Construction Rework Cost Prediction Using Machine Learning

Subjects: Engineering, Civil | Operations Research & Management Science | Computer Science, Interdisciplinary Applications

Contributor: Fatemeh Mostofi , Vedat Toğan , Yunus Emre Ayözen , Onur Behzat Tokdemir

Predicting the construction cost of rework (COR) allows for the advanced planning and prompt implementation of appropriate countermeasures. Machine learning (ML) offers a data-oriented solution that can be utilized in different construction project contexts. ML approaches can predict COR by learning the complex patterns within the quality dataset.

construction rework

cost estimation

machine learning

1. Introduction

A successful construction project is delivered on time and within budget, conforming to the specified quality. To achieve this, potential construction errors and violations are managed by applying an adequate construction quality management (CQM) system. An indispensable procedure within CQM is quality control (QC), which involves ensuring construction activity delivery at a specified standard, appraising its conformance, and maintaining continuous quality improvement. In construction projects, the arrays of errors, omissions, negligence, changes, failures, and violations resulting from poor management, communication, and coordination, or the materialization of potential risks are solved through rework. Thus, it is necessary to put in place a construction QC mechanism that not only prevents the need for rework but also prepares for accepting, acting on, and coping with required rework. Hence, the cost of rework (COR) is an inseparable component of overall construction costs, and its reduction directly improves construction cost and quality performance.

Although construction rework has been addressed in the literature, it remains a widespread ^[1] and prevalent problem ^{[2][3]} and poses a real challenge ^{[4][5][6]}. Despite all the advances in philosophies such as lean and total quality management (TQM) in preventing construction errors, COR still accounts for a considerable portion of the total project cost ^{[2][7][8][9]} and affects the construction schedule and quality ^[10]. Construction rework directly impacts the contract value by 5% to 20% ^[2], which can lead to complete project failure. Measuring COR enables the CQM system to control the construction budget and improve cost performance while allowing construction professionals to better understand the magnitude of the rework, its causes, and decisions on rework prevention measures ^[9]. Identifying the impact of COR and its sources enables reductions in the amount of rework and improvements in construction cost performance ^[11]. It is noteworthy that anticipating COR facilitates the utilization of QC techniques, such as Pareto analysis and pie charts. These QC techniques are dynamically used throughout the construction rework items with a high-cost impact, which, in turn, allows for

the timely adjustment of the associated construction schedule, budget, quality, human resources, and communication plans for the appropriate countermeasures. It is also noteworthy that obtaining COR is a key to understanding the cost of quality (COQ), i.e., the conformance costs, and the nonconformance costs, also referred to as the cost of poor quality (COPQ) ^[12]. The ability of construction firms to measure COQ is essential for their survival in today's competitive environment ^[13].

2. Construction Rework

The conventional construction rework procedure based on nonconformities raised within the NCRs is outlined in **Figure 1**.



Figure 1. Construction rework procedure outline.

Site accidents, errors, failures, and violations are all causes of delays in the construction schedule and increase costs. Subsequent issues are often raised during the quality inspection of the construction activities performed, whereby the results are recorded in NCRs. The raised nonconformity should be addressed by the contractor, mainly in the form of rework. The topic of construction rework, including its causes, consequences, and prevention measures, has been widely addressed [14][15][16][17] using different terms and interpretations ^[9], such as quality deviation ^[18], construction nonconformance ^[19], defects ^[20], quality failure ^[21], and rework ^[22]. These have all emphasized the importance of factoring in construction rework during the early stages of construction planning in order to mitigate its consequences, which are mainly cost overruns.

3. The Cost Impact of Construction Rework

Despite the attention given to construction cost estimation in the previous research, the prediction of construction cost overrun has received relatively little consideration ^[23]. Similarly, the estimation of cost overruns resulting from the cost of construction rework has not been adequately addressed. Accordingly, the literature on estimating the cost impact of COR is reviewed here, along with construction cost estimation methods within a broader framework. The associated literature ^{[13][24][25][26]} has covered the broader topics of COQ and COPQ. In the literature on CQM, Love and different co-authors have explored construction quality from different perspectives, including construction error ^{[27][28][29][30][31]} and rework management ^{[30][32]}, its impact on construction safety ^{[33][34][35]}, and cost ^{[9][36]}. Hall and Tomkins ^[37] included the prevention and appraisal costs required to achieve a 'complete' COQ for buildings in the UK, while Love and Li ^[38] extended their earlier work on rework causation to quantify the magnitude of COR for Australian construction projects ^[39].

