

# Biomass Energy Pellets

Subjects: Environmental Sciences

Contributor: Rajitha Lakshan Rupasinghe, Priyan Perera, Rangika Bandara, Hiran Amarasekera, Richard Vlosky

There is a widespread global shift toward renewable energy sources, where the emphasis is on enhancing the utilization of renewable energy due to the rising costs associated with fossil fuels. In this light, biomass pellets made from woody and non-woody biomass and blends have gained increased attention. Extensive research has been conducted globally to enhance the quality of biomass pellets and to explore the potential to combine woody biomass with other non-woody forms of biomass in biomass pellet production. The heterogeneity of the raw materials used and resulting properties of the biomass pellets have led to the establishment of internationally recognized benchmarks such as the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) 17225 standard to regulate pellet quality.

Keywords: pellets ; mixed biomass ; standards ; Energy ; Quality ; Properties

---

## 1. Biomass as a Renewable Energy Source

The adoption of renewable energy sources is rapidly gaining momentum worldwide due to the growing global demand for energy <sup>[1][2][3]</sup>. There is a significant decrease in global concern about and dependency on fossil fuel energy sources, attributed to various factors such as fluctuations in energy demand, oil price shocks, disruptions in energy supply chains, hampered energy investments, energy price hikes, and energy security challenges <sup>[4][5]</sup>. Moreover, the urgency to address climate change and pursue low-carbon energy transitions has become a top priority in the energy sector <sup>[6]</sup>. Consequently, numerous countries have implemented policies to integrate environmentally friendly energy sources into their energy portfolios <sup>[7]</sup>. Notably, in October 2023, the European Union officially approved an updated Renewable Energy Directive aimed at increasing the share of renewable energy in Europe from 32% to 42.5% by 2030, with the ultimate goal of achieving a 45% share of renewables <sup>[8]</sup>. While specific targets for individual countries have not been established, each Member State will contribute to this collective objective. Concurrently, renewable resources such as solar, wind, geothermal, biogas, and biomass are gaining substantial recognition as viable options for sustainable and eco-friendly energy <sup>[9]</sup>.

Within this realm of renewable energy, biomass has emerged as a pivotal contender over the last few decades. Its ascendancy is attributed to its renewable nature, environmental cleanliness, robust technical viability, economic feasibility, and widespread availability <sup>[10][11][12][13][14]</sup>. Moreover, biomass holds a distinct appeal as a renewable reservoir readily transformable into three distinct fuel states—gas, liquid, and solid <sup>[15][16][17][18][19][20][21]</sup>.

Wood possesses the distinct advantage of negligible sulfur content, distinct from coal and liquid fuels, thus mitigating the emission of sulfur dioxide into the atmosphere <sup>[22]</sup>. Recent scientific inquiries have substantiated biomass as a key energy source with the potential to supplant fossil fuels <sup>[23]</sup>. Within this context, biomass emerges as a promising remedy to the challenges posed by fossil fuels, including coal and liquid fossil fuels, which are implicated in critical environmental concerns such as climate change, global warming, and their deleterious impact on human well-being <sup>[24]</sup>. Biomass is important in addressing such predicaments associated with fossil fuels <sup>[25]</sup>.

According to the Statistical Report of Bioenergy Landscape 2020 <sup>[26]</sup>, biomass-derived energy holds the second position in global bioenergy consumption, following nuclear energy, with a substantial market share of 63.11% (123,592 kilotons of oil equivalent (ktoe)), followed by hydro energy at 16.46% (32,242 ktoe) and wind energy at 11.11% (21,768 ktoe) <sup>[27][28]</sup>.

