TP53 Mutant Acute Myeloid Leukemia

Subjects: Hematology

Contributor: Federico Zingarelli, Letizia Zannoni, Antonio Curti

TP53 mutated/deleted acute myeloid leukemia (AML) stands out as one of the poorest prognosis forms of acute leukemia with a median overall survival not reaching one year in most cases, even in selected cases when allogenic stem-cell transplantation is performed. This aggressive behavior relies on intrinsic chemoresistance of blast cells and on high rates of relapse.

Keywords: acute myeloid leukemia; TP53 mutation; metabolism; immunotherapy

1. Introduction

P53 is a key protein in tumor suppression encoded by the TP53 gene located on chromosome 17, its mutation being found in more than 50% of human cancers ^[1]. P53 exerts its effects by promoting apoptosis, cell cycle arrest, DNA repair and multiple events including senescence, autophagy and ferroptosis ^[2]. Another hallmark of p53 mutated cancer is reprogramming of several metabolic pathways, including glycolysis, TCA (tricarboxylic acid cycle), purine and pyrimidine biosynthesis, fatty acid metabolism and iron metabolism ^[3]. In addition, recent data have highlighted a role for altered p53 function in promoting the induction of immunosuppressive pathways within the tumor microenvironment (TME) ^[4], thus leading to immuno-escaping of malignant cells and facilitating disease progression.

In acute myeloid leukemia (AML), p53 mutations occur in 5 to 10% of de novo AML patients. The frequency dramatically increases in older patients (about 25% in patients >65 years), therapy-related AML and AML with myelodysplasia (MDS)-related changes, reaching about 30–35% of cases, while in complex-karyotype AML the TP53 mutation rate rockets up to 70% of cases [5]. Deletion of chromosome 17p involving the 17p13.1 region is considered a p53 mutation and relates to inferior overall survival (OS) and higher relapse rates even in non-complex karyotype cases [6]. Of notice, TP53 mutated AML is frequently associated with complex karyotypes, chromotrypsis and deletions of chromosomes 5, 7 and 17, especially when a bi-allelic TP53 mutation is found [7]. As for concurrent mutations, TP53 mutations in AML blasts do not include typical molecular mutations found in acute myeloid leukemia with wild type TP53, with a lower prevalence of NPM1, RAS and FLT3 mutations [8][9].

2. The Metabolic Perspective and Novel Approaches

Recent studies have shed new light on the metabolic profile of AML cells. In particular, it is now well-established that the core leukemic cell population differs from leukemic stem cell (LSC) in the bioenergetics program, with the latter mainly relying on oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) rather than on anaerobic glycolysis. OXPHOS can be sustained by amino acid (AA) metabolism in AML LSC, with cysteine and glutamine being two of the most important AAs involved in the process [10]. Along with the effects mediated by p53 in regulating crucial functions of AML cells, recent data revealed that p53 also has a crucial role in metabolic reprogramming for bioenergetics. Wild-type p53 itself acts by regulating and promoting the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle, for instance by enhancing cytochrome c oxidase assembly (SCO2) expression to maintain cytochrome c oxidase complex and increasing Parkin levels in cells, which increases pyruvate dehydrogenase E1 α 1 (PDHA1), a critical component of the pyruvate dehydrogenase complex $\frac{[11]}{}$. Therefore, p53 gain of function (GOF) mutants could act sustaining OXPHOS in LSCs. In that, TP53 mutations have been associated with a distinct metabolic profile, which may serve as the identification of novel therapeutic approaches and strategies. Mutant p53 promotes anaerobic glycolysis via downregulation of glucose transporters (GLUTs) [12] and upregulation of important enzymes, such as hexokinase 2 (HK2) and protein mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1 (MTORC1) [13]. Moreover, mutant p53 acts on lipid biosynthesis via sterol regulatory element-binding transcription factor 1 (SREBP1/2) upregulation $\frac{[14]}{}$ and enhances nucleotide biosynthesis via activation of transcription factor Protein C-ETS2 (ETS2) $\frac{[15]}{}$. Recently, by examining the intracellular AML metabolome on a large cohort of patients, Simonetti et al. revealed three distinct AML clusters, correlating with distinct molecular features, including NPM1-mutated (mut), chromatin/spliceosome-mut and TP53-mut/aneuploid AML [16]. In particular, the TP53-mut/aneuploid AML cluster showed intermediate threonine and

tyrosine levels and high citrate in the serum compared to the other two clusters. Moreover, TP53 mutant patients displayed a different metabolic pattern with regard to the metabolites composition of biofluids (serum and urine) as compared with controls. Specifically, in TP53 mutant AML patients, lower levels of threonine and glucose were detected in serum along with altered levels of glutamine, reflecting increased cellular uptake and expensive bioenergetic requirements by blast cells in this AML subtype. Additional evidence supporting the effect of TP53 mutations on AML cell metabolic reprogramming comes from one study by Lo Presti et al. [17]. Evidence of metabolic changes was evidenced in AML samples with differences regarding FAB subtypes, IDH 1/2 mutational status, chemosensitivity versus chemoresistance and high-risk versus low-risk ELN subtypes. Surprisingly, in the adverse risk subgroup (including only one TP53 mutated patient) and in the chemoresistant patients' subgroup, the same metabolites were overexpressed, including phospholipids and glutathione (GSH) levels, a molecule strongly affecting blast drug resistance and relapse rate [18].

Iron metabolism requires a special mention in the context of the TP53 mutated AML metabolism. Besides its crucial role for vital processes, iron overload is associated with increased cancer risk $^{[19]}$. P53 acts as a key regulator of iron metabolism through different pathways, such as hepcidin upregulation and, more importantly, ferredoxin reductase (FDXR) induction $^{[20]}$. Iron metabolism vice versa regulates p53 functions, with iron excess being able to downregulate p53 protein levels and activity $^{[21]}$. A master role in the iron metabolism-p53 axis is ferroptosis, an iron-mediated caspase-independent type of cell death that relies on p53 and ferredoxin reductase (FDXR) interaction $^{[22]}$. P53 has been demonstrated to induce ferroptosis through repression of the cystine/glutamate transporter (SLC7A11) gene, which encodes for a cysteine/glutamate antiporter $^{[23]}$. SLC7A11 downregulation by p53 impairs cysteine import, thus leading to cell death via glutathione levels' drop and ROS levels' increase. In that, mutant TP53 blasts may have an impaired capacity of adapting to this defense mechanism. Accordingly, adverse-risk leukemias display high levels of GSH and low levels of ROS, which promote cell growth and survival. Furthermore, overexpression of transferrin receptor protein 1 (TFRC), a ubiquitously expressed high-affinity transferrin-binding receptor (CD71), was demonstrated in AML cells, thus corroborating the hypothesis of a higher iron consumption by AML blasts $^{[24]}$. Even though evidence is still weak, based on these findings, it sounds reasonable that TP53 mutated AML blasts have an altered iron metabolism and thus could be targeted by new therapeutic approaches.

Moving from this background, some recent approaches have sought to target the metabolic reprogramming of AML cells, especially LSCs, as one of the emerging mechanisms of chemoresistance and relapse in TP53 mutant AML [25].

