excisional wounds in mice promotes wound healing through diminished inflammation mediated by macrophage polymerisation [36]. This beneficial effect of MSC-S is significantly higher than the equivalent treatment with fibroblast secretome [36].

Increased angiogenesis has been proposed as another of the main mechanisms of action for MSC-S in different types of wounds supported by in vitro treatment of endothelial cells with MSC-S enhancing their proliferation and migration. [51][52]. This impact of MSC-S on angiogenesis is suggested to be mediated by the secretion of Cyr61 from MSCs [53]. Pro-angiogenic proteins secreted by MSCs, such as Ang-1, Ang-2, VEGF, angiostatin, CXCL16, EGF, FGF, PDGF, granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF), HGF, MCP-1, MMP-8 and MMP-9, also contribute to vascular formation and stability [54]. In preclinical studies, BM-MSC-S treatment improved partial-thickness burn injury repair in rats, which was mediated by increased blood vessel formation [51]. In another study, topical administration of BM-MSC-S cream to full-thickness burns of rats resulted in increased numbers of fibroblasts and improved angiogenesis as well as accelerated wound closure [52]. Subcutaneous injection of umbilical cord-derived MSC secretome (UC-MSC-S) to wounds of diabetic mice led to accelerated wound closure and high capillarity density in wound areas [55].

MSC-S from different origins (such as iliac crest, bone marrow, adipose, Wharton's jelly, umbilical cords) have been shown to enhance the migratory and proliferative abilities of dermal fibroblasts and epidermal keratinocytes in vitro [56][57][58][59]; MSC-S alters the expression of genes involved in re-epithelialisation and angiogenesis and increases re-epithelialisation in human 3D skin models [60][61]. The secretome from adipose tissue-derived MSCs (ADSC-S) has been shown to protect dermal fibroblasts from oxidative stress-mediated apoptosis and accelerate wound closure with stimulatory effects on fibroblast migration in in vitro models [62][63]. The beneficial effect of MSC-S on skin cells is believed to be mediated by growth factors (such as IGF-1, EGF, FGF-2, KGF, TGF- β , HGF, PDGF, VEGF, SDF-1, erythropoietin) and chemokines (such as IL-6, IL-8, MCP-1 and RANTES) (Table 1) [36][37][38][39][59][64][65]. Treatment of wounds with MSC-S significantly accelerates new tissue formation, collagen deposition and re-epithelialisation in treated wounds [36][61][66][67]. Application to chronic rat wounds of BM-MSC-S delivered in a fibrin vehicle also increases re-epithelialisation and collagen deposition [68]. In another study, excisional wounds of rats topically treated with ADSC secretome displayed accelerated wound closure along with faster re-epithelialisation [66]. It has further been demonstrated that dental pulp stem cell (DPSC) secretome enhances wound healing through increased collagen synthesis and improved proliferative and migratory ability of dermal fibroblasts [67]. MSC-S derived from Wharton's jelly (WJ-MSC-S) promotes excisional wound healing in mice through increased cell proliferation [69]. Recently, WJ-MSC-S has also been shown to promote wound healing in radiation-induced cutaneous wounds of rats [70].

3. Advantages of MSC-S over Other Cell-Based Products

The use of cell-based therapies and products is not new. Indeed, skin substitutes, platelet-rich plasmas, recombinant growth factors and cytokines have been around for decades [4]. However, despite promising preclinical datasets and successful clinical trials, there remains the need for improved cell-based solutions, as evidenced by the spiraling increase

in chronic wounds worldwide. Currently, skin substitutes containing living fibroblasts, keratinocytes or both, include TransCyte, [71], Dermagraft [72], Apligraf, [73] and OrCel [74]. These cell-based skin graft substitutes have shown promising results in promoting faster wound closure (Transcyte), improved rate of re-epithelialisation (Dermagraft), and superior vascularity, pigmentation, wound height and scar scores (Apligraf) [75]. However, they are expensive, require specific storage conditions, have the potential risks of tumorigenicity, infection and rejection, and are difficult to use within the community [76]. Recombinant growth factors were postulated to be the solution to impaired healing as it was hypothesised that chronic non-healing wounds lacked specific growth factors and cytokines [77]. Numerous clinical trials were undertaken to investigate the growth factor therapies including EGF, PDGF, GM-CSF, KGF therapies, but despite appearing to be efficacious in many animal models of wound repair, translation into clinical products has been limited due to the significant amounts of growth factors required for treatment, the expense of manufacture and the lack of clinically relevant improvements in healing [78][79][80][81]. To date, only PDGF has received FDA approval for the treatment of diabetic foot ulcers, and its use is limited due to the need for dressing replacement and the potential increased risk of malignancy [82][83]. Administering single growth factors and/or cytokines has potential limitations as wounds are complex environments, and multiple factors may be required to stimulate healing responses. MSC-S contains a vast array of proteins at physiological and balanced levels, including cytokines, growth factors and chemokines (Table 1), that potentially makes it a superior alternative to expensive cytokine and growth factor therapies that are limited to delivering only one or two proteins to the wounds.

