

Time Management in Higher Education

Subjects: Education & Educational Research | Psychology

Contributor: Sebastian Trentepohl, Detlev Leutner

Time management is regarded as an important prerequisite for effective and efficient learning in higher education. However, university students' time management frequently proves to be deficient, especially with freshman students, who can therefore benefit from appropriate time management interventions.

Keywords: time management ; self-regulated learning

1. Self-Regulated Learning and Time Management

Self-regulated learning is regarded as a self-directed process in which learners plan, monitor, and evaluate their use of learning strategies to achieve specific learning goals ^{[1][2]}. Although models of the process of self-regulated learning differ in nomenclature and segmentation, they commonly include a cycle of multiple distinguishable phases (for an overview, see ^[3]). These phases conventionally refer to a series of related *knowledge* and *behavior* components ^{[2][3][4]}. Accordingly, the phases of self-regulated learning can be referred to as the *forethought*, *performance*, and *self-reflection* phases, each of which comprises sets of related sub-processes ^{[4][5]}. During this process, learners need to evaluate the task at hand, as well as their own knowledge and skills, to plan their learning activities appropriately (*forethought phase*), use appropriate learning strategies to enact their learning plan and monitor their progress during task performance (*performance phase*), and reflect on recent strategy use to adapt their existing knowledge of relevant learning strategies and thereby optimize future learning behavior (*self-reflection phase*).

An important component of effective self-regulated learning is efficient time management. The use of time management strategies is associated with the use of other types of strategic self-regulatory behaviors that support learning activities, including cognitive, metacognitive, and other resource-management learning strategies ^{[6][4][7][8][9][2][10]}. Time management is an integral component of prominent theories on self-regulated learning, where it is considered either explicitly as a behavior that learners can actively control to self-regulate their learning activities ^{[6][11]}, or implicitly as part of other regulatory processes, such as volitional strategies or goal setting and planning ^{[12][13]}.

Time management can be defined as clusters of behavioral skills that are beneficial in the organization of study and course load, and that help learners to facilitate their productivity to achieve their learning goals ^{[14][15]}. These skills include assessment behaviors aiming at awareness of time use, planning behaviors aiming at selecting and setting up realistic goals, and monitoring behaviors aiming at the observation of time use while performing activities and reflecting on previous organizing behavior. The processes that are subject to time management refer to these *forethought*, *performance*, and *self-reflection* phases, as outlined in the self-regulated learning framework (for a detailed review, see ^[16]). In the *forethought* phase, learners need to activate knowledge regarding time management strategies to analyze the task at hand by gathering information regarding the estimated time needed for task completion, as well as any relevant deadlines, and then plan their learning activities by setting goals and priorities within the given timeframe to establish time-related standards for progress or success. In the *performance* phase, learners need to initiate the use of their strategic plans, consider the planned time and duration of relevant learning activities, and monitor compliance with their learning schedule. In the *self-reflection* phase, learners reflect on their learning activities by evaluating time-related experiences and outcomes, such as the chronology of task completion, their actual time investment, and whether deadlines have been met, to adapt their time management strategy knowledge and optimize their prospective use of time management strategies.

2. Time Management in Higher Education

The university learning environment poses diverse challenges to students' organizing behavior. Efficient time management is an essential tool to cope with these challenges without falling behind in the curriculum and eventually dropping out. It helps students to understand the effort that is required for effective learning and enables them to structure their learning activities and develop appropriate study habits ^{[4][10][17]}. Accordingly, empirical studies frequently

demonstrate a significant correlation between students' time management and academic performance [18][19][20][21][22][23][24], as well as well-being factors such as lowered stress and anxiety [18][25][26][27].

Unfortunately, freshman students' time management skills tend to be deficient at the beginning of their academic career. They underestimate the time required to study successfully [28][29] and report problems in regulating study time and class attendance alongside non-university obligations [30][31][32]. They spend a considerable amount of time on activities that are not conducive to their academic performance or that distract them from learning activities, such as social networking or watching TV [33][34]. Overall, university students appear to be especially prone to procrastination [35][36][37] and report related self-handicapping behaviors even during class attendance [38][39][40]. It can be summarized that time management is a common problem, especially among freshman students, and that time management interventions can be an important tool to facilitate the challenging study entry phase, to foster performance and reduce dropout. Indeed, there is some evidence supporting the effectiveness of interventions in enhancing time management skills and performance [41][42][43][44][45][46][47][48][49][50], although findings regarding the effects on performance variables are mixed [18][19][51][52][22][53][54][55].