2.1. Venetoclax-Based Regimens

BCL-2 inhibitors have shown activity on the AML cell metabolic profile, probably due to LSCs upregulation of BCL-2 and low-ROS state, mainly associated with the CD34+/CD38- stem/progenitor cell subset [26]. Numerous studies suggest that venetoclax, a well-known BCL-2 inhibitor, has a role in this process. Of note, a renowned effect and possible mechanisms underlying venetoclax activity have been correlated to its capacity to induce apoptosis in a p53-indipendent manner [27]. In addition, Pollyea et al. demonstrated that the efficacy of BCL2 inhibitors could be related to their metabolic effects on the LSC compartment and tumor microenvironment (TME) [28]. Indeed, after a venetoclax-based regimen, analysis on LSCs from treated patients showed a drastic oxygen consumption rate, Krebs cycle products and glutathione levels reduction, which is known to interfere with normal LSCs' metabolism. Based on this rationale, venetoclax has been investigated in combination with hyomethylating agents (HMAs) and chemotherapy. In the setting of TP53 mutant AML, the rationale for combining venetoclax with HMAs relies on the early clinical results with HMAs alone, demonstrating a remarkable activity when used at higher dosage in the subset of TP53 mutated AML. In particular, Welch et al. proposed a 10-day schedule with Decitabine, reporting 100% ORR in a TP53 mutated AML/MDS cohort versus 41% ORR in a TP53 wild-type group. A response rate of 67% was reported in patients with adverse cytogenetics versus 34% in those with intermediate/favorable cytogenetics [29]. Reasons for such an exceptional sensitivity of the TP53 mutated clone to decitabine, such as a specific mutation epigenetic priming pattern, remain unknown. Besides these encouraging data, supported by a robust clearance of leukemia-associated mutations, namely TP53 and SF3B1, nearly all patients treated tested positive for TP53 and concurrent mutations, highlighting emergence of a resistant subclone or persistence of the original one. In the pivotal study by Di Nardo et al. $\frac{[30]}{}$, a different approach was used, adding venetoclax to azacitidine in patients unfit for intensive chemotherapy. In spite of the excellent results for the IDH1/2 and NPM1 subgroups, in the TP53 mutated subgroup, composite remission rates are globally unsatisfactory, reaching 55.3% with a 23% molecular response in CR patients. In a further study enrolling R/R TP53 mutated patients and including patients with relapse after prior HSCT, the CR + CRi rate was around 38% with HMA and venetoclax [31]. Pollyea et al. [32] evaluated CR rates, duration of response (DoR) and OS in the Phase III study (NCT02993523) and Phase Ib study (NCT02203773) by focusing on patients harboring poor-risk cytogenetics with or without the TP53 mutation. These studies showed that patients with poor-risk cytogenetics and TP53^{mut} receiving venetoclax and azacitidine had higher RR but comparable DoR and OS than patients treated with azacitidine alone. On the contrary, patients with poor-risk cytogenetics and TP53^{wt} treated with venetoclax and azacitidine

had better RR, DoR and OS compared with patients receiving azacitidine alone. Taken together, these studies suggest that, in spite of the strong and abovementioned rationale, the addition of venetoclax to HMAs is not likely to provide a clear benefit in the presence of the *TP53* mutation. On the contrary, recent data indicate that in the setting of fit-to-chemotherapy AML patients, the combination of venetoclax with intensive chemotherapy may provide very promising results, also in the setting of high-risk AML, including AML with mutant *TP53*. In particular, in a trial by Di Nardo et al. [33], venetoclax plus the FLAG-IDA regimen produced ORR and MRD negativity rates higher than 90% (composite CR 89%) in 45 newly diagnosed patients, with 60% of the patients' cohort receiving HSCT. Ten patients, including three with newly diagnosed (ND) AML and seven with R/R-AML, had *TP53* mutations at baseline. Sixty percent attained a CR (ND-AML, 3/3; R/R-AML, 3/7), including 4 with MRD negative CR by flow-cytometry. Median DOR and OS in ND-AML were 3.4 and 9 months, respectively. In R/R-AML, median DOR and OS were 3.2 and 7 months. Of interest, the *TP53* mutation persisted in all four patients with MRD negativity, highlighting how *TP53* is not a reliable marker for MRD monitoring [34].

2.2. Novel Drugs and Compounds

A potential strategy for the near future is the combination of specific metabolic-targeting drugs with current and wellestablished therapies. For example, by acting on glutamine metabolism through inhibition of GLS1, CB-839 has shown synergistic effects with venetoclax [35]. Of note, a remarkable drop in arginine concentrations, which suggests a biologic relevance in metabolic cell reprogramming, was obtained with pegylated recombinant arginase, BCT-100, in combination with cytarabine. Nonetheless, the study exploring this therapeutic option did not include TP53 mutated patients [36]. A recent drug under investigation in the setting of TP53 mutated MDS/AML is Eprenetapopt (APR-246). This compound works by inducing mutant p53 refolding and reactivation but also promoting blasts p53 independent cell death through ferroptosis [37]. Indeed, APR-246 causes a decrease in GSH content, resulting in an increase in ROS and in lipid peroxides, which in turn lead to AML cell death by ferroptosis. Notably, this result has been shown to be irrespective of TP53 mutational status and its action seems to synergize with glycine and serine dietary restriction [38]. A trial [39] enrolling MDS/AML/MPN patients with mutant TP53 showed that the overall response rate and CR rate for patients with AML was 64% (n = 7) and 36% (n = 4), respectively, combining azacitidine and APR-246. A preliminary analysis of another ongoing clinical trial [40], which combines APR-246 with azacitidine and venetoclax, has shown an ORR of 64% and a CR rate of 39% with a median OS of 8.8 months. Azacitidine plus APR-246 is also being evaluated in a trial in the post-HSCT setting (NCT03931291). Another possible promising compound in the TP53 mutated AML scenario is COTI-2. This novel molecule acts on mutant p53 protein refolding and, similarly to APR-246, it targets in a p53 independent manner AMPK and mTOR pathways contributing to malignant cells' DNA damage and consequent death [41]. COTI-2 based clinical trials in TP53 mutated AML are eagerly awaited.

In summary, *TP53* mutant AML is characterized by multiple metabolic pathways, making it difficult to find a single, successful, therapeutic intervention. Venetoclax has become a main backbone for therapies targeting metabolism. In this scenario, potential future approaches may include the use of innovative and experimental metabolic strategies, such as fasting mimicking diet (FMA), selective GLUT's inhibition [42], sphingolipid metabolism inhibition [43], amino acids metabolism inhibitors and iron overload control. To this aim, specific clinical trials are highly warranted.

3. The Immunological Perspective and Novel Approaches

Possible reasons for the TP53 mutant AML dismal outcomes may rely on the perturbation of the bone marrow (BM) immune landscape induced by malignant cells. Recent data have revealed a peculiar association between TP53 mutant AML and specific alterations of immune cell subsets within the leukemia microenvironment. In particular, TP53 mutant HSCs have a higher expression of the immune-checkpoint molecule Programmed cell death ligand 1 (PD-L1), a higher expression of the oncogene MYC, which is paralleled by the downregulation of MYC negative regulator, miR-34a, a wellknown p53 target and a key element in preventing T cell exhaustion [44][45]. The authors found that in the TME of TP53 mutant myeloid neoplasms, including AML and high-risk MDS, there is a reduced frequency of OX40⁺ cytotoxic and helper T cells compared with controls and a remarkably higher number of ICOShigh/PDL-1 regulatory T-cells (Tregs) and PDL-1 myeloid derived suppressor cells (MDSCs). Furthermore, an increased level of ICOShigh/PDL-1- Treg cells was an independent covariate for inferior overall survival in the total cohort of patients, showing how changes in immune regulation may profoundly affect chemosensitivity and survival outcomes. Interestingly, MYC overexpression in TP53 mutated AML cells leads to upregulation of PDL-1 but also of CD47, a well-known "don't eat me" molecule. AML cells were demonstrated to be the main source of IFNy, revealing a unique feature of AML in which IFNy production is more likely the result of an intrinsic dysregulation of leukemia cells rather than the consequence of inflammatory BM changes. Furthermore, IFNyhigh AML cells modified mesenchymal stromal cell (MSC) transcriptome by upregulating IFNydependent genes related to Treg induction, including indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase 1 (IDO1), an IFNy-inducible mediator that catalyzes the rate-limiting step in tryptophan metabolism along the kynurenine pathway. AML blasts can produce

IDO1 and ROS, which induce differentiation towards Tregs, facilitating disease progression [46][47]. IDO1 acts by suppressing local CD8⁺ T effector cells and natural killer cells, and induces CD4⁺ Tregs (iTreg) and MDSC [48]. In tumors, IDO1 is negatively controlled by the BIN1 tumor suppressor, which in turn is regulated by the RBM25 splicing factor, generating a dominant-negative BIN1 isoform that is unable to repress MYC activity.

