# Biological Effects of Non-Ionising UVR and Ionising Radiation

Subjects: Biophysics | Physics, Atomic, Molecular & Chemical Contributor: Ren Jie Tuieng

Non-ionising ultraviolet radiation (UVR) and ionising radiation differ in their interactions with biomolecules, resulting in varied consequences. Here describing the underlying molecular interactions of radiation in the context of biological systems and their outcomes from exposure.

Keywords: ionising radiation ; X-rays ; UVR ; radiation ; radiotherapy ; radiobiology

# 1. Introduction

Radiation exists in two main forms: Electromagnetic (EM) radiation in the form of alternating electric and magnetic waves that propagate energy, and particle radiation consisting of accelerated particles such as electrons and protons. EM radiation can be broadly categorised as non-ionising and ionising. Both types may be encountered clinically or environmentally, with exposure having potentially positive or negative effects on tissues and organisms (**Table 1**). In the case of non-ionising radiation, exposure of skin to ultraviolet radiation (UVR), for example, may be beneficial, as a consequence of vitamin D production <sup>[1]</sup>, or detrimental, due photoageing <sup>[2]</sup> and/or photocarcinogenesis <sup>[3]</sup>. UVR is considered non-ionising electromagnetic radiation (X-rays and gamma rays) can remove electrons. The undoubted importance of controlled exposure to ionising EM radiation in medical diagnostic imaging <sup>[4]</sup> and radiotherapy <sup>[5][6]</sup> must be balanced against side effects such as secondary cancers or tissue fibrosis <sup>[2][8]</sup>. Other forms of radiation, which rely on charged particles (e.g.,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , protons), can also interact with biological systems and are clinically important (such as in proton therapy and in cosmic radiation exposure for space exploration), but being non-electromagnetic, they lie outside the scope of this review. The reader is referred to an excellent review by Helm et al. <sup>[9]</sup>.

**Table 1.** Human exposure to ionising and non-ionising electromagnetic radiation can come from the environment or from clinical interventions. Exposure to both types of radiation can have clear clinical benefits but may also result in detrimental biological effects.

	Туре	Environmental Exposure	Clinical Exposure	Biological Consequence
lonising	X-rays/Gamma rays	Cosmic radiation <sup>[10]</sup> , Radon gas <sup>[11]</sup>	Diagnostic imaging <sup>[12]</sup> , Radiotherapy <sup>[13]</sup>	Fibrosis <sup>[14]</sup> , Carcinogenesis <sup>[15]</sup>
Non- ionising	UVR	Sunlight <sup>[16]</sup>	UVR Phototherapy <sup>[17]</sup>	Skin photoageing <sup>[18]</sup> , Vitamin D synthesis <sup>[19]</sup>
	Visible light	Sunlight <sup>[20]</sup>	Photodynamic therapy <sup>[21]</sup>	Ocular phototoxicity [20]
	Infrared	Sunlight <sup>[22]</sup>	Neural stimulation <sup>[22]</sup>	Skin photoageing <sup>[23]</sup>
	Radiowaves	Lightning <sup>[24]</sup>	Hyperthermia <sup>[25]</sup>	Brain activity <sup>[26]</sup>

Most investigations into the detrimental side effects of radiation on biological tissues have largely focused on cellular damage, and in particular, the sensitivity of DNA <sup>[27][28]</sup>. Whilst acute high radiation exposure may kill cells, it has become increasingly clear that lower doses may have sub-lethal effects that are complex, difficult to eliminate and delayed (persisting over long periods of time) <sup>[2][7][29][30]</sup>. Crucially, to understand the consequences of radiation exposure and hence to potentially prevent or reverse the damage, it is necessary to characterise the interactions of radiation with not only cells but also with their complex and dynamic extracellular environment. This review considers the consequences

and causative mechanisms that drive electromagnetic radiation damage in biological tissues and in the extracellular matrix (ECM) in particular. Two clinical models of interest are discussed: skin exposed to UVR in sunlight and breast tissues exposed to diagnostic and therapeutic X-rays.

## **Electromagnetic Radiation**

UVR and X-rays/Gamma rays, both being part of the EM radiation spectrum (**Figure 1**), differ only in wavelength, frequency and energy. When a molecule absorbs EM radiation, it undergoes one of three possible transitions: electronic, vibrational, or rotational <sup>[31]</sup>. In general, electronic transitions require the largest amount of energy, followed by vibrational then rotational <sup>[32]</sup>.



**Figure 1.** UVR, X-rays and gamma rays all lie in the electromagnetic spectrum. UVR (UV-A and UV-B) lie at a slightly higher energy range compared to visible light and are generally considered non-ionising. In contrast, X-rays and gamma rays have much higher energy than UVR and are considered ionising radiation.

lonising radiation is often more energetic than non-ionising radiation and, as a result, is more likely to induce electronic transitions of atoms and molecules. In electronic excitation, an electron absorbing the radiation transits into a higher electronic state, becoming less bounded to the nucleus and therefore more reactive <sup>[33]</sup>. If the radiation has sufficient energy, the electron can escape the coulomb attraction of the nucleus, and the molecule is ionised. In contrast, molecules undergoing rotational or vibrational transitions (generally caused by non-ionising UVR exposure) experience minimal changes in the stability of the electron-nucleus attraction, resulting in negligible chemical effects. Therefore, exposure to ionising and non-ionising radiation results in significantly distinct biological molecular effects.

# 2. Non-Ionising Radiation (UVR)

UVR is conventionally designated as three categories of increasing energy, UVA (315 –400 nm), UVB (280–315 nm), and UVC (10–280 nm) <sup>[34]</sup>. UVA and UVB are of particular biological interest as they comprise the UVR in sunlight at the Earth's surface (UV-A: 95%, UV-B: 5%) <sup>[35]</sup>. In contrast, UVC is absorbed efficiently in the atmosphere by ozone and oxygen and thus plays no role in environmental UVR damage <sup>[36][37]</sup>.