The importance of bioenergy reaches far beyond developed nations and plays a pivotal role in developing nations. Recent studies have shed light on its impressive ability to deliver energy in various forms that cater to people's needs, encompassing liquid and gaseous fuels, heat, and electricity. Therefore, bioenergy plays a significant role in reducing poverty in developing countries while simultaneously tackling the restoration of unproductive and degraded lands <sup>[29][30]</sup>. This restoration process yields multiple benefits, such as increased biodiversity, enhanced soil fertility, and improved water retention <sup>[31][32][33]</sup>. Bioenergy remains the primary source of energy in several countries and regions, including Bhutan (86%), Nepal (97%), Asia (16%), East Sahelian Africa (81%), and Africa (39%). In these areas, bioenergy is predominantly

utilized for cooking and heating purposes, wherein firewood serves as the main source <sup>[31][34]</sup>. Particularly, Southeast Asia is rapidly emerging as a vibrant market for the development of biomass as an energy source <sup>[35]</sup>. Notably, countries such as Malaysia, Thailand, and Indonesia, known for their significant agricultural residues comprising rice, sugarcane, palm oil, coconut, and rubber, are among the foremost producers. Noteworthy crop residues include rice husk, sugarcane bagasse, oil palm residues, and wood residues <sup>[36]</sup>. The trajectory of bioenergy is witnessing novel trends and growing markets across the globe, with projections indicating that bioenergy will meet 30% of the world's energy demand by 2050 <sup>[37][38]</sup>.

While various forms of biomass, including wood, energy crops, agricultural residues, industrial wastes, and municipal solid waste, are available <sup>[39]</sup>, the utilization of raw biomass is accompanied by certain inefficiencies. Factors such as irregular shapes, low bulk density, and elevated moisture content contribute to challenges in handling, transportation, and storage <sup>[40][41][42][43][44][45][46][47]</sup>. To tackle these issues, intensive research and implementation of biomass conversion technologies have transpired over the past decade <sup>[48][49][50][51][52]</sup>.

Densification of biomass has emerged as a prominent conversion technology, achievable using distinct processes: pelletization, briquetting, extrusion, and tumbling <sup>[53]</sup>. This introduction of densification technologies has paved the way for the energy market entry of densified biomass products such as chipped wood, wooden pellets, and biomass briquettes. Moreover, the research underscores the consistent global consumption of firewood and charcoal, along with a twofold increase in the use of wood chips and wood pellets for power generation and residential heating over the past decade. This upward trajectory is projected to persist in years to come <sup>[12][13][37][54][55][56]</sup>.

## **2. Biomass Pellet Market Dynamics**

Biomass pellets, whether with or without additives, are compacted milled biomass typically cylindrical in shape, spanning 5 to 40 mm in standard market length <sup>[57]</sup>. The surging popularity of wood pellets in heating markets has triggered novel market dynamics and supply chains. Building and industrial heating and cooling in the European Union constitute 50% of its annual energy consumption <sup>[58]</sup>, with 80% of central heating systems in Germany adopting biomass combustion technologies <sup>[59]</sup>. Similarly, growing demand for wood pellets as a heat source are observed in both the European Union and Asian countries <sup>[60]</sup>.

In the Asia Pacific region, boasting 76% of the global coal generation capacity and 94% of the new coal plant pipeline <sup>[61]</sup>, wood pellets are positioned as potential coal replacements in power generation. Via processes like torrefaction, hydrothermal carbonization, and steam explosion, wood pellets have gained thermal enhancements to mimic coal properties, advancing their suitability as a fuel <sup>[12][62][63][64]</sup>. Given the high concentration of coal power plants in the Asia Pacific region, their adoption of biomass pellets has risen, leading to exponential growth in wood pellet imports to South Korea, Japan, and China in recent years. Notably, South Korea's imports surged to 2.4 million tons in 2017, a 20-fold increase from 2012 <sup>[65]</sup>. Similarly, Japan's 2017 imports exceeded 0.5 million tons, marking a sevenfold rise since 2012 <sup>[66]</sup>. China, with its large population and energy source constraints, has established a substantial potential market. Though ample literature is lacking to substantiate the attainment of the 15-million-kilowatt goal set in its 2016 five-year plan, China stands as the primary producer of bioelectricity, witnessing a 4.5-fold rise in production since 2011 <sup>[67]</sup>.

Approximately half of global pellet consumption serves power generation plants that have transitioned from coal to pellets or engage in co-firing with coal. The other half is predominantly allocated to household heat generation via pellet stoves, boilers, and for industrial steam demand <sup>[68][69][70][71][72]</sup>. Amidst this landscape, firewood, paraffin, electricity, liquid gas, and natural gas stand as principal competitors to wood pellets in energy generation. However, only firewood surpasses pellets economically; other energy sources falter in terms of toxic emissions, expensive handling, storage, and transportation when compared to biomass pellets <sup>[73]</sup>.