3.1. Macrophage Inhibition

Magrolimab: In a Phase Ib study combining azacytidine and magrolimab, an anti-CD47 antibody unlocking macrophage phagocytosis of malignant cells by acting on the CD47/SIRPa axis, favorable outcomes were observed in both TP53mutant (40% CR, median OS 16.3 months) and wild-type patients with high-risk MDS (31% CR, median OS NR) [49]. In the subgroup of patients with TP53 mutant AML, ORR was 71% (15/21) with 67% (14/21) of patients achieving CR/CRi. Median overall survival for TP53-mutant and wild-type AML patients was 12.9 and 18.9 months, respectively. Such promising results have led to the ongoing randomized Phase 3 ENHANCE-2 trial comparing magrolimab plus azacitidine to venetoclax plus azacitidine or 7 + 3 chemotherapy in untreated TP53-mutant AML (NCT04778397) [50]. The rationale relies on the synergistic effect of azacitidine with magrolimab [51]. In addition, magrolimab was shown to circumvent resistance in venetoclax-resistant cancer cells and TP53 mutant cells in preclinical models [52]. In consideration of this, a trial is evaluating the safety and early efficacy of the triplet azacitidine, venetoclax plus magrolimab in three subgroups: frontline, venetoclax-naïve R/R AML, and venetoclax-exposed R/R AML. The frontline cohort included 17 patients ineligible for intensive chemotherapy or patients with adverse-risk karyotype and/or TP53^{mut} regardless of age/fitness. Among these, eight patients (47%) were TP53^{mut}. Preliminary results indicate that CR/CRi rates for each subgroup are 94% (newly diagnosed), 63% (venetoclax-naïve R/R AML), and 27% (venetoclax-exposed R/R AML), respectively, In particular, seven of eight newly diagnosed TP53^{mut} patients were evaluable with a CR/CRi in 100%, CR in 86% and MRD negativity by MFC in 57% of patients, highlighting the clinical activity of this triplet regimen [53].

Evorpacept (ALX148): Evorpacept is a next-generation CD47 blocker. The CD47 binding domain of evorpacept is an affinity-enhanced extracellular domain of SIRPα, and its engineered Fc domain fails to provide the pro-phagocytic signal, while still maintaining an antibody-like pharmacokinetic half-life for the molecule. There are several ongoing Phase I/II trials of evorpacept in combination with chemotherapy and/or target agents in both solid and hematologic malignancies. In particular, two trials that combine evorpacept with azacitidine in high-risk MDS (ASPEN-02; NCT04417517) and with azacitidine plus venetoclax in R/R AML (ASPEN-05; NCT04755244) are ongoing. The Phase I dose escalation part has been completed and Phase II will evaluate the pharmacological combination early efficacy.

Ligufalimab (AK117): Ligufalimab is a humanized IgG4 antibody against CD47. An open label, Phase Ib/II study in AML patients is currently ongoing.

Lemzoparlimab (TJC4): Lemzoparlimab is a differentiated human IgG4 antibody targeting a distinct epitope of CD47, which enables a unique red blood cell sparing property while retaining strong anti-tumor activity. A Phase Ib open label, dose escalation trial (NCT04912063) is evaluating the safety and dose-limiting toxicities of lemzoparlimab in combination with azacitidine and venetoclax for patients with treatment-naïve AML and with adverse cytogenetic/molecular risk not suitable for induction therapy and for treatment-naïve high-risk MDS patients [54]. In addition, lemzoparlimab is being evaluated in monotherapy and in combination with azacitidine in patients with AML or MDS in a Phase I/II trial (NCT04202003).

Others: Other CD47/SIRP α blockers, such as DSP107, SL172154 and IBI188, are now in study in association with azacitidine alone or azacitidine plus venetoclax in several Phase I trials for newly diagnosed AML patients.

3.2. Immune Checkpoint Inhibition

Preclinical and biological data indicate a specificity of immune dysregulation in the TME of *TP53* mutant AML. These findings clearly point at using immune checkpoint inhibitors in the setting of *TP53* mutant AML. The targeting of several immune checkpoint receptors is under active clinical investigation.

(a)Targeting PD1

PD1 (programmed death protein 1) is an immunosuppressive receptor located on the surface of T cells. Its ligand, PD-L1, is expressed by different cell types such as epithelial cells, endothelial cells, macrophages, dendritic cells and tumor cells, including AML cells. The physiological function of the PD-1/PD-L1 pathway is to modulate the immune response, downregulating the immune system and promoting self-tolerance. To date, it is well-known that in many neoplasms, both solid and hematological, tumor cells overexpress PD-L1, preventing their own destruction by the immune system. In

recent years many drugs targeting and blocking this pathway have been developed. These therapies have been tested in several settings, showing encouraging results.

Nivolumab: In a recent study, nivolumab was used in combination with azacitidine in 70 R/R AML patients, of whom 45 had been previously exposed to HMAs and 16 of whom were TP53 mutated [55]. The ORR was 33%, with a median OS of 6.3 months. Higher responses were documented among HMA-naïve patients (ORR rate: 52%), while only three TP53 mutated patients showed a response. Nivolumab has been also used in combination with chemotherapy in young patients, who were candidates to allogeneic HSCT. As for the TP53 mutant AML patients, the rationale for combining nivolumab with chemotherapy relies on the high probability that these patients reach allogeneic HSCT with a significant proportion of residual cells, carrying high expression of PD-L1, which is likely to hamper and mitigate the GVL effect [56]. Based on this rationale, a Phase 1/2 study combining nivolumab with frontline idarubicin and cytarabine induction regimen was conducted in 44 patients with AML and high-risk MDS, of whom 8 were TP53 mutated $\frac{[57]}{}$. At a median follow-up of 17 months, the median event-free survival was not reached and median OS was 18 months, with 43% of patients achieving a response and proceeding to HSCT. The GVHD grade 3-4 rate was 26%, a rate of occurrence not precluding this strategy in frontline setting in high-risk leukemias. In the entire cohort, the CR/CRi rate was 78%, of which 79% had negative MRD. A comparison of characteristics between overall responders and non-responders showed that nonresponders tended to have more TP53 mutations and more secondary and therapy-related AML. Notably, there was no difference in the OS between responders who continued on therapy beyond remission and those bridged to allo-SCT, suggesting the potential ability of nivolumab to restore anti-tumor immune surveillance and eradicate MRD [58]. Based on this, a pilot Phase II clinical trial studying the efficacy and safety of nivolumab as maintenance therapy in AML was conducted in patients with high-risk AML in remission not being considered for allogeneic HSCT. While the study demonstrated the safety and feasibility of maintenance nivolumab for patients with high-risk AML, it showed a modest effect in eradicating MRD and extending remissions as a single agent, suggesting potential mechanisms of resistance to nivolumab as monotherapy [59]. In that and based on the finding that the association of azacitidine plus nivolumab can lead to the upregulation of CTLA4 on bone marrow CD8 cells, thus resulting in a reduced anti-leukemia immune response [55], a triplet combination of azacitidine + nivolumab + ipilimumab is being evaluated with the aim of contrasting PD-1 mediated resistance. A Phase II study that aims to investigate the side effects and best dose of nivolumab and azacitidine with or without ipilimumab is ongoing for AML patients who have not responded to previous treatment or have relapsed or are newly diagnosed (NCT02397720). Results about R/R population were recently reported. The study enrolled 59 R/R AML patients treated with azacitidine + nivolumab and in a second cohort 36 R/R AML patients treated with Azacitidine + Nivolumab + ipilimumab. The median age of cohort 2 was 67 years, secondary AML was 50%, ELN adverse cytogenetics were 67%, TP53 mutated AML was 36%, and 67% of patients were previously treated with HMA-based therapies. All 36 pts were evaluable. Per ELN 2017, CR/CRi was reported in 19% and PR in 3%. Fourteen percent of patients had durable stable disease and sixty-four percent were non-responders. Converse to azacitidine + nivolumab, responders did not have a higher frequency of pre-therapy BM CD8+ T cells infiltration, but did have progressive BM CD8+ T cells infiltration on therapy, compared with non-responders, demonstrating that ipilimumab, differently from nivolumab, may be able to mobilize peripheral T-cells to the BM. The median OS with azacitidine + ipilimumab + nivolumab versus azacitidine + nivolumab versus contemporary HMA-controls in R/R AML, were 7.6, 5.9, and 4.6 months, respectively. The 1-year OS in R/R AML patients who received azacitidine +nivolumab +ipilimumab was 25% and the median OS with azacitidine + ipilimumab + nivolumab was comparable to the median OS of 6-8 months reported with HMA plus venetoclax salvage in numerous studies and only modestly improved over azacitidine + nivolumab [60].

