Gastric Outlet Obstruction Management

Subjects: Gastroenterology & Hepatology

Contributor: Dimitrios Ziogas , Thomas Vasilakis , Christina Kapizioni , Eleni Koukoulioti , Georgios Tziatzios , Paraskevas Gkolfakis , Antonio Facciorusso , Ioannis S. Papanikolaou

Gastric outlet obstruction (GOO) poses a common and challenging clinical scenario, characterized by mechanical blockage in the pylorus, distal stomach, or duodenum, resulting in symptoms such as nausea, vomiting, abdominal pain, and early satiety. Its diverse etiology encompasses both benign and malignant disorders. The spectrum of treatment modalities extends from conservative approaches to more invasive interventions, incorporating procedures like surgical gastroenterostomy (SGE), self-expandable metallic stents (SEMSs) placement, and the advanced technique of endoscopic ultrasound-guided gastroenterostomy (EUS-GE). While surgery is favored for longer life expectancy, stents are preferred in malignant gastric outlet stenosis. The novel EUS-GE technique, employing a lumen-apposing self-expandable metal stent (LAMS), combines the immediate efficacy of stents with the enduring benefits of gastroenterostomy.

endoscopic ultrasound-guided gastroenterostomy

gastric outlet obstruction

endosonography

self-expandable metal stent gastroenterostomy

1. Introduction

Gastric outlet obstruction (GOO) is the intrinsic or extrinsic mechanical blockage of gastric emptying at the level of the antrum or duodenum resulting from various benign or malignant conditions ^{[1][2][3]}. Most common benign conditions are gastric and duodenal ulcers. Other benign conditions causing GOOs are post ulcer stenosis, acute pancreatitis and pancreatic fluid collections, radiation-induced stricture, caustic ingestion, foreign body, benign tumor (adenoma, lipoma), Crohn's disease, and eosinophilic gastroenteritis ^{[1][2][4]}. In the past, ulcer-induced GOO was very common (up to 90% in 1990), but with the advent of PPIs and helicobacter pylori eradication, the most common cause of GOO nowadays is malignancy (50–80%) ^[1]. Malignant gastric outlet obstruction (malignant GOO) can result from cancers arising from the stomach, duodenum, pancreas, biliary tree, and liver, as well as from metastases ^{[1][4][5]}. In the Western world, malignant GOO is most frequently attributed to pancreatic adenocarcinoma is responsible for most malignant GOOs in Asia ^[5].

Individuals suffering from GOO typically experience epigastric pain, early satiety, nausea, and vomiting. In cases of malignant GOO, these symptoms can also be caused from the primary tumor or appear as a side effect of radiation or chemotherapy. ^[4] For this reason, a careful differential diagnosis should be performed. Diagnosis should be made early since malnutrition can appear as a result of poor oral food intake, which in turn can negatively influence patient prognosis ^{[1][4]}.

Clinical examination may reveal a palpable mass due to stomach distension (15% of the cases) ^[1]. This can be easily confirmed initially through transabdominal ultrasound or an X-ray of the abdomen, although a CT scan will usually follow. Both exams can show the enlarged stomach and the lack of small or large intestine distension. Thus, intestinal blockage can be excluded, which is also an important differential diagnosis of vomiting. Furthermore, it is very important to assess the presence of more than one stenotic segments or ascites (benign or malignant) ^[2]. Contrast studies utilizing barium or water-soluble contrast agents prove to be valuable diagnostic tools, particularly in patients with a documented history of malignancy who present with a sudden onset of vomiting. The absence of contrast passage in the small intestine indicates a complete GOO. A CT scan of the abdomen offers the advantage of revealing the extent of the disease, especially in the case of malignancy, the presence of metastasis or peritoneal carcinomatosis. Upper gastrointestinal endoscopy can directly determine the cause of the stenosis with or without biopsy and in cases of extraluminal compression, endoscopic ultrasonography is needed for tissue sampling as well as locoregional staging. All the above information along with estimated patient survival and patient preferences will determine which is the most appropriate therapeutic management ^{[4][5]}.

