Engineered Bacteriophages

Subjects: Biology | Toxicology Contributor: Paul Hyman

Engineered bacteriophages (phages) are bacteriophages that have been genetically or chemically altered in some way to create or improve a property for an application, although it would be equally valid to use this term for phages altered for research. Application-directed properties can include: enhanced bacterial killing to improve phage therapy; insertion of reporter genes to facilitate biosensor-mediated detection; insertion of targeting peptides to a virion surface protein to enhance binding properties to bacteria or other types of cells; attachment of non-protein molecules (e.g. antibiotics or nanoparticles) to phage capsid surface proteins to facilitate phage-mediated delivery; anchoring of phages to a surface to improve target capture. It is also possible to combine modifications to develop, for example, a phage-based cancer treatment that has binding peptides for cancer cell targeting and is conjugated to either a radioisotope nanoparticle or chemotherapy drug to improve delivery.

bacteriophage phage therapy engineered phage imaging agent

1. Introduction

Bacteriophages or phages have been studied and used for just over 100 years ^{[1][2]}. During most of that time, in at least some parts of the world, phages have been used as antibacterial agents. This application is commonly called phage therapy. With the increasing levels of antibiotic resistant bacteria seen world-wide, interest in phage therapy is also increasing, especially in parts of the world that had abandoned it in favor of antibiotics ^{[3][4]}.

Phage therapy has mostly used phages as they are found in nature since at least some phages for any particular host species are obligately lytic meaning they lack the ability to perform lysogeny. There are, however, some bacterial hosts such as *Clostridioides difficile* (formerly *Clostridium difficile*) for which only temperate phages have been isolated ^[5]. Additionally, the natural presence of bacteriophage resistant strains or subtypes ^[6] had led some researchers to explore ways to improve the killing efficiency of phages through genetic engineering. Genetically engineered phages have been used to improve existing methods to kill bacterial hosts, provide phages with entirely novel mechanisms to kill host cells, and alter gene expression of targeted bacterial hosts.

In addition to using phages to kill bacteria, researchers have creatively modified phages for applications completely unrelated to the normal phage life cycle. For example, vaccines can be designed using phages that either display a protein antigen from a human pathogen or a cancer cell on their surface or carry the genetic information to encode the antigen. Phages screened by a process called phage display can identify novel peptides and proteins that bind to a target material such as a cancer cell. These peptides can then be displayed on the surface of a phage

directing the phage to deliver a chemotherapy drug to the tumor cell. Additionally, phages can be used to detect specific bacteria even in a mixture of many bacterial species.

While some of these applications can be done with other materials such as antibodies, phages have several properties that make them especially useful in developing new therapeutic agents.

- Phages are typically very specific in the types of bacterial cells they target
- Phages are relatively easy and inexpensive to propagate on bacterial hosts using well established protocols
- Phage capsids are highly stable, often resistant to changes in pH and temperature
- Phage capsids have multiple proteins that can be targeted for modification without necessarily inactivating the phage capsid's functions (see **Figure 1**)
- Phage capsids protect the DNA or RNA packaged in them
- Phage capsids or modified phage capsids can be used to package non-phage nucleic acid, protein, or other types of materials (see **Figure 1**)
- Phage genomes are small compared to bacterial or eukaryotic genomes and are often relatively easy to modify using genetic engineering techniques
- In vitro packaging systems have been created to move genetically modified genomes into capsids as well as transformation protocols to move genomes directly into cells for expression
- Phage display technology can be used to create phages with novel binding properties even to eukaryotic targets
- For human treatment applications, phages are generally recognized as safe (GRAS) agents



Figure 1. Overview of engineered phages. Both filamentous and tailed phages have been modified to create phages with novel functions. The three modification types are not exclusive of each other. That is, for example, phages in which both the capsid and tail fibers have been modified have been designed and produced.

