

Immunotherapy or Immuno-Chemotherapy in Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer

Subjects: [Surgery](#)

Contributor: Shehab Mohamed , Luca Bertolaccini , Monica Casiraghi , Domenico Galetta , Francesco Petrella , Filippo de Marinis , Lorenzo Spaggiari

Surgical resections remain the gold standard for early stages non-small-cell carcinoma (NSCLC) and may be considered for locally advanced tumors. Medical treatment has changed drastically, especially for advanced stages, for which the development of immunotherapy and molecular targeted therapy significantly increased survival and quality of life. The addition of radical surgical resection following immunotherapy or immuno-chemotherapy is feasible and safe with low surgical-related mortality and morbidity in selected patients with initially unresectable NSCLC.

lung cancer

immunotherapy

thoracic surgery

1. Introduction

Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer death worldwide. Many new treatment modalities for non-small-cell carcinoma (NSCLC) have been described in the last two decades, introducing thoracic surgery to a multimodality approach ^[1]. Surgical resections remain the gold standard for early stages (I-II) and are considered in a multidisciplinary approach for stage IIIA. Medical treatment has changed drastically in recent years, especially for advanced stages (IIIB-IV). For unresectable or metastatic diseases, the development of immunotherapy and molecular targeted therapy significantly increased survival and quality of life in lung cancer patients.

Early-stage NSCLC is defined as localized cancer and refers to stages I, II, and IIIA as described by the 8th edition of TNM ^[2]. Locally advanced tumors include those with direct invasions, such as Pancoast's tumors, chest wall infiltrating neoplasia, and tumors with invasion of the main bronchus, the carina, or the pulmonary artery, which require extended pulmonary resections and complex reconstructions of the chest wall, airways, or vessels ^{[3][4]}.

However, locally advanced tumors also include those with a mediastinal lymph node involvement defining a very heterogeneous group of patients (stage IIIA-IIIB). For locally advanced tumors, surgery may be considered. However, optimal therapeutic management requires an interdisciplinary approach in order to evaluate the extension of the disease at the diagnosis, the patient's comorbidities and the performance status before the operation, surgical operability, and a systemic induction treatment (also referred to as neo-adjuvant treatment) when indicated for the disease stage, including its potentially toxic effects. In this case, to improve long-term outcomes, the treatment could include platinum-based chemotherapy and, in selected cases, a specific radiotherapy program to reduce tumor size and lymph node involvement before complete resection. Several

studies compared survival and outcomes between definitive chemo-radiotherapy and surgery after induction treatment (chemotherapy or chemo-radiotherapy) [5].

Chemotherapy drugs, especially platinum-based compounds, are associated with side effects [6]. In this view, numerous molecular mutations in cancer biology have been searched and identified in NSCLC patients to develop new therapeutic strategies with lesser adverse reactions and better oncological outcomes in recent years. Specific molecular mutations may classify new therapies as tyrosine kinase inhibitors (target therapy) or immune checkpoint inhibitors (immunotherapy).

Regarding tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKI), the most commonly identified targets in the adenocarcinoma setting are activating gene K-RAS and EGFR [7], re-arranged genes ALK and ROS-1 [8][9], and many others. Though molecularly targeted therapies in the neo-adjuvant setting are associated with a decrease in the risk of recurrence and an increase in the mediastinal downstaging rate, they are not associated with a complete pathological response [10].

In the last decade, different retrospective studies have shown significant outcome changes in previously unresectable diseases treated with tyrosine kinase inhibitors followed by lung resections for residual disease when feasible [11][12]. Based on these promising results, the latest National Association of Medical Oncology guidelines confirmed that all patients with non-squamous histology or mixed and young non-smoker patients with squamous histology should be tested for ALK and EGFR [13][14].

Erlotinib safety, tolerability, and pathological responses were evaluated in patients with EGFR-mutated NSCLC in a phase II study which showed encouraging results [15]. A reasonable response rate was found on using Lorlatinib and Crizotinib as neoadjuvant therapy in a significant phase III trial in patients with advanced rearranged-ALK NSCLC [16].

Regarding the role of the immune checkpoint inhibitors, Durvalumab has been established in the PACIFIC trial as the standard of care for stage III unresectable NSCLC patients as consolidation therapy after concurrent chemoradiation [17]. This role has been questioned in patients affected by EGFR-mutated NSCLC after definitive chemo-radiotherapy [18].

