Occupational Exposure to Mycotoxins

In occupational settings where exposure to organic dust occurs (e.g., intensive animal production, waste management, farming) workers can be exposed to mycotoxins. However, the recognition of mycotoxins in workplace environments and as an occupational risk factor is still not performed. In the last decade, work developed in different occupational settings, using different sampling approaches reported that occupational exposure to mycotoxins occurs and it is of upmost importance to be considered as an occupational concern that needs to be tackled.

1. Exposure to Mycotoxins in Occupational Environments

Workers in several activity sectors are exposed to organic dust that contains different bacteria and fungi, as well as their components such as mycotoxins, endotoxins and glucans. High exposure to organic dust containing mycotoxins, secondary toxic metabolites produced by fungi, can occur during the development of several working routine activities, such as storage work, loading, handling, or milling contaminated materials (e.g., grain, waste, and feed) in different types of industries (e.g., brewing, bakeries), and others such as caring for animals in animal husbandry settings [1][2][3][4][5][6][7][8]. Despite this occupational exposure has been demonstrated in previous research work [9][10][11][12][13][14][15][16][17][18][19], exposure assessment is not routinely performed and mycotoxins are still not recognized as an occupational risk factor present in several workplaces [20].

The negative health effects associated with human exposure to mycotoxins have been already described for some mycotoxins when exposure occurs by ingestion of contaminated foods. However, when recognizing exposure to mycotoxins in workplace environments, different exposure routes should be considered, namely the inhalation and dermal absorption. Nevertheless, the health effects following inhalation or dermal contact are insufficiently described [20].

Therefore, it is particularly relevant to properly characterize occupational exposure through the identification of mycotoxins, their levels, duration and main routes of exposure associated to the specific occupational environments, to understand the main determinants that may have an impact in exposure. Additionally, standardized methodologies (sampling and analysis) are needed to permit comparisons between the different studies [20]. Furthermore, until now, there have been insufficient epidemiological studies to evaluate the acute and chronic health effects of occupational exposure to provide a detailed picture of the health risks associated. Although with several challenges associated since one mycotoxin can cause several effects at different exposure levels, this is also crucial to the future development of occupational exposure limits for single mycotoxins and for mixtures of mycotoxins that are associated to similar health effects or sharing the same mode of action [20][21].

Considering the above, this paper intends to discuss the several possibilities available for assessing and characterizing the occupational exposure to mycotoxins through the description of the advantages and limitations of the different sampling strategies. Overviewing the approaches and the main achievements used in several field campaigns developed in Portugal, the knowledge obtained will be used to support the identification of the main aspects to consider when designing new occupational studies. The need for additional research work will also be tackled where new directions to follow will be discussed.

2. Occupational Exposure Assessment—Approach to Follow

Exposures occurring in the workplaces are known to have several causes for variation among individual workers or groups of workers, such as job tasks differences (between-worker variability), related to the way of performing those tasks and to the daily or weekly distribution (tasks that occur in some days of the week or in some shifts of the day). Depending on the exposure assessment objective, a carefully planned sampling strategy is desirable so that measurements can be collected efficiently, and resources spent wisely [22]. The sampling strategy adopted should give results allowing to draw

conclusions about exposure (how, when and who), risk and the guidance for the most suitable risk management measures to put in place. For this, the EN 689:2020 (Workplace Exposure—Measurement of Exposure by Inhalation to Chemical Agents—Strategy for Testing Compliance with Occupational Exposure Limit Values) can be followed and adapted according to the specific objectives of the study. However, this EN mentions as a first step the need to identify the chemical agents present in the workplace based on safety data sheets and other information that do not lead to mycotoxins since these are not a raw material or a final product and are, instead, an undesirable contaminant of the raw materials or workplace environment. Therefore, recognize mycotoxins presence in the workplace is a challenging goal and requires specific expertise, with only an experimented occupational hygienist, being able to identify the possible presence of mycotoxins and define a suitable monitoring campaign, can achieve.