3. Intervention Approaches and Related Issues

There are different possible explanations for the inconsistent state of research on the effectiveness of time management interventions that aim to foster time management skills and academic performance. First of all, relevant studies tend to focus on workplace settings rather than academic contexts, and research on the effectiveness of time management interventions in fostering academic performance is comparatively scarce [19][56][42]. Moreover, existing time management interventions for freshman students do not always allow conclusions to be drawn about their effects on academic performance. For example, time management instruction based on knowledge transfer is quite common as a part of freshman courses aiming to support performance and retention [57][49][58]. Corresponding programs have indeed been found to improve academic retention and graduation rates, but with time management here being one part of more general study orientation or learning strategy courses, these programs usually do not provide reliable evidence about the isolated effects of time management instruction on academic performance.

Another important issue with time management intervention studies is a lack of consistency in the conceptual understanding and measurement of time management [19]. Although time management is considered as an important component of self-regulated learning, its operationalizations often lack reference to the successive phase structure provided by process models of self-regulated learning [4][59]. Given this theoretical framework, effective time management interventions should address processes relevant to the forethought, performance, and self-reflection phases of the learning cycle, to provide students with the strategy knowledge required for the situationally appropriate use of time management strategies, and to enable them to optimize their time management behaviors over time via practice and self-reflection. This is supported by evidence showing that students' individual self-regulation deficits differ in terms of the phase of the self-regulated learning process in which they occur, and the learning strategies they affect [4][60][61][62][63]. For example, some students might lack basic knowledge (*forethought phase*) regarding a specific learning strategy, whereas other students have the required basic knowledge, but fail to use the strategy successfully (*performance phase*) to overcome learning difficulties [64][65][66]. In this context, several studies have found that students tend to have sufficient (declarative) knowledge about learning strategies, but still do not use the corresponding strategies successfully in relevant learning situations [65][67][68]. These findings indicate that students cannot necessarily transfer available strategy knowledge into successful strategy use on their own, which would be crucial for time management interventions focusing on imparting time management knowledge.

Accordingly, imparting time management knowledge should be a useful way to promote students' time management knowledge, but might not provide them with the skills required to develop the self-regulated time management behaviors they need to improve performance. This is reflected by results from time management intervention studies aiming to improve time management behaviors and performance via time management instruction. Macan [51] (Study 1), for example, tested the effects of an intervention that provided information on central time management behaviors such as goal setting, prioritizing, scheduling, and planning. The instruction consisted of a single session that lasted half a day and used multiple methods to teach time management strategies, including lectures, group discussions, and films. Apart from a small increase in the self-reported use of goal setting, the time management instruction had no significant effects on participants' time management behaviors and performance ratings. In a similar study where the time management instruction lasted two consecutive sessions, Macan [54] found no significant differences between a time management intervention group and a control group for post-intervention self-assessments of time management behaviors, and performance ratings were even higher for the control group than the intervention group. Moreover, Lincoln and colleagues [53] provided participants with a self-directed training package that included comprehensive information on how to improve time management skills that participants should use to improve their time management over the course of five

weeks. The results showed no significant improvements in participants' time management skills. Finally, Häfner and Stock [52] carried out an experiment that entailed providing a very elaborate one-day time management instruction, supplemented by small cards with guidelines and a training booklet that afterwards should help participants to consolidate and improve the strategies learned. Participants' self-reported use of time management behaviors had significantly increased six weeks after the intervention, but there were no significant effects on performance indicators. These findings show that imparting time management knowledge can help to improve participants' self-assessments of their time management behavior. However, given the absence of performance improvements, it can be questioned whether the time management knowledge provided actually improved participants' time management behavior, or rather their corresponding self-perceptions, on which the used self-assessments tend to rely [69][70][71].