In previous decades, surgical gastroenterostomy (SGE) has been the treatment of choice for GOOs. Nowadays, self-expandable metallic stents (SEMSs) and endoscopic ultrasound-guided gastroenterostomy (EUS-GE) have gained wide acceptance and have replaced surgery for selected patients ^{[2][4]}.

2. Surgical Gastrojejunostomy

This method was considered the traditional bypass method to alleviate obstructive symptoms of GOO and ameliorate patients' quality of life before the emergence of less invasive endoscopic techniques. It can be performed either as an open or a laparoscopic surgery. It is important that the gastrostomy is formed 3–5 cm proximal to either the obstruction or the pylorus. The anastomosis needs to be placed at the distal stomach on the greater curvature, thus avoiding a too high placement, as this could result in biliary reflux and delayed gastric emptying. A jejunal loop 10–15 cm distal to the ligament of Treitz is chosen and placed close to the stomach in an isoperistaltic conformation and not under tension either in an antecolic or a retrocolic fashion ^[6].

Common complications of the SGE include infections, gastroparesis, hemorrhage, anastomotic leak (mostly between the third to fifth postoperative day), and marginal ulcer (gastrojejunostomy predisposes the part of the jejunum nearest to the stomach to ulcer development because it lacks the protective mechanisms of the duodenum) ^{[6][Z]}. Laparoscopic SGE demonstrates improved morbidity and mortality rates, as well as shorter hospitalization, quicker resumption of eating, diminished intraoperative bleeding, and decreased requirements for opiates postoperatively ^[8].

Surgery is performed on patients with life expectancy of at least several months, a duration deemed ample to navigate and overcome the risk and complications of the surgical intervention ^[4]. Also, surgery may be performed as a salvage option in selected patients after unsuccessful EUS-GE ^[9].

3. Self-Expandable Metallic Stents

SEMS is the oldest one of the endoscopic techniques used for GOO. SEMSs are available in a condensed form within a device designed to be inserted through the working channel of an endoscope, over a wire that has previously been inserted through the obstruction ^[4] The stent can then be deployed with or without the use of fluoroscopy. The stent automatically expands to reach its maximum diameter within the following 24 to 48 h. An endoscope with a broad working channel (i.e., =3.7 mm) is required. The majority of cases are managed using therapeutic gastroscopes; however, situations involving enlarged stomachs or strictures in the distal duodenum may be more effectively addressed with a colonoscope or, in some cases, a duodenoscope ^{[10][11]}.

There are three types of SEMS: uncovered (uSEMS), partially covered (pcSEMS), and fully covered (fcSEMS). Generally, it appears that both uSEMS and fcSEMS exhibit comparable effectiveness. Studies suggest that all SEMSs have similar technical success (ranging between 89% and 98%) and clinical success, typically characterized as the alleviation of obstructive symptoms and increase in oral consumption ^[5], ranging between 63% and 93% ^[12]. The choice of the stent type is based on the individual characteristics of the stenosis, knowing that fcSEMS have higher migration rates, whereas stent ingrowth rate is higher with uSEMS. The latter offer the additional advantage of improved bile outflow (through the stent's mesh interstices) when the stent is positioned across the papilla in the duodenum ^{[4][5]}.

The rate of adverse events (AEs) associated with SEMS placement varies between 0% and 30%, based on the definition applied in each study. This may include minor AEs such as nausea, vomiting, and mild abdominal pain, or major AEs, such as bleeding, perforation, stent migration/displacement, or cholangitis ^[5]. Delayed AEs are commonly associated with stent dysfunction, resulting from migration or blockage due to food impaction and/or tumor ingrowth/overgrowth. Several methods (e.g., stent clipping or suturing, anti-migratory design) are recommended to diminish the risk of stent migration ^[13].

The placement of a SEMS is the first-line strategy in patients with short life expectancies (<3 months) and can also be an alternative, if EUS-GE is not successful ^[9].