The delivery of live cells to cutaneous wounds presents a unique and specific set of challenges [84]. The injection of cells through a syringe or needle has been shown to decrease cell viability to only 1–32% and can cause irreversible and sometimes fatal damage to the cell membrane [85][86]. Not only does this negate the potential benefit of the cell therapy but the introduction of a large population of apoptotic or necrotic cells may serve to elicit an immune response, which could be detrimental to the healing process. MSC-S therapy avoids the difficulties associated with live-cell administration in stem cells as well as advantages of ease of mass production, packaging and transportation [39]. These advantageous factors have led to the growing potential of MSC-S use as a treatment for tissue regeneration and various disorders [37].

4. Challenges with the MSC-S as a Wound Therapy

4.1. Secretome Characterisation

Although MSC-S may be a promising medical product, it has been very challenging to define its biochemical composition or measure the activity and half-life of all of its components [39]. In addition to proteins, MSC-S also contains exosomes and extracellular vesicles [87]. Exosomes can contain miRNA, lipids and long noncoding RNAs, which regulate multiple signalling pathways related to inflammation [88]. The identification and characterisation of all the biomolecules that constitute the secretome are difficult to achieve but will improve the understanding of the secreted factor profile and provide information about its function, regulation and clinical use [89]. Further research on the MSC-S using high throughput genetic and chemical screenings and next-generation metabolomics-driven approaches is required to clarify all of the key metabolic and signalling pathways that are mediating robust new tissue formation, dampened inflammation and enhanced wound closure.

4.2. Inconsistency in Preparation of Secretome

It has been reported that the isolation and culture methods, as well as donor health condition and age, can affect the quality of MSC products [90]. Inconsistency in secretome harvesting in terms of MSC heterogeneity, inter-donor differences, cell number and time interval is another part of the current challenge regarding the clinical use of secretome. Production of MSC-S under pharmaceutical standards and according to good manufacturing practice (GMP) is a vital step to use MSC-S as a therapeutic agent in the clinic. Compliance with well-defined good manufacturing protocols (GMP) will improve batch-to-batch consistency and the reproducible efficacy of MSC-S [91].

4.3. Potential Side Effects of MSC-S

Although there are limited reports of the negative effects of secretome, there are always potential risks using exogenous biological molecules, although these risks are reduced when compared to cell-based therapies. A comprehensive analysis is needed before MSC-S transplantation to specific niches in different tissues. For example, MSC-S contains MSC-derived exosomes and extracellular vesicles that can be immunogenic; however, the immunogenicity of exosomes has been shown to be less than their parent MSCs [92]. On the other hand, immunosuppressive properties of MSC-S have been reported in several studies and have been hypothesised to be one of the main mechanisms of action of MSC-S when treating autoimmune diseases [93]. However, the use of secretome may diminish the immune system, which may

increase the risk of infection, immunodeficiency and tumour growth in treated patients [94]. Thus, an optimal amount of secretome should be clearly defined with an aim to find the right balance between safety and effectiveness of any secretome based therapy.

4.4. Limitation of Secretome Resources and Instability of Secretome Components

The number of MSCs that are required to produce sufficient quantities of secretome for an equivalent effect on acute wounds is about 10–25 times higher than directly administered live cells [95]. These elevated numbers of cells impact the costs of derivation and validation because the biological properties and activity of these cells may change with repeated passages. However, with increased production and improvement in cell factories and bioreactors, the impact of this drawback may be minimised. Another major concern in secretome therapy is the instability and short half-life of proteins. One of the successful strategies to address these drawbacks is preconditioning cells to stimulate the paracrine production of the secretome. Preconditioning is also useful to control the composition of the secretome to avoid the toxicity caused by upregulated cytokines [99]. Hence, it is important to first elevate the production of desirable factors and downregulate the detrimental ones, and second to achieve an appropriate balance between stimulatory and inhibitory factors produced by these cells. There are different pre-treatment methods for MSCs, for example, subjecting cells to hypoxia or anoxia has been reported to increase the secretion of cytokines and growth factors in transplanted stem cells [96]. Genetic manipulation of cells using transgenes can also alter specific gene expression with the aim of controlling the MSC-S post-transplantation [97]. Another promising approach for pre-treating stem cells before transplantation involves small molecules, such as inflammatory cytokines and growth factors [98]. For example, treating MSCs with inflammatory cytokines increases their secretion of anti-inflammatory biomolecules and improves their immunosuppressive function [99]. Preconditioning through cell–cell interactions is another strategy to improve the secretion of favourable biomolecules. For example, Potapova et al. (2007) reported that MSCs in 3D spheroids are able to secrete higher levels of paracrine biomolecules, such as IL-11, VEGF, FGF-2 and angiogenin, compared to MSCs in monolayers [100]. This tailoring of the MSC-S could potentially lead to numerous off the shelf products specifically designed for the treatment of specific conditions or wound types.