In any case, time management knowledge alone seems not to imply the successful use of time management strategies, and a stronger focus on time management practice with sufficient time to foster time management routines may be important for enabling students to develop effective time management behaviors and thereby improve academic performance. Indeed, there are few studies with intervention designs that considered processes relevant to all three phases of the self-regulated learning process in training time management, which fairly consistently report improvements in learning behavior and academic performance [43][44][46]. Apart from introductions to time management strategies (*forethought phase*), students here particularly had the opportunity to deliberately practice the strategies taught on a regular basis over a longer period of time (*performance phase*). This practice was supported by learning diaries [46] or online learning systems [44], which made students use the time management strategies taught, and helped them to structure and monitor their learning activities. Furthermore, practice was supplemented by self-evaluations of learning progress (*reflection phase*), to help students optimize their strategy use over time. These findings indicate that encouraging students to practice time management and giving them sufficient time to optimize and consolidate efficient time management behaviors might be essential to effective time management interventions. However, these findings do not provide information on the specific effects that the practice focus of these interventions offers, in comparison to interventions focusing on time management knowledge, as the studies either included time management as a part of more global interventions on self-regulated learning, or did not include separate time management intervention groups so as to get information on the differing effects of time management knowledge and time management practice.

References

1. Joachim Wirth; Detlev Leutner; Self-Regulated Learning as a Competence. *Zeitschrift für Psychologie / Journal of Psychology* **2008**, 216, 102-110, [10.1027/0044-3409.216.2.102](https://doi.org/10.1027/0044-3409.216.2.102).
2. John Ranellucci; Nathan C. Hall; Thomas Goetz; Achievement goals, emotions, learning, and performance: A process model.. *Motivation Science* **2015**, 1, 98-120, [10.1037/mot0000014](https://doi.org/10.1037/mot0000014).
3. Ambrose, S.A.; Bridges, M.W.; DiPietro, M.; Lovett, M.C.; Norman, M.K.. *How Learning Works: Seven Research-Based Principles for Smart Teaching*; Jossey-Bass: San Francisco, 2010; pp. 1.
4. Zimmerman, B.J.; Moylan, A.R.. *Self-regulation: Where metacognition and motivation intersect*; Hacker, D.J., Dunlosky, J., Graesser, A.C., Eds.; Routledge: New York, 2009; pp. 299–316.
5. Christopher A. Wolters; Anna C. Brady; College Students' Time Management: a Self-Regulated Learning Perspective. *Educational Psychology Review* **2020**, 33, 1319-1351, [10.1007/s10648-020-09519-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-020-09519-z).
6. Anastasia Kitsantas; Adam Winsler; Faye Huie; Self-Regulation and Ability Predictors of Academic Success During College: A Predictive Validity Study. *Journal of Advanced Academics* **2008**, 20, 42-68, [10.4219/jaa-2008-867](https://doi.org/10.4219/jaa-2008-867).
7. Timothy J. Cleary; Gregory Callan; Jaime Malatesta; Tanya Adams; Examining the Level of Convergence Among Self-Regulated Learning Microanalytic Processes, Achievement, and a Self-Report Questionnaire. *Journal of Psychoeducational Assessment* **2015**, 33, 439-450, [10.1177/0734282915594739](https://doi.org/10.1177/0734282915594739).
8. Christopher A. Wolters; Anna C. Brady; College Students' Time Management: a Self-Regulated Learning Perspective. *Educational Psychology Review* **2020**, 33, 1319-1351, [10.1007/s10648-020-09519-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-020-09519-z).
9. Christopher A. Wolters; Anna C. Brady; College Students' Time Management: a Self-Regulated Learning Perspective. *Educational Psychology Review* **2020**, 33, 1319-1351, [10.1007/s10648-020-09519-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-020-09519-z).
10. Christopher A. Wolters; Sungjun Won; Maryam Hussain; Examining the relations of time management and procrastination within a model of self-regulated learning. *Metacognition and Learning* **2017**, 12, 381-399, [10.1007/s11409-017-9174-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11409-017-9174-1).
11. Zimmerman, B.J.; Moylan, A.R. *Self-regulation: Where metacognition and motivation intersect*; Hacker, D.J., Dunlosky, J., Graesser, A.C., Eds.; Routledge: New York, 2009; pp. 299–316.