Numerous sustainable indicators and multi-criteria decision analysis research conducted in Germany underscore wood pellets' superior quality and efficiency for private households compared to alternative biomass-to-energy pathways <sup>[59][74][75]</sup>. The low density of unprocessed biomass such as wood chips (180–220 kg/m<sup>3</sup>) poses significant handling and transport challenges, unlike pellets, which offer higher density (around 600 kg/m<sup>3</sup>) and energy content per unit volume, thereby reducing costs in transportation, storage, handling, and use <sup>[68][76]</sup>. Unlike raw biomass, biomass pellets align more closely with liquid fuels in terms of their properties <sup>[73][76]</sup>.

### 3. Quality Assurance of Biomass Pellets

Biomass pellets must adhere to standardized properties to optimize their utility. Designing boilers, stoves, or pellet burners aligned with these properties ensures effective deployment, catering to diverse scales of demand, from domestic appliances to large-scale power plants [68]. The primary parameters within pellet standards encompass physical attributes such as dimensions, mechanical durability, fine particle content, bulk and unit densities, additives, chemical composition, including sulfur, nitrogen, chlorine, and heavy metals, and energy properties such as moisture and ash content, net calorific value, and energy density [41][77]. These parameters are tied to raw materials, quality management, and manufacturing processes [78].

During 2000–2006, the European Committee for Standardization (CEN) under committee TC 335 established general technical specifications (TS) and testing methods for solid biofuels, culminating in the prEN14961 series by 2014 [79][80]. To align standards globally due to escalating biomass energy production and trade, these specifications transitioned to the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) via the Technical Committee: ISO TC 238 of Solid Biofuels [81]. The ISO released the EN ISO 17225 series in 2014 (ISO 17225-2:2014 [82], ISO 17225-6:2014 [83]), encompassing standards for wood pellets, chips, firewood, and non-woody briquettes, replacing EN 14961 [81][84].

EN ISO 17225-2 [82] for graded wood pellets sets limits for various applications, while EN ISO 17225-6 [83] focuses on non-woody pellets, including blends and mixtures (**Table 1**). Both standards underwent minor updates in 2020 republished in 2021 [85][86]. Graded wood pellets encompass property classes A1, A2, A3, I1, I2, and I3, with distinct quality characteristics for different applications [85]. Non-woody pellets, derived from diverse biomasses, bear higher ash, chlorine, nitrogen, and sulfur contents, warranting tailored combustion systems and corrosion mitigation due to their unique characteristics [84].

**Table 1.** Specification of graded woody and non-woody pellets.

[illegible]

Parameter	Unit	EN ISO 17225-2						EN ISO 17225-6	
Utility	-	Commercial and Residential Applications			Industrial Use			Industrial Use	
		A1	A2	A3	I1	I2	I3	A	B
Ni	mg/kg	≤10	≤10	≤10	≤10	≤10	≤10	≤10	-

