# **NDIS Participants with Psychosocial Disabilities and Life-Limiting Diagnoses**

Subjects: Public Administration

Contributor: Kathy Boschen, Caroline Phelan, Sharon Lawn

Australia's National Disability Insurance Scheme (NDIS) is a key reform providing support to the disability population nationally. No peer-reviewed or grey literature was retrieved on the phenomena. Therefore, three lines of enquiry were developed: experiences of NDIS participants living with psychosocial disabilities; the death, dying, and palliative care supports and experiences of NDIS participants of any disability type; and the experiences for people living with severe and persistent mental illness (SPMI) and life-limiting diagnoses.

National Disability Insurance Scheme (NDIS) psychosocial disability

severe and persistent mental illness

palliative care

## 1. Introduction

Introduced in 2013, the National Disability Insurance Scheme (NDIS) is a world-first, once-in-a-generation reform to the Australian disability sector [1]. To ensure the sustainability of the NDIS, Australian Governments have agreed that where services are best funded under another service system, the NDIS will not fund those supports.

### 2. The Person

### 2.1. People with Psychosocial Disabilities and the NDIS

Psychosocial disabilities were a late addition to the NDIS [2], and the scheme's impact on the lives of people living with psychosocial disabilities is yet to be fully understood. To access the NDIS, a person living with a psychosocial disability needs to meet the disability requirements found in Section 24 of the National Disability Insurance Scheme Act (2013) [3]. Mental illness is one of the leading causes of disability nationally and internationally [4][5]. However, there has been significant, cogent discourse in the mental health community about the appropriateness of the NDIS for Australians living with mental illness. Most notably, it was found that there has been further fragmentation of the support systems experienced by people with SPMI 6.

### 2.2. People with Serious and Persistent Mental Illness and Palliative Care

The term "psychosocial disability" is not commonly used in the palliative care literature. Instead, the terms "severe and persistent mental illness" (SPMI) and "severe mental illness" (SMI) identify patients/clients with significant mental illnesses that are pre-existing and not secondary to their life-limiting diagnoses [7][8][9][10][11][12].

People living with SPMI have increased somatic risks, higher cancer mortality rates [13], poorer clinical outcomes [14], and die significantly earlier due to factors attributable to their mental illnesses. These factors include the side effects of psychotropic medications, unhealthy lifestyles [9], alcohol and other drug use, poor health monitoring [15] [16][17], and reduced health prevention and screening, leading to under-detection and late diagnosis of disease [8][10] [11][16][17][18][19][20][21][22]. People with SPMI are particularly vulnerable to shorter life expectancy [11][23] as they are often victims of violence [24], healthcare system neglect [16][20], and can be excluded from mainstream service support due to barriers such as homelessness [8][25][26], cultural insensitivity [27], poverty, and stigmatisation [7][8][10] [18][19][20][21][23][25][26][28][29][30][31][32][33][34][35]

### 2.3. Stigma and End-of-Life Care for People with SPMI

Fear of discrimination due to past experiences of stigma often leads people with SPMI to disconnect from services and supports [23], resulting in unmet needs across a range of areas, including mental and physical healthcare, housing, and alcohol and other drugs (AOD) treatment. In addition, this fear of discrimination can lead to ambivalence regarding receiving treatment and end-of-life and palliative care [8][10][19][26][29][31][36]. There is, however, across the research ample confirmation that people with SPMI are almost universally stigmatised within health and palliative care settings, resulting in substantial inequity, unmet need, reduced access to care, and poor end-of-life outcomes [8][10][18][19][20][23][25][26][28][29][30][31][32][33][34][37][38][39][40].

### 2.4. Psychosocial Disabilities, Capacity and Decision-Making

A frequent discussion about people with both disabilities and SPMI within the palliative care, mental health, and NDIS literature [27][41][42][43] was their cognitive capacity and ability to make decisions about their supports, palliative care, and end-of-life care. Many of the studies discussed the presumptions within medical and mental health settings that people with SPMI were, due to capacity issues, unable to make decisions or that the symptoms of their mental illness made discussions about death, dying, and palliative care overwhelming [5][7][9][10][11][18][28][30][36] [44]. Promoting and respecting existing relationships, such as with carers and multidisciplinary health/mental health teams, is key to ensuring that people with psychosocial disabilities are well supported as they die [19][28][30][32][44][45]. Where capacity exists, people with SPMI have the same rights as others to make poor decisions [11]. Notably, the NDIS Practice Standards uphold this concept of, and right to, dignity of risk [46].

# 2.5. Human Rights of People Living with Psychosocial Disabilities and Life-Limiting Illnesses

Multiple studies reveal that healthcare for people with SPMI is not equitable [4][7][8][10][16][20][29][30][31][44][47][48][49][50] [51]. Ethical challenges such as withheld treatments due to SPMIs and concerns about risks to other patients [49], fewer referrals and admissions to palliative and quality end-of-life care [16][20][29][30][44][50], and being subjected to more invasive end-of-life treatments, such as intubation, CPR, and feeding tubes [21], and being denied access to mental health care teams in their healthcare setting [44] were described. The United Nations Convention on the

Rights of Persons with Disabilities' (UNCRPD) purpose is to "promote, protect and ensure the full and equal enjoyment of all human rights and fundamental freedoms" and to "promote respect for their inherent dignity of people with disabilities" [52] (p. 4). The Objects and Principles of the NDIS Act give effect to the UNCRPD [3]. However, concerns regarding the human rights of people with disabilities [24][53][54], and/or SPMI, living with life-limiting diagnoses are expressed frequently throughout the literature [9][10][25][29][30][55].

Healthcare supports also have additional ethical complications when people with SPMI decline or withdraw from treatment [20]. Concerns regarding patient capacity, vulnerability, and risk often override their right to healthcare choices and advance care planning, and result in them being subjected to involuntary treatments [20].

Unfortunately, the NDIS has not been the promised panacea for people with disabilities since its introduction. Instead, Australia has seen an uptick in guardianship applications and financial management orders [41]. Additionally, carers of people with disabilities report that the NDIA/Local Area Coordinators (LAC) staff have inadequate levels of understanding of disability and do not have the requisite empathy and compassion that would ameliorate this inexperience [56].

This scoping research found that the human rights of people living with SPMI and a life-limiting illness are not being upheld, particularly the rights of equity, freedom of discrimination, dignity, the right to housing, and equitable access to healthcare.