The research agrees on the negative impact of COR on overall construction cost while attaining varying impact percentages for this according to the demographics and types of evaluated construction projects. Davis et al. ^[40] found the nonconformance cost to be responsible for over 12% of the total contract value. Love ^{[8][9]}, through a questionnaire survey on different project types and procurement routes, identified the direct and indirect impact of rework on total construction cost as being 26% and 52%, respectively. Rework costs drag down construction productivity by damaging the associated plans related, for example, to time, cost, and human resources, and this causes financial and reputation loss for the project participants. Hwang et al. ^[11] evaluated the contribution of COR to the total construction cost of 359 projects, along with its impact on both the client and contractor. They found that construction owners are absorbing twice as much impact from COR than contractors. To reduce the magnitude of this problem, contractors often apply an internal quality control and assurance system, and they also often implement proactive measures to anticipate possible rework and associated costs.

In addition to the negative effects of construction rework, there is a possible positive impact on the project cost and quality. Ye et al. ^[2] investigated 277 construction projects in China to identify the main areas of rework and showed that active rework can improve construction cost, time, and quality. This study further suggests that by implementing a reward strategy and value management tools, required rework can be identified early, enabling timely decision-making about the rework, time, cost, and quality benefits for the construction project. A statistical evaluation of 78 data points obtained from construction professionals by Simpeh et al. ^[2] revealed a mean 5.12% contribution of COR to total contract value and a 76% probability of exceeding its average value. This study also found that rework prediction facilitates quantitative risk assessment and, subsequently, the identification of alternative countermeasures for rework prevention. A more recent study by Love and Smith ^[4] evaluated the literature and put the impact of COR between less than 1% and more than 20% of the total contract value. The literature is not consistent in specifying the conditions according to which the impact of COR should be measured, which hinders its practical implementation. The most recent study stated that the COR can vary from 0.5% to 20% of the total contract value ^[41]. Thus, these studies have provided in-depth investigations on the cost impact of rework, but they are not consistent when it comes to the magnitude of that impact.

The literature on construction management has recorded different contribution percentages for the impact of COR on overall construction costs. Since studies are conducted on projects of different sizes and types, and within different demographics, the cost impact figures obtained cannot be directly extended to other projects. Although the literature shows the importance of the early identification of COR for improving construction cost performance, the uncertainty about the magnitude of the impact hinders decision-making when selecting the most advantageous countermeasures. Moreover, it is necessary to reach a different COR impact figure for each construction activity in order to prioritize activities with a higher cost impact, since it is not always feasible to implement preventive countermeasures or rework management strategies for all rework items. Furthermore, unless the COR for each work item is measured, it cannot be compared with the rework prevention or control cost.

Thus, to enhance the quality of decision-making and quality planning, as well as to increase the chance of construction project success, it is important to estimate the COR for each work item. To translate the literature results on the impact of COR into the context of different construction projects, ML offers a data-oriented solution that can be utilized in different construction project contexts. ML approaches can predict COR by learning the complex patterns within the quality dataset.

4. ML for Construction Cost Prediction

ML uses historical evidence to offer a reliable solution that facilitates informed decision-making. The literature on ML applications utilizing different types of datasets is growing in various fields ^{[42][43][44][45][46][47][48][49][50][51][52][53][54]} ^[55]. Different ML approaches, such as artificial neural network (ANN), deep neural network (DNN), and support vector machine (SVM) is employed due to their ability to understand the complicated, non-linear patterns of realworld datasets. In this regard, the two ML approaches used for the cost estimation of construction projects were ANN ^{[56][57]} and SVM ^{[54][58]}. Even though other ML approaches, such as k-nearest neighbors (KNN) and decision trees (DT) share similarities with the ANN and SVM algorithms, they have yet to be investigated in the construction management literature ^[47]. Overall, construction cost estimation studies of more advanced ML approaches are scarce.