# 2.1. Absorption of Non-Ionising Radiation (UVR)

Molecules or regions of molecules that absorb UVR are referred to as UV chromophores. Biological systems are rich in UV chromophores, including DNA and some amino acid residues <sup>[38]</sup>. In DNA, the nucleotides thymine and cytosine absorb UVB to become electronically excited <sup>[39][40]</sup>. In proteins, the amino acid residues tyrosine (Tyr), tryptophan (Trp) and cystine (double-bonded cysteine) absorb UVR from sunlight <sup>[41][42]</sup>, with an absorbance peak at 280 nm for Tyr and Trp and lower for cystine <sup>[43][44]</sup>. For Tyr and Trp, their benzene ring structure facilitates an electronic transition from the ground state to the singlet excitation state that requires photons in the UVB region (180–270 nm) <sup>[45][46]</sup>. The excited chromophores can then transfer their energy or donate an electron to O<sub>2</sub>, forming several reactive oxygen species (ROS) <sup>[16][47][48]</sup>. The excess energy can cleave intermolecular bonds, such as disulphide bonds, or facilitate the formation of pyrimidine dimers in DNA <sup>[49][50]</sup>.

UVR damage in biological organisms is largely mediated indirectly via the photodynamic production of unstable ROS <sup>[51]</sup>. UVR exposure generates ROS via the reaction between the excited UV chromophores and molecular oxygen ( $O_2$ ) <sup>[2]</sup> (**Figure 2**). In brief, the excited UV chromophore reacts with  $O_2$  to produce, through electron transfer, either a superoxide anion radical ( $O_2^-$ ) or singlet oxygen ( $^{1}O_2$ ) through energy transfer. Superoxide dismutases, which are present in the cell <sup>[52]</sup> and the ECM <sup>[53]</sup>, convert  $O_2^-$  into hydrogen peroxide ( $H_2O_2$ ). In the presence of Fe(II),  $H_2O_2$  undergoes the Fenton

reaction to generate hydroxyl radicals (HO·)  $^{[2][54]}$ . The cellular effects of both  $^{1}O_{2}$  and HO· are well studied  $^{[47][49][54]}$ . Intracellular ROS have been shown to react with and cause damage to both proteins and DNA  $^{[55][56]}$ .



**Figure 2.** UVR and ionising radiation indirectly damage biological molecules by ROS production. (**a**) UVR produces ROS through UV chromophores that absorb UVR and undergo excitation. The excited chromophores react with oxygen molecules to form singlet oxygen and the superoxide anion. The superoxide anion is converted to hydrogen peroxide by superoxide dismutase before undergoing the Fenton reaction in the presence of Fe (II) to form the hydroxyl radical. (**b**) Ionising radiation produces a range of ROS and, more crucially, the hydroxyl radical through water radiolysis. This results in a larger concentration of hydroxyl radicals produced during ionising radiation irradiation compared to UVR due to the abundance of water molecules. Information from Figure (**b**) was sourced from Meesungnoen J. et al. <sup>[57]</sup>.

### 2.2. Biological Consequences of UVR Exposure

Intracellularly, UV-B photons can be absorbed directly by the DNA nucleotides thymine and cytosine to form cyclobutane pyrimidine dimers (CPDs) <sup>[50]</sup> and 6-4 photoproducts (6-4PP) <sup>[39]</sup>. These photoproducts can further absorb UV-A to form Dewar valence isomers <sup>[58]</sup>. CPDs, 6-4PP, and Dewar valence isomers are known as photolesions which disrupt the base pairing of DNA, preventing DNA transcription and replication <sup>[16]</sup>. Photo-dynamically produced ROS may cleave the DNA sugar backbone causing single-stranded breaks (SSB) <sup>[59]</sup> or oxidise guanine nucleotides to produce another photolesion, 8-oxoguanine, which can cause mismatched pairing between the DNA bases <sup>[48]</sup>.

The ROS,  ${}^{1}O_{2}$  and HO· produced by UVR are strong oxidising agents that also target amino acids vulnerable to oxidation, including tryptophan [60], tyrosine [61], histidine [62], cystine [63], cysteine [64], methionine [65], arginine [66] and glycine [67]. For a more comprehensive summary of photo-oxidation of amino acids, the reader is directed to the review by Pattisson et al. [42]. Oxidation-associated changes in protein structure may, in turn, affect function [67][68][69]. UVR exposure can also break or form intermolecular bonds in proteins. In particular, di-sulphide bonded cystine can be reduced to cysteine [56]. These amino acid level changes can affect protein function, with high and low UVR doses decreasing and increasing the thermal stability of collagen, respectively [70][71][72]. UVR can also disrupt the function and structure of lipids via lipid peroxidation [73], resulting in compromised cell membranes. Extracellularly, ROS may cause damage to abundant ECM proteins, such as collagen and elastin [74][75], and to UVR-chromophore-rich proteins, such as fibrillin microfibrils and fibronectin [76]. The differential impacts of UVR exposure on the matrisome (the extracellular proteome) are discussed in detail in Section 4 and Section 5 of this review.

#### 2.3. Repair and Prevention of UVR Damage

In response to the damage caused by UVR and/or photodynamically produced ROS, cells can initiate repair mechanisms, including nucleotide excision, to remove photolesions in the DNA <sup>[77]</sup>. Enzymes in the cell can repair reversibly oxidised proteins, such as cystine, which can be reduced back to cysteine by the thioredoxin reductase system <sup>[78]</sup>, or may break down irreversibly oxidised proteins, typically products of hydroxylation and carbonylation processes <sup>[79][80]</sup>. In addition, ROS scavengers, such as superoxide dismutases, help restore the ROS balance in the intracellular and extracellular spaces by converting the superoxide anion to hydrogen peroxide <sup>[53][81]</sup>, which is then converted to water and oxygen by catalase and glutathione peroxidase 3 to prevent the formation of hydroxyl radicals <sup>[54][82]</sup>. We have recently proposed that the biological location of some UVR-chromophore-rich proteins (including  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  lens crystallins, late cornified envelope proteins in the stratum corneum and elastic fibre-associated proteins in the papillary dermis) may mean that these components act as sacrificial, and hence protective, endogenous antioxidants <sup>[76]</sup>.

