

# Visiting Heritage Sites in AR and VR

Subjects: Others

Contributor: Zacharias Pervolarakis, Emmanouil Zidianakis, Antonis Katzourakis, Theodoros Evdaimon, Nikolaos Partarakis, Xenophon Zabulis, Constantine Stephanidis

Advances in digitization technologies have made possible the digitization of entire archaeological sites through a combination of technologies, including aerial photogrammetry, terrestrial photogrammetry, and terrestrial laser scanning. At the same time, the evolution of computer algorithms for data processing and the increased processing power made possible the combination of data from multiple scans to create a synthetic representation of large-scale sites. This route was opened by the gaming industry. In terms of research, the exploitation of these new assets in conjunction with new visual rendering technologies, such as virtual and augmented reality, can create new dimensions for education and leisure.

Keywords: augmented reality ; virtual reality ; virtual tours ; immersive experiences ; 3D digitization

---

## 1. Introduction

Making large-scale heritage sites accessible through digital technology is still a major challenge today. In this work, the challenge was providing multimodal access to the digitization of the Palace of Knossos and its peripheral sites in collaboration with the Ephorate of Antiquities of Heraklion. Knossos is best known for its monumental palace, the so-called Minos Palace <sup>[1][2][3][4]</sup>, excavated by Arthur Evans <sup>[4]</sup>. The archaeological site and its peripheral sites are composed of a complex structure that has multiple internal and external sites complemented with modern additions as part of the restoration process. The digitization of the site was a great challenge by itself, which resulted in a complete set of registered and fully exploitable 3D digital assets.

The term virtual exhibition (VE) is used in the domain of digital cultural heritage (DCH) to describe a variety of technical solutions, interactions, and immersion styles. In the 2000s, the majority of VEs were web-based <sup>[5][6]</sup>, and from the early 2010s, basic guidelines for creating interesting and compelling VEs were contributed <sup>[7][8][9]</sup>. In parallel, digital technology explored ways of enhancing the museum experience through on-site and mixed reality (MR) VEs <sup>[10][11][12]</sup>, authoring environments for web-based virtual museums <sup>[13][14]</sup>, and authoring web-based virtual environments to provide a synthetic representation of cultural heritage (CH) subjects including intangible dimensions <sup>[15][16]</sup>.

## 2. Virtual Reality and Cultural Heritage

Using virtual reality technologies in the CH context is not new since several approaches have been proposed in the past two decades. Starting from CAVE-based virtual reality, researchers have proposed several approaches that include both immersive presentation through VR and haptic-based manipulation of heritage objects (e.g., <sup>[17][18]</sup>). The profound benefits of interacting with CH in VR gave birth to several new approaches that merged 3D reconstruction technologies with VR. By employing 3D reconstruction, realistic digital replicas of CH objects were implemented and integrated into VR experiences (e.g., <sup>[19][20]</sup>). In earlier approaches for CH presentation, digitization was not possible due to the immaturity of the technology, and technological restrictions of the rendering hardware schemes from archaeological sites were modeled from scratch in 3D (e.g., <sup>[21][22]</sup>). This, of course, resulted in lower-quality 3D models but enabled researchers to complement the reality of the heritage site (the structural remains) with digitally manufactured structures and, thus, provide a digital restoration of the monument (e.g., <sup>[23][24]</sup>). These works went even further by simulating the weather and daily life in ancient CH sites through the graphics-based rendering of nature and autonomous virtual humans. The evolution of VR devices with the emergence of commercial VR headsets and VR controllers greatly simplified the implementation of VR-based experiences (e.g., <sup>[25][26]</sup>). At the same time, 360 photography and 360 videos made possible another form of virtual reality through inexpensive VR headsets that could be mounted on smartphone devices. Such approaches were further augmented by including information points and interactive spots within 360 videos that could be activated using a more advanced interaction technology, such as an Oculus headset and controllers (e.g., <sup>[27][28][29][30]</sup>). Furthermore, studies focused on the resource-demanding task of streaming 360 videos in such headsets (e.g., <sup>[31][32]</sup>).