12. Elizabeth J. Krumrei-Mancuso; Fred B. Newton; Eunhee Kim; Dan Wilcox; Psychosocial Factors Predicting First-Year College Student Success. *Journal of College Student Development* **2013**, 54, 247-266, [10.1353/csd.2013.0034](#).
13. Winne, P.H.; Hadwin, A.F.. Studying as self-regulated learning; Hacker, D.J., Dunlosky, J., Graesser, A.C., Eds.; Erlbaum: Mahwah, NJ, 1998; pp. 77–304.
14. Christopher A. Wolters; Anna C. Brady; College Students' Time Management: a Self-Regulated Learning Perspective. *Educational Psychology Review* **2020**, 33, 1319-1351, [10.1007/s10648-020-09519-z](#).
15. Faye C. Huie; Adam Winsler; Anastasia Kitsantas; Employment and first-year college achievement: the role of self-regulation and motivation. *Journal of Education and Work* **2012**, 27, 110-135, [10.1080/13639080.2012.718746](#).
16. Christopher A. Wolters; Anna C. Brady; College Students' Time Management: a Self-Regulated Learning Perspective. *Educational Psychology Review* **2020**, 33, 1319-1351, [10.1007/s10648-020-09519-z](#).
17. Jacques Van Der Meer; Ellen Jansen; Marjolein Torenbeek; 'It's almost a mindset that teachers need to change': first-year students' need to be inducted into time management. *Studies in Higher Education* **2010**, 35, 777-791, [10.1080/03075070903383211](#).
18. Brad Aeon; Aïda Faber; Alexandra Panaccio; Does time management work? A meta-analysis. *PLoS ONE* **2021**, 16, e0245066, [10.1371/journal.pone.0245066](#).
19. Brigitte J.C. Claessens; Wendelien van Eerde; Christel G. Rutte; Robert A. Roe; A review of the time management literature. *Personnel Review* **2007**, 36, 255-276, [10.1108/00483480710726136](#).
20. Bruce K. Britton; Abraham Tesser; Effects of time-management practices on college grades.. *Journal of Educational Psychology* **1991**, 83, 405-410, [10.1037//0022-0663.83.3.405](#).
21. Carola Grunschel; Malte Schwinger; Ricarda Steinmayr; Stefan Fries; Effects of using motivational regulation strategies on students' academic procrastination, academic performance, and well-being. *Learning and Individual Differences* **2016**, 49, 162-170, [10.1016/j.lindif.2016.06.008](#).
22. Carolyn MacCann; Gerard J. Fogarty; Richard D. Roberts; Strategies for success in education: Time management is more important for part-time than full-time community college students. *Learning and Individual Differences* **2012**, 22, 618-623, [10.1016/j.lindif.2011.09.015](#).
23. Michelle Richardson; Charles Abraham; Rod Bond; Psychological correlates of university students' academic performance: A systematic review and meta-analysis.. *Psychological Bulletin* **2012**, 138, 353-387, [10.1037/a0026838](#).
24. Michael Schneider; Franzis Preckel; Variables associated with achievement in higher education: A systematic review of meta-analyses.. *Psychological Bulletin* **2017**, 143, 565-600, [10.1037/bul0000098](#).
25. Alexander Häfner; Armin Stock; Verena Oberst; Decreasing students' stress through time management training: an intervention study. *European Journal of Psychology of Education* **2014**, 30, 81-94, [10.1007/s10212-014-0229-2](#).
26. Hugh Kearns; Maria Gardiner; Is it time well spent? The relationship between time management behaviours, perceived effectiveness and work-related morale and distress in a university context. *Higher Education Research & Development* **2007**, 26, 235-247, [10.1080/07294360701310839](#).
27. Therese H. Macan; Comila Shahani; Robert L. Dipboye; Amanda P. Phillips; College students' time management: Correlations with academic performance and stress.. *Journal of Educational Psychology* **1990**, 82, 760-768, [10.1037//0022-0663.82.4.760](#).
28. Jordan Thibodeaux; Aaron Deutsch; Anastasia Kitsantas; Adam Winsler; First-Year College Students' Time Use. *Journal of Advanced Academics* **2016**, 28, 5-27, [10.1177/1932202x16676860](#).
29. Cornelius J. König; Martin Kleinmann; Time Management Problems and Discounted Utility. *The Journal of Psychology* **2007**, 141, 321-334, [10.3200/jrlp.141.3.321-336](#).
30. Houston Lowe; Anthony Cook; Mind the Gap: Are students prepared for higher education?. *Journal of Further and Higher Education* **2003**, 27, 53-76, [10.1080/03098770305629](#).
31. Jacques Van Der Meer; Ellen Jansen; Marjolein Torenbeek; 'It's almost a mindset that teachers need to change': first-year students' need to be inducted into time management. *Studies in Higher Education* **2010**, 35, 777-791, [10.1080/03075070903383211](#).
32. Marcus Credé; L. Alison Phillips; A meta-analytic review of the Motivated Strategies for Learning Questionnaire. *Learning and Individual Differences* **2011**, 21, 337-346, [10.1016/j.lindif.2011.03.002](#).
33. Patrick Liborius; Henrik Bellhäuser; Bernhard Schmitz; What makes a good study day? An intraindividual study on university students' time investment by means of time-series analyses. *Learning and Instruction* **2019**, 60, 310-321, [10.1016/j.learninstruc.2017.10.006](#).
34. Elliot Panek; Left to Their Own Devices. *Communication Research* **2013**, 41, 561-577, [10.1177/0093650213499657](#).

