Wild Rocket (Diplotaxis tenuifolia) Baby-Leaf

Subjects: Environmental Sciences Contributor: Catello Pane

Wild rocket (Diplotaxis tenuifolia (L.) DC) is a cruciferous perennial herb, spontaneous in the Mediterranean Basin. Here, a study on evaluating the hyperspectral response of plants to bio-based disease resistance inducers is presented.

Keywords: laminarin ; yeast cell wall extract ; mixed models ; Trichoderma

1. Introduction

Wild rocket (*Diplotaxis tenuifolia* (L.) DC) is a cruciferous perennial herb, spontaneous in the Mediterranean Basin. In the last 25 years, Italy has become one of the major European producers of wild rocket using the species in intensified cultivation systems devoted to harvesting fresh-cut baby-leaf for the high convenience salad chain. It is sown with precision seed drills on 1.8–2.2 m width beds under polytunnels, fertigated with sprinklers and mechanically cut at complete foliar development. The harvested product quickly enters the cold chain, is minimally processed by washing, wiping, and bagging, and distributed through retail nets across several countries as ready-to-eat preparations. Packaged wild rocket meets the consumer preferences for the characteristic pungent–aromatic flavor associated with glucosinolates [1] and a few other nutraceutical properties (i.e., vitamins, antioxidants, fibers, and low calories) [2].

This makes the market very sensitive to the sustainability levels of the production process from field to shelf, conceived with a considerable reduction in the applied synthetic fungicides $^{[3][4]}$. Nevertheless, this vegetable crop as well as all the other baby leaf species is susceptible to a plethora of both specific and non-specific pathogens that significantly reduce yields and impair their quality. As a consequence, the deployment of non-traditional effective control measures that include biological strategies is necessary ^[5].

Biologically-based disease resistance inducers may be biomimetic compounds or substances sourced from plants or microbes, or non-pathogenic microorganisms capable of eliciting the plant's own defense mechanism(s) through microbe/pathogen-associated molecular patterns and/or the recognition of host-derived damage-associated molecular patterns to enhance their innate defense response against upcoming broad-spectrum diseases to varying degrees ^{[6][Z][8]}. Therefore, innovative resistance activators can then be used as biopesticides in plant protection protocols as a safer alternative to synthetic chemicals to reduce the environmental disease management footprint and stimulate plant performances ^[9]. However, they still need to improve their efficacy under field conditions ^[10].

Non-invasive technologies may be helpful to optimize the field applications of these plant-targeted protectants ^[11]. Plants react to exogenous application of plant resistance inducers by possibly activating many metabolic pathways involved in biochemical and mechanical defense responses including shifts of cytosolic ion content, oxidative burst, synthesis of enzymes, proteins, and other secondary metabolites related to the defense, in addition to the activation of resistance-related hormones ^[12]. Changes in leaf composition and plant health may be detected in the reflected electromagnetic radiation once it is captured by optoelectronic sensors such as hyperspectral ones.

In optics, reflectance measures the ability of a given surface or material to send back part of the incident light on it. In particular, a hyperspectral sensor can record the part of the electromagnetic radiation of a natural (sun) or artificial light source that is reflected by a leaf at a very fine spectral resolution in the range of wavelengths between 350–2500 nm. The spectrum is divided into three regions called, in sequence: Visible (VIS), between 350 and 750 nm; Near Infrared (NIR) until 1400 nm; and the Short-Wave Infrared (SWIR) region until 2500 nm. Each region has been associated with many parameters describing the plant status ^[13]. Hyperspectral data analysis represents a very effective and sustainable tool for evaluating changes induced in the plant by abiotic and biotic stresses. Recently, hyperspectral data have been adopted on a large scale in the detection of biotic stresses on plants as in the case of the sudden spread of Xylella infection on entire olive cultivations in the Mediterranean area ^{[14][15][16]}. Some vegetation indices based on reflectance data in the VIS range have been used to assess changes occurring in the plant health status through the related effect on pigments such

as carotenoids, anthocyanins, and chlorophyll. However, these variations might be due to either specific or non-specific infections ^{[17][18][19][20][21]}, hence further laboratory phytopathogenic analysis on the leaves remains necessary.