Pembrolizumab: pembrolizumab was used in conjunction with high-dose cytarabine in 37 RR AML patients with a CR/CRi rate of 38% and with 24% of patients proceeding to HSCT [61]. No grade > 3 GVHD cases were reported post-HSCT. Of note, two out of five (40%) treated patients with *TP53* mutations achieved CR. Pembrolizumab was also tested in combination with azacitidine in 29 newly diagnosed older AML [62] patients in a multicenter Phase II study (NCT02845297). In that, 64% of patients had poor-risk cytogenetics and 23% had *TP53* mutation. The study shows promising results. Among 17 evaluable patients, 47% of them achieved CR/CRi, 12% PR, 12% hematologic improvement and 24% stable disease for at least six cycles. With a median follow-up of 19 months, the median OS was 13.1 months for the whole cohort and not reached for patients in CR/CRi/PR. The median DFS for patients in CR/CRi was 16.6 months. In terms of safety, 18% of patients had G2 and 14% had G3–4 immune related adverse events (IRAEs), which were managed with steroids and supportive care in the majority of cases.

Atezolizumab: atezolizumab is a human immunoglobulin 1 (IgG1) anti PD-L1. It was tested in a Phase 1 b study evaluating the safety and pharmacology when administered in combination with guadecitabine in 16 AML patients with a median age of 73 years and with disease progression or failure to achieve complete or partial response after intensive cytotoxic therapy or treatment naïve, but unfit for induction chemotherapy. Patients with intermediate- or adverse-risk cytogenetic and molecular alterations were also included (NCT02892318). Fourteen of the sixteen patients (87.5%) died

during the trial period due to disease progression (8/14) or AEs (6/14). The combination showed limited clinical activity and an overall unfavorable benefit-risk profile at the investigated dose [63].

Avelumab: avelumab is an anti-PD-L1 monoclonal antibody, which was tested in 19 R/R AML patients during a Phase Ib/II trial in association with azacitidine. All patients had adverse-risk disease based on ELN 2017 risk categories and *TP53* was the most common mutation. The ORR was 10.5%, and the median OS of the entire population was 4.8 months, the same as *TP53* mutated patients, showing good tolerance but only limited activity [64].

(b) Targeting CTLA-4

Cytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated antigen 4 (CTLA-4) is a co-inhibitory receptor expressed predominantly on T cells that binds ligands with greater affinity, resulting in inhibition of T-cell activation. Preclinical studies with murine models have shown that CTLA-4 ligand expression is upregulated in AML cell lines similar to upregulation of PD-L1, thus increasing the resistance of such cells to lysis by cytotoxic T cells [65].

Ipilimumab: Ipilimumab is a recombinant, human immunoglobulin 1 (IgG1) kappa immunoglobulin that binds and inhibits CTLA-4. It was investigated in a Phase 1/1b trial enrolling 28 patients with post-HSCT relapsed hematologic cancer including AML with extramedullary involvement [66]. Durable complete responses were observed in 5 out of 14 patients at the higher dose of ipilimumab (10 mg/Kg), with a documented decrease in activation of Tregs and an increase in effector T cells in the peripheral blood. A Phase 1 trial that aims to determine the safety and benefit of nivolumab, ipilimumab or the combination of nivolumab with ipilimumab given after allogeneic HSCT for patients with intermediate- and high-risk AML/MDS is currently ongoing (NCT02846376). Outside the setting of post-transplantation, one Phase 1 clinical trial testing ipilimumab monotherapy in the setting of relapsed/refractory AML is enrolling (01757639). HMAs can augment the immune response against cancer through multiple mechanisms, including improved antigen uptake by macrophages and dendritic cells, improved recognition of neo-epitopes over the MHC I and T cell receptor, and increased susceptibility of tumor cells to immune-mediated cytotoxicity [67]. Based on this rationale, a Phase I, multicenter trial (CTEP 10026) of decitabine plus ipilimumab in patients with R/R MDS/AML with (Arm A) and without (Arm B) prior HCT, including TP53 mutated patients is ongoing [68]. Very preliminary results have been reported, indicating that approximately 50% of patients develop grade 1-2 IRAEs, comprising late-onset acute (grade 3, 1 patient) or chronic GHVD. All IRAEs were managed though steroids' administration, except for the grade 3 steroid-refractory acute GVHD, which was complicated by fatal septic shock. Eight of sixteen evaluable AML/MDS patients showed objective responses (3 CR, 2 CRi and 3 marrow CR), and the median OS was 18.3 months (95% CI: 11.7–NA) [69].

(c)Targeting TIM-3

T-cell immunoglobulin and mucin domain 3 (Tim-3) is a type I trans-membrane glycoprotein expressed on IFNy-producing T cells, FoxP3+ Tregs and innate immune cells. Binding its receptors, it suppresses immune cells' activation. AML cells overexpress both TIM-3 and some of its ligands, such as galectin-9 creating an autocrine loop that induces a mechanism of self-renewal through activation of a mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR) and nuclear factor kappa light chain enhancer of activated B cells (NFkB) pro-survival pathways and β -catenin signaling [70]. Furthermore, TIM-3 overexpression on AML blast cells inhibits CD8+ T-cell recognition and, thus, their destruction. Darwish et al. [71] analyzed the expression of LSC markers (CD34, CLL-1, TIM-3 and BMI-1) using quantitative RT-PCR in BM samples of 40 AML patients, showing that overexpression of TIM-3, CLL-1 and BMI-1 was markedly correlated with poor prognosis in these patients.

Sabatolimab: Based on this rationale, the safety and efficacy of sabatolimab (MBG453), a potential first-in-class immunotherapeutic agent that can target TIM-3 on immune and myeloid cells, are being evaluated in combination with HMAs in patients with AML and high-risk MDS in a Phase Ib ongoing study $^{[72]}$. The interim analysis of the results revealed CR/CRi in 2 out of 5 patients with 7953 mutant AML and an ORR of 71% (10 out of 14) in patients with 7953 mutated higher-risk MDS. Despite the limits due to the small sample size, the median DoR in this very hard-to-treat population was 21.5 months. These promising data suggest that this combination might be effective for 7953 mutated AML 73 . On this basis, a Phase II clinical trial of sabatolimab in combination with azacitidine and venetoclax in the setting of newly diagnosed AML patients not suitable for intensive chemotherapy is ongoing (NCT04150029 STIMULUS-AML1). Safety and tolerability are overall comparable to the reported safety profile of azacitidine plus venetoclax therapy 74 . Interestingly, sabatolimab is being used in combination with other drugs that target the immune microenvironment. In particular, a Phase Ib/II clinical trial evaluating the safety and early efficacy of the combination therapy with sabatolimab and magrolimab with or without azacitidine (NCT05367401) is ongoing in patients with R/R AML. Given the promising

results observed with magrolimab plus azacitidine in the setting of *TP53* mutant AML, the clinical results of this trial are awaited.