From a sustainability perspective, VR is proposed as an alternative means of access to endangered CH sites that, due to visiting pressure, would benefit through the redirection of visits to digital media (e.g., [33]).

### 3. Augmented Reality and Cultural Heritage

AR has been the subject of continuous research throughout the years, and the algorithms used have kept evolving, thus contributing to its potential. AR research provides clues that it can enhance learning as a consequence of multiple key features that are otherwise missing from common educational means [34]. In the work of Irwansyah et al. [35], it was shown that learning enhanced with AR can increase the overall experience of students in school-related subjects, such as chemistry, or even strengthen the learning experience of young children in cultural heritage sites [36]. A fascinating work by M. Claudia et al. [37] explored the value of AR for cultural heritage sites using the stakeholder approach. By conducting an exploratory study on museum stakeholders, personnel, and focus groups, they reported that there are numerous perceived value dimensions of AR within the cultural heritage tourism context for stakeholders, including economic, experiential, social, epistemic, historical and cultural, and educational value.

Mobile AR research started by integrating feature extraction algorithms into mobile phones and using camera input for the acquisition of images (e.g., [38]). Other approaches used more advanced mobile devices (that used to be called PDAs) to augment digital scenes with more advanced information including virtual humans (e.g., [39]). More recent approaches employed the increased processing power provided by modern mobile phones to provide various forms of AR, such as augmentation of the images of the mobile device camera with information (e.g., [40][41]) that includes the interpolation of 3D digitizations with the camera input (e.g., [42]). Other approaches blend the virtual and the digital by replacing the physical remains of a heritage site with a digitally enhanced version of the site at the time of its creation ([43]). Last but not least, physical objects have been used to support the visualization and interaction with archaeological artifacts in AR (e.g., [44][45]).

The wide availability of mobile devices in the context of CH has opened a new world of opportunities and expanded its usage in other contexts, such as in the domain of teaching tangible and intangible CH (e.g., [46][47][48]).

### 4. Mixing Augmented and Virtual Reality

In the last few years, mobile devices have been able to support larger and computationally heavy AR scenes, supporting a new trend called “AR Portals”. The concept of an AR Portal application is that, by using the currently available AR features that are supported in a mobile device such as plane detection, the user can spawn a portal (or door) to another world and, by walking through the portal, is transported into that world. After being transported, the user can roam and freely explore the world by moving and rotating the mobile device. A great application called “The Historical Figures AR” allows its users to walk through a portal and visit multiple sites of historical importance, including Albert Einstein’s lecture hall, Marie Curie’s laboratory, and others [49]. Of course, they are not historically accurate and are freely stylized for visual aesthetics, but it shows the potential of AR Portals.

Further approaches are proposed by augmenting the physical location with digital information and supporting alternative forms of interaction through the manipulation of physical objects as interactive devices exploited mainly in the context of physical museum installations rather than archaeological sites (e.g., [50]).

---

## References

1. MacDonald, C. (Ed.) The Oxford Handbook of the Bronze Age Aegean. 2012. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199873609.013.0040> (accessed on 20 February 2023).
2. Evans, A.J. The palace of Knossos. *Annu. Br. Sch. Athens* 1901, 7, 1–120.
3. Evans, J.D.; Cann, J.R.; Renfrew, A.C.; Cornwall, I.W.; Western, A.C. Excavations in the neolithic settlement of Knossos, 1957–1960. Part I. *Annu. Br. Sch. Athens* 1964, 59, 132–240.
4. Minoancrete. Available online: <http://www.minoancrete.com/knossos1.htm> (accessed on 10 December 2022).
5. Su, C.J. An internet-based virtual exhibition system: Conceptual design and infrastructure. *Comput. Ind. Eng.* 1998, 35, 615–618.
6. Lim, J.C. Creating Virtual Exhibitions from an XML-Based Digital Archive. *Sage J.* 2003, 29, 143–157.