# 3. Ionising Radiation (X-rays/Gamma Rays)

In the EM spectrum, ionising radiation is comprised of X-rays (0.01 nm <  $\lambda$  (wavelength) < 10 nm) and gamma rays ( $\lambda$  < 0.001 nm) (**Figure 1**). Naturally occurring radon gases and cosmic radiation provide a background of ionising radiation of, on average, 2.4 mSv a year <sup>[83]</sup>. On the other hand, man-made sources of ionising radiation, such as mammography, would commonly only expose the patient to a dose of 0.36 mSv per screening <sup>[84][85]</sup>. Another key source of man-made ionising radiation that is of particular interest is radiotherapy.

The efficacy of radiotherapy lies in the ability of ionising radiation to penetrate biological tissues, allowing non-invasive targeting and killing of aberrant cells by causing irreparable DNA damage. Historically, radiotherapy utilised naturally occurring sources such as Co-60, which emits 1.2 MeV gamma rays. Modern external beam radiotherapy treatment regimens use linear accelerators (linacs) to accelerate electrons towards a metal target to produce ionising radiation <sup>[86]</sup>, with exposures up to doses of 50 Gy for breast cancer radiotherapy patients <sup>[87]</sup>. Other forms of radiotherapy include Brachytherapy, where a radioactive source is placed within the patient near the tumour (commonly prostate cancer) site <sup>[88]</sup>. Inadvertent exposure of healthy tissues along the irradiation path can lead to detrimental side effects, including radiation fibrosis <sup>[Z]</sup> and secondary cancers <sup>[89]</sup>. While there are newer radiotherapy machines utilising proton or heavy ion beams to reduce exposures to healthy tissue by exploiting the Bragg peak <sup>[90]</sup> see <u>Appendix A</u>, these treatment options are less widely available and are often reserved for paediatric patients <sup>[91]</sup>. X-ray/gamma ray radiotherapy remains the foremost therapeutic option, and hence, the impact of these radiation exposures on healthy tissues is a key biological and medical issue.

# 3.1. Absorption of Ionising Radiation (X-rays/Gamma Rays)

In contrast to UVR, photons of ionising radiation are energetic enough to ionise most molecules and atoms <sup>[92]</sup>, potentially leading to the disruption of intermolecular bonds <sup>[93]</sup>. An abundance of water molecules in biological systems results in a large percentage of ionising radiation being absorbed by water in a process called water radiolysis <sup>[94]</sup>, producing multiple ROS species. Water radiolysis induces the formation of not only hydrogen peroxide, superoxide anion and the hydroxyl radical <sup>[57]</sup> but also an abundance of highly reactive hydroxyl radicals <sup>[95]</sup> (**Figure 2**).

## 3.2. Biological Consequences of Exposure to Ionising Radiation

The exposure of DNA to ionising radiation may directly induce oxidation via deprotonation or electron removal, again producing photolesions such as 8-oxoguanine <sup>[96]</sup>. Hydroxyl radicals produced from water radiolysis can also disrupt the bonds in the sugar backbone of DNA, resulting in SSBs <sup>[49][97]</sup>. As ionising radiation is highly energetic, electrons ejected from radical formation could potentially cause further radiolysis of nearby water molecules, resulting in a high density of hydroxyl radicals <sup>[95][98]</sup>, increasing the probability of SSB occurring close enough to each other (within 10 base pairs) to promote the formation of double-stranded breaks (DSBs) <sup>[28][99]</sup>. DSBs are potentially highly cytotoxic due to the risk of failed repair, such as in non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) or homologous recombination, resulting in gene mutations <sup>[100][101]</sup>, clastogenic effects <sup>[102]</sup>, teratogenesis <sup>[103]</sup> and carcinogenesis <sup>[99]</sup>.

lonising radiation-induced water radiolysis can cause significant ROS-mediated damage to proteins through the disruption of peptide bonds, thereby altering their structure and function  $^{[67][104][105]}$ . This leads to similar outcomes to those already described in <u>Section 2</u> including both protein oxidation  $^{[106]}$  and lipid peroxidation  $^{[107]}$ . The direct impact of ionising radiation on proteins can be observed during X-ray diffraction studies of protein crystals, where cryogenic temperatures reduce the effects of radicals produced by the solvent  $^{[108]}$ . These studies demonstrate that di-sulphide bonds and carboxyl groups are most susceptible to localised radiation damage  $^{[109][110]}$ . However, this damage may not be evenly distributed throughout the protein  $^{[111]}$ . For example, Weik et al. (2000) have shown that the specific disulphide bond between Cys-254 and Cys-265 residues for *Torpedo californica* acetylcholinesterase, as well as the disulphide bond between Cys-6 and Cys-127 for hen egg white lysozyme, are most susceptible to radiation damage. Radiation damage may also localise at active sites in proteins  $^{[110][112][113]}$  such as for bacteriorhodopsin  $^{[114]}$ , DNA photolyase  $^{[115]}$ , malate dehydrogenases  $^{[116]}$ , and carbonic anhydrase  $^{[117]}$ . This damage localisation has been hypothesised to be mediated either by the presence of metal ions, which have high proton numbers and hence more electrons for photo-absorption to propagate subsequent ionisation events  $^{[118]}$ , or by the relative accessibility of exposed active sites to ROS  $^{[110]}$ . Key extracellular protein targets of ionising radiation are discussed in <u>Section 4</u> and <u>Section 5</u>.

## 3.3. Repair and Prevention of Ionising Radiation Damage

As both ionising and non-ionising radiation produce ROS, the prevention and repair of damage are largely mediated by the same mechanisms (see <u>Section 2</u>). However, to repair DNA damage specific to ionising radiation, cells utilise base

excision repair (BER) for oxidised nucleotides, such as 8-oxoguanine <sup>[119][120]</sup>, while NHEJ and homologous recombination repair (HRR) is activated to remove DSBs <sup>[121][122][123]</sup>.