4. EUS-Guided Gastroenterostomy

EUS-GE is a new endoscopic treatment for GOO offering equivalent efficacy compared to other techniques but with possibly fewer adverse events in experienced hands. During EUS-GE, the stomach and small intestine are fused with the application of a new type of stent, the lumen apposing metallic stent (LAMS), which was introduced in 2012 ^[14]. LAMS is a double flanged, fully covered stent that provides a stable anastomosis between two adjacent organs (**Figure 1**). The presence of broad flanges on both ends results in a better anchoring and allows for equal distribution of pressure on the luminal wall, thus decreasing the risk of migration ^[14]. For this procedure, a linear echoendoscope with forward or oblique viewing is needed ^[2]. LAMS can be deployed using many methods. They can be divided into two groups, the direct method and the assisted methods: a. the antegrade EUS-GE traditional downstream method, b. the antegrade EUS-GE rendezvous method, c. the retrograde EUS-

enterogastrostomy and the EUS balloon occluded GE bypass (EPASS) ^{[2][15]}. The first four are the most frequently used ^{[2][9]}. Here, the researchers describe the basic steps of each procedure ^{[2][15][16]}. The selection of the target site depends on the proximity of the specific segment of the small bowel to the gastric wall, as well as the presence of tumor involvement in the third part of the duodenum. When faced with widespread malignant infiltration in the stomach, performing a gastroenterostomy, whether through surgical or endoscopic means, may not be a viable option ^[2].



Figure 1. Images courtesy of Dr. Benedetto Mangiavillano. EUS-guided Gastroenterostomy. (**A**,**B**) placement of LAMS in the jejunal loop; (**C**,**D**) endoscopic view of the gastrojejunostomy.

- 1. Antegrade EUS-GE direct method
- **Step 1**:Visualize the target intestinal limb by injecting saline or diluted contrast (methylene blue) distal to the obstruction through an orojejunal tube that was previously inserted under endoscopic guidance.

Step 2: Perform an EUS-guided puncture of the target intestinal limb using a 19-gauge needle.

Step 3:Aspirate; methylene blue aspiration confirms correct localization of the needle in the target jejunal limb.

Step 4:

Pass either a guidewire through the needle, in order to place a LAMS over it, or use directly a cautery-enhanced LAMS (HOT AXIOS; Boston Scientific Corp.).

2. Antegrade EUS-GE direct method using the wireless endoscopic simplified technique (WEST)

Step 1:As in Technique 1.

Step 2: Advance and deploy directly a cautery-enhanced LAMS (HOT AXIOS; Boston Scientific Corp.) in cut mode.

3. Antegrade EUS-GE traditional downstream method

Step 1:Position a guidewire in the jejunal lumen past the obstruction under endoscopic guidance. Withdraw the endoscope and keep guidewire in place.

Step 2:Using fluoroscopy advance a dilating balloon over the wire to the jejunum. Dilate the balloon.

Step 3: From the stomach perform an EUS-guided puncture of the balloon with a 19-gauge needle.

Step 4:Pass another guidewire through this needle into the jejunum.

Step 5:Deploy the LAMS over the second guidewire.

4. Antegrade EUS-GE with direct technique over a guidewire (DTOG)

This technique is applied, if the obstruction cannot be crossed.

Step 1:Administer intravenous anticholinergic agent to slow bowel movements

Step 2:Puncture the target intestinal limb with a 19-gauge needle.

Step 3:Fill the jejunal limb with contrast medium through the needle and insert a guidewire using fluoroscopy.

Step 4:Advance the cautery-enhanced LAMS catheter over the guidewire into the jejunal limb in cut mode. Deploy the LAMS.

Less common techniques include the following:

5. Antegrade EUS-GE rendezvous method

Steps 1 to 3:See Technique 3.

Step 4:

Entrap the puncturing guidewire in the dilating balloon that was punctured, or capture it with an ERCP extraction balloon and/or basket and pull it back outside the mouth, in order to secure it.

Step 5:Deploy the LAMS using this guidewire under traction.