2. Neoadjuvant Immunotherapy or Immuno-Chemotherapy in Resectable NSCLC

Administering immune checkpoint inhibitors alone or in combination with chemotherapy and followed by surgical resections can benefit patients in terms of OS and DFS. Despite surgical resection being the standard of care for early-stage NSCLC, micrometastases, and isolated tumor cells are very challenging to detect by current technologies. Immune checkpoint inhibitors with or without chemotherapy combined with surgery can lower the probability of recurrence eradicating the micrometastases (Table 1 and Table 2).

Table 1. Clinical Trials: Neoadjuvant immunotherapy in resectable NSCLC.

Neoadjuvant Immunotherapy								
Trial/Study Name	Phase	Patient N	Neoadjuvant Therapy	Patient Population	Outcomes	Safety	MPR	PCR
NEOSTAR [19]	II	88	Nivolumab, Nivolumab ± Ipilimumab	IA-III A	Median OS and RFS not reached at 22.2 months	NR	22% vs. 38%	9% vs. 29%
LCMC3 [20]	II	181	Atezolizumab	IB-III B (resectable)	NR	NR	20%	7%
Gao et al. [21]	Ib	40	Sintilimab	IA-III B	R0 in 37/40	12.5% TRAEs grade 3–5	40.50%	16.20%
NEOMUN [22]	II	15	Pembrolizumab	II-III A	NR	33% TRAEs	13%	13%
PRINCEPS [23]	II	30	Atezolizumab	IA-III A	R0 in 29/30	3.3% TRAEs	14%	0%
IONESCO [24]	II	50	Durvalumab	IB (≥4 cm)-III A	R0 in 45/50	9% of death in 90 days	18.60%	7%
Tong et al. [25]	II	30	Pembrolizumab	IB-III A	R0 in 22/25	4% grade 3 TRAEs	28%	0%

Neoadjuvant Immunotherapy								
Trial/Study Name	Phase	Patient N	Neoadjuvant Therapy	Patient Population	Outcomes	Safety	MPR	PCR
Altorki et al. [26]	II	60	Durvalumab ± radiotherapy	IA-IIIa	R0 in 26/30 vs. 26/30	17% vs. 20% grade 3–4 TRAEs	6.7% vs. 26.6%	0% vs. 26.6%

2. Detterbeck, F.C.; Beldi, D.R.; Kim, A.W.; Tanoue, L.B. The eighth edition lung cancer stage classification. Chest 2017, 151, 193–203.

MPR: Major Pathological Response, PCR: Pathological Complete Response. TRAEs: Treatment-Related Adverse Events, OS: Overall Survival, NR: Not Reported.

3. Casiraghi, M.; Maisonneuve, P.; Piperno, G.; Bellini, R.; Brambilla, D.; Petrella, F.; Marinis, F.; Spaggiari, L. Salvage Surgery After Definitive Chemoradiotherapy for Non-small Cell Lung Cancer. Semin Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2017, 29, 233–241.

Table 2. Clinical Trials: Neoadjuvant Immuno-Chemotherapy in resectable NSCLC.

4. Varela, G.; Thomas, P.A. Surgical management of advanced non-small cell lung cancer. J.

Neoadjuvant Immuno-Chemotherapy								
Trial/Study Name	Phase	Patient N	Neoadjuvant Therapy	Patient Population	Outcomes	Safety	MPR	PCR
NADIM [27]	II	46	Nivolumab + Carboplatin + Paclitaxel	IIIA	PFS 77%, OS 12–18-24 m: 97.8–93.5–89.9%	30% TRAEs grade 3–4	83%	71%
Shu et al. [28]	II	30	Atezolizumab + Carboplatin + nab-paclitaxel	IB-IIIa	R0 in 26/29 pts	50% TRAEs grade 3–4	57%	33%
NADIM II [29]	II	86	Paclitaxel + Carboplatin ± Nivolumab	IIIA-IIIB	Median OS 81.9% at 36 m	25% vs. 10.3% TRAEs grade 3–4	52.6% vs. 13.8%	36.8% vs. 6.9%
CheckMate 816 [30]	III	358	CT ± Nivolumab	IB-IIIa	R0 in 83% vs. 75%	11% vs. 15%	37% vs. 9%	24% vs. 2%

Randomized Phase III Study. J. Clin. Oncol. 2019, 37, 2235–2243.