2. Methods to Genetically Engineer Phages

Although the sheer abundance of phage in the environment allows for the use of naturally occurring bacterial viruses in many therapeutic approaches, phages can be enhanced using genetic engineering approaches (for review see Pires ^[Z]). These techniques allow researchers to increase phage killing efficacy or to introduce other desirable properties, such as expanded host range, increased biofilm degradation, elimination of lysogeny, and the addition of genes to arm phages with secondary antimicrobial payloads ^{[8][9][10][11][12]}. And as we will describe below, these techniques and others can be used to alter phages in ways that extend their ability to infect bacteria or add other new functionality for therapeutic use.

One of the most widely used approaches for phage engineering is homologous recombination, where a heterologous segment of DNA is recombined with the phage genome at sites of homology within a bacterial host (see **Figure 2**A) ^{[13][14][15]}. The efficiency of recombination is very low $(10^{-10}-10^{-4})$ ^{[13][14][15]}, so an efficient screening method is necessary to identify recombinant phages ^{[16][17][18]}. The recombination efficiency is vastly improved if the phages to be edited are transformed into bacterial strains that express high levels of heterologous recombination proteins such as RecE/RecT-like proteins ^[18] or the lambda red system (see **Figure 2**B) ^{[19][20]}. The efficiency of recovering recombinant phage can be further improved using CRISPR-Cas to counter-select against non-recombinant phage (see **Figure 2**C) ^{[21][22][23][24][25][26][27][28]}.

virulent phage

OR

OR

Prophage

B. Increase proportion of recombinants using not cells encoding recombination genes

C. Counterselect using CRISPR-Cas by infecting cells expressing sgRNA and Cas9



Figure 2. Genetically engineering phage in bacteria. (**A**) During infection with a virulent phage or in a lysogen for a temperate phage, the phage DNA can recombine with plasmid DNA containing regions of homology flanking the edited section of DNA (pink section). Typical recombination rates are low $(10^{-10}-10^{-4})$, yielding very few recombinant phage (pink DNA in head). The proportion of recombinant phage can be increased by using host bacterial cells that express recombination genes (e.g., RecE/RecT or λ -red proteins) (**B**) and/or by using CRISPR/Cas9 to inactivate non-recombinant DNA (**C**).

Yeast-based or in vitro phage genome assembly methods have been developed to avoid the possible toxic effect of phage replication on the bacterial host. Since yeast cells have an efficient recombination system ^[9] and phage genes are not toxic to yeast, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* can facilitate the assembly of synthetic genomes by transformation associated recombination (TAR) cloning and the assembled genomes can then be electroporated into a permissive bacterial host for rebooting (see **Figure 3**A) ^{[11][29]}. Alternatively, phage genomes can be assembled in vitro from synthesized DNA fragments that have been assembled by recombination or methods such as Gibson assembly prior to transformation into a permissive bacterial host ^[9]. Finally, cell-free transcription-translation systems can create the phage or virus-like particles from DNA in vitro overcoming the need for a highly competent bacterial host (see **Figure 3**B). The Noireaux laboratory has developed cell-free systems to successfully

replicate, synthesize, and assemble infectious T7, phiX174, MS2, and even T4 phage which has a 170 kb genome [30][31][32][33]



Figure 3. Yeast-based or cell-free phage assembly. (**A**) To avoid issues of toxicity with phage genes, modifications can be made to phage genomes in yeast using TAR based cloning. Phage genomes (isolated from a modified phage or assembled in vitro) are electroporated into yeast with a linearized yeast artificial chromosome (YAC) containing hooks (blue and green boxes) to facilitate recombination. YACs containing the modified phage genomes can be electroporated into bacteria to produce recombinant phage particles. (**B**) Alternatively, phage particles can be produced from assembled recombinant phage genomes using cell-free transcription/translation systems.

References

- 1. Salmond, G.P.; Fineran, P.C. A century of the phage: Past, present and future. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 2015, 13, 777–786.
- 2. Letarov, A.V. History of Early Bacteriophage Research and Emergence of Key Concepts in Virology. Biochemistry 2020, 85, 1093–1112.