The literature on construction quality has mostly focused on quality assurance and quality control, using visual defect detection methodologies for a variety of tasks, including crack identification ^{[59][60]}, damage localization on wooden building elements ^[61], and evaluation of pavement conditions ^[62]. ML approaches have also been used for the identification of rework or defect construction items. To this end, Fan ^[63] recently constructed a hybrid ML model using association rule mining (ARM) and a Bayesian network (BN) approach to identify quality determinants and gain more effective evaluations of defect risk and its occurrence. In a related study, Kim et al. ^[64] utilized SVM, random forest (RF), and logistic regression (LR) along with three natural language processing (NLP) methods on 310,000 defect cases from South Korea to assign defect items to the appropriate repair task. Shoar et al. ^[23] used RF to estimate the COR of engineering services in construction to be used for devising appropriate contingency plans. Their study found using RF as a cost estimator to be an efficient approach for screening and prioritizing from the standpoint of cost overrun within construction projects, and that it can be used to devise related contingency plans.

A study was conducted by Doğan ^[65] to predict the cost impact of construction nonconformities using case-based reasoning (CBR). His results indicated that the ability of CBR to predict the cost impact of quality problems is higher in construction NCRs. Reviewing the construction management literature, one may say that the development of ML-based cost estimators is still at an early stage. There is a lack of advanced ML approaches, such as ensemble learning methods. Although studies have established the usefulness of these ML methods, they have not elaborated on the robustness of the developed estimators, that is, on the ability to use the systems developed for other datasets. Thus, there is a research gap in the implementation of advanced ML-based techniques for predicting the COR associated with different construction activities.

References

- 1. Mohamed, H.H.; Ibrahim, A.H.; Soliman, A.A. Toward Reducing Construction Project Delivery Time under Limited Resources. Sustainability 2021, 13, 11035.
- 2. Ye, G.; Jin, Z.; Xia, B.; Skitmore, M. Analyzing Causes for Reworks in Construction Projects in China. J. Manag. Eng. 2015, 31, 04014097.
- 3. Hwang, B.-G.; Zhao, X.; Goh, K.J. Investigating the Client-Related Rework in Building Projects: The Case of Singapore. Int. J. Proj. Manag. 2014, 32, 698–708.
- 4. Love, P.; Smith, J. Unpacking the Ambiguity of Rework in Construction: Making Sense of the Literature. Civ. Eng. Environ. Syst. 2018, 35, 180–203.
- 5. Asadi, R.; Wilkinson, S.; Rotimi, J.O.B. Towards Contracting Strategy Usage for Rework in Construction Projects: A Comprehensive Review. Constr. Manag. Econ. 2021, 39, 953–971.
- 6. Al-Janabi, A.M.; Abdel-Monem, M.S.; El-Dash, K.M. Factors Causing Rework and Their Impact on Projects' Performance in Egypt. J. Civ. Eng. Manag. 2020, 26, 666–689.
- Simpeh, E.K.; Ndihokubwayo, R.; Love, P.E.D.; Thwala, W.D. A Rework Probability Model: A Quantitative Assessment of Rework Occurrence in Construction Projects. Int. J. Constr. Manag. 2015, 15, 109–116.
- 8. Love, P.E.D.; Sing, C.-P. Determining the Probability Distribution of Rework Costs in Construction and Engineering Projects. Struct. Infrastruct. Eng. 2013, 9, 1136–1148.
- 9. Love, P.E.D. Influence of Project Type and Procurement Method on Rework Costs in Building Construction Projects. J. Constr. Eng. Manag. 2002, 128, 18–29.
- Khalesi, H.; Balali, A.; Valipour, A.; Antucheviciene, J.; Migilinskas, D.; Zigmund, V. Application of Hybrid SWARA–BIM in Reducing Reworks of Building Construction Projects from the Perspective of Time. Sustainability 2020, 12, 8927.