# References

- Lopez Payares, G.M.; Ali, F.A. Vitamin D deficiency. In 5-Minute Clin Consult Stand 2016, 24th ed.; Lippincott Williams & Wilkins: Philadelphia, PA, USA, 2015; pp. 266–281.
- 2. Wlaschek, M.; Tantcheva-Poór, I.; Naderi, L.; Ma, W.; Schneider, L.A.; Razi-Wolf, Z.; Schüller, J.; Scharffetter-Kochanek, K. Solar UV irradiation and dermal photoaging. J. Photochem. Photobiol. B Biol. 2001, 63, 41–51.
- Martens, M.C.; Seebode, C.; Lehmann, J.; Emmert, S. Photocarcinogenesis and skin cancer prevention strategies: An update. Anticancer Res. 2018, 38, 1153–1158.
- Ballabriga, R.; Alozy, J.; Bandi, F.N.; Campbell, M.; Egidos, N.; Fernandez-Tenllado, J.M.; Heijne, E.H.M.; Kremastiotis, I.; Llopart, X.; Madsen, B.J.; et al. Photon Counting Detectors for X-ray Imaging with Emphasis on CT. IEEE Trans. Radiat. Plasma Med. Sci. 2021, 5, 422–440.
- Allen, A.M.; Pawlicki, T.; Dong, L.; Fourkal, E.; Buyyounouski, M.; Cengel, K.; Plastaras, J.; Bucci, M.K.; Yock, T.I.; Bonilla, L.; et al. An evidence based review of proton beam therapy: The report of ASTRO 's emerging technology committee. Radiother. Oncol. 2012, 103, 8–11.
- Olaciregui-Ruiz, I.; Beddar, S.; Greer, P.; Jornet, N.; McCurdy, B.; Paiva-Fonseca, G.; Mijnheer, B.; Verhaegen, F. In vivo dosimetry in external beam photon radiotherapy: Requirements and future directions for research, development, and clinical practice. Phys. Imaging Radiat. Oncol. 2020, 15, 108–116.
- 7. Purkayastha, A.; Sharma, N.; Sarin, A.; Bhatnagar, S.; Chakravarty, N. Radiation Fibrosis Syndrome: The Evergreen Menace of Radiation Therapy. Asia Pac. J. Oncol. Nurs. 2019, 6, 238–245.
- Zelefsky, M.J.; Housman, D.M.; Pei, X.; Alicikus, Z.; Magsanoc, J.M.; Dauer, L.T.; St. Germain, J.; Yamada, Y.; Kollmeier, M.; Cox, B.; et al. Incidence of secondary cancer development after high-dose intensity-modulated radiotherapy and image-guided brachytherapy for the treatment of localized prostate cancer. Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys. 2012, 83, 953–959.
- 9. Helm, A.; Tinganelli, W.; Durante, M. Advances in Radiation Biology of Particle Irradiation. Prog. Tumor Res. 2018, 44, 105–121.
- 10. Bagshaw, M. Cosmic radiation in commercial aviation. Travel Med. Infect. Dis. 2008, 6, 125–127.
- 11. Ball, T.K.; Miles, J.C.H. Geological and geochemical factors affecting the radon concentration in homes in Cornwall and Devon, UK. Environ. Geochem. Health 1993, 15, 27–36.
- 12. Withers, P.J.; Grimaldi, D.; Hagen, C.K.; Maire, E.; Manley, M.; Plessis, A. Du X-ray computed tomography. Nat. Rev. Methods Prim. 2021, 1, 18.
- 13. Marín, A.; Martín, M.; Liñán, O.; Alvarenga, F.; López, M.; Fernández, L.; Büchser, D.; Cerezo, L. Bystander effects and radiotherapy. Reports Pract. Oncol. Radiother. 2015, 20, 12–21.
- Nguyen, H.Q.; To, N.H.; Zadigue, P.; Kerbrat, S.; De La Taille, A.; Le Gouvello, S.; Belkacemi, Y. Ionizing radiationinduced cellular senescence promotes tissue fibrosis after radiotherapy. A review. Crit. Rev. Oncol. Hematol. 2018, 129, 13–26.
- 15. Bostrom, P.J.; Soloway, M.S. Secondary Cancer After Radiotherapy for Prostate Cancer: Should We Be More Aware of the Risk? Eur. Urol. 2007, 52, 973–982.
- Mullenders, L.H.F. Solar UV damage to cellular DNA: From mechanisms to biological effects. Photochem. Photobiol. Sci. 2018, 17, 1842–1852.
- 17. Green, C.; Diffey, B.L.; Hawk, J.L.M. Ultraviolet radiation in the treatment of skin disease. Phys. Med. Biol. 1992, 37, 1– 20.
- Lipsky, Z.W.; German, G.K. Ultraviolet light degrades the mechanical and structural properties of human stratum corneum. J. Mech. Behav. Biomed. Mater. 2019, 100, 103391.
- 19. Miyauchi, M.; Nakajima, H. Determining an Effective UV Radiation Exposure Time for Vitamin D Synthesis in the Skin Without Risk to Health: Simplified Estimations from UV Observations. Photochem. Photobiol. 2016, 92, 863–869.
- 20. Roberts, J.E. Ocular photoxicity. J. Photochem. Photobiol. B Biol. 2001, 64, 136–143.
- Gudkov, S.V.; Andreev, S.N.; Barmina, E.V.; Bunkin, N.F.; Kartabaeva, B.B.; Nesvat, A.P.; Stepanov, E.V.; Taranda, N.I.; Khramov, R.N.; Glinushkin, A.P. Effect of visible light on biological objects: Physiological and pathophysiological aspects. Phys. Wave Phenom. 2017, 25, 207–213.