### 2.6. Insecure Housing as a Barrier to Palliative Care

As a signatory to the UNCRPD, Australia recognises the right to and, therefore, should ensure <sup>[52]</sup> that all people with disabilities have access to public housing. However, the responsibility of housing sits within each state and territory governments' jurisdiction. The NDIS, therefore, does not ensure that NDIS participants are guaranteed housing if their support needs do not meet the threshold for supported disability accommodation <sup>[57]</sup>. Globally, people with SPMI are at a high risk of homelessness, and housing insecurity is recognised across the literature a significant barrier to palliative care <sup>[8][10][11][18][25][26][29][33][44]</sup>. Where people are not street living, they may be living in hostels, supported residential facilities, mental health facilities or in shared accommodation settings that make the delivery of in-home palliative care unrealisable or challenging <sup>[18]</sup>.

### 2.7. Palliative Care and Human Rights

Quality palliative care is a recognised human right [58][59]. However, the literature identified that people with disabilities and SPMI often experience inequity and have significant unmet needs [20][23][25][60] and barriers to palliative care [7][11][18][21][23][25][29][50].

### 2.8. Discussing Death, Dying and Palliative Care

Formal mental health and palliative care support providers expressed significant concern regarding discussing death and dying with people with SPMI; they also expressed concern that this would exacerbate the symptoms of

their mental illness [18][19][25]. However, when researchers conducted interviews with people living with SPMI, the concerns and themes were inconsistent with clinician concerns [19]. Indeed, in one study, people with SPMI advised that they were aware of this avoidance by clinicians, increasing their sense of abandonment [19]. Another study found that, contrary to clinician beliefs that their research participants did not experience fear of death, many people with SPMI found it a relief to talk about and that themes of death had been regularly contemplated throughout their lives [5]. Skilled companionship at the end of their lives was identified as crucial to improving end-of-life experiences for people with SPMI [5]. Additionally, the loss of their providers of mental health supports, who many view as "de facto family" is the source of significant distress [23][33][61]. People with SPMI highlighted the importance of their formal supports being trained, in some form, in both mental health and palliative care, ensuring people with SPMI and life-limiting conditions are treated holistically and do not have their care compartmentalised or avoided due to clinician discomfort [5][19][33].

### 2.9. Quality of Life

"Improving quality of life...as well as reducing physical and mental stress" [62] (p. 4) is fundamental to palliative care. People with SPMI often have a reduced quality of life throughout their lifetime, further compounded by a life-limiting diagnosis [5][20][63]. Many people with SPMI have struggled with service systems that do not respect their autonomy. When diagnosed with life-limiting conditions, there can be further declines in agency and physical capabilities with increased dependence on or being a burden to loved ones or others [5][11].

### 2.10. Symptom Management

There is often a misattribution of symptoms, diagnostic overshadowing occurs, and care teams believe physical symptoms are due to a patient's mental illness due to their communication style [5][8][26][44][55]. However, a study by Jerwood et al. [4] found that people with SPMI may hide their symptoms due to the difficulties of building new therapeutic relationships with new care teams. Communication issues with and underlying stigmatising beliefs held by health professionals can also lead to under-prescribing pain medications for people with SPMI at the end of their lives [8][20][33][44]. Quality of life during palliative and end-of-life care can be supported by managing pain [62], and research has identified this as a significant issue for people with SPMI [5][11][33][64]. Unfortunately, there appears to be confusion within the literature regarding perceptions of pain for people with SPMI. Many publications refer to a decreased response to pain [9][30] or an inability to talk about their pain in a way that others can understand [5][26]

# 3. Advocacy

NDIS participants and their informal supports require significant system knowledge and negotiation capabilities to navigate the NDIS. A lack of confidence or capacity to understand the NDIS can result in participants not receiving the support they need [41]. Carey, Malbon and Blackwell [27] advise that personalisation systems such as the NDIS require prodigious amounts of self-advocacy. Participants must understand their support needs and figure out how the NDIS can meet them [65]. Participants must know how to set NDIS goals in support plans and demonstrate that

supports for that goal are not best funded by another support system, and communicate their goals and connect their support needs, and NDIS supports, to those goals [66]. Additionally, Malbon and Blackwell found that paid advocacy results in increased funding within NDIS plans [27]. A lack of advocacy is highlighted as a contributing factor to poor outcomes for people with psychosocial disabilities in the NDIS and palliative care systems. The acknowledged importance, yet underfunding of advocacy organisations in Australia [67], would further contribute to this issue.

# 4. Informal Supports

### 4.1. Limited Informal Supports

Many people living with SPMI have little to no informal support; this can be family or friends that undertake an unpaid carer role throughout their lives. This loss can add further complexity when they are diagnosed with a severe medical condition or life-limiting illness [8][9][10][11][18][19][21][23][29][37][44][50][55][68][69][70], and attempting to navigate systems on their own [29]. Advocacy is essential to respect end-of-life advance care directives when a person has no informal supports [18][23]. Substitute decision-makers are often state-funded guardians, and there can be limitations on their powers. Decisions may be required from tribunals or courts, causing unacceptable delays in care [8][71]. Psychiatric nurses describe being considered substitute family members [28], and mental health teams often have close relationships with their clients [70]. However, people with SPMI are likely to be separated from these essential supports when they enter the health system due to their life-limiting illness [30].

### 4.2. Lack of Respect for Informal Supports

Informal supports or carers describe a lack of respect by formal support services when supporting a person with SPMI and a life-limiting condition [19][72]. Health and mental healthcare providers expect them to display expertise in the medical and psychiatric conditions of the person they are caring for, to be their advocate, and attend to their personal and intimate care needs [19][32], even though informal supports are often perceived as problematic by formal supports [18][19][28]. Informal supports safeguard people with SPMI from the gaps between silos [61]. However, they describe having to keep extensive records and being ignored when they had concerns about the person they were caring for; only heard if they expressed that they could no longer cope [73].