## References

- Ng, A.W.; Nathwani, J.; Fu, J.; Zhou, H. Green Financing for Global Energy Sustainability: Prospecting Transformational Adaptation beyond Industry 4.0. *Sustain. Sci. Pract. Policy* 2021, 17, 377–390.
- Omer, A.M. Green Energies and the Environment. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 2008, 12, 1789–1821.
- Shakeel, S.R.; Takala, J.; Shakeel, W. Renewable Energy Sources in Power Generation in Pakistan. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 2016, 64, 421–434.
- Bilan, Y.; Kozmenko, S.; Makarenko, I. Recent Advances in the Energy Market Development: Current Challenges and Perspectives of Energy Crises in Academia. *Energies* 2023, 16, 2332.
- Zakeri, B.; Paulavets, K.; Barreto-Gomez, L.; Echeverri, L.G.; Pachauri, S.; Boza-Kiss, B.; Zimm, C.; Rogelj, J.; Creutzig, F.; Ürge-Vorsatz, D.; et al. Pandemic, War, and Global Energy Transitions. *Energies* 2022, 15, 6114.
- Ozili, P.K.; Ozen, E. Global Energy Crisis: Impact on the Global Economy. In *The Impact of Climate Change and Sustainability Standards on the Insurance Market*; Sood, K., Grima, S., Young, P., Ozen, E., Balusamy, B., Eds.; Wiley: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2023; pp. 439–454. ISBN 978-1-394-16651-0.
- Perera, P.; Perera, R.; Vlosky, R.P.; Darby, P. Potential of Using Poultry Litter as a Feedstock for Energy Production; Louisiana Forest Products Development Center, Louisiana State University Agricultural Center Baton Rouge: Baton Rouge, LA, USA, 2010.
- European Environment Agency. Share of Energy Consumption from Renewable Sources in Europe. Available online: <https://www.eea.europa.eu/en/analysis/indicators/share-of-energy-consumption-from#:~:text=According%20to%20European%20Environment%20Agency,strong%20growth%20in%20solar%20power.com> (accessed on 23 November 2023).
- Mao, G.; Huang, N.; Chen, L.; Wang, H. Research on Biomass Energy and Environment from the Past to the Future: A Bibliometric Analysis. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2018, 635, 1081–1090.
- Al-Kayiem, H.; Mohammad, S. Potential of Renewable Energy Resources with an Emphasis on Solar Power in Iraq: An Outlook. *Resources* 2019, 8, 42.
- Bandara, W.A.R.T.W.; Ranasinghe, O.; Perera, P.; Vlosky, R.; Kizha, A.R. Potential to Use Invasive Plants in Biomass Energy Production: A Case Study Prosopis Juliflora in Coastal Wetlands of Sri Lanka. *Trees For. People* 2022, 10, 100330.
- Duranay, N.D.; Akkuş, G. Solid Fuel Production with Torrefaction from Vineyard Pruning Waste. *Biomass Convers. Biorefinery* 2019, 11, 2335–2346.
- Szyszlak-Bargłowicz, J.; Słowik, T.; Zajac, G.; Blicharz-Kania, A.; Zdybel, B.; Andrejko, D.; Obidziński, S. Energy Parameters of Miscanthus Biomass Pellets Supplemented with Copra Meal in Terms of Energy Consumption during the Pressure Agglomeration Process. *Energies* 2021, 14, 4167.
- Wattana, W.; Phetklung, S.; Jakaew, W.; Chumuthai, S.; Sriam, P.; Chanurair, N. Characterization of Mixed Biomass Pellet Made from Oil Palm and Para-Rubber Tree Residues. *Energy Procedia* 2017, 138, 1128–1133.
- Bimbela, F.; Abrego, J.; Gonzalo, A.; Sanchez, J.L.; Arauzo, J. Biomass Pyrolysis Liquids. Fundamentals, Technologies and New Strategies. *Boletín Grupo Español Carbón* 2014, 33, 11–14.
- Hammar, T.; Stendahl, J.; Sundberg, C.; Holmström, H.; Hansson, P.-A. Climate Impact and Energy Efficiency of Woody Bioenergy Systems from a Landscape Perspective. *Biomass Bioenergy* 2019, 120, 189–199.
- Ioelovich, M. Recent Findings and the Energetic Potential of Plant Biomass as a Renewable Source of Biofuels—a Review. *Bioresources* 2015, 10, 1879–1914.
- Lauri, P.; Havlík, P.; Kindermann, G.; Forsell, N.; Böttcher, H.; Obersteiner, M. Woody Biomass Energy Potential in 2050. *Energy Policy* 2014, 66, 19–31.