3.3. T-Cell Engagers and Bispecific Antibodies

Immunologic approaches that allow the retargeting of immune effector cells, mostly T cells, against tumor cells, thus providing rapid and robust activation with durable cytotoxic responses, also have been explored in AML. In this scenario, bispecific antibodies (bsAbs), including bispecific T-cell Engagers (BiTEs) and Dual Affinity Retargeting Antibodies (DARTs), deserve consideration, especially for high-risk AML subtypes. In particular, in the setting of *TP53* mutant AML, bispecific DARTs have shown some promising early clinical results [75].

Flotetuzumab: CD123, the low-affinity α subunit of interleukin-3 receptor (IL3RA), is expressed in 60% to 80% of patients with AML. CD123 expression on AML blasts is associated with poor outcomes, thus suggesting a biological relevance and significance for leukemia cell survival. Flotetuzumab is a DART, which links and activates CD3+ cytotoxic lymphocytes against CD123+ myeloid cells. It was investigated in a multicenter Phase 1/2 study enrolling 88 patients with primary induction failure (PIF)/early relapse (ER, within 6 months) and R/R AML $\frac{[76]}{}$. The rate of complete responses was higher in the PIF/ER group (16.7%) with a median OS of 10.3 months, while in the R/R group CR rate was 12%. A comprehensive biological study phase provided the background for the clinical development of flotetuzumab. In particular, in silico analyses showed that CD123 expression correlated positively with ELN risk category and that higher CD123 mRNA predicted PIF and ER in newly diagnosed AML. Moreover, a pivotal study highlighted the different rate of response between AML patients with TME immune infiltration and patients with TME immune cells depletion [77]: The former group appeared to be enriched in IFNy-related mRNA profiles and showed resistance to cytotoxic chemotherapy but a higher probability of response to flotetuzumab. In the same study, the comparison between TP53 mutated and wild-type AML patients was carried out showing that tumor inflammation signature, IFN-gamma pathway, chemokines and lymphoid signature scores were higher in TP53-mutated AML than complex karyotype AML with wild-type TP53. Expression of immune checkpoints (PD-L1 and TIGIT) and immunosuppressive genes such as FOXP3 (expressed by Tregs) was higher in TP53-mutated cases. Furthermore, other pathways such as NfKb/JAK-STAT, Pl3K-Akt, Hedgehog, Wnt-frizzled were overexpressed in TP53 mutant AML compared to the wild-type counterpart along with increased expression of immunosuppressive genes such as IFNG, FOXP3, PDL1, CD8A, GZMB and LAG3. Collectively, these findings strongly indicate that TP53 mutant AML has a strongly immunosuppressed TME, which makes it more sensitive to immunotherapy with flotetuzumab, thus consolidating another therapeutic option for the TP53 mutated AML subtype [78].

References

- 1. Muller, P.A.J.; Vousden, K.H. p53 mutations in cancer. Nat. Cell Biol. 2013, 15, 2-8.
- 2. Liu, J.; Zhang, C.; Wang, J.; Hu, W.; Feng, Z. The Regulation of Ferroptosis by Tumor Suppressor p53 and its Pathway. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2020, 21, 8387.
- 3. Liu, J.; Zhang, C.; Hu, W.; Feng, Z. Tumor suppressor p53 and metabolism. J. Mol. Cell. Biol. 2019, 11, 284–292.
- 4. Blagih, J.; Buck, M.D.; Vousden, K.H. p53, cancer and the immune response. J. Cell Sci. 2020, 133, jcs237453.
- 5. Wong, T.N.; Ramsingh, G.; Young, A.L.; Miller, C.A.; Touma, W.; Welch, J.S.; Lamprecht, T.L.; Shen, D.; Hundal, J.; Fulton, R.S.; et al. Role of TP53 mutations in the origin and evolution of therapy-related acute myeloid leukaemia. Nature 2015, 518, 552–555.
- 6. Seifert, H.; Mohr, B.; Thiede, C.; Oelschlägel, U.; Schäkel, U.; Illmer, T.; Soucek, S.; Ehninger, G.; Schaich, M.; on behalf of the Study Alliance Leukemia (SAL). The prognostic impact of 17p (p53) deletion in 2272 adults with acute myeloid leukemia. Leukemia 2009, 23, 656–663.
- 7. Rücker, F.G.; Schlenk, R.F.; Bullinger, L.; Kayser, S.; Teleanu, V.; Kett, H.; Habdank, M.; Kugler, C.-M.; Holzmann, K.; Gaidzik, V.I.; et al. TP53 alterations in acute myeloid leukemia with complex karyotype correlate with specific copy number alterations, monosomal karyotype, and dismal outcome. Blood 2012, 119, 2114–2121.
- 8. Papaemmanuil, E.; Gerstung, M.; Bullinger, L.; Gaidzik, V.I.; Paschka, P.; Roberts, N.D.; Potter, N.E.; Heuser, M.; Thol, F.; Bolli, N.; et al. Genomic Classification and Prognosis in Acute Myeloid Leukemia. N. Engl. J. Med. 2016, 374, 2209–2221.
- 9. Kadia, T.M.; Jain, P.; Ravandi, F.; Garcia-Manero, G.; Andreef, M.; Takahashi, K.; Borthakur, G.; Jabbour, E.; Konopleva, M.; Daver, N.G.; et al. TP53 mutations in newly diagnosed acute myeloid leukemia: Clinicomolecular characteristics, response to therapy, and outcomes. Cancer 2016, 122, 3484–3491.

