

# Safety, Toxicity of Phage Therapy

Subjects: Medicine, Research & Experimental

Submitted by:  Gina Suh

## Definition

Lytic bacteriophages (phages) have been known to be a potential antibacterial agent for over a century since their first formal discovery and application as a treatment against human bacterial infections in the 1920s. Though subsequent success of antibiotics had quelled investigations into phage as potential anti-infectives, increasing antibiotic resistance has hastened the reemergence of interest in phage therapy. Despite attractive advantages, including widespread prevalence, activity against multidrug-resistant (MDR) bacteria, high specificity, and activity against biofilms, phage therapy is still not considered a mainstream treatment due to several obstacles. First, the lack of reliable data regarding its safety and efficacy in clinical settings. Second, appropriate regulatory guidelines specific to phage therapeutics have not been developed. Finally, the pharmaceutical and biotech industries have not yet developed economical and scalable production processes for widespread adoption of phage therapy. While much progress has been made, many questions remain, such as the safety and toxicity of phage preparations.

---

## 1. Potential Impact of Phage Therapy

Humans are exposed to phages in the environment and from their microbiomes. Some studies have suggested that phages can spread into the blood easily and accumulate in distinct tissues <sup>[1][2][3][4]</sup>. There are even indications that phages are taken up by eukaryotic cells and can trigger innate immune pathways <sup>[5][6]</sup>. While most of these studies involve temperate, resident phages it is plausible that lytic phages are also able to penetrate eukaryotic cells <sup>[7][8]</sup>. Nonetheless, the distribution of phages within the body and their impact on tissues and physiologic processes are largely unknown.

### 1.1. Impact of Phage on the Microbiome

The human body harbors a vast and complex microbiome that may contribute to both health and disease <sup>[9][10]</sup>. The impact of phage therapy on this flora is unclear. In addition, phages are being explored as potential microbial modifiers in infected and microbiota-imbalanced gut disease <sup>[11]</sup>. A murine model of gut carriage of *E. coli* showed that microbiota diversity was less affected by phage therapy than antibiotics <sup>[12]</sup>. Two other clinical trials of healthy adults and children also indicated that coliphage reduced the target organism in feces without any considerable change in microbiota composition <sup>[13][14]</sup>. A pediatric trial of children with diarrheal disease found that oral coliphages transited safely in children with no adverse effects <sup>[15]</sup>. Additionally, clinical trials with healthy adults and children who ingested coliphage, which targets *E. coli*, showed that fecal phage detection was dependent on the oral dose. No intestinal amplification was detected, suggesting there is passive transit of coliphages through the gut <sup>[15][16]</sup>. Sarker et al. demonstrated that phage passed through the intestine of healthy people largely passively. Possible adverse effects are limited to the physical presence of virion particles, not to infectious viruses replicating and killing target bacteria. Only when the phage meets its target within patients harboring high numbers of the target *E. coli*, and the mucosal integrity is compromised by the diarrhea pathology, is there concern for the undesirable effects of phage therapy <sup>[15]</sup>.

### 1.2. Endotoxin Release Associated with Bacterial Lysis

Endotoxin is one of the most potent inducers of the inflammatory cytokine response in Gram-negative bacterial infections <sup>[17]</sup>. As phages can kill bacteria within minutes, phage therapy can potentially result in rapid and significant endotoxin release <sup>[18]</sup>. There have been a few studies reported regarding potential bacterial lysis-related effects, as mentioned above. However, comprehensive data on the release of endotoxin and its effects are rarely reported and are inconsistent. Endotoxins and other bacterial

components that could be present in phage preparations are typically overlooked. These include bacterial DNA [19], Staphylococcal enterotoxin B (a potent bacterial superantigen) [20], alpha hemolysin and other exotoxins [21], or lipoteichoic acid (an important cell wall polymer found in Gram-positive bacteria) [22][23]. Bacterial components and toxins such as endotoxin, which are typically difficult to purify from phage agents, have the potential to induce infusion-related reactions [24][25][26]. These reactions include hypersensitivity and cytokine release syndromes. Symptoms can include flushing, alterations in heart rate and blood pressure, dyspnea, bronchospasm, back pain, fever, urticaria, edema, nausea, and rash [27]. Endotoxin release and infusion-related reactions can be difficult to distinguish, but the presence of these bacterial components should be quantified and documented in phage preparations nonetheless.

### 1.3. Impact of Phages on Immune Activation

Phages have been regarded as bystanders that only impact immunity indirectly via effects on the mammalian microbiome [28]. Recently, both in vitro [29][30] and in vivo [31][32] studies verified that phages also impact innate and adaptive immunity directly [33]. However, results related to immune response instigated by phages are inconsistent and their role in phage therapy is also unclear. Mathematical models have been developed showing their potential importance in a phage therapeutic setting [33][34]. Independent of the phage purification strategy, it is often difficult to attribute these immune responses purely to the phage [31][35].

Phages themselves are immunogenic biological entities that can stimulate adaptive immune responses [36]. Clinical studies in healthy adults, as well as children with acute bacterial diarrhea, showed no detectable phage in the blood stream nor any increase in IgG, IgM, IgA, and sIgA [37][38][39]; however, when administered via intraperitoneal administration, phage triggered increases in phage-specific IgG and IgM antibody titers [40][41]. Phage antibody production may therefore depend on the route of phage administration. In addition, the antibody production was also dependent on the phage type and application time [42][43][44]. Currently, antibody production is thought to affect the efficacy of phage therapy; yet their role in the safety of phage therapy is unclear. Data regarding phage-induced immune responses, including inflammatory cytokine production and antibodies, are an underexplored area and are generally lacking in the studies we reviewed here (**Table 1**).

## 2. Potential Contaminants from Bacterial Components within Phage Preparations

Besides phages themselves, additional components can influence phage safety and toxicity. The major bacterial component that could instigate pro-inflammatory reactions are endotoxins, major components of the cell wall of Gram-negative bacteria that are highly immunogenic in humans [45]. Other potential bacterial components that could be present in phage preparations and are typically overlooked are Staphylococcal enterotoxin B, a potent bacterial superantigen [20], alpha hemolysin and other exotoxins [21], lipoteichoic acid, an important cell wall polymer found in Gram-positive bacteria [22][23], and bacterial DNA [19]. The presence of these bacterial components needs to be quantified and documented in phage preparations.