5. Conclusions

Even though advances in the field of stem cell therapy have grown significantly, there are still practical and clinical hurdles to overcome before they can be routinely used for the treatment of wounds. Poor engraftment and survival of cells in damaged areas, immunogenicity, tumorigenicity and lack of efficiency are notable limitations for clinical stem cell therapies. The use of MSC-S as a potential alternative to MSCs is of enormous interest and has significant clinical potential, given the trophic properties of many of the secreted factors. MSC-S therapy avoids the use of live cells and can limit biological variability, therefore, leading to the potential development of efficient and safe therapeutic approaches. While the mechanism of action of the MSC-S is still to be fully determined, the development of a cell-free therapy for the treatment of cutaneous wounds holds great promise.

References

1. Ahangar, P.; Woodward, M.; Cowin, A.J. Advanced wound therapies. *Wound Pract. Res.* 2018, 26, 58–68.
2. Gonzalez, A.C.D.O.; Freire, T.F.C.; Andrade, Z.D.A.; Medrado, A.P. Wound healing—A literature review. *An. Bras. Derm atol.* 2016, 91, 614–620.
3. Han, G.; Ceilley, R. Chronic wound healing: A review of current management and treatments. *Adv. Ther.* 2017, 34, 599–610.
4. Johnson, R.M.; Richard, R. Partial-thickness burns: Identification and management. *Adv. Skin Wound Care* 2003, 16, 178–187.
5. Simpson, D.; Liu, H.; Fan, T.H.M.; Nerem, R.; Dudley, S.C., Jr. A tissue engineering approach to progenitor cell delivery results in significant cell engraftment and improved myocardial remodeling. *Stem Cells* 2007, 25, 2350–2357.
6. Jackson, K.A.; Majka, S.M.; Wang, H.; Pocius, J.; Hartley, C.J.; Majesky, M.W.; Entman, M.L.; Michael, L.H.; Hirschi, K.; Goodell, M.A. Regeneration of ischemic cardiac muscle and vascular endothelium by adult stem cells. *J. Clin. Invest.* 2001, 107, 1395–1402.
7. Pérez-Ilzarbe, M.; Agbulut, O.; Pelacho, B.; Ciorba, C.; José-Eneriz, E.S.; Desnos, M.; Hagege, A.; Aranda, P.; Andreu, E.J.; Menasché, P.; et al. Characterization of the paracrine effects of human skeletal myoblasts transplanted in infarcted myocardium. *Eur. J. Heart Fail.* 2008, 10, 1065–1072.