### 4.3. NDIS and Informal Supports

The shift to the NDIS has caused a decline in support for informal carers of people living with mental illness in Australia [2][74]. Informal supports describe extensive difficulties negotiating with NDIA [2]. LACs and planners have been described as judgmental, dismissive, and possessing limited capabilities to work collaboratively with informal supports of NDIS participants [56]. As part of the NDIS process, carers are often excluded from planning meetings, resulting in unmet participant support needs. Supports and service funding once used to support carer well-being have been redirected into the NDIS; however, NDIS funds, for the most part, provide support to NDIS participants [2], not informal supports. Other government carer supports are minimal [2], and searches through the Carer

Gateway, a government website dedicated to supporting carers, provide no clear answers about carer support. Attempting to navigate these systems substantially strains relationships between the NDIS participants and their informal and formal supports [2].

### 4.4. Investing in Informal Supports

The emotional and physical burdens and social isolation experienced by informal supports is significant [72], and it is important to acknowledge their significant economic contribution. Diminic et al.'s [74] research into the caring hours provided by informal supports of people living with SPMI in Australia estimated that they provide 186 million hours of unpaid work each year. Without these informal supports, people with SPMI would need to have more of their support needs funded by the NDIS, including the high-cost supported independent living (SIL) or independent living options (ILO). If these hours were to be funded by the NDIS, at the minimum 2021 hourly support rate of AUD 57.10, the cost of replacing informal supports would be around AUD 10.62 billion. These figures do not count any weekend, public holiday, afternoon and night shift loading, or rural or remote loading per the NDIS pricing arrangements [75]. Indeed, the "Mind the Gap" report estimated the cost of replacing unpaid carer hours at AUD 13.2 billion in 2018 and advised that not investing in unpaid carers would severely impact the funding and delivery of social services in Australia [2].

# 5. Formal Supports

There is limited research into the formal support systems of NDIS participants with psychosocial disabilities and NDIS participants with any disability who are dying, and none regarding the formal support of NDIS participants with a psychosocial disability who are dying. There has also been a dearth of research regarding how organisations can best support people with SPMI who receive a life-limiting diagnosis. However, this limited research reveals that formal support systems are inadequate [18][19][28][34][44] despite the multiple service providers involved in the lives of people with SPMI [7][26][29]. Furthermore, even though mental health, NDIS, and palliative care providers share person-centred values [4][11][30][76][77], collaboration between providers, though highly  $recommended \ and \ encouraged, \ is \ poor, \ limited \ or \ non-existent \ {\color{red} [9][11][18][19][25][30][31][33][44][76][77][78][79][80][81][82][83]}.$ The NDIS does not fund case management or care coordination, a recognised and highly valued role in mental health systems [84][85], to the detriment of NDIS participants with complex support needs. Support coordination, specialist support coordination, and LAC roles do not have clear quidelines on how to provide support, nor the funding nor jurisdiction to provide this complex support [78][86]. The NDIA does briefly explain the role of specialist support coordinators on its website; however, the criteria to obtain funding for specialist coordination in an NDIS plan are not provided. However, many NDIS participants and their informal supports are uncertain of what is available and how to request particular support [27]. There is no publicly available data on how many NDIS participants are receiving specialist support coordination or how many hours are funded. Isaacs and Firdous's [80] review of the now defunded Partners in Recovery program demonstrated that care coordination was cost-effective and efficient in supporting people living with SPMI while maintaining recovery-orientated practice. While the NDIA has co-opted recovery terminology, there is little evidence or capacity for recovery-oriented practice within the NDIS, due to its deficits-based approach [87][88][89].

Traditionally, mental health systems case-managed people with SPMI; however, resource limitations often no longer provide this comprehensive case management and are usually restricted to monitoring medication and compliance [88]. For people with SPMI who are dying, lack of care coordination [11][18][19][25] and appropriate standardised tools [9][30][33] are barriers to palliative care and contribute to poor end-of-life experience. General practitioners find navigating the NDIS [90] and palliative care systems [23] challenging, and they may have little time to spend with [23] or be responsive to the needs of [73] their patients with SPMI. The complex care needs of people with SPMI with life-limiting conditions, and a lack of appropriate referrals to specialist palliative care, results in unmet needs, distressing end-of-life outcomes, and the inability to develop trust, a therapeutic alliance, and advance care directives [18][19][23][25][33][50][70]. Workers in the palliative care [29][91], mental health [18], and NDIS sectors [24] need to 'bend the rules', work unpaid hours and go above and beyond their system's funding to support people with SPMI throughout their lives and as they die [9][20][25][42][44][78][92].

#### 5.1. Mental Health

In Australia, mental health systems consist of government-run/funded mental health systems and non-government organisations that may receive funding from either federal or state programs. The reallocation of resources to the NDIS has reduced funding in the community mental health systems around the country to varying degrees [2]. Secondary losses include loss of qualified staff and rural and remote programs, a casualised workforce, and hybrid providers that provide NDIS and fund other mental health supports, resulting in streamlining and loss of supports offered [6].

These losses of qualified supports are concerning, given that people with SPMI and life-limiting illnesses often lose access to their mental health services due to being absorbed into the health systems [33]. As a result, they can be discharged from mental health services without notice or have supports reduced. The literature shows that this leaves people with SPMI and life-limiting conditions feeling abandoned and dying alone in unfamiliar environments [19][63]. The literature also highlights the need for continuity of care and continued support from a multidisciplinary team where pre-existing therapeutic relationships are maintained and fostered, and medication management responsibilities are shared [19][32][33][63][78]. However, the research indicates that the mental health workforce often finds working with dying patients/clients challenging [28][37][44]. In addition, many organisational guidelines are not conducive to palliative care in mental health settings [18].

Mental health services have difficulties supporting dying clients [11][18][23][25][28][30][33][93][94][95][96]. This may be due to an inability to provide high-level somatic care, funding models or operational guidelines that see people with SPMI discharged from mental health supports when they enter the health system [18]. Mental health system staffs advise that they are apprehensive about supporting their clients as they die, as the skills required are not within their standard care practice and discussions about death can be confronting [18]. For some people with SPMI in Australia, mental health facilities and SDAs are considered their homes, and dying in familiar surroundings is important for some people with SPMI [5]. People with SPMI have advised that they have not been provided with information about palliative care by their mental health teams even as they withdrew their support [19].