6. Retrograde EUS-EG Enterogastrostomy

Steps 1 to 4:See Technique 5.

Step 5:Advance a therapeutic endoscope over the guidewire till you locate the inserted guidewire in the duodenum/jejunum.

Step 6:Deploy the LAMS in a retrograde fashion by opening the gastric flange first.

7. EUS balloon occluded GE Bypass (EPASS)

Step 1:Using a double-balloon enteroscope (DBE) position a guidewire in the jejunum.

Step 2:Withdraw the DBE, while keeping the overtube in the antrum or duodenal bulb.

Step 3:Use a double-balloon-occlusion catheter. This catheter has two balloons (with 20 cm distance between them). Insert it distal to the obstruction under endoscopic control and then inflate both balloons to stabilize the target intestinal limb. Then fill this segment with contrast.

Step 4:Perform an EUS-guided puncture between the two balloons.

Step 5:Deploy the LAMS.

Regarding complications of EUS-GE, the existing literature shows that EUS-GE is effective and safe. The first study assessing EUS-GE was a retrospective study by Khashab et al. ^[17], in which EUS-GE was performed in 10 patients with GOO (3 malignant and 7 benign) through the use of the direct or the balloon-assisted technique. EUS-GE had a technical success of 90% and clinical success of 100%, while no adverse effects were described. According to a recent review including fourteen studies, technical success of EUS-GE ranged from 87 to 100% and had a clinical success of 84–100%, irrespective of the technique performed ^{[18][19][20][21]}. A recent meta-analysis including twelve, mostly retrospective, studies (n = 285), conducted by lqbal et al. ^[19], assessed the performance of EUS-GE in the treatment of GOO. Pooled technical success rates were 92% (95% CI: 88–95%) and clinical success rates were 90% (95% CI: 85–94%).

The rate of adverse effects ranges from 0 to 21%, encompassing stent misdeployment, pneumoperitoneum, gastric leak, bleeding, peritonitis, or abdominal pain ^{[22][23]}. Itoi et al. reported only a 10% stent misdeployment, but no additional AEs were documented, and there were no instances of stent migration or occlusion requiring further interventions when applying the EPASS method ^[24]. Mahagis et al. described an acute exacerbation of gastric

outlet obstruction after an EUS-GE. The cause was that the LAMS axis was set in a distinctly angled orientation towards the afferent limb, leading to the formation of a closed loop. This was managed by placing a fcSEMS through the LAMS into the efferent loop ^[25]. The fluctuation of the technical success rates but also of the AE rates may be attributed to its technical difficulty and long learning curve.

Two studies also examined EUS-GE regarding long-term efficacy and patency. In a retrospective study of 57 patients by Kerdsirichairat et al., clinical success was achieved in 89.5% of patients over a median follow-up period of 196 days for patients with malignant GOO and 319.5 days for patients with benign GOO ^[26]. During the follow-up time, reintervention with upper endoscopy due to recurrence of GOO symptoms was needed in eight patients (15.1%), of whom stent occlusion was present in two. Similarly, On et al. reported that across a median follow-up period lasting 162 days, reintervention for recurrent GOO was required for only one patient from the 21 with a technically successful EUS-GE and available follow-up data ^[27].