8. Picinich, S.C.; Mishra, P.J.; Mishra, P.J.; Glod, J.; Banerjee, D. The therapeutic potential of mesenchymal stem cells. *Expert Opin. Biol. Ther.* 2007, 7, 965–973.
9. Park, S.R.; Kim, J.W.; Jun, H.S.; Roh, J.Y.; Lee, H.Y.; Hong, I.S. Stem cell secretome and its effect on cellular mechanisms relevant to wound healing. *Mol. Ther.* 2018, 26, 606–617.
10. Blüguermann, C.; Wu, L.; Petrigliano, F.; McAllister, D.; Miriuka, S.; Evseenko, D. Novel aspects of parenchymal-mesenchymal interactions: From cell types to molecules and beyond. *Cell Biochem. Funct.* 2013, 31, 271–280.
11. Galipeau, J.; Sensébé, L. Mesenchymal stromal cells: Clinical Challenges and therapeutic opportunities. *Cell Stem Cell* 2018, 22, 824–833.
12. Charbord, P. Bone Marrow Mesenchymal stem cells: Historical overview and concepts. *Hum. Gene Ther.* 2010, 21, 1045–1056.
13. Strioga, M.; Viswanathan, S.; Darinskas, A.; Slaby, O.; Michalek, J. Same or not the same? Comparison of adipose tissue-derived versus bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem and stromal cells. *Stem Cells Dev.* 2012, 21, 2724–2752.
14. Pelekanos, R.A.; Sardesai, V.S.; Futrega, K.; Lott, W.B.; Kuhn, M.; Doran, M.R. Isolation and expansion of mesenchymal stem/stromal cells derived from human placenta tissue. *J. Vis. Exp.* 2016, 10, e54204.
15. Sharpe, P. Dental mesenchymal stem cells. *Development* 2016, 143, 2273–2280.
16. Lee, O.K.; Kuo, T.K.; Chen, W.M.; Lee, K.D.; Hsieh, S.L.; Chen, T.H. Isolation of multipotent mesenchymal stem cells from umbilical cord blood. *Blood* 2004, 103, 1669–1675.
17. Sasaki, M.; Abe, R.; Fujita, Y.; Ando, S.; Inokuma, D.; Shimizu, H. Mesenchymal stem cells are recruited into wounded skin and contribute to wound repair by transdifferentiation into multiple skin cell type. *J. Immunol.* 2008, 180, 2581–2587.
18. Orlic, D.; Kajstura, J.; Chimenti, S.; Jakoniuk, I.; Anderson, S.M.; Li, B.; Pickel, J.; McKay, R.; Nadal-Ginard, B.; Bodine, D.M.; et al. Bone marrow cells regenerate infarcted myocardium. *Nature* 2001, 410, 701–705.
19. Pittenger, M.F. Multilineage potential of adult human mesenchymal stem cells. *Science* 1999, 284, 143–147.
20. Bourin, P.; Bunnell, B.A.; Casteilla, L.; Dominici, M.; Katz, A.J.; March, K.L.; Redl, H.; Rubin, J.P.; Yoshimura, K.; Gimble, J.M. Stromal cells from the adipose tissue-derived stromal vascular fraction and culture expanded adipose tissue-derived stromal/stem cells: A joint statement of the International Federation for Adipose Therapeutics and Science (IFATS) and the International Society for Cellular Therapy (ISCT). *Cytotherapy* 2013, 15, 641–648.
21. Lv, F.J.; Tuan, R.S.; Cheung, K.M.C.; Leung, V.Y.L. Concise review: The surface markers and identity of human mesenchymal stem cells. *Stem Cells* 2014, 32, 1408–1419.
22. Oh, W.; Kim, D.S.; Yang, Y.S.; Lee, J.K. Immunological properties of umbilical cord blood-derived mesenchymal stromal cells. *Cell. Immunol.* 2008, 251, 116–123.
23. Németh, K.; Leelahavanichkul, A.; Yuen, P.S.T.; Mayer, B.; Parmelee, A.; Doi, K.; Robey, P.G.; Leelahavanichkul, K.; Koller, B.H.; Brown, J.M.; et al. Bone marrow stromal cells attenuate sepsis via prostaglandin E₂-dependent reprogramming of host macrophages to increase their interleukin-10 production. *Nat. Med.* 2009, 15, 42–49.
24. Meirelles, L.D.S.; Fontes, A.M.; Covas, D.T.; Caplan, A.I. Mechanisms involved in the therapeutic properties of mesenchymal stem cells. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev.* 2009, 20, 419–427.
25. Caplan, A.I. Why are MSCs therapeutic? New data: New insight. *J. Pathol.* 2009, 217, 318–324.
26. Lee, D.E.; Ayoub, N.; Agrawal, D.K. Mesenchymal stem cells and cutaneous wound healing: Novel methods to increase cell delivery and therapeutic efficacy. *Stem Cell Res. Ther.* 2016, 7, 37.
27. Wang, M.; Yuan, Q.; Xie, L. Mesenchymal stem cell-based immunomodulation: Properties and clinical application. *Stem Cells Int.* 2018, 2018, 3057624.
28. Kehl, D.; Generali, M.; Mallone, A.; Heller, M.; Uldry, A.C.; Cheng, P.F.; Gantenbein, B.; Hoerstrup, S.P.; Weber, B. Proteomic analysis of human mesenchymal stromal cell secretomes: A systematic comparison of the angiogenic potential. *NPJ Regen. Med.* 2019, 4, 8.
29. Mattar, P.; Bieback, K. Comparing the immunomodulatory properties of bone marrow, adipose tissue, and birth-associated tissue mesenchymal stromal cells. *Front. Immunol.* 2015, 6, 560.
30. Hocking, A.M.; Gibran, N.S. Mesenchymal stem cells: Paracrine signaling and differentiation during cutaneous wound repair. *Exp. Cell Res.* 2010, 316, 2213–2219.
31. Badiavas, E.V.; Ford, D.; Liu, P.; Kouttab, N.; Morgan, J.; Richards, A.; Maizel, A. Long-term bone marrow culture and its clinical potential in chronic wound healing. *Wound Repair Regen.* 2007, 15, 856–865.