#### **5.2. NDIS**

Similar to trends in human services in some European countries, the Australian Government designed the NDIS to deliver individualised or self-directed support to people with disabilities [79][97]. These funding models help empower NDIS participants [98] and ratify Australia's obligations under the UNCRPD [3]. However, the implementation of the NDIS has spawned many issues since it commenced transition in 2013. The NDIS is built on a foundation of middle-class norms that may lead to high administrative burdens and poorer outcomes for those from more marginalised communities [27]. David and West [53] advise a lack of "nuanced empirical data about the long-term effects of marketisation in the disability sector" (p. 333). They suggest that market-driven approaches to social services may be regressive. Cortis and van Toon [99] expressed concern regarding the private market and self-regulation of providers, and "loose parameters of oversight" (p. 122).

The NDIA has struggled to effectively support NDIS participants with psychosocial disabilities [1][2]. The literature identifies issues such as the inability to plan around fluctuating conditions, and the dichotomy of the disability model and deficits-based language with the recovery model and its associated terminology used by the mental health systems [2][6]. Systemic power imbalances cultivated within the NDIA continue through to the service delivery landscape. NDIS workers are paid less in the NDIS system than in other systems [2][89]. Many support workers have few or no qualifications, particularly in mental health, and are subjected to insecure work arrangements. There are few opportunities for professional development, and many feel they will not continue working in the disability sector. This, ultimately, will limit choice and control, further disempowering NDIS participants, particularly those in rural and remote areas [2][6][24][56][66][89][100][101][102][103].

#### 5.3. Palliative Care

Support for palliative care remains the health system's responsibility [1][57]; however, it is essential to clarify how the various systems interpret palliative care, illness, and disability. For example, there are several genetic conditions on List A, the NDIA's list of conditions that are likely to meet the disability requirements in Section 24 of the NDIS Act [3] that are life-limiting [104]. However, no published framework or guideline describes how the NDIA determines whether the palliative care system or NDIS best funds a support need [105]. The 2021–2031 National Disability Strategy policy priority [106] advises that people with disabilities should be able to choose where they live. As dying at home is the choice of many Australians and including people with SPMI [18], it is crucial to understand how the NDIS and the healthcare system intend to fund an NDIS participant's choice and control when they are dying.

While there are no publications regarding providing palliative care support to NDIS participants with primary psychosocial disabilities, palliative care providers globally experience difficulties supporting people with SPMI [11] [18][23][25][28][30][33][55][61][93][94][95][107]. Many people with SPMI are not receiving palliative care and often present to the hospital in the final stages of their life-limiting conditions [7][10][29][30][33]. They may die in acute care settings without receiving palliative care support [10][29][51]. This may be due to problems with identifying their illness [9][29][30] or that just surviving each day, and attempting to meet their basic needs of food and shelter lowers the priority of

caring for their health [29]. Subsequently, they may only present for medical assistance when their symptoms become unbearable [10][29].

The siloed nature of mental health, health, and palliative care systems has been highlighted as a barrier to palliative care for people with SPMI [7][9][10][18][23][25][28][29][30][31][93]. Often there are no ongoing relationships with medical teams or distrust of medical professionals [11][23]. GPs can be an excellent support for this cohort; however, this is not consistent, and they may also act as a barrier to palliative care [25]. Due to funding allocations, a limited number of patients are admitted into specialist palliative care, and few facilities can manage the complexity of patients with SPMI [18][28][30][95]. The diagnosis of SPMI itself may be a barrier to referral to palliative care [7][10]. The literature demonstrates that palliative care is usually structured to support normative populations [9][29]. Subsequently, clinicians struggle to support people with SPMI adequately within existing systems.

#### 5.4. Training

The literature identified that people with a life-limiting diagnosis and a pre-existing SPMI benefit from a cohesive multidisciplinary team to support them as they die [10][20][23][33]. Therefore, best practice would be that mental health teams continue to support people with SPMI once they enter other systems [93][108]. However, both mental health and palliative care clinicians recognise that they lack the necessary skills and require additional, targeted training opportunities to ensure they can confidently provide competent and caring support for this complex cohort [9][11][18] [23][25][28][31][33][44][61][95][109]

### 5.5. System Design Issues

Each state and territory government entered into bilateral agreements with the Commonwealth Government regarding how the NDIS would be funded and delivered in each state and territory. The bilateral agreements have been amended at various stages of the implementation of the NDIS, and each state and territory has negotiated slightly different arrangements with the Commonwealth (detail can be found on the NDIA website [110]). In 2015, the COAG (now National Cabinet) developed the NDIS Principles to determine the responsibilities of the NDIS and other service systems [57]. However, while these set out funding models and responsibilities, there is no clear framework or pathways where these systems intersect [78][103]. Historically the state and federal governments have been responsible for different systems. The recognised issue with gaps between siloed systems [7][8][9][10][18][19][23] [25][28][29][31][33][41][50][61][73][76][78][80][82][105][111][112] has been exacerbated, rather than resolved, with the addition of the NDIS [23][78][86][103].

The design of the NDIS, while attempting to focus on the individual, has resulted in "Taylorist administration" leading to "routinisation and data-driven planning" [41]. Carey et al.'s [27] scoping review found that the NDIS has been designed and works best for middle-class, white people. It is administratively burdensome and difficult to navigate [27][113] and excludes or provides less support for NDIS participants who are not from this social class. Neoliberal approaches to human services reduce the ability of people with disabilities and providers to work together to advocate for improved support [87]. Hummell et al.'s [78] rapid review found that while the design and

intent of the NDIS was to increase collaboration between systems, there has been a reduction in information sharing and collaboration due to increasing competition. There needs to be a significant cultural shift to change the administration and policy directions of the NDIS [27] to overcome gaps in the NDIS and health system frameworks.