- 3. Moelling, K.; Broecker, F.; Willy, C. A Wake-Up Call: We Need Phage Therapy Now. Viruses 2018, 10, 688.
- 4. Cooper, C.J.; Mirzaei, M.K.; Nilsson, A.S. Adapting Drug Approval Pathways for Bacteriophage-Based Therapeutics. Front. Microbiol. 2016, 7, 1209.
- Hargreaves, K.R.; Otieno, J.R.; Thanki, A.; Blades, M.J.; Millard, A.D.; Browne, H.P.; Lawley, T.D.; Clokie, M.R. As Clear as Mud? Determining the diversity and prevalence of prophages in the draft genomes of estuarine isolates of clostridium difficile. Genome Biol. Evol. 2015, 7, 1842–1855.
- 6. de Jonge, P.A.; Nóbrega, F.L.; Brouns, S.J.J.; Dutilh, B.E. Molecular and Evolutionary Determinants of Bacteriophage Host Range. Trends Microbiol. 2019, 27, 51–63.
- 7. Pires, D.P.; Cleto, S.; Sillankorva, S.; Azeredo, J.; Lu, T.K. Genetically Engineered Phages: A Review of Advances over the Last Decade. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 2016, 80, 523–543.
- 8. Tinoco, J.M.; Buttaro, B.; Zhang, H.; Liss, N.; Sassone, L.M.; Stevens, R. Effect of a genetically engineered bacteriophage on Enterococcus faecalis biofilms. Arch. Oral Biol. 2016, 71, 80–86.
- 9. Kilcher, S.; Loessner, M.J. Engineering Bacteriophages as Versatile Biologics. Trends Microbiol. 2019, 27, 355–367.
- Zhang, H.; Fouts, D.E.; DePew, J.; Stevens, R.H. Genetic modifications to temperate Enterococcus faecalis phage Ef11 that abolish the establishment of lysogeny and sensitivity to repressor, and increase host range and productivity of lytic infection. Microbiology 2013, 159, 1023–1035.
- 11. Ando, H.; Lemire, S.; Pires, D.P.; Lu, T.K. Engineering Modular Viral Scaffolds for Targeted Bacterial Population Editing. Cell Syst. 2015, 1, 187–196.
- 12. Yosef, I.; Goren, M.G.; Globus, R.; Molshanski-Mor, S.; Qimron, U. Extending the Host Range of Bacteriophage Particles for DNA Transduction. Mol. Cell 2017, 66, 721–728.e3.
- Loessner, M.J.; Rees, C.E.; Stewart, G.S.; Scherer, S. Construction of luciferase reporter bacteriophage A511::luxAB for rapid and sensitive detection of viable Listeria cells. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 1996, 62, 1133–1140.
- Le, S.; He, X.; Tan, Y.; Huang, G.; Zhang, L.; Lux, R.; Shi, W.; Hu, F. Mapping the Tail Fiber as the Receptor Binding Protein Responsible for Differential Host Specificity of Pseudomonas aeruginosa Bacteriophages PaP1 and JG004. PLoS ONE 2013, 8, e68562.
- Mahichi, F.; Synnott, A.J.; Yamamichi, K.; Osada, T.; Tanji, Y. Site-specific recombination of T2 phage using IP008 long tail fiber genes provides a targeted method for expanding host range while retaining lytic activity. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 2009, 295, 211–217.
- Sarkis, G.J.; Jacobs, W.R., Jr.; Hatfulll, G.F. L5 luciferase reporter mycobacteriophages: A sensitive tool for the detection and assay of live mycobacteria. Mol. Microbiol. 1995, 15, 1055–

1067.