32. Ravari, H.; Hamidi-Almadari, D.; Salimifar, M.; Bonakdaran, S.; Parizadeh, M.R.; Koliakos, G. Treatment of non-healing wounds with autologous bone marrow cells, platelets, fibrin glue and collagen matrix. *Cytotherapy* 2011, 13, 705–711.
33. Sarasúa, J.G.; López, S.P.; Viejo, M.Á.; Basterrechea, M.P.; Rodríguez, A.F.; Gutiérrez, A.F.; Gala, J.G.; Menéndez, Y. M.; Augusto, D.E.; Arias, A.P.; et al. Treatment of pressure ulcers with autologous bone marrow nuclear cells in patients with spinal cord injury. *J. Spinal Cord Med.* 2011, 34, 301–307.
34. Lataillade, J.J.; Doucet, C.; Bey, E.; Carsin, H.; Huet, C.; Clairand, I.; Bottollier-Depois, J.; Chapel, A.; Ernou, I.; Gourven, M.; et al. New approach to radiation burn treatment by dosimetry-guided surgery combined with autologous mesenchymal stem cell therapy. *Regen. Med.* 2007, 2, 785–794.
35. Kanji, S.; Das, H. Advances of stem cell therapeutics in cutaneous wound healing and regeneration. *Mediat. Inflamm.* 2017, 2017, 5217967.
36. Chen, L.; Tredget, E.E.; Wu, P.Y.G.; Wu, Y. Paracrine factors of mesenchymal stem cells recruit macrophages and endothelial lineage cells and enhance wound healing. *PLoS ONE* 2008, 3, e1886.
37. Ferreira, J.R.; Teixeira, G.Q.; Santos, S.G.; Barbosa, M.A.; Almeida-Porada, G.; Gonçalves, R.M. Mesenchymal stromal cell secretome: Influencing therapeutic potential by cellular pre-conditioning. *Front. Immunol.* 2018, 9, 2837.
38. Harrell, C.R.; Fellabaum, C.; Jovicic, N.; Djonov, V.; Arsenijevic, N.; Volarevic, V. Molecular mechanisms responsible for therapeutic potential of mesenchymal stem cell-derived secretome. *Cells* 2019, 8, 467.
39. Vizoso, F.J.; Eiró, N.; Cid, S.; Schneider, J.; Perez-Fernandez, R. Mesenchymal stem cell secretome: Toward cell-free therapeutic strategies in regenerative medicine. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2017, 18, 1852.
40. Xin, H.; Li, Y.; Buller, B.; Katakowski, M.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, X.; Shang, X.; Zhang, Z.G.; Chopp, M. Exosome-mediated transfer of miR-133b from multipotent mesenchymal stromal cells to neural cells contributes to neurite outgrowth. *Stem Cells* 2012, 30, 1556–1564.
41. Zhang, S.; Chu, W.C.; Lai, R.C.; Lim, S.K.; Hui, J.H.P.; Toh, W.S. Exosomes derived from human embryonic mesenchymal stem cells promote osteochondral regeneration. *Osteoarthr. Cartil.* 2016, 24, 2135–2140.
42. Yu, B.; Kim, H.W.; Gong, M.; Wang, J.; Millard, R.W.; Wang, Y.; Ashraf, M.; Xu, M. Exosomes secreted from GATA-4 overexpressing mesenchymal stem cells serve as a reservoir of anti-apoptotic microRNAs for cardioprotection. *Int. J. Cardiol.* 2014, 182, 349–360.