Neoadjuvant Immuno-Chemotherapy								
Trial/Study Name	Phase	Patient N	Neoadjuvant Therapy	Patient Population	Outcomes	Safety	MPR	PCR
						TRAEs grade 3–4		
KEYNOTE 671 [31]	III	NR	Pembrolizumab + CT	IIA-III A-IIIB (N2)	NR	NR	NR	NR
AEGEAN [32]	III	NR	CT ± Durvalumab	IIA-III A-IIIB (N2)	NR	NR	NR	NR
Checkmate 77T [19]	III	NR	CT ± Nivolumab	IIA-III B (T3N2)	NR	NR	NR	NR
IMPOWER 030 [33]	III	NR	CT ± Atezolizumab	II-III A-IIIB (T3N2)	NR	NR	NR	NR

16. Shaw, A.T.; Bauer, T.M.; de Marinis, F.; Felip, E.; Goto, Y.; Liu, G.; Mazieres, J.; Kim, D.-W.; Mok, T.; Polli, A.; et al. First-line Lorlatinib or Crizotinib in advanced ALK-positive lung cancer. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2020, 383, 2018–2029.

MPR: Major Pathological Response, PCR: Pathological Complete Response. TRAEs: Treatment-Related Adverse Events, PFS: Progression-Free Survival, OS: Overall Survival, CT: Platinum-doublet chemotherapy, m: months, overall survival with durvalumab after chemo-radiotherapy in stage III NSCLC-update from PACIFIC. *J. Thorac. Oncol.* 2020, 15, 288–293.

17. Gray, J.E.; Villegas, A.; Daniel, D.; Vicente, D.; Murakami, S.; Hui, R.; Antonia, S.J. Three-year survival with durvalumab after chemo-radiotherapy in stage III NSCLC-update from PACIFIC. *J. Thorac. Oncol.* 2020, 15, 288–293.

3. Adjuvant Immunotherapy

18. Arellano, V.; Mambrot, R.; Helyer, J.A.; Amini, A.; Neal, J.W.; Padda, S.K.; McCoach, C.E.; Riess, J.W.; Cabebe, E.C.; Naidoo, J.; et al. Durvalumab for stage III EGFR-mutated NSCLC after definitive chemoradiotherapy. *J. Thorac. Oncol.* 2021, 16, 1036–1041.

19. Cascone, T.; Provencio, M.; Sepesi, B.; Lu, S.; Aanur, N.; Li, S.; Spicer, J. Checkmate 77T: A phase III Trial of Neoadjuvant Nivolumab (NIVO) Plus Chemotherapy (Chemo) Followed by Adjuvant Nivo in Resectable Early-Stage NSCLC. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 2020, 38, TPS9076.

20. Li, J.; Chaitin, N.; Nicholas, A.; Patterson, A.; Waqar, S.; Toloza, E.; Haura, E.; Raz, D.; Reckamp, K.; Merritt, R.; et al. PS01.05 Surgical and Clinical Outcomes with Neoadjuvant Atezolizumab in Resectable Stage IB–IIB NSCLC: LEMCS Trial Primary Analysis. *J. Thorac. Oncol.* 2021, 16, 559–561.

