

Histamine: A Bacterial Signal Molecule

Subjects: **Microbiology**

Contributor: Tino Krell

Histamine is a key signal molecule in humans, with multiple functions, such as being a neurotransmitter or modulator of immune responses. More recent studies have shown that bacteria have evolved different mechanisms to sense histamine or histamine metabolites. Histamine sensing in the human pathogen *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* was found to trigger chemoattraction to histamine and to regulate the expression of many virulence-related genes.

histamine

signal molecule

sensing

histamine receptors

chemotaxis

gut microbiome

1. Introduction

Bacteria have evolved a large number of signal transduction systems that recognize different signals and generate, in return, adaptive responses. Major protein families include transcriptional regulators, two-component systems (TCS), chemoreceptors, proteins involved in the synthesis and hydrolysis of the c-di-GMP and c-di-AMP second messengers, extracytoplasmic function Stimuli recognized are diverse and include an enormous variety of low molecular weight compounds, pH, temperature, light or osmotic stress, among others ^{[1][2]}. Major forms of signaling responses are transcriptional regulation, chemotactic movements or alterations in second messenger levels ^[3].

Frequently, bacteria establish interactions with organisms of other domains like animals, humans or plants. A representative example for such central inter-species signals is the auxin indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) that is a key plant hormone, regulating, among other processes, plant growth and development ^[4]. However, IAA is commonly produced and secreted by bacteria that interact with plants and plays a key role in mediating plant–bacteria interactions ^{[5][6]}.

There is now emerging evidence, reviewed in this article, that histamine may be another central signal molecule that mediates bacteria–host interactions. It is a human neurotransmitter, a modulator of inflammatory reactions and the immune response and a key mediator of several events in allergies and autoimmune diseases ^[7]. Further activities of histamine include a participation in cell proliferation, differentiation, hematopoiesis, embryonic development, secretion of pituitary hormones as well as a regulation of gastrointestinal, cardiovascular and circulatory functions ^[8]. It is primarily secreted by mast cells and basophiles, and it exerts its function through four different types of histamine receptors, termed H1R, H2R, H3R and H4R ^[8].

A wide range of Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria were found to possess HDC-encoding genes and to synthesize histamine [9]. There appear to be two bacterial HDC superfamilies, namely those that require pyridoxal phosphate as a coenzyme, found primarily in Gram-negative bacteria, and those in Gram-positive species that employ a covalently bound pyruvate moiety for catalysis [9]. The regulation of the expression of *hdc* genes has been studied in several bacteria. Histidine was found to induce the expression of *hdc* genes [10][11][12][13], whereas histamine slightly repressed its expression in several lactic bacteria belonging to the *Lactobacillus*, *Pediococcus* and *Oenococcus* genera [11].

Apart from their capacity to synthesize histamine, more recent studies have shown that some bacteria are able to metabolize histamine. The degradation of histamine coincided with the appearance of imidazole-4-acetic acid (ImAA), suggesting that the latter compound is a major intermediate in the degradation route. A six-step catabolic process converts histamine into aspartic acid that is then converted into the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle intermediate, fumaric acid [14]. To determine to which extent other bacteria may be able to degrade histamine, the authors inspected genomes for the presence of *hdc* genes.

The detection of signal molecules by bacteria can serve several purposes: (i) they can indicate the presence of a compound of metabolic value or toxicity, or (ii) For a number of signal molecules, the physiological purposes of sensing appear to be tightly interwoven and include the metabolic aspect as well as the aspect of gaining information on the ecological niche. Histamine may be one of these signals. In the first part of this article, we reviewed studies illustrating the histamine sensing capacity of bacteria, whereas we focused attention, in the second part, on the consequences of bacterial histamine secretion on the host.

2. Histamine Sensing by Bacteria

It is an opportunistic pathogen that infects virtually any tissue [15] and is the leading cause of nosocomial infections, particularly in immunocompromised, cancer, burn-wound and cystic fibrosis patients [16] and a frequent cause of bacteremia [17]. The World Health Organization (WHO) has placed *P. aeruginosa* second on the global priority list of antibiotic-resistant bacteria to guide research, discovery and development of new antibiotics and has rated the development of new antimicrobial agents against *P. aeruginosa* as critical [18]. Strain PAO1 was found to be able to grow on histamine as a sole carbon and nitrogen source, indicating that it harbors a functional histamine degradation pathway [19]. In a subsequent study, it was found that the histamine catabolic pathway described in the non-pathogenic *P. putida* U [14] is also highly conserved in the opportunistic human pathogen *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (Figure 1).

The effect of histamine on bacterial gene transcript levels was assessed, for the first time, using *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 as a model system [20], the primary reference strain for this pathogen. RNA-seq experiments were conducted, comparing the wild-type (wt) strain in the absence and presence of 2 mM histamine, and samples were taken 3 h after histamine addition. This study showed that the transcript levels of approximately 8.5% of the PAO1 genes showed at least a three-fold change. There were, in total, 301 upregulated and 178 downregulated genes, a selection of which is shown in Table 1.

The authors selected nine genes and determined histamine-induced changes in transcript levels using quantitative real-time PCR (RT-qPCR), and the results obtained were consistent with RNA-seq data. Furthermore, additional RT-qPCR studies showed significant changes in the transcript levels of the *hinD*, *hinF*, *pvdS* and *pqsA* genes at a 1000-fold lower histamine concentration (2 μ M), indicative of high-affinity signal recognition [20].

Histamine exposure caused significant changes in histamine-related genes, such as genes encoding enzymes for histamine metabolism (*HinCDFLHG*), transport (*HinA*) and regulation (*HinK*) (Table 1, Figure 1).




Figure 1. Genes and proteins involved in histamine metabolism, transport, regulation and chemotaxis in *P. aeruginosa* PAO1. (A) Genetic organization of genes. (B) The proposed histamine catabolic pathway. Data are based on [14][19][20].

Table 1. The effect of histamine on *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 transcript levels. Shown is a selection of genes with altered transcript levels in an RNA-seq study comparing the wt strain in the absence and presence of 2 mM histamine. Many of these genes play a role in virulence. In total, approximately 8.5% of the *P. aeruginosa* genes showed at least a three-fold change. Data were taken from [20].