- 11. Hwang, B.-G.; Thomas, S.R.; Haas, C.T.; Caldas, C.H. Measuring the Impact of Rework on Construction Cost Performance. J. Constr. Eng. Manag. 2009, 135, 187–198.
- 12. Schiffauerova, A.; Thomson, V. A Review of Research on Cost of Quality Models and Best Practices. Int. J. Qual. Reliab. Manag. 2006, 23, 647–669.
- 13. Abdelsalam, H.M.E.; Gad, M.M. Cost of Quality in Dubai: An Analytical Case Study of Residential Construction Projects. Int. J. Proj. Manag. 2009, 27, 501–511.
- 14. Knyziak, P. The Impact of Construction Quality on the Safety of Prefabricated Multi-Family Dwellings. Eng. Fail. Anal. 2019, 100, 37–48.
- Love, P.E.D.; Ika, L.; Luo, H.; Zhou, Y.; Zhong, B.; Fang, W. Rework, Failures, and Unsafe Behavior: Moving Toward an Error Management Mindset in Construction. IEEE Trans. Eng. Manag. 2022, 69, 1489–1501.
- 16. Fayek, A.R.; Dissanayake, M.; Campero, O. Developing a Standard Methodology for Measuring and Classifying Construction Field Rework. Can. J. Civ. Eng. 2004, 31, 1077–1089.
- Sugawara, E.; Nikaido, H. Properties of AdeABC and AdeIJK Efflux Systems of Acinetobacter Baumannii Compared with Those of the AcrAB-ToIC System of Escherichia Coli. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2014, 58, 7250–7257.
- 18. Burati, J.L.; Farrington, J.J.; Ledbetter, W.B. Causes of Quality Deviations in Design and Construction. J. Constr. Eng. Manag. 1992, 118, 34–49.
- 19. Abdul-Rahman, H. The Cost of Non-Conformance during a Highway Project: A Case Study. Constr. Manag. Econ. 1995, 13, 23–32.
- 20. Josephson, P.E.; Hammarlund, Y. Causes and Costs of Defects in Construction a Study of Seven Building Projects. Autom. Constr. 1999, 8, 681–687.
- 21. Barber, P.; Graves, A.; Hall, M.; Sheath, D.; Tomkins, C. Quality Failure Costs in Civil Engineering Projects. Int. J. Qual. Reliab. Manag. 2000, 17, 479–492.
- 22. Ashford, J.L. The Management of Quality in Construction; Routledge: London, UK, 2002; ISBN 9781135833862.
- 23. Shoar, S.; Chileshe, N.; Edwards, J.D. Machine Learning-Aided Engineering Services' Cost Overruns Prediction in High-Rise Residential Building Projects: Application of Random Forest Regression. J. Build. Eng. 2022, 50, 104102.
- 24. Campanella, J. Principles of Quality Costs: Principles, Implementation, and Use. In Proceedings of the Annual Quality Congress Proceedings, Milwaukee, WI, USA, 4–8 May 1998; p. 507.
- 25. Bajpai, A.K.; Willey, P.C.T. Questions about Quality Costs. Int. J. Qual. Reliab. Manag. 1989, 6, 6.
- 26. Ziegel, E.R. Juran's Quality Control Handbook. Technometrics 1990, 32, 97–98.