21. Cao, S.; Li, N.; Gao, S.; Xu, Q.; Ying, M.; Wang, S.; Tao, X.; Zhao, Y.; Wang, B.; et al. Neoadjuvant PD-1 inhibitor (Sintilimab) in NSCLC: a phase I/IIa trial. *Chin J Clin Oncol* 2022, 15, 816–826. New trials are studying the efficacy of ICIs also in early-stage NSCLC patients.
22. Eichhorn, F.; Klotz, L.V.; Kriegsmann, M.; Bischoff, H.; Schneider, M.A.; Muley, T.; Kriegsmann, K.; Haberkorn, U.; Heussel, C.P.; Savaj, R.; et al. Neoadjuvant anti-programmed death-1 immunotherapy by pembrolizumab in resectable non-small cell lung cancer: First clinical adjuvant treatment for resectable NSCLC (Stage IB-IIIa and resectable IIIB) (Table 3): experience. *Lung Cancer* 2021, 153, 150–157.
23. Besse, B.; Adam, J.; Cloze, N.; Chaput Gras, N.; Marchand, D.; Mezquita, L.; Masip, J.R.; Lavoue, F.; Nallet, C.; Sazdovitch, A.; et al. 22150—SC Neoadjuvant atezolizumab and/or resectable non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC): Results from the phase II PRINCEP trial. *Ann. Oncol.* 2020, 31, S794–S795. 1%, the patients who had disease progression were 35% of patients receiving Atezolizumab and 46% of patients receiving best supportive care, reducing the risk of recurrence by 34% (HR = 0.66; 95% CI: 0.50–0.88) [37]. Due to these promising results, the FDA approved Atezolizumab as adjuvant R.; Jeannin, G.; Molinier, O.; et al. 12140 Neoadjuvant durvalumab in resectable non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC): Preliminary results from a multicenter study (IFCT-1601 IONESCO). *Ann. Oncol.* 2020, 31, S794.
24. Wislez, M.; Mazieres, J.; Lavole, A.; Zalcman, G.; Carre, O.; Egenod, T.; Caliandro, R.; Gervais, R.; Jeannin, G.; Molinier, O.; et al. 12140 Neoadjuvant durvalumab in resectable non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC): Preliminary results from a multicenter study (IFCT-1601 IONESCO). *Ann. Oncol.* 2020, 31, S794.
25. Tong, B.; Chen, G.; Wang, P.S.; Wislez, M.; Phillips, A.; Harpole, D.H.; Klapper, J.A.; et al. 12140 Neoadjuvant durvalumab in resectable non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC): Preliminary results from a multicenter study (IFCT-1601 IONESCO). *Ann. Oncol.* 2020, 31, S794.
26. Aitorki, N.K.; McGraw, T.; Borczuk, A.C.; Saxena, A.; Port, J.L.; Stiles, B.M.; Lee, B.E.; Sanfilippo, N.J.; Scheff, R.J.; Pua, B.B.; et al. Neoadjuvant durvalumab with or without stereotactic body radiotherapy in patients with early-stage non-small-cell lung cancer: A single-center, randomized phase 2 trial. *Lancet Oncol.* 2021, 22, 824–835.
27. Revon, M.; Nadal, E.; Insa, A.; Garcia-Campor, M.R.; Calatayud, A.; Domínguez, M.; Moya, M.; Rodríguez-Arce, D.; Martínez-Martí, A.; de Castro, J.; et al. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy and durvalumab in resectable non-small cell lung cancer (NADIM): A multicenter, single-arm, phase 2 trial. *Lancet Oncol.* 2022, 23, 1413–1422. based on the PD-L1 status, the 3-year median DES which was 65.9% vs. 57.6% in PD-L1 ≥ 50%, 54.6% vs. 44.8% in PD-L1 1–49%, and 55.5% vs. 48.8% in PD-L1 < 1% [42].
28. Shu, C.; Gainor, J.F.; Awad, M.M.; Chiu, C.; Grigg, C.M.; Pabani, A.; Garofano, R.F.; Stoopler, M.B.; Cheng, S.K.; White, A.; et al. Neoadjuvant atezolizumab and chemotherapy in patients with resectable non-small-cell lung cancer: An open-label, multicenter, single-arm, phase 2 trial. *Lancet Oncol.* 2020, 21, 786–795.
29. Bruni, M.; Serra, R.; Nadal, E.; Insa, A.; Garcia-Campor, M.R.; Calatayud, A.; Domínguez, M.; Moya, M.; Rodríguez-Arce, D.; Martínez-Martí, A.; de Castro, J.; et al. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy and durvalumab in resectable non-small cell lung cancer (NADIM): A multicenter, single-arm, phase 2 trial. *Lancet Oncol.* 2022, 23, 1413–1422. based on the PD-L1 status, the 3-year median DES which was 65.9% vs. 57.6% in PD-L1 ≥ 50%, 54.6% vs. 44.8% in PD-L1 1–49%, and 55.5% vs. 48.8% in PD-L1 < 1% [42].
30. Spicer, J.; Wang, C.; Tanaka, F.; Saylors, G.B.; Chen, K.N.; Liberman, M.; Vokes, E.E.; Girard, N.; Lu, S.; Provencio, M.; et al. Surgical Outcomes from the Phase 3 CheckMate 816 Trial:

As nivolumab (NIVO) + Platinum Doublet Chemotherapy (Chemo) vs Chemo Alone as Neoadjuvant Treatment for Patients with Resectable Non-small Cell Lung Cancer (NSCLC) in the COIN-2 trial [50]. Future results will define the efficacy and duration of adjuvant therapy, as well as the predictors of response and the combination of multiple therapies.

31. Tsuboi, M.; Luft, A.; Ursol, G.; Kato, T.; Levchenko, E.; Eigendorff, E.; Berard, H.; Zurawski, B.;

Demedts, I.; Garassino, M.C.; et al. 1235TIP Perioperative Pembrolizumab + Platinum-Based Chemotherapy for Resectable Locally Advanced Non-small Cell Lung Cancer: The Phase III

Table 3. Clinical Trials: Adjuvant Immunotherapy, Immuno-Chemotherapy in resectable NSCLC.