19. Mao, G.; Zou, H.; Chen, G.; Du, H.; Zuo, J. Past, Current and Future of Biomass Energy Research: A Bibliometric Analysis. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 2015, 52, 1823–1833.
20. Mola-Yudego, B.; Arevalo, J.; Díaz-Yáñez, O.; Dimitriou, I.; Haapala, A.; Ferraz Filho, A.C.; Selkimäki, M.; Valbuena, R. Wood Biomass Potentials for Energy in Northern Europe: Forest or Plantations? *Biomass Bioenergy* 2017, 106, 95–103.
21. Welfle, A.; Gilbert, P.; Thornley, P.; Stephenson, A. Generating Low-Carbon Heat from Biomass: Life Cycle Assessment of Bioenergy Scenarios. *J. Clean. Prod.* 2017, 149, 448–460.
22. Shepel, O. Wood Pellets-As a Key Resource for Thermal Industry. In Proceedings of the VI International (XIX Regional) Scientific Conference on Technogenic Systems and Environmental Risk, Obninsk, Russia, 20–21 April 2017; p. 23.
23. Situmorang, Y.A.; Zhao, Z.; Yoshida, A.; Abudula, A.; Guan, G. Small-Scale Biomass Gasification Systems for Power Generation (< 200 kW Class): A Review. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 2020, 117, 109486.
24. Cardoen, D.; Joshi, P.; Diels, L.; Sarma, P.M.; Pant, D. Agriculture Biomass in India: Part 1. Estimation and Characterization. *Resour. Conserv. Recycl.* 2015, 102, 39–48.
25. Tursi, A. A Review on Biomass: Importance, Chemistry, Classification, and Conversion. *Biofuel Res. J.* 2019, 6, 962–979.
26. Bioenergy Europe. Statistical Report—Bioenergy Landscape 2020. Available online: [https://biom.cz/upload/9982d8381d3da848a8072e06cf96ec87/sr20\\_bioenergy-landscape\\_non\\_members.pdf](https://biom.cz/upload/9982d8381d3da848a8072e06cf96ec87/sr20_bioenergy-landscape_non_members.pdf) (accessed on 20 November 2023).
27. Bilandzija, N.; Voca, N.; Jelcic, B.; Jurisic, V.; Matin, A.; Grubor, M.; Kricka, T. Evaluation of Croatian Agricultural Solid Biomass Energy Potential. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 2018, 93, 225–230.
28. Lamers, P.; Mai-Moulin, T.; Junginger, M. Challenges and Opportunities for International Trade in Forest Biomass. In *Mobilisation of Forest Bioenergy in the Boreal and Temperate Biomes*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2016; pp. 127–164. ISBN 978-0-12-804514-5.
29. Himandi, S.; Perera, P.; Amarasekera, H.; Rupasinghe, R.; Vlosky, R.P. Wood Residues in the Moratuwa Woodworking Industry Cluster of Sri Lanka: Potential for Sector Synergies and Value-Added Products. *For. Prod. J.* 2021, 71, 379–390.
30. Perera, P.; Rupasinghe, R.L.; Weerasekera, D.; Vlosky, R.; Bandara, R. Revisiting Forest Certification in Sri Lanka: The Forest Management and Export Wood-Based Manufacturing Sector Perspectives. *Forests* 2022, 13, 179.
31. Demirbas, M.F.; Balat, M.; Balat, H. Potential Contribution of Biomass to the Sustainable Energy Development. *Energy Convers. Manag.* 2009, 50, 1746–1760.
32. Irfan, M.; Elavarasan, R.M.; Ahmad, M.; Mohsin, M.; Dagar, V.; Hao, Y. Prioritizing and Overcoming Biomass Energy Barriers: Application of AHP and G-TOPSIS Approaches. *Technol. Forecast. Soc. Change* 2022, 177, 121524.
33. Karekezi, S.; Lata, K.; Coelho, S.T. Traditional Biomass Energy: Improving Its Use and Moving to Modern Energy Use. In *Renewable Energy*; Routledge: London, UK, 2012; pp. 258–289.
34. Hoogwijk, M.; Faaij, A.; Eickhout, B.; Devries, B.; Turkenburg, W. Potential of Biomass Energy out to 2100, for Four IPCC SRES Land-Use Scenarios. *Biomass Bioenergy* 2005, 29, 225–257.
35. Klimowicz, G. Southeast Asia Set for Biomass Boom. *Eco-Business*. 2013. Available online: <http://www.eco-business.com/news/southeast-asia-set-biomass-boom/> (accessed on 18 July 2023).
36. Carlos, R.M.; Ba Khang, D. Characterization of Biomass Energy Projects in Southeast Asia. *Biomass Bioenergy* 2008, 32, 525–532.
37. Guo, M.; Song, W.; Buhain, J. Bioenergy and Biofuels: History, Status, and Perspective. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 2015, 42, 712–725.
38. Haberl, H.; Erb, K.-H.; Krausmann, F.; Running, S.; Searchinger, T.D.; Kolby Smith, W. Bioenergy: How Much Can We Expect for 2050? *Environ. Res. Lett.* 2013, 8, 031004.
39. Strezov, V.; Evans, T.J. *Biomass Processing Technologies*; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2014; ISBN 1-4665-6616-7.
40. Gilvari, H.; Van Battum, C.H.H.; Farnish, R.; Pang, Y.; De Jong, W.; Schott, D.L. Fragmentation of Fuel Pellets during Transport via a Belt Conveyor: A Design of Experiment Study. *Particuology* 2022, 66, 29–37.
41. Kaliyan, N.; Vance Morey, R. Factors Affecting Strength and Durability of Densified Biomass Products. *Biomass Bioenergy* 2009, 33, 337–359.