- 10. Jones, C.L.; Stevens, B.M.; D'Alessandro, A.; Reisz, J.A.; Culp-Hill, R.; Nemkov, T.; Pei, S.; Khan, N.; Adane, B.; Ye, H.; et al. Inhibition of Amino Acid Metabolism Selectively Targets Human Leukemia Stem Cells. Cancer Cell 2018, 34, 724–740.e4.
- 11. Zhang, C.; Lin, M.; Wu, R.; Wang, X.; Yang, B.; Levine, A.J.; Hu, W.; Feng, Z. Parkin, a p53 target gene, mediates the role of p53 in glucose metabolism and the Warburg effect. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2011, 108, 16259–16264.
- 12. Zhang, C.; Liu, J.; Wu, R.; Liang, Y.; Lin, M.; Liu, J.; Chan, C.S.; Hu, W.; Feng, Z. Tumor suppressor p53 negatively regulates glycolysis stimulated by hypoxia through its target RRAD. Oncotarget 2014, 5, 5535–5546.
- 13. Wang, L.; Xiong, H.; Wu, F.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, J.; Zhao, L.; Guo, X.; Chang, L.-J.; Zhang, Y.; You, M.J.; et al. Hexokinase 2-mediated Warburg effect is required for PTEN- and p53-deficiency-driven prostate cancer growth. Cell Rep. 2014, 8, 1461–1474.
- 14. Freed-Pastor, W.A.; Mizuno, H.; Zhao, X.; Langerød, A.; Moon, S.-H.; Rodriguez-Barrueco, R.; Barsotti, A.; Chicas, A.; Li, W.; Polotskaia, A.; et al. Mutant p53 disrupts mammary tissue architecture via the mevalonate pathway. Cell 2012, 148, 244–258.
- 15. Kollareddy, M.; Dimitrova, E.; Vallabhaneni, K.C.; Chan, A.; Le, T.; Chauhan, K.M.; Carrero, Z.I.; Ramakrishnan, G.; Watabe, K.; Haupt, Y.; et al. Regulation of nucleotide metabolism by mutant p53 contributes to its gain-of-function activities. Nat. Commun. 2015, 6, 7389.
- 16. Simonetti, G.; Mengucci, C.; Padella, A.; Fonzi, E.; Picone, G.; Delpino, C.; Nanni, J.; De Tommaso, R.; Franchini, E.; Papayannidis, C.; et al. Integrated genomic-metabolic classification of acute myeloid leukemia defines a subgroup with NPM1 and cohesin/DNA damage mutations. Leukemia 2021, 35, 2813–2826.
- 17. Lo Presti, C.; Fauvelle, F.; Jacob, M.C.; Mondet, J.; Mossuz, P. The metabolic reprogramming in acute myeloid leukemia patients depends on their genotype and is a prognostic marker. Blood Adv. 2021, 5, 156–166.
- 18. Mondet, J.; Presti, C.L.; Garrel, C.; Skaare, K.; Mariette, C.; Carras, S.; Park, S.; Carré, M.; Bulabois, C.-E.; Molina, L.; et al. Adult patients with de novo acute myeloid leukemia show a functional deregulation of redox balance at diagnosis which is correlated with molecular subtypes and overall survival. Haematologica 2019, 104, e393–e397.
- 19. Gozzelino, R.; Arosio, P. Iron Homeostasis in Health and Disease. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2016, 17, 130.
- 20. Zhang, Y.; Qian, Y.; Zhang, J.; Yanhong, W.Z.; Jung, Y.-S.; Chen, M.; Huang, E.; Lloyd, K.; Duan, Y.; Wang, J.; et al. Ferredoxin reductase is critical for p53-dependent tumor suppression via iron regulatory protein 2. Genes Dev. 2017, 31, 1243–1256.
- 21. Shen, J.; Sheng, X.; Chang, Z.; Wu, Q.; Wang, S.; Xuan, Z.; Li, D.; Wu, Y.; Shang, Y.; Kong, X.; et al. Iron metabolism regulates p53 signaling through direct heme-p53 interaction and modulation of p53 localization, stability, and function. Cell Rep. 2014, 7, 180–193.
- 22. Wang, S.-J.; Ou, Y.; Jiang, L.; Gu, W. Ferroptosis: A missing puzzle piece in the p53 blueprint? Mol. Cell. Oncol. 2015, 3, e1046581.
- 23. Jiang, L.; Kon, N.; Li, T.; Wang, S.-J.; Su, T.; Hibshoosh, H.; Baer, R.; Gu, W. Ferroptosis as a p53-mediated activity during tumour suppression. Nature 2015, 520, 57–62.
- 24. Liu, Q.; Wang, M.; Hu, Y.; Xing, H.; Chen, X.; Zhang, Y.; Zhu, P. Significance of CD71 expression by flow cytometry in diagnosis of acute leukemia. Leuk. Lymphoma 2014, 55, 892–898.
- 25. Vidal, R.S.; Quarti, J.; Rumjanek, F.D.; Rumjanek, V.M. Metabolic Reprogramming During Multidrug Resistance in Leukemias. Front. Oncol. 2018, 8, 90.
- 26. Lagadinou, E.D.; Sach, A.; Callahan, K.; Rossi, R.M.; Neering, S.J.; Minhajuddin, M.; Ashton, J.M.; Pei, S.; Grose, V.; O'Dwyer, K.M.; et al. BCL-2 Inhibition Targets Oxidative Phosphorylation and Selectively Eradicates Quiescent Human Leukemia Stem Cells. Cell Stem Cell 2013, 12, 329–341.
- 27. Anderson, M.A.; Deng, J.; Seymour, J.F.; Tam, C.; Kim, S.Y.; Fein, J.; Yu, L.; Brown, J.R.; Westerman, D.; Si, E.G.; et al. The BCL2 selective inhibitor venetoclax induces rapid onset apoptosis of CLL cells in patients via a TP53-independent mechanism. Blood 2016, 127, 3215–3224.
- 28. Pollyea, D.A.; Stevens, B.M.; Jones, C.L.; Winters, A.; Pei, S.; Minhajuddin, M.; D'Alessandro, A.; Culp-Hill, R.; Riemondy, K.A.; Gillen, A.E.; et al. Venetoclax with azacitidine disrupts energy metabolism and targets leukemia stem cells in patients with acute myeloid leukemia. Nat. Med. 2018, 24, 1859–1866.
- 29. Welch, J.S.; Petti, A.A.; Miller, C.A.; Fronick, C.C.; O'Laughlin, M.; Fulton, R.S.; Wilson, R.K.; Baty, J.D.; Duncavage, E.J.; Tandon, B.; et al. TP53 and Decitabine in Acute Myeloid Leukemia and Myelodysplastic Syndromes. N. Engl. J. Med. 2016, 375, 2023–2036.