35. Victor Day; David Mensink; Michael O'Sullivan; Patterns of Academic Procrastination. *Journal of College Reading and Learning* **2000**, 30, 120-134, [10.1080/10790195.2000.10850090](https://doi.org/10.1080/10790195.2000.10850090).
36. Kyung Ryung Kim; Eun Hee Seo; The relationship between procrastination and academic performance: A meta-analysis. *Personality and Individual Differences* **2015**, 82, 26-33, [10.1016/j.paid.2015.02.038](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2015.02.038).
37. Piers Steel; The nature of procrastination: A meta-analytic and theoretical review of quintessential self-regulatory failure. *Psychological Bulletin* **2007**, 133, 65-94, [10.1037/0033-2909.133.1.65](https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.133.1.65).
38. Jesper Aagaard; Drawn to distraction: A qualitative study of off-task use of educational technology. *Computers & Education* **2015**, 87, 90-97, [10.1016/j.compedu.2015.03.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2015.03.010).
39. Abraham E. Flanigan; Kenneth A. Kiewra; What College Instructors Can Do About Student Cyber-slacking. *Educational Psychology Review* **2017**, 30, 585-597, [10.1007/s10648-017-9418-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-017-9418-2).
40. Zachary George Charles Kornhauser; Andrea L. Paul; Karen L. Siedlecki; An Examination of Students' Use of Technology for Non-Academic Purposes in the College Classroom. *Journal of Teaching and Learning with Technology* **2016**, 5, 1-15, [10.14434/jotlt.v5n1.13781](https://doi.org/10.14434/jotlt.v5n1.13781).
41. Peter Green; Denise Skinner; Does time management training work? An evaluation. *International Journal of Training and Development* **2005**, 9, 124-139, [10.1111/j.1468-2419.2005.00226.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2419.2005.00226.x).
42. Alexander Häfner; Armin Stock; Lydia Pinneker; Sabine Ströhle; Stress prevention through a time management training intervention: an experimental study. *Educational Psychology* **2013**, 34, 403-416, [10.1080/01443410.2013.785065](https://doi.org/10.1080/01443410.2013.785065).
43. Elena-Simona Indreica; Ana-Maria Cazan; Camelia Truta; Effects of learning styles and time management on academic achievement. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences* **2011**, 30, 1096-1102, [10.1016/j.sbspro.2011.10.214](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2011.10.214).
44. Henry Khiat; Using automated time management enablers to improve self-regulated learning. *Active Learning in Higher Education* **2019**, 23, 3-15, [10.1177/1469787419866304](https://doi.org/10.1177/1469787419866304).
45. Alexander Häfner; Armin Stock; Verena Oberst; Decreasing students' stress through time management training: an intervention study. *European Journal of Psychology of Education* **2014**, 30, 81-94, [10.1007/s10212-014-0229-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10212-014-0229-2).
46. Laura Dörrenbächer; Franziska Perels; More is more? Evaluation of interventions to foster self-regulated learning in college. *International Journal of Educational Research* **2016**, 78, 50-65, [10.1016/j.ijer.2016.05.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2016.05.010).