Another major aspect is the fact that occupational exposure data are often collected without adequate or sufficient contextual information about the workplace impairing the effective use of data for exposure assessment purposes [20][23]. Therefore, the importance of collecting contextual information that allows understanding and identifying the sources and determinants of exposure is of high relevance and can significantly improve our understanding of the variability in exposure measurements [23]. For mycotoxins, and because they are contaminants and not a chemical intentionally added or used in a process, it is fundamental to collect information about all the raw materials (e.g., flour, feed) in presence and their origin to understand if there is a need of measuring mycotoxins and, with this, pinpoint mycotoxins source in that workplace environment. This information is also very relevant to identify the risk management measures to put in place to avoid exposure.

An exposure assessment strategy should also characterize exposure variability (e.g., different levels along the work shift) and can be used for multiple purposes (e.g., baseline monitoring, evaluating new or in place risk management measures and to obtained epidemiological data). A common approach consists of measuring exposures due to individual tasks and using these data to estimate full-shift averages rather than measure full-shift averages directly. This task-based exposure assessment allows the evaluation of the contribution of specific tasks to overall exposures and supports the information where the risk management measures can have major impact in the overall exposure [23][24]. This approach might be relevant in the case of mycotoxins exposure since exposure through inhalation occurs essentially in tasks linked with high exposure to organic dust [20]. Probably those tasks should be the ones targeted for the implementation of risk management measures aiming to reduce exposure to organic dust.

Another approach described in EN 689:2020 is the constitution of similar exposure groups (SEGs), that can significantly simplify the exposure assessment if several workers have the same exposure profile. The SEG is composed by a group of workers having the same general exposure profile for the chemical agent(s) being studied because of the similarity and frequency of the tasks performed, the materials and processes with which they work, and the similarity of the way they perform the tasks. If several workers have the same exposure profile the measurements performed on some workers of the SEG will allow to conclude about exposure of all workers of the SEG. This approach can be used in both type of monitoring: environmental (air, raw materials) or biomonitoring.

Mycotoxins are a group of compounds with different toxicokinetic' characteristics, namely the half-life in human body and excretion time. It has been referred that sampling could have an influence in the exposure assessment since significant differences were determined between mycotoxins' urinary biomarkers levels when considering a 24 h urine sample or a first-morning urine sample [25]. These differences could have a higher impact when considering contaminants with short half-lives [26][27]. Thus, the sampling strategy (moment of sampling) should consider the toxicokinetic of each mycotoxin to allow the correct interpretation of the data and to better identify exposure sources (workplace or food consumption).

Figure 1 summarizes the key aspects that should be considered when occupational exposure assessment to mycotoxins is planned or performed.

Figure 1. Key aspects to consider on the occupational exposure assessment to mycotoxins.

References

1. Burg, W.A.; Shotwell, O.L.; Saltzman, B.E. Measurements of airborne aflatoxins during the handling of

2. contaminated corn. Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J. 1981, 42, 1–11. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

3. Fischer, G.; Müller, T.; Ostrowski, R.; Dott,W. Mycotoxins of Aspergilius fumigatus in pure culture and in

4. native bioaerosols from compost facilities. Chemosphere 1999, 38, 1745–1755. [CrossRef]

5. Skaug, M.A.; Eduard,W.; Stormer, F.C. Ochratoxin A in airborne dust and fungal conidia. Mycopathologica 2000, 151, 9 3–98. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

6. Nordby, K.C.; Halstensen, A.S.; Elen, O.; Clasen, P.-E.; Langseth,W.; Kristensen, P.; Eduard,W. Trichothecene mycotox ins and their determinants in settled dust related to grain production. Ann. Agric. Environm. Med. 2004, 11, 75–83.

7. Wanga, Z.; Chai, T.; Lu, G.; Quan, C.; Duan, H.; Yao, M.; Zucker, B.-A.; Schlenker, G. Simultaneous detection of airbor ne Aflatoxin, Ochratoxin and Zearalenone in a poultry house by immunoanity clean-up and high-performance liquid chr omatography. Environ. Res. 2008, 107, 139–144. [CrossRef]

8. Lanier, C.; Richard, E.; Heutte, N.; Picquet, R.; Bouchart, V.; Garon, D. Airborne molds and mycotoxins

9. Viegas, S.; Veiga, L.; Malta-Vacas, J.; Sabino, R.; Figueiredo, P.; Almeida, A.; Viegas, C.; Carolino, E.

10. Occupational exposure to aflatoxin (afb1) in poultry production. J. Toxicol. Environ. Health Part A 2012, 75, 1330–1340. [CrossRef]