42. Nunes, L.J.R.; Matias, J.C.O.; Catalão, J.P.S. Mixed Biomass Pellets for Thermal Energy Production: A Review of Combustion Models. *Appl. Energy* 2014, 127, 135–140.
43. Puig-Arnavat, M.; Shang, L.; Sárosy, Z.; Ahrenfeldt, J.; Henriksen, U.B. From a Single Pellet Press to a Bench Scale Pellet Mill —Pelletizing Six Different Biomass Feedstocks. *Fuel Process. Technol.* 2016, 142, 27–33.
44. Rentizelas, A.A.; Tolis, A.J.; Tatsiopoulos, I.P. Logistics Issues of Biomass: The Storage Problem and the Multi-Biomass Supply Chain. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 2009, 13, 887–894.
45. Shojaeiarani, J.; Bajwa, D.S.; Bajwa, S.G. Properties of Densified Solid Biofuels in Relation to Chemical Composition, Moisture Content, and Bulk Density of the Biomass. *BioResources* 2019, 14, 4996–5015.
46. Jayawardhane, J.; Perera, P.K.P.; Lokupitiya, R.S.; Amarasekara, H.S.; Ruwanpathirana, N. The Effect of Quality Attributes in Determination of Price for Plantation-Grown Teak (*Tectona Grandis*) Logs in Sri Lanka. *Ann. For. Res.* 2015, 59, 1–12.
47. Perera, P.; Amarasekera, H.; Weerawardena, N.D.R. Effect of Growth Rate on Wood Specific Gravity of Three Alternative Timber Species in Sri Lanka; *Swietenia Macrophylla*, *Khaya Senegalensis* and *Paulownia Fortunei*. *J. Trop. For. Environ.* 2012, 2, 567.
48. Esen, M.; Yuksel, T. Experimental Evaluation of Using Various Renewable Energy Sources for Heating a Greenhouse. *Energy Build.* 2013, 65, 340–351.
49. Jha, S.K.; Puppala, H. Prospects of Renewable Energy Sources in India: Prioritization of Alternative Sources in Terms of Energy Index. *Energy* 2017, 127, 116–127.
50. Martinez, C.L.M.; Rocha, E.P.A.; Carneiro, A.d.C.O.; Gomes, F.J.B.; Batalha, L.A.R.; Vakkilainen, E.; Cardoso, M. Characterization of Residual Biomasses from the Coffee Production Chain and Assessment the Potential for Energy Purposes. *Biomass Bioenergy* 2019, 120, 68–76.
51. Oh, T.H.; Pang, S.Y.; Chua, S.C. Energy Policy and Alternative Energy in Malaysia: Issues and Challenges for Sustainable Growth. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 2010, 14, 1241–1252.
52. Shuba, E.S.; Kifle, D. Microalgae to Biofuels: 'Promising' Alternative and Renewable Energy, Review. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 2018, 81, 743–755.
53. Stelte, W.; Sanadi, A.R.; Shang, L.; Holm, J.K.; Ahrenfeldt, J.; Henriksen, U.B. Recent Developments in Biomass Pelletization—A Review. *BioResources* 2012, 7, 4451–4490.