- Tsai, S.R.; Hamblin, M.R. Biological effects and medical applications of infrared radiation. J. Photochem. Photobiol. B Biol. 2017, 170, 197–207.
- 23. Darvin, M.E.; Zastrov, L.; Gonchukov, S.A.; Lademann, J. Influence of IR radiation on the carotenoid content in human skin. Opt. Spectrosc. (Engl. Transl. Opt. i Spektrosk.) 2009, 107, 917–920.
- 24. Siingh, D.; Singh, A.K.; Patel, R.P.; Singh, R.; Singh, R.P.; Veenadhari, B.; Mukherjee, M. Thunderstorms, lightning, sprites and magnetospheric whistler-mode radio waves. Surv. Geophys. 2008, 29, 499–551.
- 25. Behrouzkia, Z.; Joveini, Z.; Keshavarzi, B.; Eyvazzadeh, N.; Aghdam, R.Z. Hyperthermia: How can it be used? Oman Med. J. 2016, 31, 89–97.
- Van Rongen, E.; Croft, R.; Juutilainen, J.; Lagroye, I.; Miyakoshi, J.; Saunders, R.; De Seze, R.; Tenforde, T.; Verschaeve, L.; Veyret, B.; et al. Effects of radiofrequency electromagnetic fields on the human nervous system. J. Toxicol. Environ. Health-Part B Crit. Rev. 2009, 12, 572–597.
- 27. Lomax, M.E.; Folkes, L.K.; O'Neill, P. Biological consequences of radiation-induced DNA damage: Relevance to radiotherapy. Clin. Oncol. 2013, 25, 578–585.
- 28. Yokoya, A.; Shikazono, N.; Fujii, K.; Urushibara, A.; Akamatsu, K.; Watanabe, R. DNA damage induced by the direct effect of radiation. Radiat. Phys. Chem. 2008, 77, 1280–1285.
- 29. Lyons, A.; Ghazali, N. Osteoradionecrosis of the jaws: Current understanding of its pathophysiology and treatment. Br. J. Oral Maxillofac. Surg. 2008, 46, 653–660.
- 30. Weihermann, A.C.; Lorencini, M.; Brohem, C.A.; de Carvalho, C.M. Elastin structure and its involvement in skin photoageing. Int. J. Cosmet. Sci. 2017, 39, 241–247.
- 31. Herzberg, G. Molecular Spectra and Molecular Structure I: Spectra of Diatomic Molecules, 2nd ed.; D. Van Nostrand Company: Toronto, ON, Canada, 1963.
- 32. Hertel, I.V.; Schulz, C.-P. Molecular Spectroscopy. In Purinergic Signalling; Springer: Heidelberg, Germany, 2015; pp. 289–381. Available online: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-642-54313-5 (accessed on 24 April 2021).
- 33. Armentrout, P.B. Chemistry of Excited Electronic States. Science 1991, 251, 175–179.
- International Organization for Standardization. ISO 21348 Definitions of Solar Irradiance Spectral Categories. 2007. Available online: https://web.archive.org/web/20131029233428/http://www.spacewx.com/pdf/SET\_21348\_2004.pdf (accessed on 24 April 2021).
- 35. D'Orazio, J.; Jarrett, S.; Amaro-Ortiz, A.; Scott, T. UV Radiation and the Skin. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2013, 14, 12222–12248.
- 36. Frederick, J.E.; Snell, H.E.; Haywood, E.K. Solar Ultraviolet Radiation At the Earth'S Surface. Photochem. Photobiol. 1989, 50, 443–450.
- Watanabe, K. Ultraviolet Absorption Processes in the Upper Atmosphere. In Advances in Geophysics; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1958; pp. 153–221. Available online: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0065268708600783 (accessed on 10 January 2021).
- 38. Bernath, P.F.; Mérienne, M.F.; Colin, R. The near ultraviolet rotation-vibration spectrum of water. J. Chem. Phys. 2000, 113, 1546–1552.
- 39. Mitchell, D.L. the Relative Cytotoxicity of(6–4) Photoproducts and Cyclobutane Dimers in Mammalian Cells. Photochem. Photobiol. 1988, 48, 51–57.
- Schreier, W.J.; Kubon, J.; Regner, N.; Haiser, K.; Schrader, T.E.; Zinth, W.; Clivio, P.; Gilch, P. Thymine dimerization in DNA model systems: Cyclobutane photolesion is predominantly formed via the singlet channel. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 5038–5039.
- 41. BEnsasson, R.V.; Land, E.J.; Salet, C. Triplet excited state of furocoumarins: Reaction with nucleic acid bases and amino acids. Photochem. Photobiol. 1978, 27, 273–280.
- 42. Pattison, D.I.; Rahmanto, A.S.; Davies, M.J. Photo-oxidation of proteins. Photochem. Photobiol. Sci. 2012, 11, 38-53.
- 43. Aitken, A.; Learmonth, M.P. Protein Determination by UV Absorption. Protein Protoc. Handb. 2009, 205, 3–6.
- 44. Jhappan, C.; Noonan, F.P.; Merlino, G. Ultraviolet radiation and cutaneous malignant melanoma. Oncogene 2003, 22, 3099–3112.
- 45. Petruska, J. Changes in the electronic transitions of aromatic hydrocarbons on chemical substitution. I. Perturbation theory for substituted cyclic polyenes. J. Chem. Phys. 1961, 34, 1111–1120.
- 46. Saik, V.O.; Lipsky, S. Absorption spectrum of neat liquid benzene and its concentrated solutions in n-hexane from 220 to 170 nm. J. Phys. Chem. 1995, 99, 4406–4413.