47. Carola Grunschel; Justine Patrzek; Katrin B. Klingsieck; Stefan Fries; "I'll stop procrastinating now!" Fostering specific processes of self-regulated learning to reduce academic procrastination. *Journal of Prevention & Intervention in the Community* **2018**, 46, 143-157, [10.1080/10852352.2016.1198166](https://doi.org/10.1080/10852352.2016.1198166).
48. Anne E. Stevens; Cynthia M. Hartung; Christopher Shelton; Patrick A. LaCount; April Heaney; The Effects of a Brief Organization, Time Management, and Planning Intervention for At-Risk College Freshmen. *Evidence-Based Practice in Child and Adolescent Mental Health* **2018**, 4, 202-218, [10.1080/23794925.2018.1551093](https://doi.org/10.1080/23794925.2018.1551093).
49. Bruce W. Tuckman; Gary J. Kennedy; Teaching Learning Strategies to Increase Success of First-Term College Students. *The Journal of Experimental Education* **2011**, 79, 478-504, [10.1080/00220973.2010.512318](https://doi.org/10.1080/00220973.2010.512318).
50. Wendelien Van Eerde; Procrastination at Work and Time Management Training. *The Journal of Psychology* **2003**, 137, 421-434, [10.1080/00223980309600625](https://doi.org/10.1080/00223980309600625).
51. Therese Hoff Macan; Time management: Test of a process model. *Journal of Applied Psychology* **1994**, 79, 381-391, [10.1037/0021-9010.79.3.381](https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.79.3.381).
52. Alexander Häfner; Armin Stock; Time Management Training and Perceived Control of Time at Work. *The Journal of Psychology* **2010**, 144, 429-447, [10.1080/00223980.2010.496647](https://doi.org/10.1080/00223980.2010.496647).
53. Michelle Lincoln; Barbara J. Adamson; Tanya Covic; Teaching time and organizational management skills to first year health science students: does training make a difference?. *Journal of Further and Higher Education* **2004**, 28, 261-276, [10.1080/0309877042000241742](https://doi.org/10.1080/0309877042000241742).
54. Therese Hoff Macan; Time-Management Training: Effects on Time Behaviors, Attitudes, and Job Performance. *The Journal of Psychology* **1996**, 130, 229-236, [10.1080/00223980.1996.9915004](https://doi.org/10.1080/00223980.1996.9915004).
55. van Eerde, W.. Time management and procrastination; Mumford, M.D., Freese, M., Eds.; Routledge: Oxfordshire, 2015; pp. 312–333.
56. Christopher D.B. Burt; Alexandra Weststrate; Caroline Brown; Felicity Champion; Development of the time management environment (TiME) scale. *Journal of Managerial Psychology* **2010**, 25, 649-668, [10.1108/02683941011056978](https://doi.org/10.1108/02683941011056978).
57. Tiffany Cambridge-Williams; Adam Winsler; Anastasia Kitsantas; Elizabeth Bernard; University 100 Orientation Courses and Living-Learning Communities Boost Academic Retention and Graduation via Enhanced Self-Efficacy and Self-Regulated Learning. *Journal of College Student Retention: Research, Theory & Practice* **2013**, 15, 243-268, [10.2190/cs.15.2.f](https://doi.org/10.2190/cs.15.2.f).