11. Viegas, S.; Veiga, L.; Figueiredo, P.; Almeida, A.; Carolino, E.; Sabino, R.; Veríssimo, C.; Viegas, C. Occupational expo sure to aflatoxin B1 in swine production and possible contamination sources. J. Toxicol. Environ. Health Part A 2013, 7 6, 944–951. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

12. Viegas, S.; Veiga, L.; Almeida, A.; dos Santos, M.; Carolino, E.; Viegas, C. Occupational Exposure to Aflatoxin B1 in a Portuguese Poultry Slaughterhouse. Ann. Occup. Hyg. 2015, 60, 176–183. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

13. Viegas, S.; Assunção, R.; Nunes, C.; Osteresch, B.; Twaruzek, M.; Kosicki, R.; Grajewski, J.; Martins, C.;

14. Alvito, P.; Almeida, A.; et al. Exposure Assessment to Mycotoxins in a Portuguese Fresh Bread Dough

15. Company by Using a Multi-Biomarker Approach. Toxins 2018, 10, 342. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

16. Viegas, S.; Assunção, R.; Martins, C.; Nunes, C.; Osteresch, B.; Twaruˑzek, M.; Kosicki, R.; Grajewski, J.;

17. Ribeiro, E.; Viegas, C. Occupational exposure to mycotoxins in swine production: Environmental and

18. biological monitoring approaches for exposure assessment. Toxins 2019, 11, 78. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

19. Viegas, S.; Assunção, R.; Twaru´zek, M.; Kosicki, R.; Grajewski, J.; Viegas, C. Mycotoxins feed contamination in a dair y farm—Potential implications for milk contamination and workers' exposure in a One Health approach. J. Sci. Food Ag

ric. 2019. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

20. Viegas, S.; Viegas, C.; Oppliger, O. Occupational Exposure to Mycotoxins: Current Knowledge and Prospects. Ann. Work Expo. Health 2018, 62, 923–941. [CrossRef]

21. R. Assunção; Maria João Silva; Paula Alvito; Challenges in risk assessment of multiple mycotoxins in food. *World Mycotoxin Journal* **2016**, *9*, 791-811, 10.3920/wmj2016.2039.

22. Chu-Chih Chen; Cheng-Lin Chuang; Kuen-Yu Wu; Chang-Chuan Chan; Sampling Strategies for Occupational Exposure Assessment under Generalized Linear Model. *The Annals of Occupational Hygiene* **2009**, *53*, 509-521, 10.1093/annhyg/mep034.

23. Gurumurthy Ramachandran; Toward Better Exposure Assessment Strategies—The New NIOSH Initiative. *The Annals of Occupational Hygiene* **2008**, *52*, 297-301, 10.1093/annhyg/men025.

24. Viegas, S.; Almeida-Silva, M.; Faria, T.; Dos Santos, M.; Viegas, C.. Occupational Safety and Hygiene IV; Arezes, P.M., Perestrelo, G.,Miguel, S., Melo, R.B., Eds.; Taylor & Francis: London, 2016; pp. 1-6.

25. Carla Martins; A. Vidal; M. De Boevre; S. De Saeger; C. Nunes; D. Torres; A. Goios; C. Lopes; R. Assunção; P. Alvito; et al. Exposure assessment of Portuguese population to multiple mycotoxins: The human biomonitoring approach.. *International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health* **2019**, *222*, 913-925, 10.1016/j.ijheh.2019.06.010.

26. Lesa L. Aylward; Sean M Hays; Roel Smolders; Holger Koch; John Cocker; Kate Jones; Nicholas Warren; Len Levy; Ruth Bevan; Sources of Variability in Biomarker Concentrations. *Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health, Part B* **2014**, *17*, 45-61, 10.1080/10937404.2013.864250.

27. Antonia M. Calafat; Contemporary Issues in Exposure Assessment Using Biomonitoring. *Current Epidemiology Reports* **2016**, *3*, 145-153, 10.1007/s40471-016-0075-7.