## 3. Potential Chemical Contaminants from Phage Preparation and Purification

Currently there are three major strategies employed regarding the purification of phages. Cesium chloride (CsCl) is often used to obtain high density and high purity phage preparations [26][46]. However, CsCl is typically removed from phage preparations prior to clinical administration as it can be toxic to cells in high concentrations. The most frequently attributed effects of CsCl intoxication are gastrointestinal distress, hypotension, syncope, numbness, or tingling of the lips [47], although a different isotope of CsCl is used in density gradients for phage purification.

Another method of phage purification involves polyethylene glycol (PEG). PEG is an United States Food and Drug Administration-approved biodegradable polymer often used for drug delivery systems [48][49][50][51]. Fortunately, PEG has a high molecular weight and readily undergoes renal clearance leading



Reference	Titration		Characterization		Composition & Purity		
	PFU	Genotype	Protein Profile	Sterility	Endotoxin	Host Cell DNA	Other Toxins
Ľubomíra Tóthová et al. 2011 <a href="#">[78]</a>							
Hung, et al. 2011 <a href="#">[79]</a>							
Hawkins, et al. 2010 <a href="#">[80]</a>							
Sunagar, et al. 2010 <a href="#">[40]</a>							
Nishikawa, et al. 2008 <a href="#">[81]</a>							
Case Reports							
Lebeaux et al., 2021 <a href="#">[82]</a>							
Ferry et al., 2020 <a href="#">[83]</a>							
Bao et al., 2020 <a href="#">[84]</a>							
Cano et al., 2020 <a href="#">[85]</a>							
Rostkowska et al., 2020 <a href="#">[86]</a>							
Doub et al., 2020 <a href="#">[87]</a>							
Rubalskii et al., 2020 <a href="#">[88]</a>							
Gainey et al., 2020 <a href="#">[37]</a>							
Aslam et al., 2019 <a href="#">[38]</a>							
Nir-Paz et al., 2019 <a href="#">[89]</a>							
Tkhilaishvili et al., 2019 <a href="#">[90]</a>							
Onsea et al., 2019 <a href="#">[42]</a>							
Corbellino et al., 2019 <a href="#">[91]</a>							
Susan et al., 2019 <a href="#">[61]</a>							
Gilbey et al., 2019 <a href="#">[62]</a>							
Law et al., 2019 <a href="#">[63]</a>							
RM et al., 2019 <a href="#">[43]</a>							
Duplessis et al., 2019 <a href="#">[92]</a>							
Kuipers et al., 2019 <a href="#">[93]</a>							
LaVergne et al., 2018 <a href="#">[94]</a>							
Ferry et al., 2018 <a href="#">[58]</a>							
Fish et al., 2018 <a href="#">[95]</a>							
Ferry et al., 2018 <a href="#">[96]</a>							
Hoyle et al., 2018 <a href="#">[97]</a>							
Chan et al., 2018 <a href="#">[98]</a>							
Ujmajuridze et al., 2018 <a href="#">[39]</a>							

Reference	Titration	Characterization		Composition & Purity			
	PFU	Genotype	Protein Profile	Sterility	Endotoxin	Host Cell DNA	Other Toxins
Schooley et al., 2017 <a href="#">[56]</a>							
Zhvania et al., 2017 <a href="#">[99]</a>							
Jennes et al., 2017 <a href="#">[100]</a>							
Fish et al., 2016 <a href="#">[101]</a>							
Fadlallah et al., 2015 <a href="#">[102]</a>							
Rose et al., 2014 <a href="#">[103]</a>							
Khawaldeh et al., 2011 <a href="#">[104]</a>							
Kvachadze et al., 2011 <a href="#">[105]</a>							
Letkiewicz et al., 2009 <a href="#">[106]</a>							
Clinical Trials							
Leitner et al., 2020 <a href="#">[107]</a>							
Grubb et al., 2020 <a href="#">[11]</a>							
Fabijan et al., 2020 <a href="#">[108]</a>							
Ooi et al., 2019 <a href="#">[109]</a>							
Febvre et al., 2019 <a href="#">[13]</a>							
Gindin et al., 2018 <a href="#">[110]</a>							
McCallin et al., 2018 <a href="#">[57]</a>							
Sarker et al., 2017 <a href="#">[15]</a>							
McCallin et al., 2013 <a href="#">[14]</a>							
Sarker et al., 2012 <a href="#">[16]</a>							
Rhoads et al., 2009 <a href="#">[59]</a>							
Patrick et al., 2018 <a href="#">[111]</a>							
Sarker et al., 2016 <a href="#">[112]</a>							
Wright et al., 2009 <a href="#">[64]</a>							

Dark Blue = Values or result reported within article; Blue = reported, but no specific values or results published within article; Grey = not reported. “Titration” refers to the phage concentration offered by “PFU”. “Genotype” refers to the genetic information, such as the accession number or sequence information of phage. “Protein profile” refers to protein composition of phage; “Sterility” refers to the specific bacterial colony in phage preparation. “Endotoxin” refers to the concentration of endotoxin; “Host cell DNA” refers to the host bacterial DNA; “Other toxins” denotes lipoteichoic acid, superantigens, or CsCl, etc.

## 5. Optimization of Safety and Toxicity Monitoring in Phage Therapy

In animal studies, phage doses were variable, ranging from  $10^3$  to  $10^{12}$  PFU/ml. None of them defined the median effective dose (ED50), lethal dose for 50% (LD50), or the therapeutic index (TI), a quantitative measurement of the relative safety of a drug that compares the amount of a therapeutic agent that

causes the therapeutic effect to the amount that causes toxicity, of the phage preparations. Effects of phage therapy on pregnancy, growth, and development were not described. Additionally, data were mostly limited to rodents and not large animals (e.g., pigs), limiting generalizability to humans. The majority of animal studies utilized IP injection, analogous to IV administration typically used in human studies but challenging to draw direct comparisons.

Clinical safety data analysis and evaluation of new drugs often includes reporting of adverse events, laboratory derangements, changes in vital signs, reviews of systems, and physical examinations of subjects [113]. Biological products such as cytokines, antibodies, and recombinant proteins typically report their immunogenicity. The incidence and consequences of neutralizing antibodies and potential adverse events related to the combination of antibody formation and their adverse reactions were evaluated as well [114]. Including an analysis of the immunogenicity of phages should therefore be an important part of both animal studies as well as case reports. Our review of the phage literature demonstrates the paucity of these data. We believe assessments of safety and toxicity ought to be incorporated into all clinical and preclinical studies of phage therapy, independent of the FDA and the European Medicines Agency (EMA) regulation. Ideally, publications reporting on the safety of phage therapy should include information on the general health of participants, adverse events, chemistry, and hematologic testing data. Information on immune responses should be evaluated prior, during, and after phage therapy. In **Table 2**, we offer some safety endpoints for consideration that may provide researchers and clinicians guidance on the safety monitoring of phage therapy.