References

- 1. Koop, A.H.; Palmer, W.C.; Stancampiano, F.F. Gastric outlet obstruction: A red flag, potentially manageable. Clevel. Clin. J. Med. 2019, 86, 345–353.
- 2. Irani, S.; Baron, T.H.; Itoi, T.; Khashab, M.A. Endoscopic gastroenterostomy: Techniques and review. Curr. Opin. Gastroenterol. 2017, 33, 320–329.
- 3. Carbajo, A.Y.; Kahaleh, M.; Tyberg, A. Clinical Review of EUS-guided Gastroenterostomy (EUS-GE). J. Clin. Gastroenterol. 2020, 54, 1–7.
- 4. Papanikolaou, I.S.; Siersema, P.D. Gastric Outlet Obstruction: Current Status and Future Directions. Gut Liver 2022, 16, 667–675.
- Troncone, E.; Fugazza, A.; Cappello, A.; Del Vecchio Blanco, G.; Monteleone, G.; Repici, A.; Teoh, A.Y.B.; Anderloni, A. Malignant gastric outlet obstruction: Which is the best therapeutic option? World J. Gastroenterol. 2020, 26, 1847–1860.
- 6. Sigmon, D.F.L.P. Gastrojejunostomy; StatPearls Publishing: Treasure Island, CA, USA, 2023.
- Kumar, A.; Chandan, S.; Mohan, B.P.; Atla, P.R.; McCabe, E.J.; Robbins, D.H.; Trindade, A.J.; Benias, P.C. EUS-guided gastroenterostomy versus surgical gastroenterostomy for the management of gastric outlet obstruction: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Endosc. Int. Open 2022, 10, E448–E458.
- 8. Cheung, S.L.H.; Teoh, A.Y.B. Optimal Management of Gastric Outlet Obstruction in Unresectable Malignancies. Gut Liver 2022, 16, 190–197.
- 9. Ghandour, B.; Bejjani, M.; Irani, S.S.; Sharaiha, R.Z.; Kowalski, T.E.; Pleskow, D.K.; Do-Cong Pham, K.; Anderloni, A.A.; Martinez-Moreno, B.; Khara, H.S.; et al. Classification, outcomes, and

management of misdeployed stents during EUS-guided gastroenterostomy. Gastrointest. Endosc. 2022, 95, 80–89.

- Jeurnink, S.M.; Repici, A.; Luigiano, C.; Pagano, N.; Kuipers, E.J.; Siersema, P.D. Use of a colonoscope for distal duodenal stent placement in patients with malignant obstruction. Surg. Endosc. 2009, 23, 562–567.
- Park, J.M.; Min, B.H.; Lee, S.H.; Chung, K.H.; Lee, J.M.; Song, B.J.; Lee, J.K.; Ryu, J.K.; Kim, Y.T. Feasibility of self-expandable metal stent placement with side-viewing endoscope for malignant distal duodenal obstruction. Dig. Dis. Sci. 2015, 60, 524–530.
- Van Halsema, E.E.; Rauws, E.A.; Fockens, P.; van Hooft, J.E. Self-expandable metal stents for malignant gastric outlet obstruction: A pooled analysis of prospective literature. World J. Gastroenterol. 2015, 21, 12468–12481.
- Kim, I.D.; Kang, D.H.; Choi, C.W.; Kim, H.W.; Jung, W.J.; Lee, D.H.; Chung, C.W.; Yoo, J.J.; Ryu, J.H. Prevention of covered enteral stent migration in patients with malignant gastric outlet obstruction: A pilot study of anchoring with endoscopic clips. Scand. J. Gastroenterol. 2010, 45, 100–105.
- 14. Binmoeller, K.F.; Shah, J.N. Endoscopic ultrasound-guided gastroenterostomy using novel tools designed for transluminal therapy: A porcine study. Endoscopy 2012, 44, 499–503.
- 15. Irani, S.; Itoi, T.; Baron, T.H.; Khashab, M. EUS-guided gastroenterostomy: Techniques from East to West. Video GIE Off. Video J. Am. Soc. Gastrointest. Endosc. 2020, 5, 48–50.
- Monino, L.; Perez-Cuadrado-Robles, E.; Gonzalez, J.M.; Snauwaert, C.; Alric, H.; Gasmi, M.; Ouazzani, S.; Benosman, H.; Deprez, P.H.; Rahmi, G.; et al. Endoscopic ultrasound-guided gastroenterostomy with lumen-apposing metal stents: A retrospective multicentric comparison of wireless and over-the-wire techniques. Endoscopy 2023, 55, 991–999.
- Khashab, M.A.; Kumbhari, V.; Grimm, I.S.; Ngamruengphong, S.; Aguila, G.; El Zein, M.; Kalloo, A.N.; Baron, T.H. EUS-guided gastroenterostomy: The first U.S. clinical experience (with video). Gastrointest. Endosc. 2015, 82, 932–938.
- Harb, M.; Kamath, A.; Marx, G.; Gupta, S. Outcomes of endoscopic ultrasound-guided gastroenterostomy for gastric outlet obstruction in a two-centre Australian Cohort (with video). Asia Pac. J. Clin. Oncol. 2023.
- 19. Iqbal, U.; Khara, H.S.; Hu, Y.; Kumar, V.; Tufail, K.; Confer, B.; Diehl, D.L. EUS-guided gastroenterostomy for the management of gastric outlet obstruction: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Endosc. Ultrasound 2020, 9, 16–23.
- 20. Kahaleh, M.; Tyberg, A.; Sameera, S.; Sarkar, A.; Shahid, H.M.; Abdelqader, A.; Gjeorgjievski, M.; Gaidhane, M.; Muniraj, T.; Jamidar, P.A.; et al. EUS-guided Gastroenterostomy: A Multicenter