#### References

- 1. Tune, D. Review of The National Disability Insurance Scheme Act 2013: Removing Red Tape and Implementing the NDIS Participant Service Guarantee; Department of Social Services: Canberra, Australia, 2019.
- 2. Smith-Merry, J.; Hancock, N.; Gilroy, J.; Llewellyn, G.; Yen, I. Mind the Gap: The National Disability Insurance Scheme and psychosocial disability. In Final Report: Stakeholder Identified Gaps and Solutions; University of Sydney: Camperdown, Australia, 2018.
- 3. Federal Register of Legislation. National Disability Insurance Scheme Act 2013 (Cth); Federal Register of Legislation: Canberra, Australia, 2013.
- 4. Picot, S.A.; Glaetzer, K.M.; Myhill, K.J. Coordinating end of life care for individuals with a mental illness—A nurse practitioner collaboration. Coll. J. R. Coll. Nurs. Aust. 2015, 22, 143–149.
- 5. Sweers, K.; de Casterle, B.D.; Detraux, J.; De Hert, M. End-of-life (care) perspectives and expectations of patients with schizophrenia. Arch. Psychiatr. Nurs. 2013, 27, 246–252.
- 6. Hamilton, D.; Hancock, N.; Scanlan, J.N.; Banfield, M. The National Disability Insurance Scheme and people with severe and persistent mental illness/psychosocial disability: A review, analysis and synthesis of published literature. Aust. N. Zeal. J. Psychiatry 2020, 54, 1162–1172.
- 7. Butler, H.; O'Brien, A.J. Access to specialist palliative care services by people with severe and persistent mental illness: A retrospective cohort study. Int. J. Ment. Health Nurs. 2018, 27, 737–746.
- 8. Irwin, K.E.; Henderson, D.C.; Knight, H.P.; Pirl, W.F. Cancer care for individuals with schizophrenia. Cancer 2014, 120, 323–334.
- 9. Den Boer, K.; De Veer, A.J.E.; Schoonmade, L.J.; Verhaegh, K.J.; Van Meijel, B.; Francke, A.L. A systematic review of palliative care tools and interventions for people with severe mental illness. BMC Psychiatry 2019, 19, 106.
- 10. Grassi, L.; Riba, M. Cancer and severe mental illness: Bi-directional problems and potential solutions. Psycho-Oncology 2020, 29, 1445–1451.
- 11. Woods, A.; Willison, K.; Kington, C.; Gavin, A. Palliative care for people with severe persistent mental illness: A review of the literature. Can. J. Psychiatry—Rev. Can. Psychiatry 2008, 53, 725–736.

- 12. Zumstein, N.; Riese, F. Defining Severe and Persistent Mental Illness—A Pragmatic Utility Concept Analysis. Front. Psychiatry 2020, 11, 648.
- 13. González-Rodríguez, A.; Labad, J.; Seeman, M.V. Schizophrenia and cancer. Curr. Opin. Supportive Palliat. Care 2020, 14, 232–238.
- 14. Jørgensen, M.; Mainz, J.; Lange, P.; Johnsen, S.P. Quality of care and clinical outcomes of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in patients with schizophrenia. A Dan. Nationwide Study. Int. J. Qual. Health Care 2018, 30, 351–357.
- 15. Lawn, S.; Zabeen, S.; Rowlands, N.; Picot, S. Hidden care: Revelations of a case-note audit of physical health care in a community mental health service. Int. J. Ment. Health Nurs. 2018, 27, 1742–1755.
- 16. Spilsbury, K.; Rosenwax, L.; Brameld, K.; Kelly, B.; Arendts, G. Morbidity burden and community-based palliative care are associated with rates of hospital use by people with schizophrenia in the last year of life: A population-based matched cohort study. PLoS ONE 2018, 13, e0208220.
- 17. Gondek, T.M.; Krolicka, A.; Piotrowski, P.; Kiejna, A. The European studies on mortality in schizophrenia. Psychiatr. Pol. 2015, 49, 1139–1148.
- 18. Jerwood, J.S.; Phimister, D.; Ward, G.; Holliday, N.; Coad, J. Barriers to palliative care for people with severe mental illness: Exploring the views of clinical staff. Eur. J. Palliat. Care 2018, 25, 20–25.
- 19. Jerwood, J.; Ward, G.; Phimister, D.; Holliday, N.; Coad, J. Lean in, don't step back: The views and experiences of patients and carers with severe mental illness and incurable physical conditions on palliative and end of life care. Prog. Palliat. Care 2021, 29, 255–263.
- 20. Baruth, J.M.; Ho, J.B.; Mohammad, S.I.; Lapid, M.I. End-of-life care in schizophrenia: A systematic review. Int. Psychogeriatr. 2020, 33, 129–147.
- 21. Huang, H.K.; Wang, Y.W.; Hsieh, J.G.; Hsieh, C.J. Disparity of end-of-life care in cancer patients with and without schizophrenia: A nationwide population-based cohort study. Schizophr. Res. 2018, 195, 434–440.
- 22. McKillip, K.M.; Lott, A.D.; Swetz, K.M. Respecting autonomy and promoting the patient's good in the setting of serious terminal and concurrent mental illness. Yale J. Biol. Med. 2019, 92, 597–602.
- 23. McNamara, B.; Same, A.; Rosenwax, L.; Kelly, B. Palliative care for people with schizophrenia: A qualitative study of an under-serviced group in need. BMC Palliat. Care 2018, 17, 53.
- 24. Cortis, N.; Van Toorn, G. Safeguarding in Australia's new disability markets: Frontline workers' perspectives. Crit. Soc. Policy 2021, 42, 197–219.