58. Carolyn A. Schnell; Curt D. Doetkott; First Year Seminars Produce Long-Term Impact. *Journal of College Student Retention: Research, Theory & Practice* **2003**, 4, 377-391, [10.2190/nkpn-8b33-v7cy-l7w1](https://doi.org/10.2190/nkpn-8b33-v7cy-l7w1).
59. Christopher A. Wolters; Anna C. Brady; College Students' Time Management: a Self-Regulated Learning Perspective. *Educational Psychology Review* **2020**, 33, 1319-1351, [10.1007/s10648-020-09519-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-020-09519-z).
60. Paul R. Pintrich; A Conceptual Framework for Assessing Motivation and Self-Regulated Learning in College Students. *Educational Psychology Review* **2004**, 16, 385-407, [10.1007/s10648-004-0006-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-004-0006-x).
61. Marcel V.J. Veenman; Lieneke Kerseboom; Cornelia Imthorn; Test anxiety and metacognitive skillfulness: Availability versus production deficiencies. *Anxiety, Stress, & Coping* **2000**, 13, 391-412, [10.1080/10615800008248343](https://doi.org/10.1080/10615800008248343).
62. Markus Dresel; Bernhard Schmitz; Barbara Schober; Christine Spiel; Albert Ziegler; Tobias Engelschalk; Gregor Jöstl; Julia Klug; Anne Roth; Bastian Wimmer; et al. Competencies for successful self-regulated learning in higher education: a structural model and indications drawn from expert interviews. *Studies in Higher Education* **2015**, 40, 454-470, [10.1080/03075079.2015.1004236](https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2015.1004236).
63. Margarita Gozalo; Benito León-Del-Barco; Santiago Mendo-Lázaro; Good Practices and Learning Strategies of Undergraduate University Students. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* **2020**, 17, 1849, [10.3390/ijerph17061849](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17061849).
64. A.S. Donker; H. de Boer; D. Kostons; C.C. Dignath van Ewijk; M.P.C. van der Werf; Effectiveness of learning strategy instruction on academic performance: A meta-analysis. *Educational Research Review* **2014**, 11, 1-26, [10.1016/j.edurev.2013.11.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2013.11.002).
65. Nora M. Foerst; Julia Klug; Gregor Jöstl; Christiane Spiel; Barbara Schober; Knowledge vs. Action: Discrepancies in University Students' Knowledge about and Self-Reported Use of Self-Regulated Learning Strategies. *Frontiers in Psychology* **2017**, 8, 1288, [10.3389/fpsyg.2017.01288](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.01288).
66. Joachim Wirth; Promoting Self-Regulated Learning Through Prompts. *Zeitschrift für Pädagogische Psychologie* **2009**, 23, 91-94, [10.1024/1010-0652.23.2.91](https://doi.org/10.1024/1010-0652.23.2.91).
67. Daniell DiFrancesca; John L. Nietfeld; Li Cao; A comparison of high and low achieving students on self-regulated learning variables. *Learning and Individual Differences* **2016**, 45, 228-236, [10.1016/j.lindif.2015.11.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2015.11.010).
68. Julia Waldeyer; Jens Fleischer; Joachim Wirth; Detlev Leutner; Validating the Resource-Management Inventory (ReMI). *European Journal of Psychological Assessment* **2020**, 36, 777-786, [10.1027/1015-5759/a000557](https://doi.org/10.1027/1015-5759/a000557).
69. Jeffrey Greene; Roger Azevedo; The Measurement of Learners' Self-Regulated Cognitive and Metacognitive Processes While Using Computer-Based Learning Environments. *Educational Psychologist* **2010**, 45, 203-209, [10.1080/00461520.2010.515935](https://doi.org/10.1080/00461520.2010.515935).
70. Paulhus, D.; Holden, R.R.. Measuring self-enhancement: From self-report to concrete behavior; Agnew, C.R., Carlston, D.E., Graziano, W.G., Kelly, J.R., Eds.; Oxford University Press: New York, 2010; pp. 227–246.
71. Paulhus, D.; Vazire, S.. The self-report method; Robins, R.W., Fraley, R.C., Krueger, R.F., Eds.; The Guilford Press: New York, 2007; pp. 224–239.

Retrieved from <https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/54451>