International Study Comparing Benign and Malignant Diseases. J. Clin. Gastroenterol. 2023, 93, AB225–AB226.

- 21. Tonozuka, R.; Tsuchiya, T.; Mukai, S.; Nagakawa, Y.; Itoi, T. Endoscopic Ultrasonography-Guided Gastroenterostomy Techniques for Treatment of Malignant Gastric Outlet Obstruction. Clin. Endosc. 2020, 53, 510–518.
- Chen, Y.I.; Itoi, T.; Baron, T.H.; Nieto, J.; Haito-Chavez, Y.; Grimm, I.S.; Ismail, A.; Ngamruengphong, S.; Bukhari, M.; Hajiyeva, G.; et al. EUS-guided gastroenterostomy is comparable to enteral stenting with fewer re-interventions in malignant gastric outlet obstruction. Surg. Endosc. 2017, 31, 2946–2952.
- Perez-Miranda, M.; Tyberg, A.; Poletto, D.; Toscano, E.; Gaidhane, M.; Desai, A.P.; Kumta, N.A.; Fayad, L.; Nieto, J.; Barthet, M.; et al. EUS-guided Gastrojejunostomy Versus Laparoscopic Gastrojejunostomy: An International Collaborative Study. J. Clin. Gastroenterol. 2017, 51, 896– 899.
- Itoi, T.; Ishii, K.; Ikeuchi, N.; Sofuni, A.; Gotoda, T.; Moriyasu, F.; Dhir, V.; Teoh, A.Y.; Binmoeller, K.F. Prospective evaluation of endoscopic ultrasonography-guided double-balloon-occluded gastrojejunostomy bypass (EPASS) for malignant gastric outlet obstruction. Gut 2016, 65, 193– 195.
- Magahis, P.T.; Westerveld, D.; Salgado, S.; Carr-Locke, D.L.; Sampath, K.; Sharaiha, R.Z.; Mahadev, S. Acute worsening of gastric outlet obstruction following EUS-guided gastrojejunal bypass. VideoGIE Off. Video J. Am. Soc. Gastrointest. Endosc. 2023, 8, 235–238.
- Kerdsirichairat, T.; Irani, S.; Yang, J.; Brewer Gutierrez, O.I.; Moran, R.; Sanaei, O.; Dbouk, M.; Kumbhari, V.; Singh, V.K.; Kalloo, A.N.; et al. Durability and long-term outcomes of direct EUSguided gastroenterostomy using lumen-apposing metal stents for gastric outlet obstruction. Endosc. Int. Open 2019, 7, E144–E150.
- On, W.; Huggett, M.T.; Young, A.; Pine, J.; Smith, A.M.; Tehami, N.; Maher, B.; Pereira, S.P.; Johnson, G.; Paranandi, B. Endoscopic ultrasound guided gastrojejunostomy in the treatment of gastric outlet obstruction: Multi-centre experience from the United Kingdom. Surg. Endosc. 2023, 37, 1749–1755.

Retrieved from https://www.encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/124152