- 47. Cadet, J.; Douki, T.; Ravanat, J.L. Oxidatively generated damage to the guanine moiety of DNA: Mechanistic aspects and formation in cells. Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 41, 1075–1083.
- 48. Cheng, K.C.; Cahill, D.S.; Kasai, H.; Nishimura, S.; Loeb, L.A. 8-Hydroxyguanine, an abundant form of oxidative DNA damage, causes G → T and A → C substitutions. J. Biol. Chem. 1992, 267, 166–172.
- 49. Cadet, J.; Richard Wagner, J. DNA base damage by reactive oxygen species, oxidizing agents, and UV radiation. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol. 2013, 5, 1–18.
- 50. Sinha, R.P.; Häder, D.P. UV-induced DNA damage and repair: A review. Photochem. Photobiol. Sci. 2002, 1, 225–236.
- 51. Apel, K.; Hirt, H. Reactive oxygen species: Metabolism, oxidative stress, and signal transduction. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 2004, 55, 373–399.
- 52. Weisiger, R.A.; Fridovich, I. Superoxide dismutase. Organelle specificity. J. Biol. Chem. 1973, 248, 3582–3592.
- Folz, R.J.; Crapo, J.D. Extracellular superoxide dismutase (sod3): Tissue-specific expression, genomic characterization, and computer-assisted sequence analysis of the human ec sod gene. Genomics 1994, 22, 162–171.
- Thomas, C.; Mackey, M.M.; Diaz, A.A.; Cox, D.P. Hydroxyl radical is produced via the Fenton reaction in submitochondrial particles under oxidative stress: Implications for diseases associated with iron accumulation. Redox Rep. 2009, 14, 102–108.
- 55. van Loon, B.; Markkanen, E.; Hübscher, U. Oxygen as a friend and enemy: How to combat the mutational potential of 8-oxo-guanine. DNA Repair 2010, 9, 604–616.
- 56. Zhao, Z.; Poojary, M.M.; Skibsted, L.H.; Lund, M.N.; Lund, M.N. Cleavage of Disulfide Bonds in Cystine by UV-B Illumination Mediated by Tryptophan or Tyrosine as Photosensitizers. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2020, 68, 6900–6909.
- Meesungnoen, J.; Jay-Gerin, J.-P. Radiation Chemistry of Liquid Water with Heavy Ions: Monte Carlo Simulation Studies. In Charged Particle and Photon Interactions with Matter Recent Advances, Applications, and Interfaces; Hatano, Y., Katsumura, Y., Mozumder, A., Eds.; CRC Press/Taylor & Francis: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2010; pp. 355– 392. Available online: https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9781439811801 (accessed on 16 March 2021).
- Douki, T.; Sage, E. Dewar valence isomers, the third type of environmentally relevant DNA photoproducts induced by solar radiation. Photochem. Photobiol. Sci. 2016, 15, 24–30.
- 59. Breen, A.P.; Murphy, J.A. Reactions of oxyl radicals with DNA. . Free Radic. Biol. Med. 1995, 18, 1033–1077.
- Nakagawa, M.; Watanabe, H.; Kodato, S. A valid model for the mechanism of oxidation of tryptophan to formylkynurenine—25 Years later. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1977, 74, 4730–4733.
- 61. Wright, A.; Bubb, W.A.; Hawkins, C.L.; Davies, M.J. Singlet Oxygen–mediated Protein Oxidation: Evidence for the Formation of Reactive Side Chain Peroxides on Tyrosine Residues. Photochem. Photobiol. 2002, 76, 35.
- 62. Agon, V.V.; Bubb, W.A.; Wright, A.; Hawkins, C.L.; Davies, M.J. Sensitizer-mediated photooxidation of histidine residues: Evidence for the formation of reactive side-chain peroxides. Free Radic. Biol. Med. 2006, 40, 698–710.
- 63. Murray, R.W.; Jindal, S.L. The Photosensitized Oxidation of Disulfides Related To Cystine. Photochem. Photobiol. 1972, 16, 147–151.
- Rauk, A.; Yu, D.; Armstrong, D.A. Oxidative damage to and by cysteine in proteins: An ab initio study of the radical structures, C-H, S-H, and C-C bond dissociation energies, and transition structures for H abstraction by thiyl radicals. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 8848–8855.
- 65. Schöneich, C. Methionine oxidation by reactive oxygen species: Reaction mechanisms and relevance to Alzheimer's disease. Biochim. Biophys. Acta-Proteins Proteom. 2005, 1703, 111–119.
- 66. Xu, P.; Zheng, Y.; Zhu, X.; Li, S.; Zhou, C. L-lysine and L-arginine inhibit the oxidation of lipids and proteins of emulsion sausage by chelating iron ion and scavenging radical. Asian-Australas. J. Anim. Sci. 2018, 31, 905–913.
- 67. Liu, F.; Lai, S.; Tong, H.; Lakey, P.S.J.; Shiraiwa, M.; Weller, M.G.; Pöschl, U.; Kampf, C.J. Release of free amino acids upon oxidation of peptides and proteins by hydroxyl radicals. Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 2017, 409, 2411–2420.
- Sclavi, B.; Sullivan, M.; Chance, M.R.; Brenowitz, M.; Woodson, S.A. RNA folding at millisecond intervals by synchrotron hydroxyl radical footprinting. Science 1998, 279, 1940–1943.
- 69. Stadtman, E.R.; Levine, R.L. Free radical-mediated oxidation of free amino acids and amino acid residues in proteins. Amino Acids 2003, 25, 207–218.
- 70. Rabotyagova, O.S.; Cebe, P.; Kaplan, D.L. Collagen structural hierarchy and susceptibility to degradation by ultraviolet radiation. Mater. Sci. Eng. C 2008, 28, 1420–1429.
- 71. Sionkowska, A. Thermal stability of UV-irradiated collagen in bovine lens capsules and in bovine cornea. J. Photochem. Photobiol. B Biol. 2005, 80, 87–92.