**Table 2.** Safety endpoints in phage therapy study to be considered.

Safety Monitoring	Safety Endpoints
General assessment	Vital signs; physical exam; subjective symptoms
Labs—Chemistry	Liver function; kidney function; electrolytes; glucose; CRP
Labs—Hematology	CBC with differential; ESR
Pharmacology	Absorption; distribution; excretion; metabolism endpoints (e.g., LE50, ED50, TI)
Immune Response	Non-specific and specific immune responses (e.g., DC, inflammatory factor level; phage specific antibodies)

Abbreviations: Erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR); C-reaction protein (CRP); CBC: Complete Blood Count; WBC: White blood cells; DC: CBC with differential; BPC, Blood platelet count; LE50, Lethal Dose 50; ED50, Median Effective Dose; TI: Therapeutic Index.

Comprehensive assessments of safety will likely benefit from standardization of safety monitoring. Objective methods of assessment have been employed in some clinical trials, such as gastrointestinal questionnaires or a Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) to assess pain [110][64]. One study utilized a scoring method for assessing physical examination findings in septic mice treated with phage [72]. Another study in a murine bacteremia model introduced a health assessment score [73]. A recent clinical trial applied the National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (version 4) to assess the frequency and severity of adverse events during phage treatment [107]. Such methods provide an opportunity to improve safety and the application of scales or standardized scoring methods would better facilitate inter-study comparisons.

In the United States, the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER) at the FDA is the main regulatory body overseeing investigational phages [115][116]. The FDA and the EMA mandate that any modern phage therapy products must be made to GMP standards [117][118]. Along with GMP, we feel phage preparations should include information on the characteristics of the phages used in animal studies

and clinical studies, including their morphology, genetics, and protein profile, as well as the composition of the phage preparations, including the levels of bacterial contaminants and other impurities. Documentation of the sterility of the phage preparations is necessary. A clear description of the methods used to propagate and purify the phage preparations ought to be provided. These toxicity endpoints are summarized in **Table 3**.

**Table 3.** Characteristics of phage preparation to be considered.

Phage Parameters	Phage Preparation Measurements
Identify	Morphology
Potency	Titer
Sequencing	Genotype; Protein profile
Bacterial contaminants	Viable bacteria; Endotoxin; Enterotoxin B; Bacterial DNA
Other impurities	CsCl
Others	Sterile; PH; shelf time; suspended buffer; osmotic pressure

The morphology, titration and genomic description of the used phage, including the genome sequence as well as a complete annotation of the proteins encoded in the genome. The presence of both bacterial remnants, endotoxin level, bacterial DNA, as well as potential presence of toxic components of the purification method itself; Sterility, suspended buffer, pH stability, temperature range and shelf life should be denoted.

## References

- Dabrowska, K. Phage therapy: What factors shape phage pharmacokinetics and bioavailability? Systematic and critical review. *Med. Res. Rev.* 2019, 39, 2000–2025.
- Geier, M.; Trigg, M.; Merrill, C.J.N. Fate of bacteriophage lambda in non-immune germ-free mice. *Nature* 1973, 246, 221–223.
- Uhr, J.W.; Weissman, G. Intracellular distribution and degradation of bacteriophage in mammalian tissues. *J. Immunol.* 1965, 94, 544–550.
- Hodyra-Stefaniak, K.; Miernikiewicz, P.; Drapała, J.; Drab, M.; Jończyk-Matysiak, E.; Lecion, D.; Kaźmierczak, Z.; Beta, W.; Majewska, J.; Harhala, M.; et al. Mammalian Host-Versus-Phage immune response determines phage fate in vivo. *Sci. Rep.* 2015, 5, 14802.
- Gogokhia, L.; Buhrke, K.; Bell, R.; Hoffman, B.; Brown, D.; Hanke-Gogokhia, C.; Ajami, N.; Wong, M.; Ghazaryan, A.; Valentine, J.; et al. Expansion of Bacteriophages Is Linked to Aggravated Intestinal Inflammation and Colitis. *Cell Host Microbe* 2019, 25, 285–299.
- Sweere, J.; Van Belleghem, J.; Ishak, H.; Bach, M.; Popescu, M.; Sunkari, V.; Kaber, G.; Manasherob, R.; Suh, G.; Cao, X.; et al. Bacteriophage trigger antiviral immunity and prevent clearance of bacterial infection. *Science* 2019, 363, 6434.
- Huh, H.; Wong, S.; St Jean, J.; Slavcev, R. Bacteriophage interactions with mammalian tissue: Therapeutic applications. *Adv. Drug Del. Rev.* 2019, 145, 4–17.
- Kaźmierczak, Z.; Dąbrowska, K. Interaction of Bacteriophages with Mammalian Cells. In *Advanced Structural Safety Studies*; Springer Science and Business Media LLC: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2017; Volume 1693, pp. 113–122.
- Bäckhed, F.; Ley, R.; Sonnenburg, J.; Peterson, D.; Gordon, J.J.S. Host-bacterial mutualism in the human intestine. *Science* 2005, 307, 1915–1920.
- Schippa, S.; Conte, M.J.N. Dysbiotic events in gut microbiota: Impact on human health. *Nutrients* 2014, 6, 5786–5805.
- Grubb, D.; Wrigley, S.; Freedman, K.; Wei, Y.; Vazquez, A.; Trotter, R.; Wallace, T.; Johnson, S.; Weir, T.J.N. *Bifidobacterium animalis* PHAGE-2 Study: Supplemental Bacteriophages Extend subsp. BL04 Benefits on Gut Health and Microbiota in Healthy Adults. *Nutrients* 2020, 12, 2474.
- Galtier, M.; De Sordi, L.; Maura, D.; Arachchi, H.; Volant, S.; Dillies, M.A.; Debarbieux, L. Bacteriophages to reduce gut carriage of antibiotic resistant uropathogens with low impact on microbiota composition. *Environ. Microbiol.* 2016, 18, 2237–2245.
- Febvre, H.P.; Rao, S.; Gindin, M.; Goodwin, N.D.M.; Finer, E.; Vivanco, J.S.; Lu, S.; Manter, D.K.; Wallace, T.C.; Weir, T.L.