- 27. Love, P.E.D.; Smith, J. Error Management: Implications for Construction. Constr. Innov. 2016, 16, 418–424.
- 28. Love, P.E.D.; Lopez, R.; Edwards, D.J. Reviewing the Past to Learn in the Future: Making Sense of Design Errors and Failures in Construction. Struct. Infrastruct. Eng. 2013, 9, 675–688.
- 29. Love, P.E.D. Creating a Mindfulness to Learn from Errors: Enablers of Rework Containment and Reduction in Construction. Dev. Built Environ. 2020, 1, 100001.
- 30. Love, P.E.D.; Matthews, J.; Fang, W. Rework in Construction: A Focus on Error and Violation. J. Constr. Eng. Manag. 2020, 146, 1901.
- 31. Love, P.E.D.; Smith, J.; Teo, P. Putting into Practice Error Management Theory: Unlearning and Learning to Manage Action Errors in Construction. Appl. Ergon. 2018, 69, 104–111.
- Matthews, J.; Love, P.E.D.; Porter, S.R.; Fang, W. Smart Data and Business Analytics: A Theoretical Framework for Managing Rework Risks in Mega-Projects. Int. J. Inf. Manag. 2022, 65, 102495.
- 33. Love, P.; Ika, L.; Matthews, J.; Fang, W.; Carey, B. The Duality and Paradoxical Tensions of Quality and Safety: Managing Error in Construction Projects. IEEE Trans. Eng. Manag. 2022, 1–8.
- Love, P.E.D.; Smith, J.; Ackermann, F.; Irani, Z.; Fang, W.; Luo, H.; Ding, L. Houston, We Have a Problem! Understanding the Tensions between Quality and Safety in Construction. Prod. Plan. Control 2019, 30, 1354–1365.
- 35. Love, P.E.D.; Teo, P.; Morrison, J. Unearthing the Nature and Interplay of Quality and Safety in Construction Projects: An Empirical Study. Saf. Sci. 2018, 103, 270–279.
- 36. Love, P.E.D.; Smith, J.; Ackermann, F.; Irani, Z.; Teo, P. The Costs of Rework: Insights from Construction and Opportunities for Learning. Prod. Plan. Control 2018, 29, 1082–1095.
- 37. Hall, M.; Tomkins, C. A Cost of Quality Analysis of Building Project: Towards a Complete Methodology for Design and Build. Constr. Manag. Econ. 2001, 19, 727–740.
- 38. Love, P.E.D.; Manual, P.; Li, H. Determining the Causal Structure of Rework Influences in Construction. Constr. Manag. Econ. 1999, 17, 505–517.
- 39. Peter, E.; Love, D.; Heng, L.I. Quantifying the Causes and Costs of Rework in Construction. Constr. Manag. Econ. 2000, 18, 479–490.
- 40. Davis, K.; Ledbetter, W.B.; Burati, J.L. Measuring Design and Construction Quality Costs. J. Constr. Eng. Manag. 1989, 115, 385–400.
- 41. Love, P.E.D.; Matthews, J.; Sing, M.C.P.; Porter, S.R.; Fang, W. State of Science: Why Does Rework Occur in Construction? What Are Its Consequences? And What Can Be Done to Mitigate Its Occurrence? Engineering, 2022; in press.

- 42. Zhao, Y.; Kok Foong, L. Predicting Electrical Power Output of Combined Cycle Power Plants Using a Novel Artificial Neural Network Optimized by Electrostatic Discharge Algorithm. Measurement 2022, 198, 111405.
- 43. Nejati, F.; Tahoori, N.; Sharifian, M.A.; Ghafari, A.; Nehdi, M.L. Estimating Heating Load in Residential Buildings Using Multi-Verse Optimizer, Self-Organizing Self-Adaptive, and Vortex Search Neural-Evolutionary Techniques. Buildings 2022, 12, 1328.
- 44. Chong, M.; Abraham, A.; Paprzycki, M. Traffic Accident Analysis Using Machine Learning Paradigms. Informatica 2005, 29, 89–98.
- 45. Liang, Y.; Reyes, M.L.; Lee, J.D. Real-Time Detection of Driver Cognitive Distraction Using Support Vector Machines. IEEE Trans. Intell. Transp. Syst. 2007, 8, 340–350.
- 46. Haciefendioğlu, K.; Mostofi, F.; Toğan, V.; Başağa, H.B. CAM-K: A Novel Framework for Automated Estimating Pixel Area Using K-Means Algorithm Integrated with Deep Learning Based-CAM Visualization Techniques. Neural Comput. Appl. 2022, 34, 17741–17759.
- 47. Bodendorf, F.; Merkl, P.; Franke, J. Intelligent Cost Estimation by Machine Learning in Supply Management: A Structured Literature Review. Comput. Ind. Eng. 2021, 160, 107601.
- 48. Moayedi, H.; Mosavi, A. An Innovative Metaheuristic Strategy for Solar Energy Management through a Neural Networks Framework. Energies 2021, 14, 1196.
- Zhu, M.; Li, Y.; Wang, Y. Design and Experiment Verification of a Novel Analysis Framework for Recognition of Driver Injury Patterns: From a Multi-Class Classification Perspective. Accid. Anal. Prev. 2018, 120, 152–164.
- 50. Meharie, M.G.; Mengesha, W.J.; Gariy, Z.A.; Mutuku, R.N.N. Application of Stacking Ensemble Machine Learning Algorithm in Predicting the Cost of Highway Construction Projects. Eng. Constr. Archit. Manag. 2021; ahead-of-print.
- 51. Matías, J.M.; Rivas, T.; Martín, J.E.; Taboada, J. A Machine Learning Methodology for the Analysis of Workplace Accidents. Int. J. Comput. Math. 2008, 85, 559–578.
- 52. Mostofi, F.; Toğan, V.; Başağa, H.B. House Price Prediction: A Data-Centric Aspect Approach on Performance of Combined Principal Component Analysis with Deep Neural Network Model. J. Constr. Eng. Manag. Innov. 2021, 4, 106–116.
- 53. Ding, C.; Wu, X.; Yu, G.; Wang, Y. A Gradient Boosting Logit Model to Investigate Driver's Stopor-Run Behavior at Signalized Intersections Using High-Resolution Traffic Data. Transp. Res. Part C Emerg. Technol. 2016, 72, 225–238.
- Wang, Y.-R.; Yu, C.-Y.; Chan, H.-H. Predicting Construction Cost and Schedule Success Using Artificial Neural Networks Ensemble and Support Vector Machines Classification Models. Int. J. Proj. Manag. 2012, 30, 470–478.