- 30. Dinardo, C.D.; Jonas, B.A.; Pullarkat, V.; Thirman, M.J.; Garcia, J.S.; Wei, A.H.; Konopleva, M.; Döhner, H.; Letai, A.; Fenaux, P.; et al. Azacitidine and Venetoclax in Previously Untreated Acute Myeloid Leukemia. N. Engl. J. Med. 2020, 383, 617–629.
- 31. Aldoss, I.; Yang, D.; Aribi, A.; Ali, H.; Sandhu, K.; Al Malki, M.M.; Mei, M.; Salhotra, A.; Khaled, S.; Nakamura, R.; et al. Efficacy of the combination of venetoclax and hypomethylating agents in relapsed/refractory acute myeloid leukemia. Haematologica 2018, 103, e404–e407.
- 32. Pollyea, D.A.; Pratz, K.W.; Wei, A.H.; Pullarkat, V.; Jonas, B.A.; Recher, C.; Babu, S.; Schuh, A.C.; Dail, M.; Sun, Y.; et al. Outcomes in Patients with Poor-risk Cytogenetics with or without TP53 Mutations Treated with Venetoclax and Azacitidine. Clin. Cancer Res, 2022; published online ahead of print.
- 33. DiNardo, C.D.; Lachowiez, C.A.; Takahashi, K.; Loghavi, S.; Kadia, T.; Daver, N.; Xiao, L.; Adeoti, M.; Short, N.J.; Sasaki, K.; et al. Venetoclax combined with FLAG-IDA induction and consolidation in newly diagnosed acute myeloid leukemia. Am. J. Hematol. 2022, 97, 1035–1043.
- 34. Grob, T.; Al Hinai, A.S.A.; Sanders, M.A.; Kavelaars, F.G.; Rijken, M.; Gradowska, P.L.; Biemond, B.J.; Breems, D.A.; Maertens, J.; Kooy, M.V.M.; et al. Molecular characterization of mutant TP53 acute myeloid leukemia and high-risk myelodysplastic syndrome. Blood 2022, 139, 2347–2354.
- 35. Jacque, N.; Ronchetti, A.M.; Larrue, C.; Meunier, G.; Birsen, R.; Willems, L.; Saland, E.; Decroocq, J.; Maciel, T.; Lambert, M.; et al. Targeting Glutaminolysis has Antileukemic Activity in Acute Myeloid Leukemia and Synergizes with BCL-2 Inhibition. Blood 2015, 126, 1346–1356.
- 36. Mussai, F.; Egan, S.; Higginbotham-Jones, J.; Perry, T.; Beggs, A.; Odintsova, E.; Loke, J.; Pratt, G.; U, K.P.; Lo, A.; et al. Arginine Dependence of Acute Myeloid Leukemia Blast Proliferation: A Novel Therapeutic Target. Blood 2015, 125, 2386–2396.
- 37. Birsen, R.; Larrue, C.; Decroocq, J.; Johnson, N.; Guiraud, N.; Gotanegre, M.; Cantero-Aguilar, L.; Grignano, E.; Huynh, T.; Fontenay, M.; et al. APR-246 induces early cell death by ferroptosis in acute myeloid leukemia. Haematologica 2022, 107, 403–416.
- 38. Fujihara, K.M.; Zhang, B.Z.; Jackson, T.D.; Ogunkola, M.O.; Nijagal, B.; Milne, J.V.; Sallman, D.A.; Ang, C.-S.; Nikolic, I.; Kearney, C.J.; et al. Eprenetapopt triggers ferroptosis, inhibits NFS1 cysteine desulfurase, and synergizes with serine and glycine dietary restriction. Sci. Adv. 2022, 8, eabm9427.
- 39. Sallman, D.A.; DeZern, A.E.; Garcia-Manero, G.; Steensma, D.P.; Roboz, G.J.; Sekeres, M.A.; Cluzeau, T.; Sweet, K.L.; McLemore, A.; McGraw, K.L.; et al. Eprenetapopt (APR-246) and Azacitidine in TP53-Mutant Myelodysplastic Syndromes. J. Clin. Oncol. 2021, 39, 1584–1594.
- 40. Garcia-Manero, G.; Goldberg, A.D.; Winer, E.S.; Altman, J.K.; Fathi, A.T.; Odenike, O.; Roboz, G.J.; Gallacher, P.; Wennborg, A.; Hickman, D.K.; et al. Phase I and Expansion Study of Eprenetapopt (APR-246) in Combination with Venetoclax (VEN) and Azacitidine (AZA) in TP53-Mutant Acute Myeloid Leukemia (AML). Blood 2021, 138, 3409.
- 41. Duffy, M.J.; Synnott, N.C.; O'Grady, S.; Crown, J. Targeting p53 for the treatment of cancer. Semin. Cancer Biol. 2022, 79, 58–67.
- 42. Jones, C.L.; Inguva, A.; Jordan, C.T. Targeting Energy Metabolism in Cancer Stem Cells: Progress and Challenges in Leukemia and Solid Tumors. Cell Stem Cell 2021, 28, 378–393.
- 43. Tan, S.-F.; Liu, X.; Fox, T.E.; Barth, B.M.; Sharma, A.; Turner, S.D.; Awwad, A.; Dewey, A.; Doi, K.; Spitzer, B.; et al. Acid Ceramidase is Upregulated in AML and Represents a Novel Therapeutic Target. Oncotarget 2016, 7, 83208–83222.
- 44. Sallman, D.A.; McLemore, A.F.; Aldrich, A.L.; Komrokji, R.S.; McGraw, K.L.; Dhawan, A.; Geyer, S.; Hou, H.-A.; Eksioglu, E.A.; Sullivan, A.; et al. TP53 mutations in myelodysplastic syndromes and secondary AML confer an immunosuppressive phenotype. Blood 2020, 136, 2812–2823.
- 45. Choi, Y.J.; Lin, C.-P.; Ho, J.J.; He, X.; Okada, N.; Bu, P.; Zhong, Y.; Kim, S.Y.; Bennett, M.J.; Chen, C.; et al. miR-34 miRNAs provide a barrier for somatic cell reprogramming. Nat. Cell Biol. 2011, 13, 1353–1360.
- 46. Gonçalves Silva, I.; Gibbs, B.F.; Bardelli, M.; Varani, L.; Sumbayev, V.V. Differential expression and biochemical activity of the immune receptor Tim-3 in healthy and malignant human myeloid cells. Oncotarget 2015, 6, 33823–33833.
- 47. Curti, A.; Aluigi, M.; Pandolfi, S.; Ferri, E.; Isidori, A.; Salvestrini, V.; Durelli, I.; Horenstein, A.L.; Fiore, F.; Massaia, M.; et al. Acute myeloid leukemia cells constitutively express the immunoregulatory enzyme indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase. Leukemia 2007, 21, 353–355.
- 48. Prendergast, G.C.; Malachowski, W.J.; Mondal, A.; Scherle, P.; Muller, A.J. Indoleamine 2,3-Dioxygenase and Its Therapeutic Inhibition in Cancer. Int. Rev. Cell Mol. Biol. 2018, 336, 175–203.
- 49. Sallman, D.A.; Al Malki, M.M.; Asch, A.S.; Wang, E.S.; Jurcic, J.G.; Bradley, T.J.; Flinn, I.W.; Pollyea, D.A.; Kambhampati, S.; Tanaka, T.N.; et al. Magrolimab in combination with azacitidine for untreated higher-risk

- myelodysplastic syndromes (HR-MDS): 5F9005 phase 1b study results. J. Clin. Oncol. 2022, 40, 7017.
- 50. Daver, N.; Vyas, P.; Chao, M.; Xing, G.; Renard, C.; Ramsingh, G.; Sallman, D.A.; Wei, A.H. A Phase 3, Randomized, Open-Label Study Evaluating the Safety and Efficacy of Magrolimab in Combination with Azacitidine in Previously Untreated Patients with TP53-Mutant Acute Myeloid Leukemia. Blood 2021, 138, 3426.
- 51. Chao, M.P.; Takimoto, C.H.; Feng, D.D.; McKenna, K.; Gip, P.; Liu, J.; Volkmer, J.-P.; Weissman, I.L.; Majeti, R. Therapeutic targeting of the Macrophage Immune Checkpoint CD47 in Myeloid Malignancies. Front. Oncol. 2020, 9, 1380.
- 52. Jia, Y.; Zhang, Q.; Weng, C.; Ramage, C.L.; Nishida, Y.; Chao, M.; Maute, R.L.; Herbrich, S.; Zhang, W.; Andreeff, M.; et al. Combined Blockade of CD47-Sirpa Interaction by 5F9 (Magrolimab) and Azacitidine/Venetoclax Therapy Facilitates Macrophage-Mediated Anti-Leukemia Efficacy in AML Pre-Clinical Models. Blood 2021, 138, 510.
- 53. Daver, N.; Konopleva, M.; Maiti, A.; Kadia, T.M.; DiNardo, C.D.; Loghavi, S.; Pemmaraju, N.; Jabbour, E.J.; Montalban-Bravo, G.; Tang, G.; et al. Phase I/II Study of Azacitidine (AZA) with Venetoclax (VEN) and Magrolimab (Magro) in Patients (pts) with newly Diagnosed Older/Unfit or High-Risk Acute Myeloid Leukemia (AML) and Relapsed/Refractory (R/R) AML. Blood 2021, 138, 371.
- 54. Qi, J.; Li, J.; Wang, J. A Phase I/IIa Study of Lemzoparlimab, a Monoclonal Antibody Targeting CD47, in Patients with Relapsed and/or Refractory Acute Myeloid Leukemia (AML) and Myelodysplastic Syndrome (MDS): Initial Phase I Results. Blood 2020, 136, 30–31.
- 55. Daver, N.; Garcia-Manero, G.; Basu, S.; Boddu, P.C.; Alfayez, M.; Cortes, J.E.; Konopleva, M.; Ravandi-Kashani, F.; Jabbour, E.; Kadia, T.; et al. Efficacy, Safety, and Biomarkers of Response to Azacitidine and Nivolumab in Relapsed/Refractory Acute Myeloid Leukemia: A Nonrandomized, Open-Label, Phase II Study. Cancer Discov. 2019, 9, 370–383.
- 56. Della Porta, M.G.; Gallì, A.; Bacigalupo, A.; Zibellini, S.; Bernardi, M.; Rizzo, E.; Allione, B.; Van Lint, M.T.; Pioltelli, P.; Marenco, P.; et al. Clinical Effects of Driver Somatic Mutations on the Outcomes of Patients with Myelodysplastic Syndromes Treated with Allogeneic Hematopoietic Stem-Cell Transplantation. J. Clin. Oncol. 2016, 34, 3627–3637.
- 57. Ravandi, F.; Assi, R.; Daver, N.; Benton, C.B.; Kadia, T.; Thompson, P.A.; Borthakur, G.; Alvarado, Y.; Jabbour, E.J.; Konopleva, M.; et al. Idarubicin, cytarabine, and nivolumab in patients with newly diagnosed acute myeloid leukaemia or high-risk myelodysplastic syndrome: A single-arm, phase 2 study. Lancet Haematol. 2019, 6, e480–e488.
- 58. Abaza, Y.; Zeidan, A.M. Immune Checkpoint Inhibition in Acute Myeloid Leukemia and Myelodysplastic Syndromes. Cells 2022, 11, 2249.
- 59. Reville, P.K.; Kantarjian, H.M.; Ravandi, F.; Jabbour, E.; DiNardo, C.D.; Daver, N.; Pemmaraju, N.; Ohanian, M.; Alvarado, Y.; Xiao, L.; et al. Nivolumab maintenance in high-risk acute myeloid leukemia patients: A single-arm, openlabel, phase II study. Blood Cancer J. 2021, 11, 60.
- 60. Daver, N.G.; Garcia-Manero, G.; Konopleva, M.Y.; Alfayez, M.; Pemmaraju, N.; Kadia, T.M.; Dinardo, C.D.; Cortes, J.E.; Ravandi, F.; Abbas, H.; et al. Azacitidine (AZA) with Nivolumab (Nivo), and AZA with Nivo + Ipilimumab (Ipi) in Relapsed/Refractory Acute Myeloid Leukemia: A Non-Randomized, Prospective, Phase 2 Study. Blood 2019, 134, 830.
- 61. Zeidner, J.F.; Vincent, B.G.; Ivanova, A.; Moore, D.; McKinnon, K.P.; Wilkinson, A.D.; Mukhopadhyay, R.; Mazziotta, F.; Knaus, H.A.; Foster, M.C.; et al. Phase II Trial of Pembrolizumab after High-Dose Cytarabine in Relapsed/Refractory Acute Myeloid Leukemia. Blood Cancer Discov. 2021, 2, 616–629.
- 62. Gojo, I.; Stuart, R.K.; Webster, J.; Blackford, A.; Varela, J.C.; Morrow, J.; DeZern, A.E.; Foster, M.C.; Levis, M.J.; Coombs, C.C.; et al. Multi-Center Phase 2 Study of Pembroluzimab (Pembro) and Azacitidine (AZA) in Patients with Relapsed/Refractory Acute Myeloid Leukemia (AML) and in Newly Diagnosed (≥65 Years) AML Patients. Blood 2019, 134, 832.
- 63. Prebet, T.; Goldberg, A.D.; Jurcic, J.G.; Khaled, S.; Dail, M.; Feng, Y.; Green, C.; Li, C.; Ma, C.; Medeiros, B.C.; et al. A phase 1b study of atezolizumab in combination with guadecitabine for the treatment of acute myeloid leukemia. Leuk. Lymphoma 2022, 63, 1–9.
- 64. Saxena, K.; Herbrich, S.M.; Pemmaraju, N.; Kadia, T.M.; DiNardo, C.D.; Borthakur, G.; Pierce, S.A.; Jabbour, E.; Wang, S.A.; Bueso-Ramos, C.; et al. A phase 1b/2 study of azacitidine with PD-L1 antibody avelumab in relapsed/refractory acute myeloid leukemia. Cancer 2021, 127, 3761–3771.
- 65. Przespolewski, A.; Szeles, A.; Wang, E.S. Advances in immunotherapy for acute myeloid leukemia. Future Oncol. 2018, 14, 963–978.
- 66. Davids, M.S.; Kim, H.T.; Bachireddy, P.; Costello, C.; Liguori, R.; Savell, A.; Lukez, A.P.; Avigan, D.; Chen, Y.-B.; McSweeney, P.; et al. Ipilimumab for Patients with Relapse after Allogeneic Transplantation. N. Engl. J. Med. 2016, 375, 143–153.