- 72. Sionkowska, A. Thermal denaturation of UV-irradiated wet rat tail tendon collagen. Int. J. Biol. Macromol. 2005, 35, 145–149.
- 73. Yin, H.; Xu, L.; Porter, N.A. Free radical lipid peroxidation: Mechanisms and analysis. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 5944– 5972.
- 74. Eckersley, A.; Ozols, M.; O'Cualain, R.; Keevill, E.J.; Foster, A.; Pilkington, S.; Knight, D.; Griffiths, C.E.M.; Watson, R.E.B.; Sherratt, M.J. Proteomic fingerprints of damage in extracellular matrix assemblies. Matrix Biol. Plus 2020, 5, 100027.
- 75. Kennett, E.C.; Chuang, C.Y.; Degendorfer, G.; Whitelock, J.M.; Davies, M.J. Mechanisms and consequences of oxidative damage to extracellular matrix. Biochem. Soc. Trans. 2011, 39, 1279–1287.
- Hibbert, S.A.; Watson, R.E.B.; Gibbs, N.K.; Costello, P.; Baldock, C.; Weiss, A.S.; Griffiths, C.E.M.; Sherratt, M.J. A potential role for endogenous proteins as sacrificial sunscreens and antioxidants in human tissues. Redox Biol. 2015, 5, 101–113.
- 77. Schärer, O.D. Nucleotide excision repair in Eukaryotes. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol. 2013, 5, 1–19.
- 78. Holmgren, A.; Johansson, C.; Berndt, C.; Lönn, M.E.; Hudemann, C.; Lillig, C.H. Thiol redox control via thioredoxin and glutaredoxin systems. Biochem. Soc. Trans. 2005, 33, 1375–1377.
- 79. Davies, K.J.A. Degradation of oxidized proteins by the 20S proteasome. Biochimie 2001, 83, 301-310.
- Fedorova, M.; Bollineni, R.C.; Hoffmann, R. Protein carbonylation as a major hallmark of oxidative damage: Update of analytical strategies. Mass Spectrom. Rev. 2014, 33, 79–97.
- Yao, H.; Arunachalam, G.; Hwang, J.W.; Chung, S.; Sundar, I.K.; Kinnula, V.L.; Crapo, J.D.; Rahman, I. Extracellular superoxide dismutase protects against pulmonary emphysema by attenuating oxidative fragmentation of ECM. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2010, 107, 15571–15576.
- 82. Schamberger, A.C.; Schiller, H.B.; Fernandez, I.E.; Sterclova, M.; Heinzelmann, K.; Hennen, E.; Hatz, R.; Behr, J.; Vašáková, M.; Mann, M.; et al. Glutathione peroxidase 3 localizes to the epithelial lining fluid and the extracellular matrix in interstitial lung disease. Sci. Rep. 2016, 6, 1–15.
- 83. United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation (UNSCEAR). Sources and Effects of Ionizing Radiation UNSCEAR 2008 Report to the General Assembly with Scientific Annexes Volume 1; United Nations Publication: New York, NY, USA, 2008; Volume I, 156p.
- 84. ICRP. The 2007 Recommendations of the International Commission on Radiological Protection. ICRP Publication 103. Volume 37, Annals of the ICRP. April 2007. Available online: http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1016/j.icrp.2007.10.003 (accessed on 15 February 2021).
- 85. Young, K.C.; Oduko, J.M. Radiation doses received in the United Kingdom breast screening programme in 2010 to 2012. Br. J. Radiol. 2016, 89.
- Podgorsak, E.B. External photon beams: Physical aspects. In Radiation Oncology Physics: A Handbook for Teachers and Students; IAEA (International Atomic Energy Agency): Vienna, Austria, 2005; Chapter 6; pp. 161–217. Available online: https://www-pub.iaea.org/mtcd/publications/pdf/pub1196\_web.pdf (accessed on 25 December 2020).
- Koulis, T.A.; Phan, T.; Olivotto, I.A. Hypofractionated whole breast radiotherapy: Current perspectives. Breast Cancer Targets Ther. 2015, 7, 363–370.
- Yamada, Y.; Rogers, L.; Demanes, D.J.; Morton, G.; Prestidge, B.R.; Pouliot, J.; Cohen, G.N.; Zaider, M.; Ghilezan, M.; Hsu, I.C. American Brachytherapy Society consensus guidelines for high-dose-rate prostate brachytherapy. Brachytherapy 2012, 11, 20–32.
- 89. Yahyapour, R.; Salajegheh, A.; Safari, A.; Amini, P.; Rezaeyan, A.; Amraee, A.; Najafi, M. Radiation-induced Nontargeted Effect and Carcinogenesis; Implications in Clinical Radiotherapy. J. Biomed. Phys. Eng. 2018, 8, 435–446.
- 90. Tommasino, F.; Durante, M. Proton radiobiology. Cancers 2015, 7, 353-381.
- 91. Mohan, R.; Grosshans, D. Proton therapy—Present and future. Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. 2017, 109, 26–44.
- 92. Reisz, J.A.; Bansal, N.; Qian, J.; Zhao, W.; Furdui, C.M. Effects of ionizing radiation on biological molecules— Mechanisms of damage and emerging methods of detection. Antioxidants Redox Signal. 2014, 21, 260–292.
- 93. Lalande, M.; Schwob, L.; Vizcaino, V.; Chirot, F.; Dugourd, P.; Schlathölter, T.; Poully, J.C. Direct Radiation Effects on the Structure and Stability of Collagen and Other Proteins. ChemBioChem 2019, 20, 2972–2980.
- Feldberg, R.S.; Carew, J.A. Water radiolysis products and nucleotide damage in γ-irradiated DNA. Int. J. Radiat. Biol. 1981, 40, 11–17.