The transition from low reflectance values in the red to high values in the infrared spectral range is very rapid: this portion of the spectrum, called Red Edge, is more indicative of the chlorophyll content than that of water ^{[22][23][24][25]}. Moreover, it is influenced by the cell structures of leaves that poorly absorb in the NIR because of the multiple scattering of radiation by the mesophyll. As for SWIR, the overlap between information on water content and organic compounds makes data interpretation more difficult. Statistical processing ^[26], mathematical regressions ^[16], and radiative transfer models ^{[27][28]}

This leads to hyperspectral data being used as indicators of possible stress in the plant, although a direct relationship between the alteration observed in the spectra and its cause has not been discovered yet.

The analysis and interpretation of spectral data are further complicated by the way in which agronomic trials are generally conducted. The purpose of the agronomic experiments is to test whether the compared treatments (in this case the use of different plant resistance inducers) have any effect on the supposed response variable. If one treatment is taken as a control (e.g., zero treatment), the experiment will consist of testing whether every other treatment has an effect compared to the control treatment. The biggest challenge in an agronomic trial is to be able to separate the intrinsic variation of the response variable from that induced by the experimental treatments. In traditional agronomic trials, this is achieved by replicating each treatment according to a well-defined experimental design. Traditional statistical methods based on the design then allow for the determination of the probability that any measured difference between treatments is due to chance (null hypothesis).

Many times, when the experiment is conducted in a confined space such as a greenhouse, or on-farm, for purely practical reasons, there is a tendency to follow a more systematic pattern, with one treatment, for example, assigned to a particular part of the field. In addition, there may be too few plots per treatment (repetitions) to assess the underlying variability, and furthermore, such variability may be correlated ^[30].

These experiments very often fail to meet the fundamental assumptions required by classical statistical methods. It is therefore necessary to use more complex statistical methods ^{[31][32]} that are based on a model-based statistical approach ^[33]. This consists in describing both the variation and the correlations between the observations of the response variable using a statistical model. In this regard, the theory of linear mixed effects models (LMM) ^{[34][35][36]} allows for the total variance to be broken down into that which is attributable to fixed effects, corresponding to the treatments, and that which is attributable to random effects. The latter are linked to the intrinsic spatial variability of the agronomic system, which cannot be described by fixed effects and can be estimated by the covariance/correlation function of residuals.

2. Discussion

The statistical results obtained are consistent with a biological interpretation, which reinforces the idea that the spectral response of the plant can be used as an effective and reliable indicator of its health. PC1 summarizes information about N content and other biochemical compounds, which to date, has come from several studies regarding the SWIR region on potato and other mapped vegetation ^{[37][38]}. As far as PC2 is concerned, the main loadings fell in the ranges more related to the LAI as confirmed by recent studies on rice and maize in both proximal and remote sensing ^{[39][40]}, and to the water absorption peaks that are used to calculate new vegetation indices associated with water content in different plant species ^[41]. Finally, PC3 can explain the ratio Car/Chla as an indicator of plant stress ^[42].

It is generally recognized that the incorporation of compost into the soil increases the water available to plants ^[43], delaying the possible wilting associated with drought ^[44] and thus protecting and/or enhancing photosynthetic activity ^[45]. On the other hand, the lack of statistical significance of the COMPOST effect for rPC2, which was associated with plant vigor, can be explained on the basis of the reduced nutrient supply capacity shown by green composts in the presence of a large fraction of non-labile carbon, whose degradation implies the net immobilization of N ^[46]. In addition, compost in combination with LAM and TRI had a positive effect on the water content (PC1) and in combination with LAM and CHE on the general health of the plant (PC3). In contrast, compost in combination with CER did not produce any positive effects in terms of either water content or LAI.

However, the resistance inducers used in this study, on the basis of their specific characteristics, might be implicated in the physiological processes underlying the interpretation of the PCs. Antagonistic fungi belonging to the genus *Trichoderma* have been reported to induce a resistance response into plants through multiple hormonal signaling pathways that modulate jasmonic acid, ethylene, and salicylic acid levels toward a wide-spectrum of pathogens ^[47]. Their