54. Baidya, R.; Bhattacharya, T.; Kumar, G.; Ghosh, S.K. Energy Analysis of Water Hyacinth–Cow Dung–Sawdust Mixture Briquettes—An Indian Perspective. In *Waste Management and Resource Efficiency*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2018; pp. 719–725.
55. Johnston, C.M.T.; Guo, J.; Prestemon, J.P. U.S. and Global Wood Energy Outlook under Alternative Shared Socioeconomic Pathways. *Forests* 2022, 13, 786.
56. Junginger, H.M.; Mai-Moulin, T.; Daiglou, V.; Fritsche, U.; Guisson, R.; Hennig, C.; Thrän, D.; Heinimö, J.; Hess, J.R.; Lamers, P.; et al. The Future of Biomass and Bioenergy Deployment and Trade: A Synthesis of 15 Years IEA Bioenergy Task 40 on Sustainable Bioenergy Trade: The Future of Biomass and Bioenergy Deployment and Trade: A Synthesis of 15 Years IEA Bioenergy Task 40 on Sustainable Bioenergy Trade. *Biofuels Bioprod. Bioref.* 2019, 13, 247–266.
57. Jakob, M.; Steckel, J.C. How Climate Change Mitigation Could Harm Development in Poor Countries. *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Clim. Chang.* 2014, 5, 161–168.
58. Patronen, J.; Kaura, E.; Torvestad, C. Nordic Heating and Cooling: Nordic Approach to EU's Heating and Cooling Strategy; Nordic Council of Ministers: Copenhagen, Denmark, 2017; ISBN 92-893-4992-1.
59. Beyer, B.; Geldermann, J.; Lauven, L.-P. Agent-Based Model of the German Heating Market: Simulations Concerning the Use of Wood Pellets and the Sustainability of the Market. In *Proceedings of the 2017 14th International Conference on the European Energy Market (EEM)*, Dresden, Germany, 6–9 June 2017; pp. 1–6.
60. Ladanai, S.; Vinterbäck, J. Global Potential of Sustainable Biomass for Energy; Department of Energy and Technology, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences: Uppsala, Sweden, 2009; Volume 13.
61. ESCAP. ESCAP Newsletter. 2021. Available online: <https://repository.unescap.org/bitstream/handle/20.500.12870/5959/ESCAP-2021-JN-ESCAP-Newsletter-Jan.pdf?sequence=1> (accessed on 24 October 2023).
62. Proskurina, S.; Heinimö, J.; Schipfer, F.; Vakkilainen, E. Biomass for Industrial Applications: The Role of Torrefaction. *Renew. Energy* 2017, 111, 265–274.
63. Stelte, W.; Nielsen, N.P.K.; Hansen, H.O.; Dahl, J.; Shang, L.; Sanadi, A.R. Pelletizing Properties of Torrefied Wheat Straw. *Biomass Bioenergy* 2013, 49, 214–221.