Gene ID	Name	Log ₂ Fold Change	Description	Function/ Comment	Ref. Function
Histamine-mediated upregulation					
Histamine metabolism, transport and regulation					
PA5390	<i>hinG</i>	7.9	Probable peptidic bond hydrolase	Histamine utilization	[20]
PA5391	<i>hinH</i>	10.9	Hypothetical protein		
PA5392	<i>hinL</i>	10.7	Conserved hypothetical protein		

Gene ID	Name	Log ₂ Fold Change	Description	Function/ Comment	Ref. Function
PA5393	<i>hinF</i>	11.1	Conserved hypothetical protein	Histamine transport	
PA0219	<i>hinD</i>	10.0	Probable aldehyde dehydrogenase		
PA0221	<i>hinC</i>	10.0	Probable aminotransferase		
PA0220	<i>hinA</i>	9.5	Histamine transporter		
PA0218	<i>hinK</i>	4.8	Transcriptional regulator	Histamine-mediated regulation	
PA0222		8.7	Solute-binding protein	Possibly transport	
Iron acquisition					
PA0931	<i>pirA</i>	3.2	Ferric enterobactin receptor PirA		[21]
PA2385	<i>pvdQ</i>	6.3	3-oxo-C12-homoserine lactone acylase PvdQ	Siderophore pyoverdinin synthesis, secretion, regulation and pyoverdinin-Fe uptake	[22]
PA2386	<i>pvdA</i>	7.5	L-ornithine N5-oxygenase		
PA2389	<i>pvdR</i>	2.6	PvdR		
PA2390	<i>pvdT</i>	2.4	PvdT		
PA2392	<i>pvdP</i>	4.1	PvdP		
PA2394	<i>pvdN</i>	5.9	PvdN		
PA2395	<i>pvdO</i>	6.3	PvdO		
PA2396	<i>pvdF</i>	3.4	Pyoverdine synthetase F		
PA2397	<i>pvdE</i>	6.3	Pyoverdine biosynthesis protein PvdE		
PA2398	<i>fpvA</i>	6.0	Ferripyoverdine receptor		
PA2399	<i>pvdD</i>	2.9	Pyoverdine synthetase D		
PA2400	<i>pvdJ</i>	3.0	PvdJ		
PA2413	<i>pvdH</i>	5.6	L-2,4-diaminobutyrate:2-ketoglutarate 4-		

Gene ID	Name	Log ₂ Fold Change	Description	Function/ Comment	Ref. Function
aminotransferase					
PA2424	<i>pvdL</i>	5.8	PvdL		
PA2425	<i>pvdG</i>	6.2	PvdG		
PA2426	<i>pvdS</i>	5.7	Sigma factor PvdS		
PA0472	<i>fiuI</i>	3.1	ECF sigma factor Fiul	Ferrichrome activated	[23]
PA2468	<i>foxI</i>	2.5	ECF sigma factor FoxI	Ferrioxamine activated	[24]
PA3410	<i>hasI</i>	2.9	ECF sigma factor HasI	Heme activated	[25]
PA4168	<i>fpvB</i>	3.3	Second ferric pyoverdine receptor FpvB	Pyoverdine transport	[26]
PA4221	<i>fptA</i>	1.7	Fe(III)-pyochelin outer membrane receptor precursor	Siderophore pyochelin synthesis and transport	[27]
PA4226	<i>pchE</i>	3.1	Dihydroaeruginolic acid synthetase		
PA4228	<i>pchD</i>	4.1	Pyochelin biosynthesis protein PchD		
PA4229	<i>pchC</i>	3.6	Pyochelin biosynthetic protein PchC		
PA4230	<i>pchB</i>	2.7	Salicylate biosynthesis protein PchB		
PA4231	<i>pchA</i>	2.3	Salicylate biosynthesis isochorismate synthase		
PA4687	<i>hitA</i>	3.3	Ferric iron-binding periplasmic protein HitA	Iron transport	[28]
PA4688	<i>hitB</i>	3.2	Iron (III)-transport system permease HitB		
Quorum sensing					
PA0996	<i>pqsA</i>	3.4	Probable coenzyme A ligase	<i>Pseudomonas</i> quinolone signal (PQS) quorum sensing system	[29]
PA0997	<i>pqsB</i>	3.8	PqsB		

Gene ID	Name	Log ₂ Fold Change	Description	Function/ Comment	Ref. Function
PA0998	<i>pqsC</i>	3.8	PqsC		
PA0999	<i>pqsD</i>	3.8	3-oxoacyl-[acyl-carrier-protein] synthase III		
PA1000	<i>pqsE</i>	3.6	Quinolone signal response protein		
PA1001	<i>phnA</i>	3.5	Anthranilate synthase components I and II (important for PQS synthesis)	PQS synthesis	[30]
PA1002	<i>phnB</i>	3.0			
Secretion system					
PA1718	<i>pscE</i>	2.3	Type III export protein PscE	Type III secretion apparatus	[31]
PA1721	<i>psch</i>	1.9	Type III export protein Psch		
PA1715	<i>pscB</i>	1.8	Type III export apparatus protein		
Regulation					
PA0707	<i>toxR</i>	1.9	Transcriptional regulator ToxR	Exotoxin A expression	[32]
PA0612	<i>ptrB</i>	2.0	Repressor PtrB	Type III secretion system expression	[33]
PA1431	<i>rsaL</i>	2.0	Regulatory protein RsaL	Virulence and biofilm formation	[34]
PA2227	<i>vqsM</i>	2.4	Transcriptional regulator VqsM	Quorum sensing and virulence	[35]
PA2686	<i>pfeR</i>	3.1	PfeR response regulator	Enterobactin receptor	[36]
PA2687	<i>pfeS</i>	2.6	PfeS sensor kinase		
PA3006	<i>psrA</i>	1.8	Transcriptional regulator PsrA	Type III secretion system	[37]
PA4315	<i>mvaT</i>	2.3	Transcriptional regulator MvaT	Type III secretion system	[38]
PA5124	<i>ntrB</i>	4.0	NtrB kinase	Invasiveness and Virulence	[39]