Adjuvant Immunotherapy						
Trial/Study Name	Phase	Patient N	Neoadjuvant Therapy	Patient Population	Outcomes	Safety
IMpower010 [38][39][40]	III	1280	CT ± Atezolizumab	IB-IIIA	PD-L1 ≥ 50%, EGFR+, ALK+: 3-y DFS 73.8% vs. 48.6%.	NR
					PD-L1 ≥ 50%, EGFR–, ALK–: 3-y DFS 75.1% vs. 50.4%.	
					PD-L1 ≥ 1%: 5-y OS 76.8% vs. 67.5%.	
					PD-L1 ≥ 50%: 5-y OS 84.8% vs. 67.5%.	
KEYNOTE-091 [41][42]	III	1177	Pembrolizumab vs. Placebo	IB-IIIA	Median DFS 53.6 months vs. 42.0 months.	NR
					PD-L1 ≥ 50%: 3-y DFS 65.9% vs. 57.6%.	
					PD-L1 1–49%: 3-y DFS 54.6% vs. 44.8%.	
					PD-L1 < 1%: 3-y DFS 55.5% vs. 48.8%	

Available online: <https://www.fda.gov/drugs/resources-information-approveddrugs/fda-approves-atezolizumab-adjuvant-treatment-non-small-cell-lung-cancer> (accessed on 6 February 2023).

39. Felip, E.; Altorki, N.K.; Zhou, C.; Vallieres, E.; Vynnychenko, I.O.; Akopov, A.; Martinez-Marti, A.; Chella, A.; Bondarenko, I.; Ursol, G.; et al. 800 atezolizumab (atezo) vs best supportive care

Adjuvant Immunotherapy							Phase III
Trial/Study Name	Phase	Patient N	Neoadjuvant Therapy	Patient Population	Outcomes	Safety	ti, A.; rim J. D.; / for n -1286. D.; olizumab ce and 97–202. in, Clin. R: Not n, Z., 9077. Abbosh, C.; Mann, H.; May, R.; et al. 93TiP MERMAID-2: Phase III study of durvalumab in patients with resected, stage I–IIA NSCLC who receive curative-intent therapy. J. Thorac. Oncol. 2021, 16 (Suppl. S3), S258–S259. 47. Spigel, D.; Peters, S.; Ahn, M.-J.; Tsuboi, M.; Chait, J.; Harpole, D.; Barlesi, F.; Abbosh, C.; Mann, H.; May, R.; et al. 93TiP MERMAID-2: Phase III study of durvalumab in patients with resected, stage I–IIA NSCLC who receive curative-intent therapy. J. Thorac. Oncol. 2021, 16 (Suppl. S4), S745–S746. The studies show no benefit for immunotherapy (Durvalumab) in dGA-NSCLC patients, except for NSCLC patients 48. Zhang, L.; Gong, Z. Clinical characteristics and prognostic factors in bone metastases from lung cancer. Med. Sci. Monit. 2017, 23, 4087–4094. 49. Hieronymus, H.; Schultz, N.; Gopalan, A.; Carver, B.S.; Chang, M.T.; Xiao, Y.; Heguy, A.; Huberman, K.; Bernstein, M.; Assel, M.; et al. Copy number alteration burden predicts prostate cancer relapse. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2014, 111, 11139–11144.
BR31/IFCT1401 [43]	III	1415	Durvalumab vs. Placebo	IB-IIIA	Not yet	Not yet	
ANVIL [44]	III	903	Nivolumab vs. Observation	IB-IIIA	Not yet	Not yet	
ALCHEMIST Chemio-IO [45]	III	1210	CT ± Nivolumab	IIA-IIIB	Not yet	Not yet	
MERMAID-1 [46]	III	332	CT ± Durvalumab	II-III, MRD	Not yet	Not yet	
MERMAID-2 [47]	III	284	Durvalumab vs. Placebo	II-III, MRD	Not yet	Not yet	
NADIM-ADJUVANT [48]	III	210	Paclitaxel + Carboplatin ± Nivolumab	IB-IIIA	Not yet	Not yet	
LungMate-008 [49]	III	341	CT ± Toripalimab	II-IIIB	Not yet	Not yet	

could range from local therapies (such as radiotherapy) to systemic therapies (such as standard chemotherapy, targeted therapy, and immunotherapy), which may be used alone or in association with each other.

4.1. Unresectable Stage III NSCLC

(Suppl. S3), S258–S259.

47. Spigel, D.; Peters, S.; Ahn, M.-J.; Tsuboi, M.; Chait, J.; Harpole, D.; Barlesi, F.; Abbosh, C.; Mann, H.; May, R.; et al. 93TiP MERMAID-2: Phase III study of durvalumab in patients with resected, stage I–IIA NSCLC who receive curative-intent therapy. J. Thorac. Oncol. 2021, 16 (Suppl. S4), S745–S746.

The studies show no benefit for immunotherapy (Durvalumab) in dGA-NSCLC patients, except for NSCLC patients

48. Zhang, L.; Gong, Z. Clinical characteristics and prognostic factors in bone metastases from lung cancer. Med. Sci. Monit. 2017, 23, 4087–4094.