Phage Study: Effects of Supplemental Bacteriophage Intake on Inflammation and Gut Microbiota in Healthy Adults. *Nutrients* 2019, 11, 666.

14. McCallin, S.; Alam Sarker, S.; Barretto, C.; Sultana, S.; Berger, B.; Huq, S.; Krause, L.; Bibiloni, R.; Schmitt, B.; Reuteler, G.; et al. Safety analysis of a Russian phage cocktail: From metagenomic analysis to oral application in healthy human subjects. *Virology* 2013, 443, 187–196.
15. Sarker, S.A.; Berger, B.; Deng, Y.; Kieser, S.; Foata, F.; Moine, D.; Descombes, P.; Sultana, S.; Huq, S.; Bardhan, P.K.; et al. Oral application of Escherichia coli bacteriophage: Safety tests in healthy and diarrheal children from Bangladesh. *Environ. Microbiol.* 2017, 19, 237–250.
16. Sarker, S.A.; McCallin, S.; Barretto, C.; Berger, B.; Pittet, A.C.; Sultana, S.; Krause, L.; Huq, S.; Bibiloni, R.; Bruttin, A.; et al. Oral T4-like phage cocktail application to healthy adult volunteers from Bangladesh. *Virology* 2012, 434, 222–232.
17. Remick, D.G. Pathophysiology of Sepsis. *Am. J. Pathol.* 2007, 170, 1435–1444.
18. Drulis-Kawa, Z.; Majkowska-Skrobek, G.; Maciejewska, B.; Delattre, A.-S.; Lavigne, R. Learning from Bacteriophages—Advantages and Limitations of Phage and Phage-Encoded Protein Applications. *Curr. Protein Pept. Sci.* 2012, 13, 699–722.
19. Pisetsky, D.J.C. The origin and properties of extracellular DNA: From PAMP to DAMP. *Clin. Immunol.* 2012, 144, 32–40.
20. Fries, B.; Varshney, A.J.M. Bacterial Toxins-Staphylococcal Enterotoxin, B. *Microbiol Spectr.* 2013, 1, 10.
21. Proft, T.; Fraser, J.J.C. Bacterial superantigens. *Clin. Exp. Immunol.* 2003, 133, 299–306.
22. Percy, M.; Gründling, A. Lipoteichoic acid synthesis and function in gram-positive bacteria. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 2014, 68, 81–100.
23. Seo, H.; Michalek, S.; Nahm, M.J.I. Lipoteichoic acid is important in innate immune responses to gram-positive bacteria. *Infect. Immun.* 2008, 76, 206–213.
24. Kang, S.P.; Saif, M.W. Infusion-related and hypersensitivity reactions of monoclonal antibodies used to treat colorectal cancer—identification, prevention, and management. *J. Support. Oncol.* 2007, 5, 451–457.
25. Doessegger, L.; Banholzer, M.L. Clinical development methodology for infusion-related reactions with monoclonal antibodies. *Clin. Transl. Immun.* 2015, 4, e39.
26. Van Belleghem, J.; Merabishvili, M.; Vergauwen, B.; Lavigne, R.; Vanechoutte, M.J. A comparative study of different strategies for removal of endotoxins from bacteriophage preparations. *J. Microbiol Methods.* 2017, 132, 153–159.
27. Available online: (accessed on 20 June 2020).
28. De Paepe, M.; Leclerc, M.; Tinsley, C.R.; Petit, M.-A. Bacteriophages: An underestimated role in human and animal health? *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 2014, 4, 39.
29. Van Belleghem, J.D.; Clement, F.; Merabishvili, M.; Lavigne, R.; Vanechoutte, M. Pro- and anti-inflammatory responses of peripheral blood mononuclear cells induced by Staphylococcus aureus and Pseudomonas aeruginosa phages. *Sci. Rep.* 2017, 7, 8004.
30. Zhang, L.; Hou, X.; Sun, L.; He, T.; Wei, R.; Pang, M.; Wang, R. Staphylococcus aureus bacteriophage suppresses LPS-induced inflammation in MAC-T bovine mammary epithelial cells. *Front. Microbiol.* 2018, 9, 2511.
31. Park, K.; Cha, K.; Myung, H. Observation of inflammatory responses in mice orally fed with bacteriophage T7. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 2014, 117, 627–633.
32. Majewska, J.; Kaźmierczak, Z.; Lahutta, K.; Lecion, D.; Szymczak, A.; Miernikiewicz, P.; Drapała, J.; Harhala, M.; Marek-Bukowiec, K.; Jędruchiewicz, N.; et al. Induction of Phage-Specific Antibodies by Two Therapeutic Staphylococcal Bacteriophages Administered per os. *Front. Immunol.* 2019, 10, 2607.
33. Van Belleghem, J.D.; Dabrowska, K.; Vanechoutte, M.; Barr, J.J.; Bollyky, P.L. Interactions between Bacteriophage, Bacteria, and the Mammalian Immune System. *Viruses* 2018, 11, 10.
34. Leung, C.Y.J.; Weitz, J.S. Modeling the synergistic elimination of bacteria by phage and the innate immune system. *J. Theor. Biol.* 2017, 429, 241–252.
35. Weber-Dabrowska, B.; Zimecki, M.; Mulczyk, M. Effective phage therapy is associated with normalization of cytokine production by blood cell cultures. *Arch. Immunol. Ther. Exp.* 2000, 48, 31–37.
36. Gorski, A.; Międzybrodzki, R.; Borysowski, J.; Dąbrowska, K.; Wierzbicki, P.; Ohams, M.; Kłosowska, D. Phage as a modulator of immune responses: Practical implications for phage therapy. *Adv. Virus Res.* 2012, 83, 41–71.
37. Gainey, A.B.; Burch, A.K.; Brownstein, M.J.; Brown, D.E.; Fackler, J.; Horne, B.; Biswas, B.; Bivens, B.N.; Malagon, F.; Daniels, R. Combining bacteriophages with cefiderocol and meropenem/vaborbactam to treat a pan-drug resistant Achromobacter species infection in a pediatric cystic fibrosis patient. *Pediatr. Pulmonol.* 2020, 55, 2990–2994.
38. Aslam, S.; Courtwright, A.; Koval, C.; Lehman, S.; Morales, S.; Furr, C.; Rosas, F.; Brownstein, M.; Fackler, J.; Sisson, B.; et al. Early clinical experience of bacteriophage therapy in 3 lung transplant recipients. *Am. J. Transplant. Off. J. Am. Soc. Transplant. Am. Soc. Transpl. Surg.* 2019, 19, 2631–2639.
39. Ujmajuridze, A.; Chanishvili, N.; Goderdzishvili, M.; Leitner, L.; Mehnert, U.; Chkhotua, A.; Kessler, T.M.; Sybesma, W. Adapted Bacteriophages for Treating Urinary Tract Infections. *Front. Microbiol.* 2018, 9, 1832.
40. Sunagar, R.; Patil, S.A.; Chandrakanth, R.K. Bacteriophage therapy for Staphylococcus aureus bacteremia in streptozotocin-induced diabetic mice. *Res. Microbiol.* 2010, 161, 854–860.
41. Jun, J.W.; Shin, T.H.; Kim, J.H.; Shin, S.P.; Han, J.E.; Heo, G.J.; De Zoysa, M.; Shin, G.W.; Chai, J.Y.; Park, S.C.