- 17. Tanji, Y.; Furukawa, C.; Na, S.-H.; Hijikata, T.; Miyanaga, K.; Unno, H. Escherichia coli detection by GFP-labeled lysozyme-inactivated T4 bacteriophage. J. Biotechnol. 2004, 114, 11–20.
- 18. Marinelli, L.J.; Hatfull, G.F.; Piuri, M. Recombineering: A powerful tool for modification of bacteriophage genomes. Bacteriophage 2012, 2, 5–14.
- 19. Datsenko, K.A.; Wanner, B.L. One-step inactivation of chromosomal genes in Escherichia coli K-12 using PCR products. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2000, 97, 6640–6645.
- 20. Fehér, T.; Karcagi, I.; Blattner, F.R.; Pósfai, G. Bacteriophage recombineering in the lytic state using the lambda red recombinases. Microb. Biotechnol. 2012, 5, 466–476.
- 21. Kiro, R.; Shitrit, D.; Qimron, U. Efficient engineering of a bacteriophage genome using the type I-E CRISPR-Cas system. RNA Biol. 2014, 11, 42–44.
- 22. Martel, B.; Moineau, S. CRISPR-Cas: An efficient tool for genome engineering of virulent bacteriophages. Nucleic Acids Res. 2014, 42, 9504–9513.
- 23. Bari, S.M.N.; Walker, F.C.; Cater, K.; Aslan, B.; Hatoum-Aslan, A. Strategies for Editing Virulent Staphylococcal Phages Using CRISPR-Cas10. ACS Synth. Biol. 2017, 6, 2316–2325.
- 24. Box, A.M.; McGuffie, M.J.; O'Hara, B.J.; Seed, K.D. Functional Analysis of Bacteriophage Immunity through a Type I-E CRISPR-Cas System in Vibrio cholerae and Its Application in Bacteriophage Genome Engineering. J. Bacteriol. 2016, 198, 578–590.
- 25. Lemay, M.-L.; Tremblay, D.M.; Moineau, S. Genome Engineering of Virulent Lactococcal Phages Using CRISPR-Cas9. ACS Synth. Biol. 2017, 6, 1351–1358.
- 26. Schilling, T.; Dietrich, S.; Hoppert, M.; Hertel, R. A CRISPR-Cas9-Based Toolkit for Fast and Precise In Vivo Genetic Engineering of Bacillus subtilis Phages. Viruses 2018, 10, 241.
- 27. Tao, P.; Wu, X.; Tang, W.-C.; Zhu, J.; Rao, V. Engineering of Bacteriophage T4 Genome Using CRISPR-Cas9. ACS Synth. Biol. 2017, 6, 1952–1961.
- 28. Shen, J.; Zhou, J.; Chen, G.-Q.; Xiu, Z.-L. Efficient Genome Engineering of a Virulent Klebsiella Bacteriophage Using CRISPR-Cas9. J. Virol. 2018, 92.
- 29. Jaschke, P.R.; Lieberman, E.K.; Rodriguez, J.; Sierra, A.; Endy, D. A fully decompressed synthetic bacteriophage oX174 genome assembled and archived in yeast. Virology 2012, 434, 278–284.
- 30. Garamella, J.; Marshall, R.; Rustad, M.; Noireaux, V. The All E. coli TX-TL Toolbox 2.0: A Platform for Cell-Free Synthetic Biology. ACS Synth. Biol. 2016, 5, 344–355.
- 31. Shin, J.; Jardine, P.; Noireaux, V. Genome Replication, Synthesis, and Assembly of the Bacteriophage T7 in a Single Cell-Free Reaction. ACS Synth. Biol. 2012, 1, 408–413.

- 32. Rustad, M.; Eastlund, A.; Marshall, R.; Jardine, P.; Noireaux, V. Synthesis of Infectious Bacteriophages in an E. coli-based Cell-free Expression System. J. Vis. Exp. 2017, e56144.
- 33. Rustad, M.; Eastlund, A.; Jardine, P.; Noireaux, V. Cell-free TXTL synthesis of infectious bacteriophage T4 in a single test tube reaction. Synth. Biol. 2018, 3, ysy002.

Retrieved from https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/28466