biocontrol efficacy might result in the modulation of plant growth and yield improvement [48]. Kumar and Kumar [49] reported that root colonization of Trichoderma sp. can induce the production of stress enzymes such as peroxidase and glutathione reductase, which may be responsible for decreasing disease incidence in Brassica juncea. In a different way in cabbage, Trichoderma treatments increased the transcript levels of genes related to photosynthesis and sucrose transport, PR proteins, chitinases, and oxidases [50]. Yeast cell-wall extract, which carries polysaccharidic and peptidic polymers and oligomers of highly variable molecular mass, acts as MAMPs in inducing defense-related events through SA signaling [51][52][53][54]. However, there is no evidence in the literature that it has an impact on the reflectance of plants. On the other hand, concerning laminarin, which is a water-soluble glucan storage polysaccharide extracted from brown algae (i.e., Laminaria digitata Hudson, Lamouroux), it has been shown that it can elicit defense reactions in several plant species [55] via salicylic acid and reactive oxygen species pathways [56]. This is most likely due to the association with bound β -1,3–1.6 glucosyl residues [57]. It is also worth pointing out that in this study, the LAM effect on TRI was significantly higher in all pairwise COMPOST × TREATMENT interactions relative to PC3 associated with indicating stress occurrence. Consistently, laminarin has been reported as an unconventional elicitor of plant secondary metabolites [58]. In Arabidopsis, this molecule increased chloroplast stability by activating the antioxidant system under stress conditions [59]. Consequently, with regard to PC3, the current hyperspectral study indicated that LAM treatment associated with the compost effect is linked to the improved plant health status.

References

- 1. Bell, L.; Lignou, S.; Wagstaff, C. High glucosinolate content in rocket leaves (Diplotaxis tenuifolia and Eruca sativa) after multiple harvests is associated with increased bitterness, pungency, and reduced consumer liking. Foods 2020, 9, 1799.
- Pennisi, G.; Orsini, F.; Castillejo, N.; Gómez, P.A.; Crepaldi, A.; Fernández, J.A.; Egea-Gilabert, C.; Artés-Hernández, F.; Gianquinto, G. Spectral composition from led lighting during storage affects nutraceuticals and safety attributes of fresh-cut red chard (Beta vulgaris) and rocket (Diplotaxis tenuifolia) leaves. Postharvest Biol. Technol. 2021, 175, 111500.
- 3. Minut, M.; Roşca, M.; Hlihor, R.M.; Cozma, P.; Gavrilescu, M. Modelling of health risk associated with the intake of pesticides from Romanian fruits and vegetables. Sustainability 2020, 12, 10035.
- 4. Bozdogan, A.M. Assessment of total risk on non-target organisms in fungicide application for agricultural sustainability. Sustainability 2014, 6, 1046–1058.
- 5. Gullino, M.L.; Gilardi, G.; Garibaldi, A. Ready-to-eat salad crops: A plant pathogen's heaven. Plant Dis. 2019, 103, 2153–2170.
- 6. Jamiołkowska, A. Natural compounds as elicitors of plant resistance against diseases and new biocontrol strategies. Agronomy 2020, 10, 173.
- 7. Abbasi, S.; Sadeghi, A.; Omidvari, M.; Tahan, V. The stimulators and responsive genes to induce systemic resistance against pathogens: An exclusive focus on tomato as a model plant. Biocatal. Agric. Biotechnol. 2021, 33, 101993.
- Torres-Rodriguez, J.A.; Reyes-Pérez, J.J.; Castellanos, T.; Angulo, C.; Quiñones-Aguilar, E.E. A vegetation research derived from aviris. In Proceedings of the Eighth Annual JPL Airborne Earth Science Workshop, Pasadena, CA, USA, 8–14 February 1999; pp. 8–14.
- Burketova, L.; Trda, L.; Ott, P.G.; Valentova, O. Bio-based resistance inducers for sustainable plant protection against pathogens. Biotechnol. Adv. 2015, 33, 994–1004.
- 10. Alexandersson, E.; Mulugeta, T.; Lankinen, Å.; Liljeroth, E.; Andreasson, E. Plant resistance inducers against pathogens in Solanaceae species—From molecular mechanisms to field application. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2016, 17, 1673.
- 11. Deery, D.; Jimenez-Berni, J.; Jones, H.; Sirault, X.; Furbank, R. Proximal remote sensing buggies and potential applications for field-based phenotyping. Agronomy 2014, 4, 349–379.
- 12. Oliveira, M.D.M.; Varanda, C.M.R.; Félix, M.R.F. Induced resistance during the interaction pathogen x plant and the use of resistance inducers. Phytochem. Lett. 2016, 15, 152–158.
- 13. Silva-Perez, V.; Molero, G.; Serbin, S.P.; Condon, A.G.; Reynolds, M.P.; Furbank, R.T.; Evans, J.R. Hyperspectral reflectance as a tool to measure biochemical and physiological traits in wheat. J. Exp. Bot. 2018, 69, 483–496.
- 14. Almeida, R.P.P. Can Apulia's olive trees be saved? Science. 2016, 353, 346-348.
- 15. Schneider, K.; Van der Werf, W.; Cendoya, M.; Mourits, M.; Navas-Cortes, J.A.; Vicent, A.; Lansink, A.O. Impact of Xylella fastidiosa subspecies pauca in European olives. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2020, 117, 9250–9259.