Table 4. Clinical Trials: Immuno- Immuno-Chemo- Immuno-Chemo-Radiotherapy in unresectable NSCLC.

49. Hieronymus, H.; Schultz, N.; Gopalan, A.; Carver, B.S.; Chang, M.T.; Xiao, Y.; Heguy, A.; Huberman, K.; Bernstein, M.; Assel, M.; et al. Copy number alteration burden predicts prostate cancer relapse. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2014, 111, 11139–11144.

Unresectable Stage III NSCLC									January
Trial/Study Name	Phase	Patient N	Treatment Regimen	Patient Population	Outcomes	Safety	MPR	PCR	
Van Reij et al. [51]	I	319	RT ± CT (Sequential vs. concurrent)	IIIA-IIIB	Seq: Median OS 17.4 m OS 5-y 17%, Con: Median OS 18.6 m OS 5-y 19%	NR	NR	NR	
Bradley et al. [52]	III	544	Concurrent CT + High/low dose RT ± Cetuximab	IIIA-IIIB	High-dose RT Median OS 28.7 m, Standard-dose RT Median OS 20.3 m	NR	NR	NR	
PACIFIC (Antonia et al.) 2017 [53]	III	713	Durvalumab vs. placebo	IIIA-IIIB	Median PFS 16.8 m vs. 5.6 m, Median time to death or distant metastasis 23.2 m vs. 14.6 m	29.9% vs. 26.1% TRAEs grade 3–4	NR	9% vs. 7%	
PACIFIC (Spigel et al.) 2022 [54]	III	713	Durvalumab vs. placebo	IIIA-IIIB	Median OS 47.5 m vs. 29.1 m, Median PFS 16.9 m vs. 5.6 m	NR	NR	NR	
PACIFIC-R [55]	III	1399	Durvalumab vs. placebo	IIIA-IIIB	Median PFS 21.7 m, Median OS NR	AESIs 27.7%	NR	NR	

cancer. J. Clin. Oncol. 2022, 40, 3383–3393.

59. PACIFIC-9. A Global Study to Assess the Effects of Durvalumab with Oleclumab or Durvalumab with Monalizumab Following Concurrent Chemoradiation in Patients with Stage III Unresectable

Unresectable Stage III NSCLC

Trial/Study Name	Phase	Patient N	Treatment Regimen	Patient Population	Outcomes	Safety	MPR	PCR	
PACIFIC-6 [56]	II	117	Durvalumab	IIIA-IIIB	Median PFS 10.9 m, 12 m OS rate 84.1%	4% TRAEs	NR	0.80%	B.; umab as and 781– therapy
PACIFIC-2 [57]	III	300	Durvalumab	IIIA-IIIB	Not yet	Not yet	Not yet	Not yet	rapy in
COAST [58]	II	189	Durvalumab ± Oleclumab or Monalizumab	IIIA-IIIB	Median PFS 6.3 with Durvalumab, NR Oleclumab, 15.1 m Monalizumab	TRAEs 39.4% with D, 40.7% with D + O, 27.9% with D + M	NR	3% with D, 1.7% with D + O, 4.8% with D + M	y 2023). le 3 Surgery. 2 Clinical
PACIFIC-9 [59]	II	/	Durvalumab ± Oleclumab or Monalizumab	IIIA-IIIB	Not yet	Not yet	Not yet	Not yet	sectable online:
CITYSCAPE [60]	II	135	Tiragolumab + Atezolizumab vs. Placebo	IIIA-IIIB	Median PFS 5.4 m vs. 3.6 m	TRAEs 12% vs. 3% grade 3–4–5	NR	NR	scaux, I lung , Oncol. rnardi, s with 018. J.
KEYNOTE 799 [61]	II	214	Pembrolizumab + cCRT + Paclitaxel + Carboplatin vs.	IIIA-IIIB	Not yet	TRAEs 64.3% vs. 51%	Not yet	Not yet	s with

stage III non-resectable NSCLC with driver genomic alterations. Ann. Oncol. 2021, 32, S940–S941.