- Bacteriophage therapy of a *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* infection caused by a multiple-antibiotic-resistant O3:K6 pandemic clinical strain. *J. Infect. Dis.* 2014, 210, 72–78.
42. Onsea, J.; Soentjens, P.; Djebara, S.; Merabishvili, M.; Depypere, M.; Spriet, I.; De Munter, P.; Debaveye, Y.; Nijs, S.; Vanderschot, P.; et al. Bacteriophage Application for Difficult-to-treat Musculoskeletal Infections: Development of a Standardized Multidisciplinary Treatment Protocol. *Viruses* 2019, 11, 891.
  43. Dedrick, R.M.; Guerrero, C.; Garlena, R.A.; Russell, D.A.; Ford, K.; Harris, K.; Gilmour, K.C.; Soothill, J.; Jacobs-Sera, D.; Schooley, R.T.; et al. Engineered bacteriophages for treatment of a patient with a disseminated drug-resistant *Mycobacterium abscessus*. *Nat. Med.* 2019, 25, 730–733.
  44. Bruttin, A.; Brussow, H. Human volunteers receiving *Escherichia coli* phage T4 orally: A safety test of phage therapy. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 2005, 49, 2874–2878.
  45. Raetz, C.; Whitfield, C.J.A. Lipopolysaccharide endotoxins. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 2002, 71, 635–700.
  46. Nasukawa, T.; Uchiyama, J.; Taharaguchi, S.; Ota, S.; Ujihara, T.; Matsuzaki, S.; Murakami, H.; Mizukami, K.; Sakaguchi, M. Virus purification by CsCl density gradient using general centrifugation. *Arch. Virol.* 2017, 162, 3523–3528.
  47. Melnikov, P.; Zanoni, L.J.B. Clinical effects of cesium intake. *Biol. Trace Elem. Res.* 2010, 135, 1–9.
  48. D'souza, A.; Shegokar, R. Polyethylene glycol (PEG): A versatile polymer for pharmaceutical applications. *Expert Opin. Drug Deliv.* 2016, 13, 1257–1275.
  49. Fruijtier-Pölloth, C.J.T. Safety assessment on polyethylene glycols (PEGs) and their derivatives as used in cosmetic products. *Toxicology* 2005, 214, 1–38.
  50. Herold, D.; Keil, K.; Bruns, D. Oxidation of polyethylene glycols by alcohol dehydrogenase. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 1989, 38, 73–76.
  51. Milla, P.; Dosio, F.; Cattel, L.J.C. PEGylation of proteins and liposomes: A powerful and flexible strategy to improve the drug delivery. *Curr. Drug Metab.* 2012, 13, 105–119.
  52. Adriaenssens, E.; Lehman, S.; Vandersteegen, K.; Vandenheuvel, D.; Philippe, D.; Cornelissen, A.; Clokie, M.; García, A.; De Proft, M.; Maes, M.; et al. CIM(®) monolithic anion-exchange chromatography as a useful alternative to CsCl gradient purification of bacteriophage particles. *Virology* 2012, 434, 265–270.
  53. Boratyński, J.; Syper, D.; Weber-Dabrowska, B.; Łusiak-Szelachowska, M.; Poźniak, G.; Górski, A.J.C. Preparation of endotoxin-free bacteriophages. *Cell. Mol. Biol. Lett.* 2004, 9, 253–259.
  54. Weber-Dąbrowska, B.; Jończyk-Matysiak, E.; Żaczek, M.; Łobocka, M.; Łusiak-Szelachowska, M.; Górski, A.J.F. Bacteriophage Procurement for Therapeutic Purposes. *Front. Microbiol.* 2016, 7, 1177.
  55. Munson, T.J.P. iGuideline for validation of the LAL test as an end-product endotoxin test for human and biological drug products. *Prog. Clin. Biol. Res.* 1985, 189, 211–220.
  56. Schooley, R.T.; Biswas, B.; Gill, J.J.; Hernandez-Morales, A.; Lancaster, J.; Lessor, L.; Barr, J.J.; Reed, S.L.; Rohwer, F.; Benler, S.; et al. Development and Use of Personalized Bacteriophage-Based Therapeutic Cocktails To Treat a Patient with a Disseminated Resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* Infection. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 2017, 61, e00954-17.
  57. McCallin, S.; Sarker, S.A.; Sultana, S.; Oechslin, F.; Brussow, H. Metagenome analysis of Russian and Georgian Pyophage cocktails and a placebo-controlled safety trial of single phage versus phage cocktail in healthy *Staphylococcus aureus* carriers. *Environ. Microbiol.* 2018, 20, 3278–3293.
  58. Ferry, T.; Boucher, F.; Fevre, C.; Perpoint, T.; Chateau, J.; Petitjean, C.; Josse, J.; Chidiac, C.; L'hostis, G.; Leboucher, G.; et al. Innovations for the treatment of a complex bone and joint infection due to XDR *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* including local application of a selected cocktail of bacteriophages. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 2018, 73, 2901–2903.
  59. Rhoads, D.; Wolcott, R.; Kuskowski, M.; Wolcott, B.; Ward, L.; Sulakvelidze, A. Bacteriophage therapy of venous leg ulcers in humans: Results of a phase I safety trial. *J. Wound Care* 2009, 18, 237–243.
  60. Fong, S.A.; Drilling, A.J.; Ooi, M.L.; Paramasivan, S.; Finnie, J.W.; Morales, S.; Psaltis, A.J.; Vreugde, S.; Wormald, P.J. Safety and efficacy of a bacteriophage cocktail in an in vivo model of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* sinusitis. *Transl. Res.* 2019, 206, 41–56.
  61. Maddocks, S.; Fabijan, A.P.; Ho, J.; Lin, R.; Ben Zakour, N.L.; Dugan, C.; Kliman, I.; Branston, S.; Morales, S.; Iredell, J.R. Bacteriophage therapy of ventilator-associated pneumonia and empyema caused by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* 2019, 200, 1179–1181.
  62. Gilbey, T.; Ho, J.; Cooley, L.A.; Petrovic Fabijan, A.; Iredell, J.R. Adjunctive bacteriophage therapy for prosthetic valve endocarditis due to *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Med. J. Aust.* 2019, 211, 142–143.
  63. Law, N.; Logan, C.; Yung, G.; Furr, C.L.; Lehman, S.M.; Morales, S.; Rosas, F.; Gaidamaka, A.; Bilinsky, I.; Grint, P.; et al. Successful adjunctive use of bacteriophage therapy for treatment of multidrug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection in a cystic fibrosis patient. *Infection* 2019, 47, 665–668.
  64. Wright, A.; Hawkins, C.; Änggård, E.; Harper, D. A controlled clinical trial of a therapeutic bacteriophage preparation in chronic otitis due to antibiotic-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*; a preliminary report of efficacy. *Clin. Otolaryngol.* 2009, 34, 349–357.
  65. Chang, R.Y.K.; Chen, K.; Wang, J.; Wallin, M.; Britton, W.; Morales, S.; Kutter, E.; Li, J.; Chan, H.K. Proof-of-Principle Study in a Murine Lung Infection Model of Antipseudomonal Activity of Phage PEV20 in a Dry-Powder Formulation.

- Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2018, 62, e01714-17.
66. Gill, J.; Hyman, P.J.C. Phage choice, isolation, and preparation for phage therapy. *Curr. Pharm. Biotechnol.* 2010, 11, 2-14.
  67. Dufour, N.; Delattre, R.; Chevallereau, A.; Ricard, J.D.; Debarbieux, L. Phage Therapy of Pneumonia Is Not Associated with an Overstimulation of the Inflammatory Response Compared to Antibiotic Treatment in Mice. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 2019, 63, e00379-19.
  68. Drilling, A.J.; Ooi, M.L.; Miljkovic, D.; James, C.; Speck, P.; Vreugde, S.; Clark, J.; Wormald, P.J. Long-Term Safety of Topical Bacteriophage Application to the Frontal Sinus Region. *Front. Cell Infect. Microbiol.* 2017, 7, 49.
  69. Drilling, A.; Morales, S.; Boase, S.; Jervis-Bardy, J.; James, C.; Jardeleza, C.; Tan, N.C.; Cleland, E.; Speck, P.; Vreugde, S.; et al. Safety and efficacy of topical bacteriophage and ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid treatment of *Staphylococcus aureus* infection in a sheep model of sinusitis. *Int. Forum Allergy Rhinol.* 2014, 4, 176-186.
  70. Chhibber, S.; Kaur, S.; Kumari, S. Therapeutic potential of bacteriophage in treating *Klebsiella pneumoniae* B5055-mediated lobar pneumonia in mice. *J. Med. Microbiol.* 2008, 57, 1508-1513.
  71. Jeon, J.; Yong, D. Two Novel Bacteriophages Improve Survival in *Galleria mellonella* Infection and Mouse Acute Pneumonia Models Infected with Extensively Drug-Resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Appl Environ. Microbiol.* 2019, 85, e02900-18.
  72. Gelman, D.; Beyth, S.; Lerer, V.; Adler, K.; Poradosu-Cohen, R.; Copenhagen-Glazer, S.; Hazan, R. Combined bacteriophages and antibiotics as an efficient therapy against VRE *Enterococcus faecalis* in a mouse model. *Res. Microbiol.* 2018, 169, 531-539.
  73. Cheng, M.; Liang, J.; Zhang, Y.; Hu, L.; Gong, P.; Cai, R.; Zhang, L.; Zhang, H.; Ge, J.; Ji, Y.; et al. The Bacteriophage EF-P29 Efficiently Protects against Lethal Vancomycin-Resistant *Enterococcus faecalis* and Alleviates Gut Microbiota Imbalance in a Murine Bacteremia Model. *Front. Microbiol.* 2017, 8, 837.
  74. Oechslin, F.; Piccardi, P.; Mancini, S.; Gabard, J.; Moreillon, P.; Entenza, J.M.; Resch, G.; Que, Y.A. Synergistic Interaction Between Phage Therapy and Antibiotics Clears *Pseudomonas Aeruginosa* Infection in Endocarditis and Reduces Virulence. *J. Infect. Dis.* 2017, 215, 703-712.
  75. Takemura-Uchiyama, I.; Uchiyama, J.; Osanai, M.; Morimoto, N.; Asagiri, T.; Ujihara, T.; Daibata, M.; Sugiura, T.; Matsuzaki, S. Experimental phage therapy against lethal lung-derived septicemia caused by *Staphylococcus aureus* in mice. *Microbes Infect.* 2014, 16, 512-517.
  76. Gu, J.; Liu, X.; Li, Y.; Han, W.; Lei, L.; Yang, Y.; Zhao, H.; Gao, Y.; Song, J.; Lu, R.; et al. A method for generation phage cocktail with great therapeutic potential. *Microbes Infect.* 2012, 16, 512-517.
  77. Pouillot, F.; Chomton, M.; Blois, H.; Courroux, C.; Noelig, J.; Bidet, P.; Bingen, E.; Bonacorsi, S. Efficacy of bacteriophage therapy in experimental sepsis and meningitis caused by a clone O25b:H4-ST131 *Escherichia coli* strain producing CTX-M-15. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemothe.* 2012, 56, 3568-3575.
  78. Ľubomíra Tóthová, P.; Janka Bábíčková, C. Phage therapy of *Cronobacter*-induced urinary tract infection in mice. *Med. Sci. Monit.* 2011, 17, 173-178.
  79. Hung, C.H.; Kuo, C.F.; Wang, C.H.; Wu, C.M.; Tsao, N. Experimental phage therapy in treating *Klebsiella pneumoniae*-mediated liver abscesses and bacteremia in mice. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 2011, 55, 1358-1365.
  80. Hawkins, C.; Harper, D.; Burch, D.; Anggard, E.; Soothill, J. Topical treatment of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* otitis of dogs with a bacteriophage mixture: A before/after clinical trial. *Vet. Microbiol.* 2010, 146, 309-313.
  81. Nishikawa, H.; Yasuda, M.; Uchiyama, J.; Rashel, M.; Maeda, Y.; Takemura, I.; Sugihara, S.; Ujihara, T.; Shimizu, Y.; Shuin, T.; et al. T-even-related bacteriophages as candidates for treatment of *Escherichia coli* urinary tract infections. *Arch. Virol.* 2008, 153, 507-515.
  82. Lebeaux, D.; Merabishvili, M.; Caudron, E.; Lannoy, D.; Van Simaey, L.; Duyvejonck, H.; Guillemain, R.; Thumerelle, C.; Podglajen, I.; Compain, F.; et al. Case of Phage Therapy against Pandrug-Resistant *Achromobacter xylosoxidans* in a 12-Year-Old Lung-Transplanted Cystic Fibrosis Patient. *Viruses* 2021, 13, 60.
  83. Ferry, T.; Kolenda, C.; Batailler, C.; Gustave, C.A.; Lustig, S.; Malatray, M.; Fevre, C.; Josse, J.; Petitjean, C.; Chidiac, C.; et al. Phage Therapy as Adjuvant to Conservative Surgery and Antibiotics to Salvage Patients With Relapsing, *S. aureus* Prosthetic Knee Infection. *Front. Med.* 2020, 7, 570572.
  84. Bao, J.; Wu, N.; Zeng, Y.; Chen, L.; Li, L.; Yang, L.; Zhang, Y.; Guo, M.; Li, L.; Li, J.; et al. Non-active antibiotic and bacteriophage synergism to successfully treat recurrent urinary tract infection caused by extensively drug-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. *Emerg. Microbes Infect.* 2020, 9, 771-774.
  85. Cano, E.J.; Cafilisch, K.M.; Bollyky, P.L.; Van Belleghem, J.D.; Patel, R.; Fackler, J.; Brownstein, M.J.; Horne, B.A.; Biswas, B.; Henry, M.; et al. Phage Therapy for Limb-threatening Prosthetic Knee *Klebsiella pneumoniae* Infection: Case Report and In Vitro Characterization of Anti-biofilm Activity. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 2020, 705, 1-13.
  86. Rostkowska, O.; Międzybrodzki, R.; Miszewska-Szyszkowska, D.; Górski, A.; Durlik, M. Treatment of recurrent urinary tract infections in a 60-year-old kidney transplant recipient. The use of phage therapy. *Tranpl. Infect. Dis. Off. J. Transplant. Soc.* 2020, e13391.
  87. Doub, J.B.; Ng, V.Y.; Johnson, A.J.; Slomka, M.; Fackler, J.; Horne, B.; Brownstein, M.J.; Henry, M.; Malagon, F.; Biswas, B. Salvage Bacteriophage Therapy for a Chronic MRSA Prosthetic Joint Infection. *Antibiotics* 2020, 9, 241.