Gene ID	Name	Log ₂ Fold Change	Description	Function/ Comment	Ref. Function
PA5125	<i>ntrC</i>	3.7	NtrC response regulator		
Others					
PA4760	<i>dnaJ</i>	3.2	Heat shock protein	Pyocyanin production	[40]
PA4761	<i>dnaK</i>	3.7	Chaperone DnaK	Translocation across the intestinal epithelia cells	[41]
Histamine-mediated downregulation					
Regulation					
PA0173	<i>cheB2</i>	−2.1	CheB ₂ methylesterase	Che2 pathway, unknown function, involved in virulence	[42][43]
PA0174	<i>cheD</i>	−2.2	CheD deamidase		
PA0175	<i>cheR2</i>	−2.4	CheR2 methyltransferase		
PA0176	<i>mcpB/aer2</i>	−2.3	Aer2/McpB chemoreceptor		
PA0177	<i>cheW</i>	−1.9	CheW coupling protein		
PA4293	<i>pprA</i>	−2.5	Sensor kinase PprA	Quorum sensingregulation	[44]
PA4296	<i>pprB</i>	−1.6	Response regulator PprB		
Motility					
PA1930	<i>mcpS</i>	−2.1	Chemoreceptor McpS	Chemotaxis	[45]
PA2561	<i>ctpH</i>	−2.4	Inorganic phosphate (Pi) specific chemoreceptor CtpH	Pi is a major virulence signal	[46][47]
Others					
PA4299-4306	<i>Flp-tad-rcp</i> locus	−2.3 to −4.8	Formation of type IVb pili	Aggregation and biofilm formation	[48]
PA4236	<i>katA</i>	−2.1	Major catalase KatA	Osmoprotection and virulence	[49]

Of note are the large changes that have been observed for the genes involved in histamine metabolism that ranged from a 240- to 2200-fold increase in the presence of histamine [20]. A large number of the upregulated genes were associated with iron uptake, such as those encoding proteins for the synthesis and secretion of the pyoverdine and

pyochelin siderophores, iron transport or different ECF sigma factors (**Table 1**). Another group of upregulated genes had regulatory functions, such as the transcriptional regulators ToxR, PtrB, MvaT, VqsM, PsrA and RsaL (**Table 1**) that regulate diverse processes, such as the expression of genes encoding the primary toxin endotoxin A [32], quorum sensing proteins [35] or the type III secretion system [37]. Alternatively, several of the downregulated genes also had regulatory functions (**Table 1**)

Among the genes that were upregulated in the presence of histamine was *hinK*, encoding a LysR-type transcriptional regulator. In PAO1, the *hinK* gene was found to be next to the *hinD* genes that were involved in histamine metabolism and transport (**Figure 1**). To assess the role of *HinK* in the histidine-mediated regulation, the authors conducted RNA-seq experiments, comparing the wt with the *hinK* mutant in the presence of histamine, showing a significantly changed pattern in the gene transcript levels with respect to the experiment comparing the histamine-free and -supplemented wt strain [20]. In the wt strain, the addition of histamine caused an important increase in the transcriptional activity, whereas no changes were observed in the *hinK* mutant, a phenotype reversed by mutant complementation [20].

a DNA binding- and ligand-binding domain (LBD), and experiments were conducted to identify the signal that binds and activates *HinK*. Several pieces of evidence indicate that *HinK* does not recognize histamine directly but instead imidazole-4-acetic acid (ImAA), which corresponds to an intermediate in the metabolic pathway converting histamine into aspartic acid, as described above (**Figure 1B**). Electrophoretic mobility shift assays revealed that micromolar concentrations of ImAA caused *HinK* binding at its target DNA, namely *hinD* and *hinF* promoters, an observation that was not made using a variety of related compounds, including histamine [20]. The authors reported the three-dimensional structure of the apo *HinK* protein and ImAA binding studies to site-directed *HinK* mutants indicate that the ligand binds between both lobes of the LBD in a manner similar to other LysR type transcriptional regulators [20].

They showed that the deletion of *P. aeruginosa hinA* significantly reduced the transcriptional activity from the *hinD* promoter that was found to be controlled by *HinK* in response to histamine, which supports the notion that *HinA* is the primary histamine transporter. In close vicinity to the *hinA* gene is a gene encoding a solute-binding protein, PA0222 (**Figure 1A**), and histamine was found to increase its transcript levels by 400-fold (**Table 1**). However, microcalorimetric titrations of purified PA0222 showed that it bound γ -aminobutyrate with nanomolar affinity but failed to recognize histamine [50]. The potential role of PA0222 in histamine transport is thus unclear.

Based on the observation that histamine induces the expression of many virulence-related genes, Wang et al. conducted experiments to elucidate, in more detail, the role of histamine in *P. aeruginosa* virulence [20]. Using the *Drosophila melanogaster* model, the authors showed that histamine treatment increased bacterial virulence, whereas no change in virulence was noted for the *hinK* mutant, a phenotype that was reversed by complementation with *hinK*. In accordance with the above data, the deletion of *hinK* caused a significant reduction in virulence as compared to the wt and the complemented mutant strain [20].

Experimentation with a number of chemoreceptor mutants revealed that the histamine chemotaxis was not based on a single chemoreceptor, like for many other chemoeffectors studied, but on the concerted action of the TlpQ, PctA and PctC chemoreceptors [19]. Therefore, the combined action of three chemoreceptors with different sensitivities broadened the response range, a finding reminiscent of the action of the CtpL and CtpH chemoreceptors for inorganic phosphate [46][51]. PctA and PctC have previously been shown to bind and mediate chemoattraction to different proteinogenic amino acids and γ -aminobutyrate [52][53][54]. It was thus suggested that histamine recognition by both receptors occurs via the binding of solute-binding proteins [19], an indirect mechanism for the activation of different bacterial sensor proteins that appears to be widespread among bacteria [55].