- 25. Edwards, D.; Anstey, S.; Coffey, M.; Gill, P.; Mann, M.; Meudell, A.; Hannigan, B. End of life care for people with severe mental illness: Mixed methods systematic review and thematic synthesis (the MENLOC study). Palliat. Med. 2021, 35, 1747–1760.
- 26. De Veer, A.J.E.; Stringer, B.; Van Meijel, B.; Verkaik, R.; Francke, A.L. Access to palliative care for homeless people: Complex lives, complex care. BMC Palliat. Care 2018, 17, 119.
- 27. Carey, G.; Malbon, E.; Blackwell, J. Administering inequality? The National Disability Insurance Scheme and administrative burdens on individuals. Aust. J. Public Adm. 2021, 80, 854–872.
- 28. Morgan, B.D. "No Right Place to Die": Nursing Attitudes and Needs in Caring for People With Serious Mental Illness at End-of-Life. J. Am. Psychiatr. Nurses Assoc. 2016, 22, 31–42.
- 29. Stajduhar, K.I.; Mollison, A.; Giesbrecht, M.; McNeil, R.; Pauly, B.; Reimer-Kirkham, S.; Dosani, N.; Wallace, B.; Showler, G.; Meagher, C.; et al. "Just too busy living in the moment and surviving": Barriers to accessing health care for structurally vulnerable populations at end-of-life. BMC Palliative Care 2019, 18, 11.
- 30. Donald, E.E.; Stajduhar, K.I. A scoping review of palliative care for persons with severe persistent mental illness. Palliat. Supportive Care 2019, 17, 479–487.
- 31. Brown, R.; Chambers, S.; Rosenberg, J. Exploring palliative care nursing of patients with preexisting serious persistent mental illness. Prog. Palliat. Care 2019, 27, 117–121.
- 32. Bloomer, M.J.; O'Brien, A.P. Palliative care for the person with a serious mental illness: The need for a partnership approach to care in Australia. Prog. Palliat. Care 2013, 21, 27–31.
- 33. Shalev, D.; Fields, L.; Shapiro, P.A. End-of-Life Care in Individuals with Serious Mental Illness. Psychosomatics 2020, 61, 428–435.
- 34. Gillieatt, S.; Martin, R.; Dorozenko, K.P.; Munro, A. Evaluation of a West Australian residential mental health respite service. Health Soc. Care Community 2018, 26, e442–e450.
- 35. Mazanec, P.; Verga, S.; Foley, H.; Mehta, A.K. The Need for Cultural Inclusivity in Global Palliative Nursing: Caring for Selected Underserved Populations in the United States. J. Hosp. Palliat. Nurs. 2019, 21, E1–E8.
- 36. Shalev, D.; Brewster, K.K.; Levenson, J.A. End-of-life care for patients with schizophrenia #332. J. Palliat. Med. 2017, 20, 787–788.
- 37. Hinrichs, K.L.M.; Woolverton, C.B.; Meyerson, J.L. Help Me Understand: Providing Palliative Care to Individuals with Serious Mental Illness. Am. J. Hosp. Palliat. Med. 2022, 39, 250–257.
- 38. Lawrence, D.; Kisely, S. Inequalities in healthcare provision for people with severe mental illness. J. Psychopharmacol. 2010, 24, 61–68.

- 39. Terpstra, T.L.; Williamson, S. Palliative care for terminally ill individuals with borderline personality disorder. J. Psychosoc. Nurs. Ment. Health Serv. 2019, 57, 24–31.
- 40. Dawson, S.; Muir-Cochrane, E.; Simpson, A.; Lawn, S. Community treatment orders and care planning: How is engagement and decision-making enacted? Health Expect. Int. J. Public Particip. Health Care Health Policy 2021, 24, 1859–1867.
- 41. Carney, T.; Then, S.-N.; Bigby, C.; Wiesel, I.; Douglas, J. National Disability Insurance Scheme plan decision-making: Or when tailor-made case planning met Taylorism & the algorithms? Melb. Univ. Law Rev. 2019, 42, 780.
- 42. Carney, T. Supported Decision-Making for People with Cognitive Impairments: An Australian Perspective? Laws 2015, 4, 37–59.
- 43. Swanson, J.W.; Swartz, M.S.; Elbogen, E.B.; Van Dorn, R.A.; Ferron, J.; Wagner, H.R.; McCauley, B.J.; Mimi Kim, M.A. Facilitated psychiatric advance directives: A randomised trial of an intervention to foster advance treatment planning among persons with severe mental illness. Am. J. Psychiatry 2006, 163, 1943–1951.
- 44. Shalev, D.; Brewster, K.; Arbuckle, M.R.; Levenson, J.A. A staggered edge: End-of-life care in patients with severe mental illness. Gen. Hosp. Psychiatry 2017, 44, 1–3.
- 45. Trachsel, M. The Ethical Importance of Assessing End-of-Life Care Preferences in Patients with Severe and Persistent Mental Illness. Am. J. Geriatr. Psychiatry 2018, 26, 98–99.
- 46. Australian Government. NDIS Practice Standards and Quality Indicators; NDIS Quality and Safeguards Commission, Ed.; Commonwealth Government of Australia: Canberra, Australia, 2018; pp. 1–45.
- 47. Tylec, A.; Dubas-Slemp, H.; Wojcicka, A.; Drop, B.; Kucharska, K. The difficulties of secondary prophylaxis of cervical cancer in women suffering from paranoid schizophrenia—A case study. Psychiatr. Pol. 2018, 52, 251–259.
- 48. Fond, G.; Baumstarck, K.; Auquier, P.; Pauly, V.; Bernard, C.; Orleans, V.; Llorca, P.-M.; Lancon, C.; Salas, S.; Boyer, L. End-of-Life Care Among Patients with Bipolar Disorder and Cancer: A Nationwide Cohort Study. Psychosom. Med. 2020, 82, 722–732.
- 49. Fond, G.; Pauly, V.; Duba, A.; Salas, S.; Viprey, M.; Baumstarck, K.; Orleans, V.; Llorca, P.-M.; Lancon, C.; Auquier, P.; et al. End of life breast cancer care in women with severe mental illnesses. Sci. Rep. 2021, 11, 10167.
- 50. Hanan, D.M.; Lyons, K.S. Hospice Use Among Individuals with Severe Persistent Mental Illness. J. Am. Psychiatr. Nurses Assoc. 2021, 27, 213–221.
- 51. Wilson, R.; Gaughran, F.; Whitburn, T.; Higginson, I.J.; Gao, W. Acute care utilisation towards the end of life and the place of death for patients with serious mental disorders: A register-based