- Riefolo, C.; Antelmi, I.; Castrignanò, A.; Ruggieri, S.; Galeone, C.; Belmonte, A.; Muolo, M.R.; Ranieri, N.A.; Labarile, R.; Gadaleta, G.; et al. Assessment of the hyperspectral data analysis as a tool to diagnose Xylella fastidiosa in the asymptomatic leaves of olive plants. Plants 2021, 10, 683.
- 17. Gitelson, A.; Merzlyak, M.N. Quantitative estimation of chlorophyll-a using reflectance spectra: Experiments with autumn chestnut and maple leaves. J. Photochem. Photobiol. B. Biol. 1994, 22, 247–252.
- 18. Gitelson, A.A.; Zur, Y.; Chivkunova, O.B.; Merzlyak, M.N. Assessing carotenoid content in plant leaves with reflectance spectroscopy. Photochem. Photobiol. 2002, 75, 272–281.
- 19. Gitelson, A.A.; Gritz, Y.; Merzlyak, M.N. Relationships between leaf chlorophyll content and spectral reflectance and algorithms for non-destructive chlorophyll assessment in higher plant leaves. J. Plant Physiol. 2003, 160, 271–282.
- 20. Maimaitiyiming, M.; Ghulam, A.; Bozzolo, A.; Wilkins, J.L.; Kwasniewski, M.T. Early detection of plant physiological responses to different levels of water stress using reflectance spectroscopy. Remote Sens. 2017, 9, 745.
- Zarco-Tejada, P.J.; Camino, C.; Beck, P.S.A.; Calderon, R.; Hornero, A.; Hernández-Clemente, R.; Kattenborn, T.; Montes-Borrego, M.; Susca, L.; Morelli, M.; et al. Previsual symptoms of Xylella fastidiosa infection revealed in spectral plant-trait alterations. Nat. Plants 2018, 4, 432–439.
- 22. Rouse, J.W.; Haas, R.; Schell, J.; Deering, D. Monitoring vegetation systems in the great plains with erts. In Proceedings of the Third Earth Resources Technology Satellite-1 Symposium, Washington, DC, USA, 10–14 December 1973; pp. 10–14.
- 23. Guyot, G.; Baret, F.; Major, D. High spectral resolution: Determination of spectral shifts between the red and the near infrared. Int. Arch. Photogramm. Remote Sens. 1988, 11, 750–760.
- 24. Filella, I.; Peñuelas, J. The red edge position and shape as indicators of plant chlorophyll content, biomass and hydric status. Int. J. Remote Sens. 1994, 15, 1459–1470.
- Merton, R.; Huntington, J. Early simulation results of the ARIES-1 satellite sensor for multi-temporal vegetation research derived from AVIRIS. In Proceedings of the Eighth Annual JPL Airborne Earth Science Workshop, Pasadena, CA, USA, 8–14 February 1999; pp. 9–11.
- Huang, Z.; Turner, B.J.; Dury, S.J.; Wallis, I.R.; Foley, W.J. Estimating foliage nitrogen concentration from HYMAP data using continuum removal analysis. Remote Sens. Environ. 2004, 93, 18–29.