In contrast to PctA and PctC, the LBD of the TlpQ chemoreceptor bound histamine directly [19]. In addition to histamine, TlpQ also recognized structurally related polyamines, namely putrescine, cadaverine, spermidine, agmatine and ethylenediamine, with a similarly high affinity [19]. As stated above, the magnitude of histamine chemotaxis in *P. putida* KT2440 was inferior to that of *P. [56]*, recognizes histamine with a 40-fold lower affinity [19].

Like PctA and PctC, the TlpQ chemoreceptor has a dCache type LBD, and its 3D structure in a complex with histamine has been solved by X-ray crystallography [19] (**Figure 2**). The TlpQ-LBD is composed of two structural α/β modules, and histamine was bound at the membrane distal module, like in the very large majority of other characterized dCache domains [52][56][57][58][59]. The molecular detail of histamine recognition by human receptors has recently been deciphered by reporting three dimensional structures of the Histamine H1 receptor [60] and the $\beta 3$ GABA_A receptor in a complex with histamine [61].

The comparison of TlpQ-LBD with the two human receptors thus shows that the proteins involved in histamine sensing in bacteria and humans are entirely different. In the human H1 receptor, histamine is recognized within the membrane by several transmembrane helices, whereas histamine is bound to the extracytosolic part of the $\beta 3$ GABA_A receptor, where it is recognized by a curved β -sheet. Although the 3D structures of the three histamine receptors are entirely different, there was a certain parallelism between TlpQ and the $\beta 3$ GABA_A receptor in the molecular detail of ligand recognition that is illustrated in **Figure 3**.




Figure 2. Bacterial and human histamine receptors. (A) Shown is the ligand-binding domain of the TlpQ chemoreceptor from *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 (PDB ID 6FU4), the human histamine H1 receptor (PDB ID 7DFL) and the human $\beta 3$ GABA_A receptor (PDB ID 7A5V). Bound histamine is shown in stick mode in the lower part of the figure. These structures have been published in [\[19\]](#)[\[60\]](#)[\[61\]](#). (B) Zoom on the histamine binding sites of the receptors shown above.




Figure 3. Parallelism in the mode of histamine recognition by *P. aeruginosa* TlpQ-LBD and the human $\beta 3$ GABA_A receptor. The interaction of histamine within the different binding pocket was automatically generated at the PDBe, using Arpeggio [62]. Non-covalent interactions are shown by the following colored dashed lines: red, hydrogen bonds; green, hydrophobic interactions; brown, weak hydrogen bonds; and purple, pi-pi interactions. The thickness of each dash is related to the interaction-distance. Hydrophobic, negatively charged, aromatic and polar residues are colored in blue, magenta, green and cyan, respectively. For clarity, only some representative interactions are shown.

In both cases, the primary and secondary histamine amino groups are coordinated by negatively charged amino acids and a series of tyrosine residues that interact with the linear and cyclic parts of histamine.

On the other hand, the AtoC response regulator is a member of the NtrC-NifA family of transcriptional regulators and is composed of an N-terminal receiver domain, followed by an AAA+ ATPase and DNA-binding domain [63]. SCFAs are important signal molecules in the human gut microbiome. They are produced in the colon following microbial fermentation of dietary fibers, are important energy sources for colonocytes and regulate the assembly and organization of tight junctions [64]. Abnormalities in SCFA levels, either caused by dysbiosis (i.e., alteration of gut microbiota homeostasis) or diet, were suggested to play a role in a number of pathologies, such as type-2 diabetes, obesity, inflammatory bowel disease, colorectal cancer or allergy [65].

In addition, AtoSC also contributes to the regulation of flagellar gene expression and was thus shown to modulate motility and chemotaxis [66]. Spermidine and acetoacetate are the effectors of the AtoSC system [67][68]. Multiple pieces of evidence have suggested that AtoSC activity is modulated by Ca²⁺ that may act as a co-signal [69][70]. However, the molecular detail and the corresponding sensor domains of these effectors have so far not been established.

Evidence has been presented showing that histamine interferes with AtoSC activity. Histamine was shown to increase *atoC* transcription and to reduce cPHB biosynthesis [69][71]. cPHB biosynthesis requires SCFAs [68], and the

interference of histamine with SCFA metabolism and levels may play a regulatory role in the gut. Furthermore, low concentrations of histamine enhanced motility and chemotaxis in *E. coli*, whereas the opposite effect was noted when histamine was present at higher levels [66].

3. Histamine Release by Bacteria and Its Consequences

Apart from the fact that bacteria sense histamine, there is evidence that bacterial-derived histamine has multiple consequences, for example, on host health [64] and food safety [72]. In fact, histamine levels are monitored in a number of different foods as a measure of food freshness [73][74]. This is particularly relevant for seafood products, where bacteria-secreted histamine can provoke food poisoning [72]. The list of microorganisms that secrete histamine in seafood is long and includes Gram-positive and Gram-negative species.

The effect of histamine secretion by human intestinal bacteria on its host is a more recent but rapidly expanding field of research. Initial in vitro studies showed that histamine suppressed the chemokine and proinflammatory cytokine secretion in human monocyte-derived dendritic cells [75]. Administration of another *Lactobacillus* species, *L. saerimneri*, that is able to secrete approximately 100-fold more histamine as compared to *L. rhamnosus*, resulted, next to a variety of immune responses, in animal weight loss and signs of deteriorating health [76]. The authors suggested that the amount of histamine secreted by a microbe may be critical in determining the nature of the effect.

Metabolomic studies revealed higher histamine concentrations in the DEC group as compared to healthy children, and altered histamine levels were associated to certain gut microbiota species. More recent studies have suggested that bacterial histamine release in the gut does not only cause a local modulation of the host immune system, but can also have immunological consequences at distant mucosal sites, such as in the lung [77]. *E. coli* was engineered to secrete histamine and administered orally to mice [77]. Gut bacteria of the *Morganella morganii* and *Lactobacillus reuteri* species were found to produce histamine in vivo during the colonization of the mouse intestine, and L-His dietary supplementation increased histamine production by these bacteria. In this study, the authors found that bacteria-derived histamine was associated with increased mice colon motility and fecal output and that treatment with histamine receptor antagonists largely blocked the effect of bacterial histamine on colon motility [78].

Irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) is a common gastrointestinal disorder, and accumulating evidences at both preclinical and clinical levels indicates an involvement of enteric microbiota in its pathogenesis [79]. These analyses revealed that IBS patients presented higher levels of histamine and bacterial *hdc* genes [78]. Subsequent studies also showed that supernatants from colonic samples of IBS patients contained increased histamine levels, and expression levels of the histamine receptors H1R and H2R were upregulated in IBS patients [80]. The authors thus hypothesized that a dysbiosis with increased histamine-secreting or HDC-containing bacteria was potentially associated with the development and aggravation of IBS [79].

4. Outlook

The knowledge available on the role of histamine as a bacterial signal molecule is summarized in **Figure 4**.




Figure 4. Summary of data available on histamine sensing and secretion by bacteria. On the left, histamine sensing by the TCS AtoSC in *E. coli*. On the right, histamine assimilation and chemotaxis in *P. aeruginosa*. Lower part: Many bacteria synthesize histamine by a decarboxylation of histidine using the histidine decarboxylase (HDC) and secrete histamine. Blue arrows: metabolic pathways; orange arrows: gene expression regulation; grey arrows: activation of biological processes; dotted lines: hypothetical interaction; LBD: ligand-binding domain; SBP: solute-binding protein; ImAA: imidazole-4-acetic acid; HinA: permease for the histamine uptake; HinCD: enzymes for the conversion of histamine to ImAA; HinFLHG: enzymes for the conversion of ImAA to aspartic acid; HinK: LysR-family response regulator; IM: inner membrane.

The elucidation of the role of histamine as a signal molecule for inter-domain communication is an emerging field of research that requires future efforts. So far, the information on histamine sensing is restricted to *P. aeruginosa*, *P. putida* and *E. coli*, and studies need to be conducted to determine to which extent other species show similar responses. For key signal molecules that are of metabolic value, like histamine, it has to be determined whether the primary motivation of chemotaxis is related to metabolism or the capacity to infect hosts. In this context, important gaps in knowledge to be closed are the determination of environmental factors that may trigger histamine release and to determine the capacity of histamine release for strains typically found in the gut microbiome.

References

1. Krell, T.; Lacal, J.; Busch, A.; Silva-Jimenez, H.; Guazzaroni, M.E.; Ramos, J.L. Bacterial sensor kinases: Diversity in the recognition of environmental signals. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 2010, 64,

- 539–559.
2. Ortega, A.; Zhulin, I.B.; Krell, T. Sensory Repertoire of Bacterial Chemoreceptors. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 2017, 81, e00033-17.
 3. Galperin, M.Y. What bacteria want. *Environ. Microbiol.* 2018, 20, 4221–4229.
 4. Casanova-Saez, R.; Mateo-Bonmati, E.; Ljung, K. Auxin Metabolism in Plants. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect Biol.* 2021, 13.
 5. Matilla, M.A.; Daddaoua, A.; Chini, A.; Morel, B.; Krell, T. An auxin controls bacterial antibiotics production. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2018, 46, 11229–11238.
 6. Kunkel, B.N.; Johnson, J.M.B. Auxin Plays Multiple Roles during Plant-Pathogen Interactions. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect Biol.* 2021.
 7. Shahid, M.; Tripathi, T.; Sobia, F.; Moin, S.; Siddiqui, M.; Khan, R.A. Histamine, Histamine Receptors, and their Role in Immunomodulation: An Updated Systematic Review. *Open Immunol. J.* 2009, 2, 9–41.
 8. Patel, R.H.; Mohiuddin, S.S. Biochemistry, Histamine. In *StatPearls*; StatPearls Publishing: Treasure Island, FL, USA, 2021.
 9. Landete, J.M.; De las Rivas, B.; Marcobal, A.; Munoz, R. Updated molecular knowledge about histamine biosynthesis by bacteria. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* 2008, 48, 697–714.
 10. Bjornsdottir-Butler, K.; May, S.; Hayes, M.; Abraham, A.; Benner, R.A., Jr. Characterization of a novel enzyme from *Photobacterium phosphoreum* with histidine decarboxylase activity. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 2020, 334, 108815.
 11. Landete, J.M.; Pardo, I.; Ferrer, S. Histamine, histidine, and growth-phase mediated regulation of the histidine decarboxylase gene in lactic acid bacteria isolated from wine. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 2006, 260, 84–90.
 12. Ferrario, C.; Borgo, F.; de Las Rivas, B.; Munoz, R.; Ricci, G.; Fortina, M.G. Sequencing, characterization, and gene expression analysis of the histidine decarboxylase gene cluster of *Morganella morganii*. *Curr. Microbiol.* 2014, 68, 404–411.
 13. Diaz, M.; Del Rio, B.; Ladero, V.; Redruello, B.; Fernandez, M.; Martin, M.C.; Alvarez, M.A. Histamine production in *Lactobacillus vaginalis* improves cell survival at low pH by counteracting the acidification of the cytosol. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 2020, 321, 108548.
 14. De la Torre, M.; Gomez-Botran, J.L.; Olivera, E.R.; Bermejo, F.; Rodriguez-Moran, J.; Luengo, J.M. Histamine catabolism in *Pseudomonas putida* U: Identification of the genes, catabolic enzymes and regulators. *Environ. Microbiol.* 2018, 20, 1828–1841.