7. Dumitrescu, G.; Lepadatu, C.; Ciurea, C. Creating Virtual Exhibitions for Educational and Cultural Development. *Inform. Econ. Acad. Econ. Stud.—Buchar. Rom.* 2014, 18, 102–110.
8. Foo, S. Online Virtual Exhibitions: Concepts and Design Considerations. *DESIDOC J. Libr. Inf. Technol.* 2010, 28, 22–34.
9. Rong, W. Some Thoughts on Using VR Technology to Communicate Culture. *Open J. Soc. Sci.* 2018, 6, 88–94.
10. Papagiannakis, G.; Schertenleib, S.; O’Kennedy, B.; Arevalo-Poizat, M.; Magnenat-Thalmann, N.; Stoddart, A.; Thalmann, D. Mixing virtual and real scenes in the site of ancient Pompeii. *Comput. Animat. Virtual Worlds* 2005, 16, 11–24.
11. Magnenat-Thalmann, N.; Papagiannakis, G. Virtual worlds and augmented reality in cultural heritage applications. In *Recording, Modeling, and Visualization of Cultural Heritage*; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2005; pp. 419–430.
12. Papagiannakis, G.; Magnenat-Thalmann, N. Mobile augmented heritage: Enabling human life in ancient Pompeii. *Int. J. Archit. Comput.* 2007, 5, 395–415.
13. Zidianakis, E.; Partarakis, N.; Ntoa, S.; Dimopoulos, A.; Kopidaki, S.; Ntagianta, A.; Ntafotis, E.; Xhako, A.; Pervolarakis, Z.; Kontaki, E.; et al. The Invisible Museum: A User-Centric Platform for Creating Virtual 3D Exhibitions with VR Support. *Electronics* 2021, 10, 363.
14. Partarakis, N.N.; Doulgeraki, P.P.; Karuzaki, E.E.; Adami, I.I.; Ntoa, S.S.; Metilli, D.D.; Bartalesi, V.V.; Meghini, C.C.; Marketakis, Y.Y.; Kaplanidi, D.D.; et al. Representation of socio-historical context to support the authoring and presentation of multimodal narratives: The Mingei Online Platform. *ACM J. Comput. Cult. Herit. (JOCCH)* 2021, 15, 1–26.
15. Stefanidi, E.; Partarakis, N.; Zabulis, X.; Zikas, P.; Papagiannakis, G.; Magnenat Thalmann, N. TooltY: An approach for the combination of motion capture and 3D reconstruction to present tool usage in 3D environments. In *Intelligent Scene Modeling and Human-Computer Interaction*; Springer: Cham, Switzerland, 2021; pp. 165–180.
16. Stefanidi, E.; Partarakis, N.; Zabulis, X.; Papagiannakis, G. An approach for the visualization of crafts and machine usage in virtual environments. In *Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Advances in Computer-Human Interactions*, Valencia, Spain, 21–25 November 2020; pp. 21–25.
17. Christou, C.; Angus, C.; Loscos, C.; Dettori, A.; Roussou, M. A versatile large-scale multimodal VR system for cultural heritage visualization. In *Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on Virtual Reality Software and Technology*, Munich, Germany, 2–4 November 2006; pp. 133–140.
18. Gaitatzes, A.; Christopoulos, D.; Roussou, M. Reviving the past: Cultural heritage meets virtual reality. In *Proceedings of the 2001 Conference on Virtual Reality, Archeology, and Cultural Heritage*, Glyfada, Greece, 28–30 November 2001; pp. 103–110.
19. Bruno, F.; Bruno, S.; De Sensi, G.; Luchi, M.L.; Mancuso, S.; Muzzupappa, M. From 3D reconstruction to virtual reality: A complete methodology for digital archaeological exhibition. *J. Cult. Herit.* 2010, 11, 42–49.
20. Gonizzi Barsanti, S.; Caruso, G.; Micoli, L.L.; Covarrubias Rodriguez, M.; Guidi, G. 3D visualization of cultural heritage artefacts with virtual reality devices. In *Proceedings of the 25th International CIPA Symposium 2015*, Copernicus Gesellschaft mbH, Taipei, Taiwan, 31 August–4 September 2015; Volume 40, pp. 165–172.
21. Foni, A.; Papagiannakis, G.; Magnenat-Thalmann, N. A Virtual Heritage Case Study: A Modern Approach to the Revival of Ancient Historical or Archeological Sites Through Application of 3D Real-Time Computer Graphics. *Proc. A VIR* 2003, 3.
22. Papagiannakis, G.; Ponder, M.; Molet, T.; Kshirsagar, S.; Cordier, F.; Magnenat-Thalmann, N.; Thalmann, D. “LIFEPLUS: Revival of life in ancient Pompeii”. In *Proceedings of the Virtual Systems and Multimedia 2002 (VSMM02)*, Gyeongju, Republic of Korea, September 2002.
23. Magnenat-Thalmann, N.; Foni, A.E.; Papagiannakis, G.; Cadi-Yazli, N. Real Time Animation and Illumination in Ancient Roman Sites. *Int. J. Virtual Real.* 2007, 6, 11–24.
24. Foni, A.E.; Papagiannakis, G.; Cadi-Yazli, N.; Magnenat-Thalmann, N. Time-dependent illumination, and animation of virtual Hagia-Sophia. *Int. J. Archit. Comput.* 2007, 5, 283–301.
25. Skovfoged, M.M.; Viktor, M.; Sokolov, M.K.; Hansen, A.; Nielsen, H.H.; Rodil, K. The tales of the Tokoloshe: Safeguarding intangible cultural heritage using virtual reality. In *Proceedings of the Second African Conference for Human Computer Interaction: Thriving Communities*, Windhoek, Namibia, 3–7 December 2018; pp. 1–4.
26. Donghui, C.; Guanfa, L.; Wensheng, Z.; Qiuyan, L.; Shuping, B.; Xiaokang, L. Virtual reality technology applied in digitalization of cultural heritage. *Clust. Comput.* 2019, 22, 10063–10074.