- 27. Fourty, T.; Baret, F.; Jacquemoud, S.; Schmuck, G.; Verdebout, J. Leaf optical properties with explicit description of its biochemical composition: Direct and inverse problems. Remote Sens. Environ. 1996, 56, 104–117.
- Ceccato, P.; Flasse, S.; Tarantola, S.; Jacquemoud, S.; Grègoire, J.M. Detecting vegetation leaf water content using reflectance in the optical domain. Remote Sens. Environ. 2001, 77, 22–33.
- Jacquemoud, S.; Ustin, S.L. Application of radiative transfer models to moisture content estimation and burned land mapping. In Proceedings of the 4th International Workshop on Remote Sensing and GIS Applications to Forest Fire Management, Ghent, Belgium, 5–7 June 2003; pp. 3–12.
- Marchantant, B.; Rudolph, S.; Roques, S.; Kindred, D.; Gillingham, V.; Welham, S.; Coleman, C.; Sylvester-Bradley, R. Establishing the precision and robustness of farmers' crop experiments. Field Crops Res. 2019, 230, 31–45.
- Brus, D.J.; De Gruijter, J.J. Random sampling or geostatistical modelling? Choosing between design-based and modelbased sampling strategies for soil (with discussion). Geoderma 1997, 80, 1–44.
- 32. Rodrigues, M.S.; Corá, J.E.; Castrignanò, A.; Mueller, T.G.; Rienzi, E. A spatial and temporal prediction model of corn grain yield as a function of soil attributes. Agron. J. 2013, 105, 1878–1887.
- 33. Diggle, P.J.; Ribeiro, P.J. Model-Based Geostatistics; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 2007.
- Lark, R.M.; Cullis, B.R.; Welham, S.J. On spatial prediction of soil properties in the presence of a spatial trend: The empirical best linear unbiased predictor (E-BLUP) with REML. Eur. J. Soil Sci. 2006, 57, 787–799.
- 35. Cafarelli, B.; Castrignanò, A.; De Benedetto, D.; Palumbo, A.D.; Buttafuoco, G. A linear mixed effect (LME) model for soil water content estimation based on geophysical sensing: A comparison of a LME model and kriging external drift. Environ. Earth Sci. 2015, 73, 1951–1960.
- Ferré, C.; Castrignanò, A.; Comolli, R. Comparison between spatial and non-spatial regression models for investigating tree–soil relationships in a polycyclic tree plantation of Northern Italy and implications for management. Agrofor. Syst. 2018, 93, 1–16.
- 37. Sun, H.; Liu, N.; Wu, L.; Chen, L.; Yang, L.; Li, M.; Zhang, Q. Water content detection of potato leaves based on hyperspectral image. IFAC 2018, 51, 443–448.
- 38. Hennessy, A.; Clarke, K.; Lewis, M. Hyperspectral classification of plants: A review of waveband selection generalisability. Remote Sens. 2020, 12, 113.