88. Rubalskii, E.; Ruemke, S.; Salmoukas, C.; Boyle, E.C.; Warnecke, G.; Tudorache, I.; Shrestha, M.; Schmitto, J.D.; Martens, A.; Rojas, S.V.; et al. Bacteriophage Therapy for Critical Infections Related to Cardiothoracic Surgery. *Antibiotics* 2020, 9, 232.
89. Nir-Paz, R.; Gelman, D.; Khouri, A.; Sisson, B.; Fackler, J.; Alkalay-Oren, S.; Khalifa, L.; Rimon, A.; Yerushalmy, O.; Bader, R.; et al. Successful Treatment of Antibiotic-resistant, Poly-microbial Bone Infection With Bacteriophages and Antibiotics Combination. *Clin. Infect. Dis. Off. Publ. Infect. Dis. Soc. Am.* 2019, 69, 2015–2018.
90. Tkhalishvili, T.; Winkler, T.; Müller, M.; Perka, C.; Trampuz, A. Bacteriophages as Adjuvant to Antibiotics for the Treatment of Periprosthetic Joint Infection Caused by Multidrug-Resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 2019, 64, e00924-19.
91. Corbellino, M.; Kieffer, N.; Kutateladze, M.; Balarjishvili, N.; Leshkasheli, L.; Askilashvili, L.; Tsertsvadze, G.; Rimoldi, S.; Nizharadze, D.; Hoyle, N.; et al. Eradication of a Multidrug-Resistant, Carbapenemase-Producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* Isolate Following Oral and Intra-rectal Therapy With a Custom Made, Lytic Bacteriophage Preparation. *Clin. Infect. Dis. Off. Publ. Infect. Dis. Soc. Am.* 2020, 70, 1998–2001.
92. Duplessis, C.; Biswas, B.; Hanisch, B.; Perkins, M.; Henry, M.; Quinones, J.; Wolfe, D.; Estrella, L.; Hamilton, T. Refractory *Pseudomonas* Bacteremia in a 2-Year-Old Sterilized by Bacteriophage Therapy. *J. Pediatric Infect. Dis Soc.* 2018, 7, 253–256.
93. Kuipers, S.; Ruth, M.M.; Mientjes, M.; de Sévaux, R.G.L.; van Ingen, J. A Dutch Case Report of Successful Treatment of Chronic Relapsing Urinary Tract Infection with Bacteriophages in a Renal Transplant Patient. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 2019, 64, e01281-19.
94. LaVergne, S.; Hamilton, T.; Biswas, B.; Kumaraswamy, M.; Schooley, R.; Wooten, D. *Acinetobacter baumannii* Phage Therapy for a Multidrug-Resistant Craniectomy Site Infection. *Open Forum Infect. Dis.* 2018, 5, ofy064.
95. Fish, R.; Kutter, E.; Bryan, D.; Wheat, G.; Kuhl, S. Resolving Digital Staphylococcal Osteomyelitis Using Bacteriophage—A Case Report. *Antibiotics* 2018, 7, 87.
96. Ferry, T.; Leboucher, G.; Fevre, C.; Herry, Y.; Conrad, A.; Josse, J.; Batailler, C.; Chidiac, C.; Medina, M.; Lustig, S.; et al. Salvage Debridement, Antibiotics and Implant Retention ("DAIR") With Local Injection of a Selected Cocktail of Bacteriophages: Is It an Option for an Elderly Patient With Relapsing *Staphylococcus aureus* Prosthetic-Joint Infection? *Open Forum Infect. Dis.* 2018, 5, ofy269.
97. Hoyle, N.; Zhvaniya, P.; Balarjishvili, N.; Bolkvadze, D.; Nadareishvili, L.; Nizharadze, D.; Wittmann, J.; Rohde, C.; Kutateladze, M. Phage therapy against *Achromobacter xylosoxidans* lung infection in a patient with cystic fibrosis: A case report. *Res. Microbiol.* 2018, 169, 540–542.
98. Chan, B.K.; Turner, P.E.; Kim, S.; Mojibian, H.R.; Eleftheriades, J.A.; Narayan, D. Phage treatment of an aortic graft infected with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Evol. Med. Public Health.* 2018, 2018, 60–66.
99. Zhvania, P.; Hoyle, N.S.; Nadareishvili, L.; Nizharadze, D.; Kutateladze, M. Phage Therapy in a 16-Year-Old Boy with Netherton Syndrome. *Front. Med.* 2017, 4, 94.
100. Jennes, S.; Merabishvili, M.; Soentjens, P.; Pang, K.W.; Rose, T.; Keersebilck, E.; Soete, O.; Francois, P.M.; Teodorescu, S.; Verween, G.; et al. Use of bacteriophages in the treatment of colistin-only-sensitive *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* septicemia in a patient with acute kidney injury—a case report. *Crit. Care.* 2017, 21, 129.
101. Fish, R.; Kutter, E.; Wheat, G.; Blasdel, B.; Kutateladze, M.; Kuhl, S. Bacteriophage treatment of intransigent diabetic toe ulcers: A case series. *J. Wound Care.* 2016, 25 (Suppl. 7), S27–S33.
102. Fadlallah, A.; Chelala, E.; Legeais, J. Corneal Infection Therapy with Topical Bacteriophage Administration. *Open Ophthalmol. J.* 2015, 9, 167–168.
103. Rose, T.; Verbeken, G.; De Vos, D.; Merabishvili, M.; Vaneechoutte, M.; Lavigne, R.; Jennes, S.; Zizi, M.; Pirnay, J.-P. Experimental phage therapy of burn wound infection: Difficult first steps. *Int. J. Burn. Trauma* 2014, 4, 66–73.
104. Khawaldeh, A.; Morales, S.; Dillon, B.; Alavidze, Z.; Ginn, A.N.; Thomas, L.; Chapman, S.J.; Dublanchet, A.; Smithyman, A.; Iredell, J.R. Bacteriophage therapy for refractory *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* urinary tract infection. *J. Med. Microbiol.* 2011, 60, 1697–1700.
105. Kvachadze, L.; Balarjishvili, N.; Meskhi, T.; Tevdoradze, E.; Skhirtladze, N.; Pataridze, T.; Adamia, R.; Topuria, T.; Kutter, E.; Rohde, C.; et al. Evaluation of lytic activity of staphylococcal bacteriophage Sb-1 against freshly isolated clinical pathogens. *Microb. Biotechnol.* 2011, 4, 643–650.
106. Letkiewicz, S.; Międzybrodzki, R.; Fortuna, W.; Weber-Dąbrowska, B.; Górski, A. Eradication of *Enterococcus faecalis* by phage therapy in chronic bacterial prostatitis—case report. *Folia microbio.* 2019, 54, 457–461.
107. Leitner, L.; Ujmajuridze, A.; Chanishvili, N.; Goderdzishvili, M.; Chkonia, I.; Rigvava, S.; Chkhotua, A.; Changashvili, G.; McCallin, S.; Schneider, M.; et al. Intravesical bacteriophages for treating urinary tract infections in patients undergoing transurethral resection of the prostate: A randomised, placebo-controlled, double-blind clinical trial. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 2021, 21, 427–436.
108. Fabijan, A.P.; Team, W.B.T.; Lin, R.C.Y.; Ho, J.; Maddocks, S.; Ben Zakour, N.L.; Iredell, J.R. Safety of bacteriophage therapy in severe *Staphylococcus aureus* infection. *Nat. Microbiol.* 2020, 5, 465–472.
109. Ooi, M.L.; Drilling, A.J.; Morales, S.; Fong, S.; Moraitis, S.; Macias-Valle, L.; Vreugde, S.; Psaltis, A.J.; Wormald, P.J. Safety and Tolerability of Bacteriophage Therapy for Chronic Rhinosinusitis Due to *Staphylococcus aureus*. *JAMA*