- 55. Farid, A.; Abdel-Aty, M.; Lee, J. A New Approach for Calibrating Safety Performance Functions. Accid. Anal. Prev. 2018, 119, 188–194.
- 56. Jiang, Q. Estimation of Construction Project Building Cost by Back-Propagation Neural Network. J. Eng. Des. Technol. 2019, 18, 601–609.
- 57. Bala, K.; Bustani, S.A.; Waziri, B.S. A Computer-Based Cost Prediction Model for Institutional Building Projects in Nigeria. J. Eng. Des. Technol. 2014, 12, 519–530.
- 58. Peško, I.; Mučenski, V.; Šešlija, M.; Radović, N.; Vujkov, A.; Bibić, D.; Krklješ, M. Estimation of Costs and Durations of Construction of Urban Roads Using ANN and SVM. Complexity 2017, 2017, 2450370.
- 59. Wu, P.; Liu, A.; Fu, J.; Ye, X.; Zhao, Y. Autonomous Surface Crack Identification of Concrete Structures Based on an Improved One-Stage Object Detection Algorithm. Eng. Struct. 2022, 272, 114962.
- Zheng, Y.; Gao, Y.; Lu, S.; Mosalam, K.M. Multistage Semisupervised Active Learning Framework for Crack Identification, Segmentation, and Measurement of Bridges. Comput.-Aided Civ. Infrastruct. Eng. 2022, 37, 1089–1108.
- Haciefendioğlu, K.; Ayas, S.; Başağa, H.B.; Toğan, V.; Mostofi, F.; Can, A. Wood Construction Damage Detection and Localization Using Deep Convolutional Neural Network with Transfer Learning. Eur. J. Wood Wood Prod. 2022, 80, 791–804.
- 62. Sholevar, N.; Golroo, A.; Esfahani, S.R. Machine Learning Techniques for Pavement Condition Evaluation. Autom. Constr. 2022, 136, 104190.
- 63. Fan, C.-L. Defect Risk Assessment Using a Hybrid Machine Learning Method. J. Constr. Eng. Manag. 2020, 146, 04020102.
- 64. Kim, E.; Ji, H.; Kim, J.; Park, E. Classifying Apartment Defect Repair Tasks in South Korea: A Machine Learning Approach. J. Asian Archit. Build. Eng. 2021, 21, 2503–2510.
- 65. Doğan, N.B. Predicting the Cost Impacts of Construction Nonconformities Using CBR-AHP And CBR-GA Models. Master's Thesis, Middle East Technical University, Ankara, Turkey, 2021.

Retrieved from https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/86033