27. Oculus Quest. Available online: [https://www.oculus.com/experiences/quest/?locale=el\\_GR](https://www.oculus.com/experiences/quest/?locale=el_GR) (accessed on 10 January 2023).
28. Argyriou, L.; Economou, D.; Bouki, V. Design methodology for 360 immersive video applications: The case study of a cultural heritage virtual tour. *Pers. Ubiquitous Comput.* 2020, 24, 843–859.
29. Argyriou, L.; Economou, D.; Bouki, V. 360-degree interactive video application for cultural heritage education. In *Proceedings of the 3rd Annual International Conference of the Immersive Learning Research Network*, July 2017; Verlag der Technischen Universität Graz: Graz, Austria, 2017.
30. Škola, F.; Rizvić, S.; Cozza, M.; Barbieri, L.; Bruno, F.; Skarlatos, D.; Liarokapis, F. Virtual reality with 360-video storytelling in cultural heritage: Study of presence, engagement, and immersion. *Sensors* 2020, 20, 5851.
31. Zhou, C.; Li, Z.; Liu, Y. A measurement study of oculus 360-degree video streaming. In *Proceedings of the 8th ACM on Multimedia Systems Conference*, Taipei, Taiwan, 20–23 June 2017; pp. 27–37.
32. Lo, W.C.; Fan, C.L.; Lee, J.; Huang, C.Y.; Chen, K.T.; Hsu, C.H. 360 video viewing dataset in head-mounted virtual reality. In *Proceedings of the 8th ACM on Multimedia Systems Conference*, Taipei, Taiwan, 20–23 June 2017; pp. 211–216.
33. Hajirasouli, A.; Banihashemi, S.; Kumarasuriyar, A.; Talebi, S.; Tabadkani, A. Virtual reality-based digitization for endangered heritage sites: Theoretical framework and application. *J. Cult. Herit.* 2021, 49, 140–151.
34. Pribeanu, C.; Balog, A.; Iordache, D.D. Measuring the perceived quality of an AR-based learning application: A multidimensional model. *Interact. Learn. Environ.* 2017, 25, 482–495.
35. Irwansyah, F.S.; Yusuf, Y.M.; Farida, I.; Ramdhani, M.A. Augmented reality (AR) technology on the android operating system in chemistry learning. In *IOP Conference Series: Materials science and Engineering*; IOP Publishing: Bristol, UK, 2018; Volume 288, p. 012068.
36. Moorhouse, N.; Jung, T. Augmented reality to enhance the learning experience in cultural heritage tourism: An experiential learning cycle perspective. *Ereview Tour. Res.* 2017, 8.
37. Tom Dieck, M.C.; Jung, T.H. Value of augmented reality at cultural heritage sites: A stakeholder approach. *J. Destin. Mark. Manag.* 2017, 6, 110–117.
38. Choudary, O.; Charvillat, V.; Grigoros, R.; Gurdjos, P. MARCH: Mobile augmented reality for cultural heritage. In *Proceedings of the 17th ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, Beijing, China, 19–24 October 2009; pp. 1023–1024.