- 39. Din, M.; Zheng, W.; Rashid, M.; Wang, S.; Shi, Z. Evaluating hyperspectral vegetation indices for leaf area in-dex estimation of Oryza sativa L. at diverse phenological stages. Front. Plant Sci. 2017, 8, 820.
- 40. Mananze, S.; Pôças, I.; Cunha, M. Retrieval of maize leaf area index using hyperspectral and multispectral data. Remote Sens. 2018, 10, 1942.
- 41. Li, H.; Yang, W.; Lei, J.; She, J.; Zhou, X. Estimation of leaf water content from hyperspectral data of different plant species by using three new spectral absorption indices. PLoS ONE 2021, 16, e0249351.
- Feret, J.-B.; François, C.; Asner, G.P.; Gitelson, A.A.; Martin, R.E.; Bidel, L.P.R.; Ustin, S.L.; le Maire, G.; Jacquemoud, S. PROSPECT-4 and 5: Advances in the leaf optical properties model separating photosynthetic pigments. Remote Sens. Environ. 2008, 112, 3030–3043.
- Curtis, M.J.; Claassen, V.P. Compost incorporation increases plant available water in a drastically disturbed serpentine soil. Soil Sci. 2005, 170, 939–953.
- 44. Nguyen, T.; Fuentes, S.; Marschner, P. Effects of compost on water availability and gas exchange in tomato during drought and recovery. Plant Soil Environ. 2012, 58, 495–502.
- 45. Qiu, Z.; Esan, E.O.; Ijenyo, M.; Gunupuru, L.R.; Asiedu, S.K.; Abbey, L. Photosynthetic activity and onion growth response to compost and Epsom salt. Int. J. Veg. Sci. 2020, 26, 535–546.
- 46. Hartz, T.K.; Costa, F.J.; Schrader, W.L. Suitability of composted green waste for horticultural uses. HortScience 1996, 31, 961–964.
- 47. Yuan, M.; Huang, Y.; Ge, W.; Jia, Z.; Song, S.; Zhang, L.; Huang, Y. Involvement of jasmonic acid, ethylene and salicylic acid signaling pathways behind the systemic resistance induced by Trichoderma longibrachiatum H9 in cucumber. BMC Genom. 2019, 20, 144.
- 48. Alfiky, A.; Weisskopf, L. Deciphering Trichoderma–plant–pathogen interactions for better development of biocontrol applications. J. Fungi 2021, 7, 61.
- 49. Kumar, P.; Kumar, C. Molecular and enzymatic approach to study Trichoderma harzianum induced disease resistance in Brassica juncea against Albugo candida. J. Plant Dis. Prot. 2018, 125, 167–175.
- 50. Liu, S.Y.; Liao, C.K.; Lo, C.T.; Yang, H.H.; Lin, K.C.; Peng, K.C. Chrysophanol is involved in the biofertilization and biocontrol activities of Trichoderma. Physiol. Mol. Plant Pathol. 2016, 96, 1–7.
- Boava, L.P.; Kuhn, O.J.; Pascholati, S.F.; Di Piero, R.M.; Furtado, E.L. Effect of acibenzolar-S-methyl and Saccharomyces cerevisiae on the activation of Eucalyptus defences against rust. Australas. Plant Pathol. 2009, 38, 594–602.
- 52. Guo, J.; Sun, K.; Zhang, Y.; Hu, K.; Zhao, X.; Liu, H.; Wu, S.; Hu, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, Y. SIMAPK3, a key mitogenactivated protein kinase, regulates the resistance of cherry tomato fruit to Botrytis cinerea induced by yeast cell wall and β-glucan. Postharvest Biol. Technol. 2021, 171, 111350.
- 53. Lemaitre-Guillier, C.; Dufresne, C.; Chartier, A.; Cluzet, S.; Valls, J.; Jacquens, L.; Douillet, A.; Aveline, N.; Adrian, M.; Daire, X. VOCs are relevant biomarkers of elicitor-induced defences in grapevine. Molecules 2021, 26, 4258.
- 54. Narusaka, M.; Minami, T.; Iwabuchi, C.; Hamasaki, T.; Takasaki, S.; Kawamura, K.; Narusaka, Y. Yeast cell wall extract induces disease resistance against bacterial and fungal pathogens in Arabidopsis thaliana and Brassica crop. PLoS ONE 2015, 10, e0115864.
- 55. Aziz, A.; Poinssot, B.; Daire, X.; Adrian, M.; Bézier, A.; Lambert, B.; Joubert, J.M.; Pugin, A. Laminarin elicits defense responses in grapevine and induces protection against Botrytis cinerea and Plasmopara viticola. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 2003, 16, 1118–1128.
- 56. Gauthier, A.; Trouvelot, S.; Kelloniemi, J.; Frettinger, P.; Wendehenne, D.; Daire, X.; Joubert, J.M.; Ferrarini, A.; Delledonne, M.; Flors, V.; et al. Correction: The sulfated laminarin triggers a stress transcriptome before priming the SA- and ROS-dependent defenses during grapevine's induced resistance against Plasmopara viticola. PLoS ONE 2018, 13, e0194327.
- 57. Klarzynski, O.; Plesse, B.; Joubert, J.M.; Yvin, J.C.; Kopp, M.; Kloareg, B.; Fritig, B. Linear β-1,3 Glucans are elicitors of defense responses in tobacco. Plant Physiol. 2000, 124, 1027–1038.
- 58. Gururaj, H.B.; Giridhar, P.; Ravishankar, G.A. Laminarin as a potential non-conventional elicitor for enhancement of capsaicinoid metabolites. Asian J. Plant Sci. Res. 2012, 2, 490–495.
- 59. Wu, Y.R.; Lin, Y.C.; Chuang, H.W. Laminarin modulates the chloroplast antioxidant system to enhance abiotic stress tolerance partially through the regulation of the defensin-like gene expression. Plant Sci. 2016, 247, 83–92.