- 95. Misawa, M.; Takahashi, J. Generation of reactive oxygen species induced by gold nanoparticles under x-ray and UV Irradiations. Nanomed. Nanotechnol. Biol. Med. 2011, 7, 604–614.
- 96. Shtarkman, I.N.; Gudkov, S.V.; Chernikov, A.V.; Bruskov, V.I. Effect of amino acids on X-ray-induced hydrogen peroxide and hydroxyl radical formation in water and 8-oxoguanine in DNA. Biochemistry 2008, 73, 470–478.
- 97. Fulford, J.; Nikjoo, H.; Goodhead, D.T.; O'Neill, P. Yields of SSB and DSB induced in DNA by AlK ultrasoft X-rays and α-particles: Comparison of experimental and simulated yields. Int. J. Radiat. Biol. 2001, 77, 1053–1066.
- 98. Ward, J.F. Some biochemical consequences of the spatial distribution of ionizing radiation-produced free radicals. Radiat. Res. 1981, 86, 185–195.
- 99. Khanna, K.K.; Jackson, S.P. DNA double-strand breaks: Signaling, repair and the cancer connection. Nat. Genet. 2001, 27, 247–254.
- 100. Burma, S.; Chen, B.P.C.; Chen, D.J. Role of non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) in maintaining genomic integrity. DNA Repair 2006, 5, 1042–1048.
- 101. Rothkamm, K.; Kühne, M.; Jeggo, P.A.; Löbrich, M. Radiation-induced genomic rearrangements formed by nonhomologous end-joining of DNA double-strand breaks. Cancer Res. 2001, 61, 3886–3893.
- 102. Morgan, W.F. Non-targeted and delayed effects of exposure to ionizing radiation: I. Radiation-induced genomic instability and bystander effects in Vitro. Radiat. Res. 2012, 178, 581–596.
- 103. De Santis, M.; Di Gianantonio, E.; Straface, G.; Cavaliere, A.F.; Caruso, A.; Schiavon, F.; Berletti, R.; Clementi, M. Ionizing radiations in pregnancy and teratogenesis: A review of literature. Reprod. Toxicol. 2005, 20, 323–329.
- 104. Guven, M.; Brem, R.; Macpherson, P.; Peacock, M.; Karran, P. Oxidative Damage to RPA Limits the Nucleotide Excision Repair Capacity of Human Cells. J. Investig. Dermatol. 2015, 135, 2834–2841.
- 105. Schwob, L.; Lalande, M.; Rangama, J.; Egorov, D.; Hoekstra, R.; Pandey, R.; Eden, S.; Schlathölter, T.; Vizcaino, V.; Poully, J.C. Single-photon absorption of isolated collagen mimetic peptides and triple-helix models in the VUV-X energy range. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2017, 19, 18321–18329.
- 106. Pietraforte, D.; Paulicelli, E.; Patrono, C.; Gambardella, L.; Scorza, G.; Testa, A.; Fattibene, P. Protein oxidative damage and redox imbalance induced by ionising radiation in CHO cells. Free Radic. Res. 2018, 52, 465–479.
- 107. Prasad, N.R.; Menon, V.P.; Vasudev, V.; Pugalendi, K.V. Radioprotective effect of sesamol on γ-radiation induced DNA damage, lipid peroxidation and antioxidants levels in cultured human lymphocytes. Toxicology 2005, 209, 225–235.
- 108. Henderson, R. Cryo-protection of protein crystals against radiation damage in electron and X-ray diffraction. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. B Biol. Sci. 1990, 241, 6–8.
- 109. Ravelli, R.B.G.; McSweeney, S.M. The "fingerprint" that X-rays can leave on structures. Structure 2000, 8, 315–328.
- 110. Weik, M.; Ravelli, R.B.G.; Kryger, G.; McSweeney, S.; Raves, M.L.; Harel, M.; Gros, P.; Silman, I.; Kroon, J.; Sussman, J.L. Specific chemical and structural damage to proteins produced by synchrotron radiation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2000, 97, 623–628.
- 111. Burmeister, W.P. Structural changes in a cryo-cooled protein crystal owing to radiation damage. Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D Biol. Crystallogr. 2000, 56, 328–341.
- 112. Dubnovitsky, A.P.; Ravelli, R.B.G.; Popov, A.N.; Papageorgiou, A.C. Strain relief at the active site of phosphoserine aminotransferase induced by radiation damage. Protein Sci. 2009, 14, 1498–1507.
- Garman, E.F.; Weik, M. Radiation damage in macromolecular crystallography. Methods Mol. Biol. 2017, 1607, 467– 489.
- 114. Matsui, Y.; Sakai, K.; Murakami, M.; Shiro, Y.; Adachi, S.I.; Okumura, H.; Kouyama, T. Specific damage induced by Xray radiation and structural changes in the primary photoreaction of bacteriorhodopsin. J. Mol. Biol. 2002, 324, 469– 481.
- 115. Mees, A.; Klar, T.; Gnau, P.; Hennecke, U.; Eker, A.P.M.; Carell, T.; Essen, L.O. Crystal structure of a photolyase bound to a CPD-like DNA lesion after in situ repair. Science 2004, 306, 1789–1793.
- 116. Fioravanti, E.; Vellieux, F.M.D.; Amara, P.; Madern, D.; Weik, M. Specific radiation damage to acidic residues and its relation to their chemical and structural environment. J. Synchrotron Radiat. 2007, 14, 84–91.
- 117. Sjöblom, B.; Polentarutti, M.; Djinović-Carugo, K. Structural study of X-ray induced activation of carbonic anhydrase. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2009, 106, 10609–10613.
- 118. Nass, K.; Foucar, L.; Barends, T.R.M.; Hartmann, E.; Botha, S.; Shoeman, R.L.; Doak, R.B.; Alonso-Mori, R.; Aquila, A.; Bajt, S.; et al. Indications of radiation damage in ferredoxin microcrystals using high-intensity X-FEL beams. J. Synchrotron Radiat. 2015, 22, 225–238.

- 119. Caldecott, K.W. Single-strand break repair and genetic disease. Nat. Rev. Genet. 2008, 9, 619-631.
- 120. David, S.S.; O'Shea, V.L.; Kundu, S. Base-excision repair of oxidative DNA damage. Nature 2007, 447, 941–950.
- 121. Lieber, M.R. The mechanism of DSB repair by the NHEJ. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 2011, 79, 181-211.
- 122. Natarajan, A.T.; Palitti, F. DNA repair and chromosomal alterations. Mutat. Res.-Genet. Toxicol. Environ. Mutagen. 2008, 657, 3–7.
- 123. Takata, M.; Sasaki, M.S.; Sonoda, E.; Morrison, C.; Hashimoto, M.; Utsumi, H.; Yamaguchi-Iwai, Y.; Shinohara, A.; Takeda, S. Homologous recombination and non-homologous end-joining pathways of DNA double-strand break repair have overlapping roles in the maintenance of chromosomal integrity in vertebrate cells. EMBO J. 1998, 17, 5497–5508.

Retrieved from https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/38879