15. Iglewski, B.H. Chapter 27 *Pseudomonas*. In *Medical Microbiology*, 4th ed.; Baron, S., Ed.; University of Texas Medical Branch at Galveston: Galveston, TX, USA, 1996.
16. Juhas, M. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* essentials: An update on investigation of essential genes. *Microbiology* 2015, 161, 2053–2060.
17. Horino, T.; Chiba, A.; Kawano, S.; Kato, T.; Sato, F.; Maruyama, Y.; Nakazawa, Y.; Yoshikawa, K.; Yoshida, M.; Hori, S. Clinical characteristics and risk factors for mortality in patients with bacteremia caused by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Intern. Med.* 2012, 51, 59–64.
18. WHO. Global priority list of antibiotic-resistant bacteria to guide research, discovery, and development of new antibiotics. 2017. Available online: (accessed on 1 June 2021).
19. Corral-Lugo, A.; Matilla, M.A.; Martin-Mora, D.; Silva Jimenez, H.; Mesa Torres, N.; Kato, J.; Hida, A.; Oku, S.; Conejero-Muriel, M.; Gavira, J.A.; et al. High-Affinity Chemotaxis to Histamine Mediated by the TlpQ Chemoreceptor of the Human Pathogen *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *mBio* 2018, 9, e01894-18.
20. Wang, Y.; Cao, Q.; Cao, Q.; Gan, J.; Sun, N.; Yang, C.-G.; Bae, T.; Wuh, M.; Lan, L. Histamine activates Hink to promote the virulence of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Sci. Bull.* 2021, 66, 1101–1118.
21. Ghysels, B.; Ochsner, U.; Mollman, U.; Heinisch, L.; Vasil, M.; Cornelis, P.; Matthijs, S. The *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* *pirA* gene encodes a second receptor for ferrienterobactin and synthetic catecholate analogues. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 2005, 246, 167–174.
22. Guillon, L.; Altenburger, S.; Graumann, P.L.; Schalk, I.J. Deciphering protein dynamics of the siderophore pyoverdine pathway in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *PLoS ONE* 2013, 8, e79111.
23. Llamas, M.A.; Sparrius, M.; Kloet, R.; Jimenez, C.R.; Vandenbroucke-Grauls, C.; Bitter, W. The heterologous siderophores ferrioxamine B and ferrichrome activate signaling pathways in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *J. Bacteriol.* 2006, 188, 1882–1891.
24. Bastiaansen, K.C.; van Ulsen, P.; Wijtmans, M.; Bitter, W.; Llamas, M.A. Self-cleavage of the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Cell-surface Signaling Anti-sigma Factor FoxR Occurs through an N-O Acyl Rearrangement. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2015, 290, 12237–12246.
25. Otero-Asman, J.R.; Garcia-Garcia, A.I.; Civantos, C.; Quesada, J.M.; Llamas, M.A. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* possesses three distinct systems for sensing and using the host molecule haem. *Environ. Microbiol.* 2019, 21, 4629–4647.
26. Ghysels, B.; Dieu, B.T.M.; Beatson, S.A.; Pirnay, J.P.; Ochsner, U.A.; Vasil, M.L.; Cornelis, P. FpvB, an alternative type I ferripyoverdine receptor of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Microbiology* (Reading) 2004, 150, 1671–1680.

27. Cunrath, O.; Gasser, V.; Hoegy, F.; Reimmann, C.; Guillon, L.; Schalk, I.J. A cell biological view of the siderophore pyochelin iron uptake pathway in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Environ. Microbiol.* 2015, 17, 171–185.
28. Adhikari, P.; Kirby, S.D.; Nowalk, A.J.; Veraldi, K.L.; Schryvers, A.B.; Mietzner, T.A. Biochemical characterization of a *Haemophilus influenzae* periplasmic iron transport operon. *J. Biol. Chem.* 1995, 270, 25142–25149.
29. Garcia-Reyes, S.; Soberon-Chavez, G.; Cocotl-Yanez, M. The third quorum-sensing system of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: *Pseudomonas* quinolone signal and the enigmatic PqsE protein. *J. Med. Microbiol.* 2020, 69, 25–34.
30. Gallagher, L.A.; McKnight, S.L.; Kuznetsova, M.S.; Pesci, E.C.; Manoil, C. Functions required for extracellular quinolone signaling by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *J. Bacteriol.* 2002, 184, 6472–6480.
31. Quinaud, M.; Chabert, J.; Faudry, E.; Neumann, E.; Lemaire, D.; Pastor, A.; Elsen, S.; Dessen, A.; Attree, I. The PscE-PscF-PscG complex controls type III secretion needle biogenesis in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2005, 280, 36293–36300.
32. Wozniak, D.J.; Cram, D.C.; Daniels, C.J.; Galloway, D.R. Nucleotide sequence and characterization of *toxR*: A gene involved in exotoxin A regulation in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 1987, 15, 2123–2135.
33. Wu, W.; Jin, S. *PtrB* of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* suppresses the type III secretion system under the stress of DNA damage. *J. Bacteriol.* 2005, 187, 6058–6068.
34. Rampioni, G.; Schuster, M.; Greenberg, E.P.; Zennaro, E.; Leoni, L. Contribution of the *RsaL* global regulator to *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* virulence and biofilm formation. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 2009, 301, 210–217.
35. Dong, Y.H.; Zhang, X.F.; Xu, J.L.; Tan, A.T.; Zhang, L.H. *VqsM*, a novel AraC-type global regulator of quorum-sensing signalling and virulence in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Mol. Microbiol.* 2005, 58, 552–564.
36. Dean, C.R.; Neshat, S.; Poole, K. *PfeR*, an enterobactin-responsive activator of ferric enterobactin receptor gene expression in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *J. Bacteriol.* 1996, 178, 5361–5369.
37. Shen, D.K.; Filopon, D.; Kuhn, L.; Polack, B.; Toussaint, B. *PsrA* is a positive transcriptional regulator of the type III secretion system in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Infect. Immun.* 2006, 74, 1121–1129.
38. Williams McMackin, E.A.; Marsden, A.E.; Yahr, T.L. H-NS Family Members *MvaT* and *MvaU* Regulate the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Type III Secretion System. *J. Bacteriol.* 2019, 201.