- Otolaryngol. Head Neck Surg. 2019, 145, 723–729.
110. Gindin, M.; Febvre, H.P.; Rao, S.; Wallace, T.C.; Weir, T.L. Bacteriophage for Gastrointestinal Health (PHAGE) Study: Evaluating the Safety and Tolerability of Supplemental Bacteriophage Consumption. *J. Am. Coll. Nutr.* 2019, 38, 68–75.
  111. Jault, P.; Leclerc, T.; Jennes, S.; Pirnay, J.P.; Que, Y.-A.; Resch, G.; Rousseau, A.F.; Ravat, F.; Carsin, H.; Le Floch, R.; et al. Efficacy and tolerability of a cocktail of bacteriophages to treat burn wounds infected by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (PhagoBurn): A randomised, controlled, double-blind phase 1/2 trial. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 2019, 19, 35–45.
  112. Sarker, S.A.; Sultana, S.; Reuteler, G.; Moine, D.; Descombes, P.; Charton, F.; Bourdin, G.; McCallin, S.; Ngom-Bru, C.; Neville, T.; et al. Oral Phage Therapy of Acute Bacterial Diarrhea With Two Coliphage Preparations: A Randomized Trial in Children From Bangladesh. *EBioMedicine.* 2016, 4, 124–137.
  113. Available online: (accessed on 17 July 2020).
  114. Available online: (accessed on 17 July 2020).
  115. Gorski, A.; Miedzybrodzki, R.; Wegrzyn, G.; Jonczyk-Matysiak, E.; Borysowski, J.; Weber-Dabrowska, B. Phage therapy: Current status and perspectives. *Med. Res. Rev.* 2020, 40, 459–463.
  116. Reindel, R.; Fiore, C.R. Phage Therapy: Considerations and Challenges for Development. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 2017, 64, 1589–1590.
  117. Pirnay, J.P.; Merabishvili, M.; Van Raemdonck, H.; De Vos, D.; Verbeken, G. Bacteriophage Production in Compliance with Regulatory Requirements. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 2018, 1693, 233–252.
  118. Cooper, C.; Khan Mirzaei, M.; Nilsson, A. Adapting Drug Approval Pathways for Bacteriophage-Based Therapeutics. *Front. Microbiol.* 2016, 7, 1209.

---

## Keywords

phage therapy;clinical trials;safety and toxicity;immune activation

---

Retrieved from <https://encyclopedia.pub/13258>