- cohort study in South London. Public Health 2021, 194, 79–85.
- 52. UN General Assembly, Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, 13 December 2006, A/RES/61/106, Annex I. Available online: https://www.refworld.org/docid/4680cd212.html (accessed on 24 July 2022).
- 53. David, C.; West, R. NDIS Self-Management Approaches: Opportunities for choice and control or an Uber-style wild west? Aust. J. Soc. Issues 2017, 52, 331–346.
- 54. Edmunds, M. Inequitable physical illness and premature mortality for people with severe mental illness in Australia: A social analysis. Health Hum. Rights 2018, 20, 273–281.
- 55. Terpstra, T.L.; Williamson, S.; Terpstra, T. Palliative care for terminally ill individuals with schizophrenia. J. Psychosoc. Nurs. Ment. Health Serv. 2014, 52, 32–38.
- 56. Hurley, J.H.M. Carers' experiences of the National Disability Insurance Scheme workforce: A qualitative study informing workforce development. Aust. J. Soc. Issues 2021, 57, 458–471.
- 57. Council of Australian Governments. Principles to Determine the Responsibilities of the NDIS and Other Service Systems; Council of Australian Governments: Canberra, Australia, 2015.
- 58. World Health Organisation. Palliative Care. 2020. Available online: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/palliative-care (accessed on 1 November 2021).
- 59. Duckett, S. Aligning policy objectives and payment design in palliative care. BMC Palliat. Care 2018, 17, 42.
- 60. Rentmeester, C. Regarding refusals of physically III people with mental illnesses at the end-of-life. Int. J. Ment. Health 2014, 43, 73–80.
- 61. Park, T.; Hegadoren, K.; Workun, B. Working at the Intersection of Palliative End-of-Life and Mental Health Care: Provider Perspectives. J. Palliat. Care 2020, 37, 183–189.
- 62. Australian Government. The National Palliative Care Strategy; Department of Health: Canberra, Australia, 2018.
- 63. Carpiniello, B.; Wasserman, D. European Psychiatric Association policy paper on ethical aspects in communication with patients and their families. Eur. Psychiatry 2020, 63, e36.
- 64. Foti, M.E.; Bartels, S.J.; Van Citters, A.D.; Merriman, M.P.; Fletcher, K.E. End-of-Life Treatment Preferences of Persons with Serious Mental Illness. Psychiatr. Serv. 2005, 56, 585–591.
- 65. Souza, F.; Duff, G.; Swait, J. "Whose plan is it?" Understanding how the goal pursuit of Consumers and Carers influence choices in the Australian Disability Sector. J. Choice Model. 2021, 40, 100300.
- 66. Wilson, E.; Campain, R.; Pollock, S.; Brophy, L.; Stratford, A. Exploring the personal, programmatic and market barriers to choice in the NDIS for people with psychosocial disability.

- Aust. J. Soc. Issues 2021, 57, 164-184.
- 67. Joint Standing Committee on the National Disability Insurance Scheme. NDIS Planning Final Report; Parliament of Australia: Canberra, Australia, 2020; pp. 65–67.
- 68. Ganzini, L.; Socherman, R.; Duckart, J.; Shores, M. End-of-life care for veterans with schizophrenia and cancer. Psychiatr. Serv. 2010, 61, 725–728.
- 69. Baker, A. Palliative and End-of-Life Care in the Serious and Persistently Mentally III Population. J. Am. Psychiatr. Nurses Assoc. 2005, 11, 298–303.
- 70. Knippenberg, I.; Zaghouli, N.; Engels, Y.; Vissers, K.C.P.; Groot, M.M. Severe mental illness and palliative care: Patient semistructured interviews. BMJ Supportive Palliat. Care 2020.
- 71. Harman, S.M.M.D. Psychiatric and Palliative Care in the Intensive Care Unit. Crit. Care Clin. 2017, 33, 735–743.
- 72. Graneheim, U.H.; Åström, S. Until Death Do Us Part: Adult Relatives' Experiences of Everyday Life Close to Persons with Mental III-Health. Issues Ment. Health Nurs. 2016, 37, 602–608.
- 73. Happell, B.; Wilson, K.; Platania-Phung, C.; Stanton, R. Filling the gaps and finding our way: Family carers navigating the healthcare system to access physical health services for the people they care for. J. Clin. Nurs. 2017, 26, 1917–1926.
- 74. Diminic, S.; Lee, Y.Y.; Hielscher, E.; Harris, M.G.; Kealton, J.; Whiteford, H.A. Quantifying the size of the informal care sector for Australian adults with mental illness: Caring hours and replacement cost. Soc. Psychiatry Psychiatr. Epidemiol. 2021, 56, 387–400.
- 75. National Disability Insurance Agency. NDIS Pricing Arrangements and Price Limits; National Disability Insurance Agency: Geelong, Australia, 2021; pp. 1–110.
- 76. Hussain, R.; Parmenter, T.; Wark, S.; Janicki, M.; Knox, M.; Hayhoe, N. Mitigating the impact of the 'silos' between the disability and aged-care sectors in Australia: Development of a Best Practice Framework. J. Appl. Res. Intellect. Disabil. 2021, 34, 1477–1488.
- 77. Waters, R.A.; Buchanan, A. An exploration of person-centred concepts in human services: A thematic analysis of the literature. Health Policy 2017, 121, 1031–1039.
- 78. Hummell, E.; Venning, A.; Foster, M.; Fisher, K.R.; Kuipers, P. A rapid review of barriers and enablers of organisational collaboration: Identifying challenges in disability reform in Australia. Aust. J. Soc. Issues 2022, 57, 441–457.
- 79. Foster, M.; Hummell, E.; Fisher, K.; Borg, S.J.; Needham, C.; Venning, A. Organisations adapting to dual aspirations of individualisation and collaboration in the National Disability Insurance Scheme (NDIS) market. Aust. J. Public Adm. 2022, 81, 127–144.

- 80. Isaacs, A.N.; Firdous, F. A care coordination model can facilitate interagency collaboration when designing recovery-oriented services. J. Psychosoc. Nurs. Ment. Health Serv. 2019, 57, 38–43.
- 81. Isaacs, A.N.; Dalziel, K.; Sutton, K.; Maybery, D. Referral patterns and implementation costs of the partners in recovery initiative in Gippsland: Learnings for the National Disability Insurance Scheme. Australas. Psychiatry 2018, 26, 586–589.
- 82. Lewis, S.B.; Florio, T.; Srasuebkul, P.; Trollor, J.N. Impact of disability services on mental health service utilisation in adults with intellectual disability. J. Appl. Res. Intellect. Disabil. 2020, 33, 1357–1367.
- 83. Bloomer, M. The challenges of end of life care in acute hospitals. Coll. J. R. Coll. Nurs. Aust. 2015, 22, 241–242.
- 84. Isaacs, A.N.; Sutton, K.; Beauchamp, A. Recovery oriented services for persons with severe mental illness can focus on meeting needs through care coordination. J. Ment. Health Policy Econ. 2020, 23, 55–60.
- 85. Hancock, N.; Smith-Merry, J.; Gillespie, J.A.; Yen, I. Is the Partners in Recovery program connecting with the intended population of people living with severe and persistent mental illness? What are their prioritised needs? Aust. Health Rev. 2017, 41, 566–572.
- 86. Lukersmith, S.; Taylor, J.; Salvador-Carulla, L. Vagueness and ambiguity in communication of case management: A content analysis in the Australian national disability insurance scheme. Int. J. Integr. Care 2021, 21, 17.
- 87. Faulkner, A. Survivor research and Mad Studies: The role and value of experiential knowledge in mental health research. Disabil. Soc. 2017, 32, 500–520.
- 88. Isaacs, A.N.; Beauchamp, A.; Sutton, K.; Maybery, D. Unmet needs of persons with a severe and persistent mental illness and their relationship to unmet accommodation needs. Health Soc. Care Community 2019, 27, e246–e256.
- 89. Rosenberg, S.; Redmond, C.; Boyer, P.; Gleeson, P.; Russell, P. Culture clash? Recovery in mental health under Australia's National Disability Insurance Scheme—A case study. Public Health Res. Pract. 2019, 29, 29011902.
- 90. Wiesel, I.; Whitzman, C.; Gleeson, B.; Bigby, C. The National Disability Insurance Scheme in an Urban Context: Opportunities and Challenges for Australian Cities. Urban Policy Res. 2019, 37, 1–12.
- 91. Nagington, M.; Walshe, C.; Luker, K.A. A poststructural rethinking of the ethics of technology in relation to the provision of palliative home care by district nurses. Nurs. Philos. 2016, 17, 59–70.
- 92. Kotzé, C.; Roos, J.L.; Ehlers, R. End-of-Life Decision-Making Capacity in Older People with Serious Mental Illness. Front. Psychiatry 2021, 12, 752897.