39. Alford, M.A.; Baghela, A.; Yeung, A.T.Y.; Pletzer, D.; Hancock, R.E.W. NtrBC Regulates Invasiveness and Virulence of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* During High-Density Infection. *Front. Microbiol.* 2020, 11, 773.
40. Zeng, B.; Wang, C.; Zhang, P.; Guo, Z.; Chen, L.; Duan, K. Heat Shock Protein DnaJ in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Affects Biofilm Formation via Pyocyanin Production. *Microorganisms* 2020, 8, 395.
41. Okuda, J.; Yamane, S.; Nagata, S.; Kunikata, C.; Suezawa, C.; Yasuda, M. The *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* dnaK gene is involved in bacterial translocation across the intestinal epithelial cell barrier. *Microbiology (Reading)* 2017, 163, 1208–1216.
42. Matilla, M.A.; Martin-Mora, D.; Gavira, J.A.; Krell, T. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* as a Model To Study Chemosensory Pathway Signaling. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 2021, 85.
43. Garvis, S.; Munder, A.; Ball, G.; de Bentzmann, S.; Wiehlmann, L.; Ewbank, J.J.; Tummli, B.; Filloux, A. *Caenorhabditis elegans* semi-automated liquid screen reveals a specialized role for the chemotaxis gene cheB2 in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* virulence. *PLoS Pathog.* 2009, 5, e1000540.
44. Dong, Y.H.; Zhang, X.F.; Soo, H.M.; Greenberg, E.P.; Zhang, L.H. The two-component response regulator PprB modulates quorum-sensing signal production and global gene expression in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Mol. Microbiol.* 2005, 56, 1287–1301.
45. Bardy, S.L.; Maddock, J.R. Polar localization of a soluble methyl-accepting protein of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *J. Bacteriol.* 2005, 187, 7840–7844.
46. Rico-Jimenez, M.; Reyes-Darias, J.A.; Ortega, A.; Diez Pena, A.I.; Morel, B.; Krell, T. Two different mechanisms mediate chemotaxis to inorganic phosphate in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Sci. Rep.* 2016, 6, 28967.
47. Zaborin, A.; Romanowski, K.; Gerdes, S.; Holbrook, C.; Lepine, F.; Long, J.; Poroyko, V.; Diggle, S.P.; Wilke, A.; Righetti, K.; et al. Red death in *Caenorhabditis elegans* caused by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2009, 106, 6327–6332.
48. De Bentzmann, S.; Aurouze, M.; Ball, G.; Filloux, A. FppA, a novel *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* prepilin peptidase involved in assembly of type IVb pili. *J. Bacteriol.* 2006, 188, 4851–4860.
49. Lee, J.S.; Heo, Y.J.; Lee, J.K.; Cho, Y.H. KatA, the major catalase, is critical for osmoprotection and virulence in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PA14. *Infect. Immun.* 2005, 73, 4399–4403.
50. Fernandez, M.; Rico-Jimenez, M.; Ortega, A.; Daddaoua, A.; Garcia Garcia, A.I.; Martin-Mora, D.; Torres, N.M.; Tajuelo, A.; Matilla, M.A.; Krell, T. Determination of Ligand Profiles for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Solute Binding Proteins. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2019, 20, 5156.

51. Wu, H.; Kato, J.; Kuroda, A.; Ikeda, T.; Takiguchi, N.; Ohtake, H. Identification and characterization of two chemotactic transducers for inorganic phosphate in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *J. Bacteriol.* 2000, 182, 3400–3404.
52. Gavira, J.A.; Gumerov, V.M.; Rico-Jimenez, M.; Petukh, M.; Upadhyay, A.A.; Ortega, A.; Matilla, M.A.; Zhulin, I.B.; Krell, T. How Bacterial Chemoreceptors Evolve Novel Ligand Specificities. *mBio* 2020, 11, e03066-19.
53. Rico-Jimenez, M.; Munoz-Martinez, F.; Garcia-Fontana, C.; Fernandez, M.; Morel, B.; Ortega, A.; Ramos, J.L.; Krell, T. Paralogous chemoreceptors mediate chemotaxis towards protein amino acids and the non-protein amino acid gamma-aminobutyrate (GABA). *Mol. Microbiol.* 2013, 88, 1230–1243.
54. Taguchi, K.; Fukutomi, H.; Kuroda, A.; Kato, J.; Ohtake, H. Genetic identification of chemotactic transducers for amino acids in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Microbiology* 1997, 143 (Pt 10), 3223–3229.
55. Matilla, M.A.; Ortega, A.; Krell, T. The role of solute binding proteins in signal transduction. *Comput. Struct. Biotechnol. J.* 2021, 19, 1786–1805.
56. Gavira, J.A.; Ortega, A.; Martin-Mora, D.; Conejero-Muriel, M.T.; Corral-Lugo, A.; Morel, B.; Matilla, M.A.; Krell, T. Structural Basis for Polyamine Binding at the dCACHE Domain of the McpU Chemoreceptor from *Pseudomonas putida*. *J. Mol. Biol.* 2018, 430, 1950–1963.
57. Shrestha, M.; Compton, K.K.; Mancl, J.M.; Webb, B.A.; Brown, A.M.; Scharf, B.E.; Schubot, F.D. Structure of the sensory domain of McpX from *Sinorhizobium meliloti*, the first known bacterial chemotactic sensor for quaternary ammonium compounds. *Biochem. J.* 2018, 475, 3949–3962.
58. Nishiyama, S.; Takahashi, Y.; Yamamoto, K.; Suzuki, D.; Itoh, Y.; Sumita, K.; Uchida, Y.; Homma, M.; Imada, K.; Kawagishi, I. Identification of a *Vibrio cholerae* chemoreceptor that senses taurine and amino acids as attractants. *Sci. Rep.* 2016, 6, 20866.
59. Khan, M.F.; Machuca, M.A.; Rahman, M.M.; Koc, C.; Norton, R.S.; Smith, B.J.; Roujeinikova, A. Structure-Activity Relationship Study Reveals the Molecular Basis for Specific Sensing of Hydrophobic Amino Acids by the *Campylobacter jejuni* Chemoreceptor Tlp3. *Biomolecules* 2020, 10, 744.
60. Xia, R.; Wang, N.; Xu, Z.; Lu, Y.; Song, J.; Zhang, A.; Guo, C.; He, Y. Cryo-EM structure of the human histamine H1 receptor/Gq complex. *Nat. Comm.* 2021, 12, 2086.
61. Nakane, T.; Kotecha, A.; Sente, A.; McMullan, G.; Masiulis, S.; Brown, P.; Grigoras, I.T.; Malinauskaite, L.; Malinauskas, T.; Miehl, J.; et al. Single-particle cryo-EM at atomic resolution. *Nature* 2020, 587, 152–156.
62. Jubb, H.C.; Higuieruelo, A.P.; Ochoa-Montaña, B.; Pitt, W.R.; Ascher, D.B.; Blundell, T.L. Arpeggio: A Web Server for Calculating and Visualising Interatomic Interactions in Protein Structures. *J.*