43. Weiss, A.R.R.; Dahlke, M.H. Immunomodulation by mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs): Mechanisms of action of living, apoptotic, and dead MSCs. *Front. Immunol.* 2019, 10, 1191.
44. Luz-Crawford, P.; Djouad, F.; Toupet, K.; Bony, C.; Franquesa, M.; Hoogduijn, M.J.; Jorgensen, C.; Noël, D. Mesenchymal stem cell-derived interleukin 1 receptor antagonist promotes macrophage polarization and inhibits B cell differentiation. *Stem Cells* 2016, 34, 483–492.
45. Gieseke, F.; Böhringer, J.; Bussolari, R.; Dominici, M.; Handgretinger, R.; Müller, I. Human multipotent mesenchymal stromal cells use galectin-1 to inhibit immune effector cells. *Blood* 2010, 116, 3770–3779.
46. Beyth, S.; Borovsky, Z.; Mevorach, D.; Liebergall, M.; Gazit, Z.; Aslan, H.; Galun, E.; Rachmilewitz, J. Human mesenchymal stem cells alter antigen-presenting cell maturation and induce T-cell unresponsiveness. *Blood* 2005, 105, 2214–2219.
47. Davies, L.C.; Heldring, N.; Kadri, N.; Le Blanc, K. Mesenchymal stromal cell secretion of programmed death-1 ligands regulates T cell mediated immunosuppression. *Stem Cells* 2017, 35, 766–776.
48. Deng, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Ye, L.; Zhang, T.; Cheng, J.; Chen, G.; Zhang, Q.; Yang, Y. Umbilical cord-derived mesenchymal stem cells instruct monocytes towards an IL10-producing phenotype by secreting IL6 and HGF. *Sci. Rep.* 2016, 6, 37566.
49. Lin, L.; Du, L. The role of secreted factors in stem cells-mediated immune regulation. *Cell. Immunol.* 2018, 326, 24–32.
50. Kay, A.G.; Long, G.; Tyler, G.; Stefan, A.; Broadfoot, S.J.; Piccinini, A.M.; Middleton, J.; Kehoe, O. Mesenchymal stem cell-conditioned medium reduces disease severity and immune responses in inflammatory arthritis. *Sci. Rep.* 2017, 7, 18019.
51. Aryan, A.; Bayat, M.; Bonakdar, S.; Taheri, S.; Haghparsat, N.; Bagheri, M.; Piryaee, A.; Abdollahifar, M.A. Human bone marrow mesenchymal stem cell conditioned medium promotes wound healing in deep second-degree burns in male rats. *Cells Tissues Organs* 2019, 206, 317–329.
52. Padeta, I.; Nugroho, W.S.; Kusindarta, D.L.; Fibrianto, Y.H.; Budipitojo, T. Mesenchymal stem cell-conditioned medium promote the recovery of skin burn wound. *Asian J. Anim. Veter. Adv.* 2017, 12, 132–141.
53. Estrada, R.; Li, N.; Sarojini, H.; An, J.; Lee, M.J.; Wang, E. Secretome from mesenchymal stem cells induces angiogenesis via Cyr61. *J. Cell. Physiol.* 2009, 219, 563–571.