Unresectable Stage III NSCLC									
Trial/Study Name	Phase	Patient N	Treatment Regimen	Patient Population	Outcomes	Safety	MPR	PCR	
			Pembrolizumab + cCRT + Pemetrexed + Cisplatin			grade 3–4–5			
NICOLAS ^[62]	II	79	CRT ± Nivolumab	IIIA-IIIB	Median PFS 12.7 m, Median OS 38.8 m	TRAEs 9% vs. 18% grade 3–4–5	NR	NR	
Checkmate 73L ^[63]	III	/	Nivolumab + cCRT + Nivolumab ± Ipilimumab vs. cCRT + Durvalumab	IIIA-IIIB	Not yet	Not yet	Not yet	Not yet	
BTCRC-LUN16-081 ^[64]	II	105	cCRT + Nivolumab ± Ipilimumab	IIIA-IIIB	Not yet	TRAEs 32% vs. 44% grade 3–4	Not yet	Not yet	
DUART ^[65]	II	150	RT ± Durvalumab	IIIA-IIIB	Not yet	Not yet	Not yet	Not yet	
Mazieres et al. ^[66]	II	551	ICIs	IIIA-IIIB (with dGA)	Median OS 13.3 m, Median PFS 2.8 m	NR	NR	NR	

S844
concurrent Chemo-Radiotherapy, D: Durvalumab, O: Oleclumab, M: Monalizumab, m: months, ICIs: Immune-checkpoint Inhibitors, dGA: driver Genic Alteration, NR: Not Reported

78. Cummings, A.L.; Santoso, K.M.; Goldman, J.W. KEYNOTE- 021 cohorts D and H suggest modest benefit in combining Ipilimumab with pembrolizumab in second-line or later advanced non-small

Unresectable Stage III NSCLC										nab plus ation with on ly against 21, 39, without igh
Trial/Study Name	Phase	Patient N	Treatment Regimen	Patient Population	Outcomes	Safety	MPR	PCR		
Guisier et al. [67]	II	107	ICIs	IIIA-IIIB (BRAF-, HER2-,	Median OS 4.7 m,	TRAEs 10% grade	Not vet	Not yet		
Metastatic NSCLC without Driver Mutations										
Trial/Study Name	Phase	Patient N	Treatment Regimen	Patient Population	Outcomes	Safety	E			
CheckMate 017 [69]	III	272	Nivolumab vs. Docetaxel	IIIB-IV squamous NSCLC	Median PFS: 3.5 m vs. 2.8 m.	Any AE: 58% vs. 86%	sta, E.; umab in			
CheckMate 057 [70]	III	582	Nivolumab vs. Docetaxel	IIIB-IV non-squamous NSCLC	Median OS 9.2 m vs. 6.0 m	Any AE: 69% vs. 88%	.; im- ecurrent			
KEYNOTE- 001 [71]	I	550	Pembrolizumab every 2 or 3 weeks	Locally advanced/metastatic NSCLC	Median OS 22.3 m, 10.5 m	TRAEs 12% vs. 6% grade 3–5	linical 2239900 tic Lung n etastatic s with (KI)			

Immunotherapy. Clinical Trial Information: NCT02864251. Available online: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02864251> (accessed on 14 January 2023).

Metastatic NSCLC without Driver Mutations							-H.; with n after 61562.
Trial/Study Name	Phase	Patient N	Treatment Regimen	Patient Population	Outcomes	Safety	
KEYNOTE- 024 [72]	III	305	Pembrolizumab vs. CT	Advanced NSCLC	Median PFS 10.3 m vs. 6 m, Median OS 30 m vs. 14.2 m	Any AE: 73% vs. 90%	ab, in 6136. y 2023). Small
KEYNOTE- 042 [73]	III	1274	Pembrolizumab vs. CT	Locally advanced/metastatic NSCLC (PD-L1 ≥ 50%, ≥20%, 1%)	Median PFS 7.1 m, 6.2 m, 5.4 m vs. 6.4 m, 6.6 m, 6.5 m. Median OS 20 m, 17.7 m, 16.7 m vs. 12.2 m, 13.0 m, 12.1 m	NR	
Govindan R et al. [74]	III	956	Carboplatin + Paclitaxel ± Ipilimumab	IV or recurrent squamous NSCLC	Median PFS 5.6 m, 5.6 m. Median OS 13.4 m, 12.4 m	TRAEs 51% vs. 35% grade 3–4	
NEPTUNE [75]	III	/	Durvalumab + Tremelimumab vs. CT	Metastatic	Not Yet	Not Yet	

Metastatic NSCLC without Driver Mutations						
Trial/Study Name	Phase	Patient N	Treatment Regimen	Patient Population	Outcomes	Safety
MYSTIC [76]	III	1118	Durvalumab ± Tremelimumab vs. CT	Metastatic	Median PFS 4.3 m, 3.9 m, 5.4 m; Median OS 16.3 m, 11.9 m, 12.9 m	Any AE: 54% vs. 60% vs. 83%
POSEIDON [77]	III	/	Durvalumab + CT ± Tremelimumab followed by Durvalumab ± Tremelimumab; vs. CT	Metastatic	Not Yet	Not Yet
KEYNOTE-021 [78]	I/II	44	Pembrolizumab ± Ipilimumab	Advanced NSCLC	Median PFS 4.1 m, Median OS 10.9 m	TRAEs 29% grade 3–5
KEYNOTE-598 [79]	III	568	Pembrolizumab ± Ipilimumab	Metastatic	Median PFS 8.2 m, 8.4 m. Median OS 21.4 m, 21.9 m	TRAEs 62.4% vs. 50.2% grade 3–5
EMPOWER-lung 4 [80]	II	28	Cemiplimab (3 weeks) ± Ipilimumab or	Advanced NSCLC	PD-L1 1–50%: ORR	TRAEs 18.2%,