39. Vlahakis, V.; Karigiannis, J.; Tsiotros, M.; Gounaris, M.; Almeida, L.; Stricker, D.; Gleue, T.; Christou, I.T.; Carlucci, R.; Ioannidis, N. Archeoguide: First results of an augmented reality, mobile computing system in cultural heritage sites. *Virtual Real. Archeol. Cult. Herit.* 2001, 9, 584993–585015.
40. Chung, N.; Lee, H.; Kim, J.Y.; Koo, C. The role of augmented reality for experience-influenced environments: The case of cultural heritage tourism in Korea. *J. Travel Res.* 2018, 57, 627–643.
41. Deliyiannis, I.; Papaioannou, G. Augmented reality for archaeological environments on mobile devices: A novel open framework. *Mediterr. Archaeol. Archaeom.* 2014, 14, 1–10.
42. Pierdicca, R.; Frontoni, E.; Zingaretti, P.; Malinverni, E.S.; Colosi, F.; Orazi, R. Making visible the invisible. augmented reality visualization for 3D reconstructions of archaeological sites. In *Proceedings of the Augmented and Virtual Reality: Second International Conference, AVR 2015, Lecce, Italy, 31 August–3 September 2015*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2015; pp. 25–37.
43. Panou, C.; Ragia, L.; Dimelli, D.; Mania, K. An architecture for mobile outdoors augmented reality for cultural heritage. *ISPRS Int. J. Geo-Inf.* 2018, 7, 463.
44. Fernández-Palacios, B.J.; Nex, F.; Rizzi, A.; Remondino, F. ARCube—The Augmented Reality Cube for Archaeology. *Archaeometry* 2015, 1, 250–262.
45. Fernández-Palacios, B.J.; Rizzi, A.; Nex, F. Augmented reality for archaeological finds. In *Progress in Cultural Heritage Preservation: 4th International Conference, EuroMed 2012, Limassol, Cyprus, 29 October–3 November 2012*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2012; pp. 181–190.
46. Petrucco, C.; Agostini, D. Teaching Cultural Heritage using Mobile Augmented Reality. *J. e-Learn. Knowl. Soc.* 2016, 12.
47. Tzima, S.; Styliaras, G.; Bassounas, A.; Tzima, M. Harnessing the potential of storytelling and mobile technology in intangible cultural heritage: A case study in early childhood education in sustainability. *Sustainability* 2020, 12, 9416.
48. Camuñas-García, D.; Cáceres-Reche, M.P.; de la Encarnación Cambil-Hernández, M. Mobile game-based learning in cultural heritage education: A bibliometric analysis. *Educ. Train.* 2022. ahead-of-print.

49. The Historical Figures AR. Available online: <https://play.google.com/store/apps/details?id=ca.altkey.thehistoricalfiguresar> (accessed on 31 October 2022).
50. Carre, A.L.; Dubois, A.; Partarakis, N.; Zabulis, X.; Patsiouras, N.; Martinaki, E.; Zidianakis, E.; Cadi, N.; Baka, E.; Thalmann, N.M.; et al. Mixed-reality demonstration and training of glassblowing. *Heritage* 2022, 5, 103–128.

---

Retrieved from <https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/122616>