54. Watt, S.M.; Gullo, F.; Van Der Garde, M.; Markeson, D.; Camicia, R.; Khoo, C.P.; Zwaginga, J.J. The angiogenic properties of mesenchymal stem/stromal cells and their therapeutic potential. *Br. Med. Bull.* 2013, 108, 25–53.
55. Shrestha, C.; Zhao, L.; Chen, K.; He, H.; Mo, Z. Enhanced healing of diabetic wounds by subcutaneous administration of human umbilical cord derived stem cells and their conditioned media. *Int. J. Endocrinol.* 2013, 2013, 10.
56. Walter, M.; Wright, K.; Fuller, H.R.; MacNeil, S.; Johnson, W. Mesenchymal stem cell-conditioned medium accelerates skin wound healing: An in vitro study of fibroblast and keratinocyte scratch assays. *Exp. Cell Res.* 2010, 316, 1271–1281.
57. Li, M.R.; Luan, F.X.; Zhao, Y.; Hao, H.; Liu, J.; Dong, L.; Fu, X.; Han, W. Mesenchymal stem cell-conditioned medium accelerates wound healing with fewer scars. *Int. Wound J.* 2017, 14, 64–73.
58. Kim, W.S.; Park, B.S.; Sung, J.H.; Yang, J.M.; Park, S.B.; Kwak, S.J.; Park, J.S. Wound healing effect of adipose-derived stem cells: A critical role of secretory factors on human dermal fibroblasts. *J. Dermatol. Sci.* 2007, 48, 15–24.
59. Lee, C.S.; Burnsed, O.A.; Raghuram, V.; Kalisvaart, J.F.; Boyan, B.D.; Schwartz, Z. Adipose stem cells can secrete angiogenic factors that inhibit hyaline cartilage regeneration. *Stem Cell Res. Ther.* 2012, 3, 35.
60. Al-Shaibani, M.B.; Dickinson, A.; Wang, X.N.; Tulah, A.S.; Lovat, P.E. Effect of conditioned media from mesenchymal stem cells (MSC-CM) on wound healing using a prototype of a fully humanised 3D skin model. *Cytotherapy* 2017, 19, e23–e24.
61. Smith, A.N.; Willis, E.; Chan, V.T.; Muffley, L.A.; Isik, F.F.; Gibran, N.S.; Hocking, A.M. Mesenchymal stem cells induce dermal fibroblast responses to injury. *Exp. Cell Res.* 2010, 316, 48–54.
62. Kim, W.S.; Park, B.S.; Kim, H.K.; Park, J.S.; Kim, K.J.; Choi, J.S.; Chung, S.J.; Kim, D.D.; Sung, J.H. Evidence supporting antioxidant action of adipose-derived stem cells: Protection of human dermal fibroblasts from oxidative stress. *J. Dermatol. Sci.* 2008, 49, 133–142.
63. Bussche, L.; Harman, R.; Syracuse, B.A.; Plante, E.L.; Lu, Y.C.; Curtis, T.; Ma, M.; Van De Walle, G.R. Microencapsulated equine mesenchymal stromal cells promote cutaneous wound healing in vitro. *Stem Cell Res. Ther.* 2015, 6, 66.
64. Wu, Y.; Chen, L.; Scott, P.G.; Tredget, E.E. Mesenchymal Stem Cells Enhance Wound Healing Through Differentiation and Angiogenesis. *Stem Cells* 2007, 25, 2648–2659.
65. Hsiao, S.T.-F.; Asgari, A.; Lokmic, Z.; Sinclair, R.; Disting, G.J.; Lim, S.Y.; Dilley, R.J. Comparative analysis of paracrine factor expression in human adult mesenchymal stem cells derived from bone marrow, adipose, and dermal tissue. *Stem Cells Dev.* 2012, 21, 2189–2203.
66. Tarcisia, T.; Damayanti, L.; Antarianto, R.D.; Moenadjat, Y.; Pawitan, J.A. Adipose derived stem cell conditioned medium effect on proliferation phase of wound healing in Sprague Dawley rat. *Med. J. Indones.* 2018, 26, 239–245.
67. Nishino, Y.; Ebisawa, K.; Yamada, Y.; Okabe, K.; Kamei, Y.; Ueda, M. Human deciduous teeth dental pulp cells with basic fibroblast growth factor enhance wound healing of skin defect. *J. Craniofacial Surg.* 2011, 22, 438–442.
68. Mehanna, R.; Nabil, I.; Attia, N.; Bary, A.A.; Razek, K.A.; Ahmed, T.A.E.; Elsayed, F. The effect of bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells and their conditioned media topically delivered in fibrin glue on chronic wound healing in rats. *BioMed Res. Int.* 2015, 2015, 846062.
69. Arno, A.I.; Amini-Nik, S.; Blit, P.H.; Al-Shehab, M.; Belo, C.; Herer, E.; Tien, H.C.; Jeschke, M.G. Human Wharton's jelly mesenchymal stem cells promote skin wound healing through paracrine signaling. *Stem Cell Res. Ther.* 2014, 5, 28.
70. Sun, J.; Zhang, Y.; Song, X.; Zhu, J.; Zhu, Q. The healing effects of conditioned medium derived from mesenchymal stem cells on radiation-induced skin wounds in rats. *Cell Transplant.* 2019, 28, 105–115.
71. Bello, Y.M.; Falabella, A.F.; Eaglstein, W.H. Tissue-engineered skin. Current status in wound healing. *Am. J. Clin. Dermatol.* 2001, 2, 305–313.
72. Hansen, S.L.; Voigt, D.W.; Wiebelhaus, P.; Paul, C.N. Using skin replacement products to treat burns and wounds. *Adv. Skin Wound Care* 2001, 14, 37–46.
73. Eaglstein, W.H.; Iriondo, M.; Laszlo, K. A composite skin substitute (graftskin) for surgical wounds. A clinical experience. *Dermatol. Surg.* 1995, 21, 839–843.
74. Martin, L.K.; Kirsner, R.S. Use of a meshed bilayered cellular matrix to treat a venous ulcer. *Adv. Skin Wound Care* 2002, 15, 260–264.
75. Waymack, P.; Duff, R.G.; Sabolinski, M. The effect of a tissue engineered bilayered living skin analog, over meshed split-thickness autografts on the healing of excised burn wounds. *Burns* 2000, 26, 609–619.
76. Alrubaiy, L.; Al-Rubaiy, K.K. Skin substitutes: A brief review of types and clinical applications. *Oman Med. J.* 2009, 24, 4–6.