64. Uslu, A.; Faaij, A.P.C.; Bergman, P.C.A. Pre-Treatment Technologies, and Their Effect on International Bioenergy Supply Chain Logistics. *Techno-Economic Evaluation of Torrefaction, Fast Pyrolysis and Pelletisation*. Energy 2008, 33, 1206–1223.
  65. Levinson, R. Introducing Socio-Scientific Inquiry-Based Learning (SSIBL). *Sch. Sci. Rev.* 2018, 100, 31–35.
  66. Strauss, W. A Short Update on the Japanese Industrial Wood Pellet Markets: Policies, and How They Will Drive Current and Future Demand. *Future Metrics*. 2017. Available online: [http://www.futuremetrics.info/wp-content/uploads/2017/08/Japanese\\_Industrial\\_Wood\\_Pellet\\_Markets\\_August\\_2017\\_by\\_FutureMetrics.pdf](http://www.futuremetrics.info/wp-content/uploads/2017/08/Japanese_Industrial_Wood_Pellet_Markets_August_2017_by_FutureMetrics.pdf) (accessed on 16 October 2023).
  67. Winter, N. *Renewables 2022 Global Status Report China Factsheet-Key Headlines*; United Nations Environment Programme: Nairobi, Kenya, 2022.
  68. World Bioenergy Association. Pellets—A Fast Growing Energy. Available online: <http://www.worldbioenergy.org/uploads/Factsheet%20-%20Pellets.pdf> (accessed on 26 August 2023).
  69. World Bioenergy Association. Global Bioenergy Statistics 2014. Available online: <https://www.worldbioenergy.org/uploads/WBA%20Global%20Bioenergy%20Statistics%202014.pdf> (accessed on 26 August 2023).
  70. Bung, B. Forecasting the European Wood Biomass Market: An Investigation of Drivers That Impact Supply and Demand. 2016. Available online: <https://open.library.ubc.ca/media/stream/pdf/52966/1.0314331/5> (accessed on 11 November 2023).
  71. Bioenergy Europe. Statistical Pellet Report 2019. Available online: [https://epc.bioenergyeurope.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/02/SR19\\_Pellet\\_final-web-1.pdf](https://epc.bioenergyeurope.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/02/SR19_Pellet_final-web-1.pdf) (accessed on 6 October 2023).
  72. Kummamuru, B. WBA Global Bioenergy Statistics 2017; World Bioenergy Association: Stockholm, Sweden, 2016.
  73. Hernández, D.; Fernández-Puratich, H.; Rebolledo-Leiva, R.; Tenreiro, C.; Gabriel, D. Evaluation of Sustainable Manufacturing of Pellets Combining Wastes from Olive Oil and Forestry Industries. *Ind. Crops Prod.* 2019, 134, 338–346.
  74. Beyer, B.; Lauven, L.; Schröder, T. *Bioenergy Pathway Sustainability Assessment in Germany*; Georg-August University Goettingen: Göttingen, Germany, 2014.
  75. Schröder, T.; Lauven, L.-P.; Beyer, B.; Lerche, N.; Geldermann, J. Using PROMETHEE to Assess Bioenergy Pathways. *Cent. Eur. J. Oper. Res.* 2019, 27, 287–309.
  76. Tumuluru, J.S. Effect of Pellet Die Diameter on Density and Durability of Pellets Made from High Moisture Woody and Herbaceous Biomass. *Carbon Resour. Convers.* 2018, 1, 44–54.
  77. Prvulovic, S.; Gluvakov, Z.; Tolmac, J.; Tolmac, D.; Matic, M.; Brkic, M. Methods for Determination of Biomass Energy Pellet Quality. *Energy Fuels* 2014, 28, 2013–2018.
  78. Arshadi, M.; Gref, R.; Geladi, P.; Dahlqvist, S.-A.; Lestander, T. The Influence of Raw Material Characteristics on the Industrial Pelletizing Process and Pellet Quality. *Fuel Process. Technol.* 2008, 89, 1442–1447.
  79. Abdoli, M.A.; Golzary, A.; Hosseini, A.; Sadeghi, P. Wood Pellet Production Standards. In *Wood Pellet as a Renewable Source of Energy*; University of Tehran Science and Humanities Series; Springer International Publishing: Cham, Switzerland, 2018; pp. 101–110. ISBN 978-3-319-74481-0.
  80. Obernberger, I.; Thek, G. *The Pellet Handbook: The Production and Thermal Utilisation of Pellets*; Routledge: London, UK, 2010; ISBN 1-84407-631-8.
  81. Kofman, P.D. Review of Worldwide Standards for Solid Biofuels. *COFORD Process. Prod.* 2016, 39, 1–12.
  82. ISO 17225-2:2014; Solid Biofuels—Fuel Specifications and Classes Part 2: Graded Wood Pellets. The British Standards Institution: London, UK, 2014.
  83. ISO 17225-6:2014; Solid Biofuels—Fuel Specifications and Classes Part 6: Graded Non-Woody Pellets. The British Standards Institution: London, UK, 2014.
  84. Alakangas, E. European Standards for Fuel Specification and Classes of Solid Biofuels. In *Solid Biofuels for Energy*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2011; pp. 21–41.
  85. ISO 17225-2:2021; Solid Biofuels—Fuel Specifications and Classes Part 2: Graded Wood Pellets. The British Standards Institution: London, UK, 2021.
  86. ISO 17225-6:2021; Solid Biofuels—Fuel Specifications and Classes Part 6: Graded Non-Woody Pellets. The British Standards Institution: London, UK, 2021.
-