- 67. Stahl, M.; Goldberg, A.D. Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors in Acute Myeloid Leukemia: Novel Combinations and Therapeutic Targets. Curr. Oncol. Rep. 2019, 21, 37.
- 68. Garcia, J.; Flamand, Y.; Soiffer, R. Safety and Efficacy of Decitabine Plus Ipilimumab in Relapsed or Refractory MDS/AML in the Post-BMT or Transplant Naïve Settings. Blood 2020, 136, 15–17.
- 69. Isidori, A.; Cerchione, C.; Daver, N.; DiNardo, C.; Garcia-Manero, G.; Konopleva, M.; Jabbour, E.; Ravandi, F.; Kadia, T.; Burguera, A.D.L.F.; et al. Immunotherapy in Acute Myeloid Leukemia: Where We Stand. Front. Oncol. 2021, 11, 656218.
- 70. Kikushige, Y.; Miyamoto, T.; Yuda, J.; Jabbarzadeh-Tabrizi, S.; Shima, T.; Takayanagi, S.-I.; Niiro, H.; Yurino, A.; Miyawaki, K.; Takenaka, K.; et al. A TIM-3/Gal-9 Autocrine Stimulatory Loop Drives Self-Renewal of Human Myeloid Leukemia Stem Cells and Leukemic Progression. Cell Stem Cell 2015, 17, 341–352.
- 71. Darwish, N.H.E.; Sudha, T.; Godugu, K.; Elbaz, O.; Abdelghaffar, H.A.; Hassan, E.E.A.; Mousa, S.A. Acute myeloid leukemia stem cell markers in prognosis and targeted therapy: Potential impact of BMI-1, TIM-3 and CLL-1. Oncotarget 2016, 7, 57811–57820.
- 72. Brunner, A.M.; Esteve, J.; Porkka, K.; Knapper, S.; Traer, E.; Scholl, S.; Garcia-Manero, G.; Vey, N.; Wermke, M.; Janssen, J.; et al. Efficacy and Safety of Sabatolimab (MBG453) in Combination with Hypomethylating Agents (HMAs) in Patients (Pts) with Very High/High-Risk Myelodysplastic Syndrome (vHR/HR-MDS) and Acute Myeloid Leukemia (AML): Final Analysis from a Phase Ib Study. Abstract #244. In Proceedings of the 2021 American Society of Hematology Annual Meeting, Atlanta, GA, USA, 10–14 December 2021.
- 73. Shallis, R.M.; Bewersdorf, J.P.; Stahl, M.F.; Halene, S.; Zeidan, A.M. Are We Moving the Needle for Patients with TP53-Mutated Acute Myeloid Leukemia? Cancers 2022, 14, 2434.
- 74. Zeidan, A.M.; Westermann, J.; Kovacsovics, T.; Assouline, S.; Schuh, A.C.; Kim, H.-J.; Macias, G.R.; Sanford, D.; Luskin, M.R.; Stein, E.M.; et al. AML-484 First Results of a Phase II Study (STIMULUS-AML1) Investigating Sabatolimab + Azacitidine + Venetoclax in Patients with Newly Diagnosed Acute Myeloid Leukemia (ND AML). Clin. Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk. 2022, 22 (Suppl. S2), S255.
- 75. Gill, S. DARTs point the way forward in AML. Blood 2021, 137, 720-721.
- 76. Uy, G.L.; Aldoss, I.; Foster, M.C.; Sayre, P.H.; Wieduwilt, M.J.; Advani, A.S.; Godwin, J.E.; Arellano, M.L.; Sweet, K.L.; Emadi, A.; et al. Flotetuzumab as salvage immunotherapy for refractory acute myeloid leukemia. Blood 2021, 137, 751–762.
- 77. Vadakekolathu, J.; Lai, C.; Reeder, S.; Church, S.E.; Hood, T.; Lourdusamy, A.; Rettig, M.P.; Aldoss, I.; Advani, A.S.; Godwin, J.; et al. TP53 abnormalities correlate with immune infiltration and associate with response to flotetuzumab immunotherapy in AML. Blood Adv. 2020, 4, 5011–5024.
- 78. Vadakekolathu, J.; Minden, M.D.; Hood, T.; Church, S.E.; Reeder, S.; Altmann, H.; Sullivan, A.H.; Viboch, E.J.; Patel, T.; Ibrahimova, N.; et al. Immune landscapes predict chemotherapy resistance and immunotherapy response in acute myeloid leukemia. Sci. Transl. Med. 2020, 12, eaaz0463.

Retrieved from https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/85502