77. Barrientos, S.; Brem, H.; Stojadinovic, O.; Tomic-Canic, M. Clinical application of growth factors and cytokines in wound healing. *Wound Repair Regen.* 2014, 22, 569–578.
78. Da Costa, R.M.; Jesus, F.M.; Aniceto, C.; Mendes, M. Double-blind randomized placebo-controlled trial of the use of granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor in chronic leg ulcers. *Am. J. Surg.* 1997, 173, 165–168.
79. Heldin, C.-H.; Westermark, B. Mechanism of action and in vivo role of platelet-derived growth factor. *Physiol. Rev.* 1999, 79, 1283–1316.
80. Lin, H.; Chen, B.; Sun, W.; Zhao, W.; Zhao, Y.; Dai, J. The effect of collagen-targeting platelet-derived growth factor on cellularization and vascularization of collagen scaffolds. *Biomaterials* 2006, 27, 5708–5714.
81. Krishnaswami, S.; Ly, Q.P.; Rothman, V.L.; Tuszyński, G.P. Thrombospondin-1 promotes proliferative healing through stabilization of PDGF. *J. Surg. Res.* 2002, 107, 124–130.
82. Mast, B.A.; Schultz, G. Interactions of cytokines, growth factors, and proteases in acute and chronic wounds. *Wound Repair Regen.* 1996, 4, 411–420.
83. Papanas, D.; Maltezos, E. Benefit-risk assessment of becaplermin in the treatment of diabetic foot ulcers. *Drug Saf.* 2010, 33, 455–461.
84. Kirby, G.T.S.; Mills, S.J.; Cowin, A.J.; Smith, L.E. Stem cells for cutaneous wound healing. *BioMed Res. Int.* 2015, 2015, 285869.
85. Zhang, M.; Methot, D.; Poppa, V.; Fujio, Y.; Walsh, K.; Murry, C.E. Cardiomyocyte grafting for cardiac repair: Graft cell death and anti-death strategies. *J. Mol. Cell. Cardiol.* 2001, 33, 907–921.
86. Wahlberg, B.; Ghuman, H.; Liu, J.R.; Mado, M. Ex vivo biomechanical characterization of syringe-needle ejections for intracerebral cell delivery. *Sci. Rep.* 2018, 8, 1–17.
87. Krek, A.; Grün, D.; Poy, M.N.; Wolf, R.; Rosenberg, L.; Epstein, E.J.; MacMenamin, P.; Da Piedade, I.; Gunsalus, K.C.; Stoffel, M.; et al. Combinatorial microRNA target predictions. *Nat. Genet.* 2005, 37, 495–500.
88. Gao, F.; Yu, L.; Zhang, N.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, R.; Zhao, J. Long noncoding RNAs and their regulatory network: Potential therapeutic targets for adult moyamoya disease. *World Neurosurg.* 2016, 93, 111–119.
89. Ranganath, S.H.; Levy, O.; Inamdar, M.S.; Karp, J.M. Harnessing the mesenchymal stem cell secretome for the treatment of cardiovascular disease. *Cell Stem Cell* 2012, 10, 244–258.
90. Lukomska, B.; Stanaszek, L.; Zuba-Surma, E.; Łęgosz, P.; Sarzyńska, S.; Drela, K. Challenges and controversies in human mesenchymal stem cell therapy. *Stem Cells Int.* 2019, 2019, 9628536.
91. De Sousa, P.; Downie, J.; Tye, B.; Bruce, K.; Dand, P.; Dhanjal, S.; Serhal, P.; Harper, J.; Turner, M.; Bateman, M. Development and production of good manufacturing practice grade human embryonic stem cell lines as source material for clinical application. *Stem Cell Res.* 2016, 17, 379–390.
92. Gowen, A.; Shahjin, F.; Chand, S.; Odegaard, K.E.; Yelamanchili, S.V. Mesenchymal stem cell-derived extracellular vesicles: Challenges in clinical applications. *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* 2020, 8, 149.
93. Zhao, Q.; Ren, H.; Han, Z. Mesenchymal stem cells: Immunomodulatory capability and clinical potential in immune diseases. *J. Cell. Immunother.* 2016, 2, 3–20.
94. Bascones-Martinez, A.; Mattila, R.; Gomez-Font, R.; Meurman, J.H. Immunomodulatory drugs: Oral and systemic adverse effects. *Med. Oral Patol. Oral Cir. Bucal.* 2014, 19, e24–e31.
95. Ahangar, P.; Mills, S.J.; Smith, L.E.; Strudwick, X.L.; Ting, A.E.; Vaes, B.; Cowin, A.J. Human multipotent adult progenitor cell-conditioned medium improves wound healing through modulating inflammation and angiogenesis in mice. *Stem Cell Res. Ther.* 2020, 11, 299.
96. Lee, E.Y.; Xia, Y.; Kim, W.S.; Kim, M.H.; Kim, T.H.; Kim, K.J.; Park, B.S.; Sung, J.H. Hypoxia-enhanced wound-healing function of adipose-derived stem cells: Increase in stem cell proliferation and up-regulation of VEGF and bFGF. *Wound Repair Regen.* 2009, 17, 540–547.
97. Mangi, A.A.; Noiseux, N.; Kong, D.; He, H.; Rezvani, M.; Ingwall, J.S.; Dzau, V.J. Mesenchymal stem cells modified with Akt prevent remodeling and restore performance of infarcted hearts. *Nat. Med.* 2003, 9, 1195–1201.
98. Afzal, M.R.; Haider, H.K.; Idris, N.M.; Jiang, S.; Ahmed, R.P.; Ashraf, M. Preconditioning promotes survival and angiogenic potential of mesenchymal stem cells in the infarcted heart via NF- κ B signaling. *Antioxid. Redox Signal* 2009, 12, 693–702.
99. Baldari, S.; Di Rocco, G.; Piccoli, M.; Pozzobon, M.; Muraca, M.; Toietta, G. Challenges and strategies for improving the regenerative effects of mesenchymal stromal cell-based therapies. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2017, 18, 2087.

100. Potapova, I.A.; Gaudette, G.R.; Brink, P.R.; Robinson, R.B.; Rosen, M.R.; Cohen, I.S.; Doronin, S.V. Mesenchymal stem cells support migration, extracellular matrix invasion, proliferation, and survival of endothelial cells in vitro. *Stem Cells* 2007, 25, 1761–1768.
-

Retrieved from <https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/6480>