Metastatic NSCLC without Driver Mutations						
Trial/Study Name	Phase	Patient N	Treatment Regimen	Patient Population	Outcomes	Safety
Cemiplimab (108 weeks)					45.5%	18.2%
					PD-L1 <1%: ORR 36%	
Checkmate 012 [81]	I	78	Nivolumab + Ipilimumab (every 12 or 6 weeks)	Recurrent IIIB or IV	Median PFS 8.1 m, 3.9 m. Median OS NR	Any AE: 82%, 72%
Checkmate 227 [82]	III	1739	PD-L1 pos or neg: Nivolumab ± Ipilimumab vs. platinum-based CT	IV or recurrent	Median PFS: PD-L1 ≥1%: 5.1 m, 4.2 m, 5.6 m, PD-L1 <1%: 5.1 m, 5.6 m, 4.7 m; Median OS: PD-L1 ≥1%: 17.1 m, 15.7 m, 14.9 m,	Any AE: 77%, 65.5, 84%, 76%, 92%, 78%

Metastatic NSCLC without Driver Mutations							
Trial/Study Name	Phase	Patient N	Treatment Regimen	Patient Population	Outcomes	Safety	
Checkmate 9LA [83]	III	719	Platinum-based CT ± Nivolumab + Ipilimumab	IV or recurrent	PD-L1 <1%: 17.2 m, 15.2 m, 12.2 m.		
					Median PFS 6.8 m, 5 m.	Any AE:	
					Median OS 15.6 m, 10.9 m	91%, 87%	
NCT02239900 [84]	I/II	35	Ipilimumab + SBRT	Advanced NSCLC	Median PFS 3.2 m, Median OS 10.2 m	TRAES 34% grade 3	
NCT03223155 [85]	I	78	Nivolumab/ipilimumab + sequential or concurrent SBRT	Metastatic	Not Yet	Not Yet	

TRAES: Treatment-Related Adverse Events, PFS: Progression-Free Survival, OS: Overall Survival, CT: Platinum-based chemotherapy, m: months, ORR: Overall Response Rate, AE: Adverse Effects, NR: Not Reported.

4.3. Metastatic NSCLC with Driver Mutations

The three clinical trials are ongoing, and the results are pending (Table 6).

Table 6. Clinical Trials: Immuno-, Immuno-Chemo-, Immuno-Chemo-radiotherapy in metastatic NSCLC with driver mutations.

Metastatic NSCLC with Driver Mutations						
Trial/Study Name	Phase	Patient N	Treatment Regimen	Patient Population	Outcomes	Safety
Mazieres et al. [69]	II	551	ICIs	Metastatic NSCLC EGFR+ and ALK+	Median PFS 2.1 m, 2.5 m	NR
Chalmers AW et al. [86]	Ib	14	Ipilimumab + Erlotinib/Crizotinib	Advanced NSCLC EGFR+ and ALK+	Median PFS 17.9 m, 21.4 m; Median OS > 42 m, >47 m	TRAEs 78% vs. 33% grade 3
CheckMate 722 [87]	III	367	Nivolumab + Pemetrexed/CT or Nivolumab + Ipilimumab vs. Pemetrexed + CT	Metastatic or Recurrent NSCLC	Not Yet	Not Yet
Mok et al. [88]	III	294	Nivolumab + Platinum + Pemetrexed vs. CT alone	EGFR-mutated NSCLC	Median PFS 5.6 m, 5.4 m; Median OS 19.4 m, 15.9 m	NR
NCT03256136 [89]	II	9	Nivolumab + Carboplatin + Pemetrexed or Nivolumab + Ipilimumab	EGFR+ or ALK+	Not Yet	Not Yet
NCT03091491 [90]	II	31	Nivolumab ± Ipilimumab	EGFR+	Not Yet	Not Yet

TRAEs: Treatment-Related Adverse Events, PFS: Progression-Free Survival, OS: Overall Survival, CT: Platinum-based chemotherapy, m: months, ICIs: Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors, NR: Not Reported.