- 93. Gamaluddin, S.A.; Keating, S.S.; McKenzie, R.R.; Kim, K.Y. Palliative care for persons with severe mental illness. Palliat. Care Perspect. Pract. Impact Qual. Life Glob. View 2017, 1, 3–22.
- 94. Ganzini, L.; Gross, A.F. Cancer care for patients with schizophrenia. In Psycho-Oncology, 3rd ed.; Oxford University Press: Oxford, UK, 2015; pp. 345–355.
- 95. Taylor, J.; Swetenham, K.; Myhill, K.; Picot, S.; Glaetzer, K.; Loon, A. IMhPaCT: An education strategy for cross-training palliative care and mental health clinicians. Int. J. Palliat. Nurs. 2012, 18, 290–294.
- 96. Evenblij, K.; Widdershoven, G.A.M.; Onwuteaka-Philipsen, B.D.; de Kam, H.; Pasman, H.R.W. Palliative care in mental health facilities from the perspective of nurses: A mixed-methods study. J. Psychiatr. Ment. Health Nurs. 2016, 23, 409–418.
- 97. Carey, G.; Dickinson, H. A longitudinal study of the implementation experiences of the Australian National Disability Insurance Scheme: Investigating transformative policy change. BMC Health Serv. Res. 2017, 17, 570.
- 98. Fleming, P.; McGilloway, S.; Hernon, M.; Furlong, M.; O'Doherty, S.; Keogh, F.; Stainton, T. Individualised funding interventions to improve health and social care outcomes for people with a disability: A mixed-methods systematic review. Campbell Syst. Rev. 2019, 15.
- 99. Cortis, N.; Van Toorn, G. Commentary on "Regulating disability services: The case of Australia's National Disability Insurance Scheme quality and safeguarding system" (Hough, 2021). Res. Pract. Intellect. Dev. Disabil. 2021, 8, 119–125.
- 100. Mills, J.; Rosenberg, J.P.; McInerney, F. Building community capacity for end of life: An investigation of community capacity and its implications for health-promoting palliative care in the Australian Capital Territory. Crit. Public Health 2015, 25, 218–230.
- 101. David, R.; Elizabeth, M. Risk and Opportunity—The Leadership Challenge in a World of Uncertainty—Learnings from Research into the Implementation of the Australian National Disability Insurance Scheme. J. Risk Financ. Manag. 2021, 14, 383.
- 102. Judd, M.J.; Dorozenko, K.P.; Breen, L.J. Workplace stress, burnout and coping: A qualitative study of the experiences of Australian disability support workers. Health Soc. Care Community 2017, 25, 1109–1117.
- 103. Dickinson, H.; Carey, G. Managing care integration during the implementation of large-scale reforms. J. Integr. Care 2017, 25, 6–16.
- 104. National Disability Insurance Agency. List A—Conditions Which Are Likely to Meet the Disability Requirements in Section 24 of the NDIS Act Geelong. 2019. Available online: https://www.ndis.gov.au/about-us/operational-guidelines/access-ndis-operational-guideline/list-conditions-which-are-likely-meet-disability-requirements-section-24-ndis-act (accessed on 3 December 2021).

- 105. Wark, S.; Hussain, R.; Müller, A.; Ryan, P.; Parmenter, T. Challenges in providing end-of-life care for people with intellectual disability: Health services access. J. Appl. Res. Intellect. Disabil. 2017, 30, 1151–1159.
- 106. Australian Government. Australia's Disability Strategy 2021–2031; Department of Social Services, Commonwealth of Australia: Canberra, Australia, 2021.
- 107. Westermair, A.L.; Schreiber, W.; Dümchen, J.; Perrar, K.M. Psychiatrists in palliative care of people with severe psychiatric illnesses and an oncological comorbidity. Nervenarzt 2020, 91, 404–410.
- 108. Griffith, L.J. Good palliative care for a patient with schizophrenia dying of emphysema and heart failure. Psychiatry 2007, 4, 61–65.
- 109. Brown, M.; Drummond, C. Advance care directives are an important part of good healthcare. Intern. Med. J. 2017, 47, 975–976.
- 110. National Disability Insurance Agency. Intergovernmental Agreements: Australian Government. 2021. (Updated 1 September 2021). Available online: https://www.ndis.gov.au/about-us/governance/intergovernmental-agreements (accessed on 2 January 2022).
- 111. Productivity Commission. Disability Care and Support Productivity Commission Inquiry Report; Australian Government: Canberra, Australia, 2011.
- 112. Stewart, V.; Slattery, M.; Roennfeldt, H.; Wheeler, A.J. Partners in Recovery: Paving the way for the National Disability Insurance Scheme. Aust. J. Prim. Health 2018, 24, 208–215.
- 113. Visser, K.; Stewart, V.; Slattery, M. Supporting choice, recovery, and participation: Clear and easy to understand information is the key to ndis access for those with psychosocial disability. J. Soc. Incl. 2020, 11, 33–46.

Retrieved from https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/65643