- Mol. Biol. 2017, 429, 365–371.
63. Kyriakidis, D.A.; Tiligada, E. Signal transduction and adaptive regulation through bacterial two-component systems: The *Escherichia coli* AtoSC paradigm. *Amino Acids* 2009, 37, 443–458.
64. Barcik, W.; Wawrzyniak, M.; Akdis, C.A.; O'Mahony, L. Immune regulation by histamine and histamine-secreting bacteria. *Curr. Opin. Immunol.* 2017, 48, 108–113.
65. Thorburn, A.N.; Macia, L.; Mackay, C.R. Diet, metabolites, and “western-lifestyle” inflammatory diseases. *Immunity* 2014, 40, 833–842.
66. Theodorou, M.C.; Theodorou, E.C.; Kyriakidis, D.A. Involvement of AtoSC two-component system in *Escherichia coli* flagellar regulon. *Amino Acids* 2012, 43, 833–844.
67. Theodorou, M.C.; Theodorou, E.C.; Panagiotidis, C.A.; Kyriakidis, D.A. Spermidine triggering effect to the signal transduction through the AtoS-AtoC/Az two-component system in *Escherichia coli*. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 2007, 1770, 1104–1114.
68. Theodorou, E.C.; Theodorou, M.C.; Kyriakidis, D.A. Regulation of poly-(R)-(3-hydroxybutyrate-co-3-hydroxyvalerate) biosynthesis by the AtoSCDAEB regulon in *phaCAB+* *Escherichia coli*. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 2013, 97, 5259–5274.
69. Theodorou, M.C.; Tiligada, E.; Kyriakidis, D.A. Extracellular Ca^{2+} transients affect poly-(R)-3-hydroxybutyrate regulation by the AtoS-AtoC system in *Escherichia coli*. *Biochem. J.* 2009, 417, 667–672.
70. Theodorou, M.C.; Kyriakidis, D.A. Calcium channels blockers inhibit the signal transduction through the AtoSC system in *Escherichia coli*. *Eur. J. Pharm. Sci.* 2012, 47, 84–96.
71. Kyriakidis, D.A.; Theodorou, M.C.; Filippou, P.S.; Kyriakidis, K.D.; Tiligada, E. Effect of histamine on the signal transduction of the AtoS-AtoC two component system and involvement in poly-(R)-3-hydroxybutyrate biosynthesis in *Escherichia coli*. *Amino Acids* 2008, 35, 45–52.
72. Visciano, P.; Schirone, M.; Paparella, A. An Overview of Histamine and Other Biogenic Amines in Fish and Fish Products. *Foods* 2020, 9, 1795.
73. Moniente, M.; Garcia-Gonzalo, D.; Ontanon, I.; Pagan, R.; Botello-Morte, L. Histamine accumulation in dairy products: Microbial causes, techniques for the detection of histamine-producing microbiota, and potential solutions. *Compr. Rev. Food Sci. Food Saf.* 2021, 20, 1481–1523.
74. Rossi, F.; Gardini, F.; Rizzotti, L.; La Gioia, F.; Tabanelli, G.; Torriani, S. Quantitative analysis of histidine decarboxylase gene (*hdcA*) transcription and histamine production by *Streptococcus thermophilus* PRI60 under conditions relevant to cheese making. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2011, 77, 2817–2822.

75. Frei, R.; Ferstl, R.; Konieczna, P.; Ziegler, M.; Simon, T.; Rugeles, T.M.; Mailand, S.; Watanabe, T.; Lauener, R.; Akdis, C.A.; et al. Histamine receptor 2 modifies dendritic cell responses to microbial ligands. *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* 2013, 132, 194–204.
76. Ferstl, R.; Frei, R.; Schiavi, E.; Konieczna, P.; Barcik, W.; Ziegler, M.; Lauener, R.P.; Chassard, C.; Lacroix, C.; Akdis, C.A.; et al. Histamine receptor 2 is a key influence in immune responses to intestinal histamine-secreting microbes. *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* 2014, 134, 744–746.
77. Barcik, W.; Pugin, B.; Bresco, M.S.; Westermann, P.; Rinaldi, A.; Groeger, D.; Van Elst, D.; Sokolowska, M.; Krawczyk, K.; Frei, R.; et al. Bacterial secretion of histamine within the gut influences immune responses within the lung. *Allergy* 2019, 74, 899–909.
78. Chen, H.; Nwe, P.K.; Yang, Y.; Rosen, C.E.; Bielecka, A.A.; Kuchroo, M.; Cline, G.W.; Kruse, A.C.; Ring, A.M.; Crawford, J.M.; et al. A Forward Chemical Genetic Screen Reveals Gut Microbiota Metabolites That Modulate Host Physiology. *Cell* 2019, 177, 1217–1231 e1218.
79. Mishima, Y.; Ishihara, S. Molecular Mechanisms of Microbiota-Mediated Pathology in Irritable Bowel Syndrome. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2020, 21, 8664.
80. Barbara, G.; Wang, B.; Stanghellini, V.; de Giorgio, R.; Cremon, C.; Di Nardo, G.; Trevisani, M.; Campi, B.; Geppetti, P.; Tonini, M.; et al. Mast cell-dependent excitation of visceral-nociceptive sensory neurons in irritable bowel syndrome. *Gastroenterology* 2007, 132, 26–37.

Retrieved from <https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/25978>